

PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2066/203991>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2020-11-25 and may be subject to change.



Differences in neuropsychiatric symptoms between nursing home residents with young-onset dementia and late-onset dementia

Britt Appelhof, Christian Bakker, Jeannette C. L. Van Duinen-van Den IJssel , Sandra A. Zwijsen, Martin Smalbrugge, Frans R. J. Verhey, Marjolein E. de Vugt, Sytse U. Zuidema & Raymond T. C. M. Koopmans

To cite this article: Britt Appelhof, Christian Bakker, Jeannette C. L. Van Duinen-van Den IJssel , Sandra A. Zwijsen, Martin Smalbrugge, Frans R. J. Verhey, Marjolein E. de Vugt, Sytse U. Zuidema & Raymond T. C. M. Koopmans (2019) Differences in neuropsychiatric symptoms between nursing home residents with young-onset dementia and late-onset dementia, *Aging & Mental Health*, 23:5, 581-586, DOI: [10.1080/13607863.2018.1428935](https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2018.1428935)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2018.1428935>



© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group



Published online: 09 Feb 2018.



[Submit your article to this journal](#)



Article views: 1123



[View related articles](#)



[View Crossmark data](#)



Citing articles: 5 [View citing articles](#)

Differences in neuropsychiatric symptoms between nursing home residents with young-onset dementia and late-onset dementia

Britt Appelhof^{a,b,c}, Christian Bakker^{a,c,d}, Jeannette C. L. Van Duinen-van Den IJssel^{a,c}, Sandra A. Zwijsen^e, Martin Smalbrugge^e, Frans R. J. Verhey^f, Marjolein E. de Vugt^f, Sytse U. Zuidema^g and Raymond T. C. M. Koopmans^{a,c,h}

^aDepartment of Primary and Community Care, Center for Family Medicine, Geriatric Care and Public Health, Radboud University Nijmegen, Medical Centre, Nijmegen, the Netherlands; ^bArchipel, Landrijt, Knowledge Center For Specialized Care, Eindhoven, the Netherlands; ^cRadboud Alzheimer Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands; ^dFlorence, Mariahoeve, Center for Specialized Care in Young-Onset Dementia, The Hague, the Netherlands; ^eDepartment of General Practice and Elderly Care Medicine/EMGO + Institute for Health and Care Research, VU Medical Centre, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; ^fSchool For Mental Health and Neuroscience, Alzheimer Center Limburg, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, the Netherlands; ^gDepartment of General Practice, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands; ^hDe Waalboog 'Joachim en Anna', Center for Specialized Geriatric Care, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

ABSTRACT

Objective: The aims of the current study are (1) to explore the differences in neuropsychiatric symptoms (NPS) between young-onset dementia (YOD) and late-onset dementia (LOD), and (2) to investigate whether the possible differences can be attributed to differences in dementia subtype, gender, psychotropic drug use (PDU), or dementia severity.

Method: Three hundred and eighty-six nursing home (NH) residents with YOD and 350 with LOD were included. Multilevel modeling was used to compare NPS between the groups. Furthermore, dementia subtype, gender, PDU, and dementia severity were added to the crude multilevel models to investigate whether the possible differences in NPS could be attributed to these characteristics.

Results: Higher levels of apathy were found in NH residents with YOD. After the characteristics were added to the models, also lower levels of verbally agitated behaviors were found in YOD.

Conclusion: We recommend that special attention be paid to interventions targeting apathy in YOD. Although no differences in other NPS were found, the PDU rates were higher in YOD, suggesting that the threshold for the use of PDU in the management of NPS is lower. This underscores the need for appropriate attention to non-pharmacological interventions for the management of NPS in YOD.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 18 July 2017
Accepted 11 January 2018

KEYWORDS

Young-onset dementia;
nursing home;
neuropsychiatric symptoms;
psychotropic drugs

DUTCH TRIAL REGISTER:

Trial ID NTR5018

Introduction

Young-onset dementia (YOD) is defined as dementia with symptom onset before the age of 65. In YOD, neuropsychiatric symptoms (NPS), such as apathy, agitation, aggression, and hallucinations, are highly prevalent (Mulders et al., 2016; Mulders, Zuidema, Verhey, & Koopmans, 2014). A recent Dutch study showed that 90% of nursing home (NH) residents with YOD had one or more NPS (Mulders et al., 2016). Previous research in late-onset dementia (LOD) has shown that NPS often result in negative health outcomes such as a loss of quality of life (QoL), increased cost of care, and high workload for the NH staff (Mulders et al., 2016; Murman et al., 2002; Wetzels, Zuidema, de Jonghe, Verhey, & Koopmans, 2010; Zwijsen et al., 2014).

People with YOD may have a higher risk of developing NPS compared to people with LOD. For example, in the YOD NH population more than half of the residents are male, compared to 20% of the residents in the LOD NH population (Mulders et al., 2014; Wetzels, Zuidema, Jansen, Verhey, & Koopmans, 2010). Extreme NPS seem especially likely to occur in men below the age of 70 years, as these residents are stronger and more vital (Brodaty, Draper, & Low, 2003). Additionally, people with YOD are cared for at home for a longer period than people with LOD (Bakker et al., 2012). Therefore, dementia might be more advanced in NH residents with YOD

compared to LOD at the time of institutionalization. Increased severity of dementia has been linked to more NPS (such as agitation, aggression, and apathy) in NH residents with YOD and LOD (Mulders et al., 2016; Zuidema, de Jonghe, Verhey, & Koopmans, 2009). In addition, frontotemporal dementia (FTD) is more common in YOD; higher levels of agitation, disinhibition, and irritability are found in people with FTD than in those with AD, at least in the community-dwelling population (de Vugt et al., 2006; Harvey, Skeleton-Robinson, & Rossor, 2003; Mulders et al., 2014; Ratnavalli, Brayne, Dawson, & Hodges, 2002).

To develop effective treatment and further enhance care for NH residents with YOD, it is important to gain more insight into the differences in NPS between NH residents with YOD and LOD and the specific characteristics of YOD that might give rise to these possible differences. This insight supports health care professionals to direct treatment and provide care that meets the specific care needs of younger nursing home residents.

There have been a few studies that directly compared rates of NPS between YOD and LOD. However, these studies did not take into account the possible influence of psychotropic drugs, which are often used in the treatment of NPS. Higher rates of psychotropic drug use (PDU) are found in nursing home residents with YOD (87%) than in those with LOD (rang-

ing from 63%–75%) (Aalten, Van Valen, Clare, Kenny, & Verhey, 2005; Mulders et al., 2016; Nijk, Zuidema, & Koopmans, 2009; Wetzels, Zuidema, de Jonghe, Verhey, & Koopmans, 2011). Therefore, a greater decline in NPS due to treatment with PDU might be expected in YOD. Furthermore, to our knowledge, all these studies involve people with Alzheimer's dementia (AD), while NPS in other common types of dementia in YOD, such as FTD, were not taken into account.

In NPS studies directly comparing young-onset AD (YO-AD) and late-onset AD (LO-AD), the results suggest less NPS overall in people with YO-AD than in those with LO-AD, at least in a community-dwelling population. For instance, Toyota et al. (2007) compared NPS between community-dwelling people with YO-AD and LO-AD and did not find differences regarding the prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety. Moreover, they found fewer delusions and hallucinations as well as less agitation, disinhibition, and aberrant motor behavior in YO-AD than in LO-AD. van Vliet et al. (2012) also found lower incidence and prevalence rates of NPS in community-dwelling people with YO-AD than in those with LO-AD. In addition, Mushtaq et al. (2016) found higher levels of delusions, agitation, anxiety, disinhibition and nighttime behavioral disturbances in community-dwelling people with LO-AD than in those with YO-AD. In contrast, the only study to our knowledge comparing NPS in YOD and in LOD NH residents found higher levels of behavioral symptoms (e.g. waking up at night, aimless wandering, hiding things) in NH residents with YO-AD than in residents with LO-AD among people >90 years old (Hori et al., 2005).

The aims of the current study are (1) to explore the differences in NPS between two large samples of NH residents with YOD and LOD, and (2) to investigate whether possible differences can be attributed to differences in dementia subtype (Alzheimer's dementia, vascular dementia, or other subtypes including FTD), gender, psychotropic drug use (PDU), or dementia severity.

Methods

Subjects

This retrospective cross-sectional study is part of the Behavior and Evolution of Young-ONset Dementia part 2 (Beyond-II) study, a multicenter intervention study aimed at improving the management of neuropsychiatric symptoms in institutionalized people with YOD ($N = 203$ YOD NH residents) (van Duijn-van den IJssel et al., 2017). Additional baseline data were used from two other longitudinal studies: the Beyond-I study ($N = 185$ YOD NH residents) and the 'Grip on challenging behavior study' ($N = 362$ LOD NH residents) (Mulders et al., 2014; Zwijsen et al., 2011). These studies used the same assessment instruments as the Beyond-II study.

In the Netherlands, a large proportion of nursing homes have YOD special care units (YOD-SCUs) delivering specialized care for people with YOD. In the YOD group, only YOD-SCUs participated. Residents in the YOD group were included in this study if they had a dementia diagnosis with symptom onset before the age of 65 and had been residing in the YOD-SCU for at least one month before inclusion. The dementia diagnosis was established before inclusion in the study according to the 4th edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Text Revision (American

Psychiatric Association, 2000). In the YOD group, internationally accepted criteria for diagnosing dementia subtypes were used (Gorno-Tempini et al., 2011; McKeith, 2006; McKhann, Folstein, Katzman, Price, & Standlan, 1984; Rascovsky et al., 2011; Roman et al., 1993). The diagnosis was retrieved from the medical file. The exclusion criteria were lack of informed consent, dementia caused by human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), traumatic brain injury, Down's syndrome, Korsakoff syndrome, Huntington's disease, or alcohol-related dementia. In the Beyond-II study, informed consent was obtained from the residents' legal representative and the informed consent rate was 88%. In the Beyond-I study, the residents or their legal representatives had the possibility to object to participation, resulting in a participation rate of 99%. Some YOD-SCUs participated in both the Beyond-I and Beyond-II studies. When residents had the same gender, date of birth, and diagnosis, one of them was randomly excluded from either the Beyond-I or Beyond-II sample used in this study to prevent duplicates.

In the LOD group, participating units for people with dementia (dementia special care units, DSCUs) were recruited from nursing homes that collaborate with the VU University Medical Center (Amsterdam) and the Radboud University Medical Center Nijmegen (Zwijsen et al., 2011). The same inclusion criteria (other than symptom onset before the age of 65) as in the YOD sample were applied in this study to obtain homogenous samples. Additionally, residents in the LOD group with an age of 70 years or younger were excluded to diminish the risk of YOD residents being part of the LOD group. Residents in the YOD group with an age of 70 years or older were not excluded, because they all had a symptom onset before the age of 65; therefore, there was no risk that they were part of the LOD group. In the LOD group, legal representatives of the residents had the possibility to object to participation, resulting in a participation rate of 99%.

Data collection and assessments

The Beyond-I and Beyond-II study protocols were approved by the Medical Ethics Committee region Arnhem/Nijmegen. The Grip on challenging behavior study protocol was approved by the Medical Ethics Review Committee of the VU University Medical Center. This research project was performed according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki (version November 2013, www.wma.net) and is in agreement with the law regarding medical-scientific research in humans (WMO). Trained researchers and research assistants collected the data through structured interviews with the nursing staff and from the resident's medical files. Respondents were considered reliable if they were the vocational nurse specifically assigned to the resident or had regular contact with the resident in the past month.

Outcome measures

Neuropsychiatric symptoms (NPS) were assessed with the Dutch version of the Neuropsychiatric Inventory-Nursing Home Version (NPI-NH). The NPI-NH has high interrater reliability and has been found to be a valid instrument for the assessment of a wide range of NPS in dementia (Kat et al., 2002; Kaufer et al., 2000). The NPI-NH consists of ten neuropsychiatric symptoms (delusions, hallucinations, agitation/aggression, depression, anxiety, euphoria/elation, apathy/

indifference, disinhibition, irritability/lability, aberrant motor behavior) and two neurovegetative symptoms (nighttime behavior disturbances and appetite/eating disturbances). For each symptom, a screening question is used to determine whether the symptom is present. If the symptom is present, Frequency (F) and Severity (S) are rated on a four-point (ranging from 1 to 4) and three-point Likert-scale (ranging from 1 to 3), respectively, for each symptom. Scores for each symptom are calculated as $F \times S$. We were interested in all NPS, including symptoms with a low frequency or severity and also when symptoms were not present. Therefore, we also included symptoms with a low frequency and severity in our analyses (instead of focusing on only clinical relevant behavior $F \times S \geq 4$) and chose to score $F \times S$ as 0 when a symptom was not present. A total score is calculated by summing the $F \times S$ scores (ranging from 0 to 144). Five NPI-NH factor scores were calculated by summing the symptom scores ($F \times S$) included in each factor: (1) agitation/aggression, consisting of the agitation/aggression, euphoria, disinhibition and irritability symptom scores; (2) depression, consisting of the depression and anxiety symptom scores; (3) psychosis, consisting of the delusion and hallucination symptom scores; (4) psychomotor agitation, consisting of the aberrant motor behavior and nighttime behavior symptom scores; and (5) apathy, consisting of the apathy and eating disorder symptom scores. These neuropsychiatric factors have been found to be relatively consistent in nursing home residents with dementia, across different stages of dementia (Zuidema, de Jonghe, Verhey, & Koopmans, 2007a).

The Dutch version of the Cohen-Mansfield Agitation Inventory (CMAI-D) was used to assess *agitation and aggression* (Cohen-Mansfield & Billig, 1986; de Jonghe & Kat, 1996). The CMAI has well-established validity and reliability and assesses 29 agitated or aggressive behaviors (de Jonghe & Kat, 1996). The frequency of each symptom is rated on a seven-point scale (range 1–7) ranging from never to several times an hour. The total CMAI score ranges from 29 to 203. We used CMAI factors based on a previous study in which three CMAI factors in a large NH sample were found: physically non-aggressive behaviors (range 7–49), physically aggressive behaviors (range 8–56), and verbally agitated behaviors (range 4–28) (Zuidema, de Jonghe, Verhey, & Koopmans, 2007b).

Other measures

Dementia severity was assessed with the Global Deterioration Scale (GDS) (Reisberg, Ferris, de Leon, & Crook, 1982). The GDS describes seven different stages of dementia on a seven-point scale (1–7), ranging from ‘subjectively and objectively normal cognition’ to ‘severe cognitive decline.’ The GDS has been validated against behavioral, neuro-anatomical and neurophysiological measures, for which significant correlations were found (Reisberg et al., 1982).

In addition, data on *dementia subtype*, *age*, *gender* and *length of stay* at the YOD-SCU or DSCU were collected from resident’s medical files. *Psychotropic drug use* (PDU) was derived from the nursing homes pharmacists’ electronic files and was classified according to the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification system (World Health Organisation Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology, 1997) into antipsychotics, anxiolytics, hypnotics, antidepressants, anti-epileptics, anti-dementia drugs, and any psychotropic medication.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22. Before analysis, the data were checked for missing values. If the proportion of missing values in the CMAI total and factor scores was 20% or less, the missing items were replaced with the mean of the remaining items. It was not possible to use mean imputation on the NPI-NH factor scores, GDS, or PDU, as these scores consisted of less than 5 items, and even a single missing value would constitute more than 20% of the items. Therefore, residents with missing items on the NPI-NH symptom scores, GDS, and PDU were excluded from analysis.

Demographic variables for both groups (LOD and YOD) were described by calculating means or proportions. Multilevel modeling (random intercept linear mixed models) was used to compare the mean CMAI total, CMAI factor (physically aggressive behaviors, physically nonaggressive behaviors, verbally agitated behaviors), NPI-NH total, and NPI-NH factor (agitation, depression, psychosis, psychomotor agitation, apathy) scores as dependent variables, with group (YOD versus LOD) as an independent variable. Multilevel modeling allows correction for the clustering of residents in different health care organizations and therefore also for possible differences in cohorts as each health care organization was part of a specific cohort. Furthermore, to investigate whether the possible differences could be attributed to differences in dementia subtype (Alzheimer’s dementia, vascular dementia, or other subtypes including FTD), gender, psychotropic drug use (using dichotomous categories: present or absent), or dementia severity (GDS: mild, moderate, severe), we added these variables to the crude multilevel models.

Results

Resident characteristics

A total of 736 residents were included, of whom 386 residents had YOD and 350 had LOD. In the YOD group, the ratio of males to females was approximately equal (49.5% female), in contrast to the LOD group, in which a large majority of subjects were female (73.7%). In both the YOD and LOD group, most residents had Alzheimer’s dementia (43.3% in YOD and 48.6% in LOD). The second most prevalent dementia subtype was vascular dementia (35.1% in the LOD group and frontotemporal dementia (FTD) (25.1%) in the YOD group. In both YOD and LOD, most residents had advanced dementia (62.7% in YOD and 76.8% in LOD). Furthermore, psychotropic drug use (PDU) seemed more common in the YOD group (76.9% in YOD versus 55.1% in LOD) (Table 1).

Differences in NPS between YOD and LOD

The unadjusted multilevel models showed that residents with YOD had higher mean NPI-NH apathy factor scores than residents with LOD ($p < .001$, $B = 2.612$) (Table 2, model 1). No other statistically significant differences were found on either the NPI-NH or the CMAI factor scores. After entry of PDU, gender, dementia severity and dementia subtype into the multilevel models, significant differences in both the apathy score ($p < .001$, $B = 2.794$) and the mean CMAI verbally agitated behaviors factor score were found ($p = .023$, $B = -1.403$) (Table 2, model 2). Residents with YOD had lower mean CMAI

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the YOD and LOD group.

		YOD N = 386	LOD N = 350
Resident age at inclusion	Mean (SD) ^a (Range)	62.4 (6.9) (39–78)	84.43 (6.3) (71–102)
Resident gender	Male n (%)	195 (50.5)	92 (26.3)
Dementia severity (GDS ^b) ^c	n (%)		
Mild (2,3,4)		62 (16.1)	13 (3.7)
Moderate (5)		81 (21.0)	66 (18.9)
Severe (6,7)		242 (62.7)	267 (76.3)
Dementia subtype	n (%)		
Alzheimer's disease		167 (43.3)	170 (48.6)
Vascular dementia		56 (14.5)	123 (35.1)
Frontotemporal dementia		97 (25.1)	7 (2.0)
Other		66 (17.1)	50 (14.3)
PDU ^d (at least one) ^e	n (%)	297 (76.9)	193 (55.1)
CMAI ^f total score	Mean (SD)	49.60 (18.37)	50.55 (18.21)
CMAI factor scores ^g	Mean (SD)		
Physically aggressive behaviors		13.55 (6.51)	13.88 (6.36)
Physically nonaggressive behaviors		14.38 (7.97)	13.87 (7.72)
Verbally agitated behaviors		8.37 (5.99)	9.24 (5.96)
NPI-NH ^h total score	Mean (SD)	22.84 (17.77)	21.22 (17.80)
NPI-NH factor scores	Mean (SD)		
Agitation		9.93 (10.21)	9.96 (9.65)
Depression		3.11 (5.17)	4.12 (5.31)
Psychosis		1.79 (3.92)	2.26 (4.37)
Psychomotor agitation		4.84 (6.22)	3.97 (5.47)
Apathy		5.95 (5.97)	3.46 (4.96)

^a SD = standard deviation.

^b GDS = Global Deterioration Scale.

^c 1 missing in YOD group, 4 missing in LOD group.

^d PDU = Psychotropic drug use.

^e 8 missing in LOD group.

^f CMAI = Cohen-Mansfield Agitation Inventory.

^g 1 missing in YOD group, 6 missing in LOD group.

^h NPI-NH = Neuropsychiatric Inventory-nursing home version.

verbally agitated behaviors factor scores compared to residents with LOD.

Discussion

In this study, the differences in NPS between heterogeneous samples of nursing home (NH) residents with YOD and LOD were investigated. Higher levels of apathy were found in NH residents with YOD compared to LOD. Furthermore, after corrections were applied for gender, PDU, GDS, and dementia subtype, higher levels of verbally agitated behaviors were found in LOD than in YOD.

In line with our findings, Cohen-Mansfield and Libin (2005) found that higher levels of verbal agitation were associated with older age. An explanation could be that with advanced

age, more physical impairments are likely to occur, hindering activities in daily life. This likely results in feelings of frustration and a consequent increase in verbally agitated behaviors in response to the high levels of physical impairment. Higher rates of verbal aggression in LOD were only found in our study after taking into account the influence of possible confounders (gender, PDU, dementia subtype, dementia severity). An explanation could be the higher prevalence of residents with less advanced dementia in the YOD group, as verbally agitated behavior is less prevalent in advanced dementia (Mulders et al., 2016). Furthermore, we did not find differences in the CMAI total or other factor scores, which supports the notion that agitation is a multidimensional construct consisting of different aspects that should be taken into account in further research in order to prevent loss of information.

Table 2. Multilevel models with differences between groups (YOD/LOD) on NPS with no correction (Model 1), and correction for gender, PDU, dementia severity, and dementia subtype (Model 2).

	Model 1				Model 2			
	B	P	95% CI		B	P	95% CI	
			Lower bound	Upper bound			Lower bound	Upper bound
CMAI total score	-.316	.900	-5.386	4.753	-1.480	.544	-6.365	3.406
CMAI factor scores								
Physically aggressive behaviors	-.236	.766	-1.833	1.361	-.234	.751	-1.711	1.243
Physically nonaggressive behaviors	.761	.440	-1.22	2.743	.426	.673	-1.510	2.451
Verbally agitated behaviors	-.827	.115	-1.865	.211	-1.403	.023*	-2.600	-.206
NPI-NH total score	2.047	.342	-2.271	6.364	1.597	.444	-2.573	5.766
NPI-NH factor scores								
Agitation/aggression	.061	.943	-1.658	1.780	-1.002	.270	-2.478	1.036
Depression	-.972	.117	-2.201	.258	-.710	.221	-1.866	.446
Psychosis	-.419	.387	-1.393	.555	-.490	.341	-1.519	.539
Psychomotor agitation	.988	.143	-.349	2.324	.708	.335	-.761	2.178
Apathy	2.612	.000**	1.251	3.972	2.794	.000**	1.502	4.086

Note: CMAI = Cohen-Mansfield Agitation Inventory; NPI-NH = Neuropsychiatric Inventory-nursing home version.

PDU = Psychotropic drug use.

* < .05.

** < .01.

We found higher levels of apathy in NH residents with YOD than in those with LOD. This might be partly explained by the high prevalence in YOD of FTD, in which higher rates of apathy have been found compared to AD (de Vugt et al., 2006). However, these differences were still strongly significant after dementia subtype was corrected for, suggesting that higher rates of apathy exist in NH residents with YOD, irrespectively of dementia subtype. Another explanation might be that the frequency and severity of the NPS (including apathy) were rated by nursing staff members. Nurses might observe apathy in LOD less often because they might perceive the inactivity as part of older age. Although apathy is often not experienced as disturbing by nursing staff, the higher rates found in NH residents with YOD still raise concern because of the strong negative association between apathy and quality of life in NH residents with YOD (Appelhof et al., 2017; Zwijsen et al., 2014). Therefore, special attention needs to be directed to interventions targeting apathy in NH residents with YOD.

Although we did not find any additional differences in NPS between the two groups, the PDU rates in YOD seemed higher than those of the LOD group (77% in YOD versus 55% in LOD). This suggests that the threshold for the use of psychotropic drugs in the management of NPS is lower in YOD. An explanation for the higher levels of PDU in YOD could be that the same behaviors in younger individuals are perceived as more threatening or distressing by the nursing staff. Consequently, physicians might be more inclined to prescribe psychotropic drugs in YOD than in LOD (Zuidema, de Jonghe, Verhey, & Koopmans, 2011). In future studies, further testing is needed on differences in distress experienced by YOD and LOD nursing staff in response to residents' NPS.

Several limitations of this study should be considered. We chose to use factor scores instead of symptom scores on the NPI-NH in order to reduce the number of tests in the analyses and thereby diminish the risk of a Type 1 error. Additionally, we used factor scores of the CMAI instead of the total score to provide clarity regarding the possible differences in specific aspects of aggression and thereby prevent a loss of information. However, the factor structure of both the NPI-NH and CMAI was evaluated in older NH residents with dementia and has not yet been established in YOD (Zuidema et al., 2007a, 2007b). Furthermore, part of the YOD group was drawn from an older cohort. There is a possibility that there are differences within these cohorts (for example, due to changes in the health care system), for which we partly corrected with the use of the multi-level models. At last, we cannot confirm that the international accepted criteria for diagnosing dementia subtypes were used in all residents part of the LOD group.

Conclusion

This study provides important insight into the differences in NPS between NH residents with YOD and LOD. The higher rates of apathy found in NH residents with YOD raise concern because of the strong negative association between apathy and quality of life (Appelhof et al., 2017). Therefore, in order to improve care, we recommend that special attention be paid to interventions targeting apathy in NH residents with YOD. For example, especially for nursing home residents with YOD, it might be important to provide a stimulating socio-therapeutic environment and approach to facilitate social engagement and activities of daily living. Further research is needed to gain insight into possible biological or psychosocial

influences underlying the differences in apathy between nursing home residents with YOD and LOD.

Although NH residents with YOD were no more likely than those with LOD to develop NPS other than apathy, residents with YOD still received psychotropic drugs more often in the treatment of NPS. This underscores the need for appropriate attention to effective non-pharmacological interventions for the management of NPS in YOD.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mandy Wijnen and Yvette Daniels for collecting the data and Hans Bor for his support with performing the analyses. Additionally, we are grateful for the cooperation of the staff of the participating nursing homes.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

This study was funded by the Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMw) [grant number nr: 733050402]; Archipel Care Group in the Netherlands; Florence Care Group in the Netherlands; Dutch YOD Knowledge Center; Dutch Alzheimer Society.

References

- Aalten, P., Van Valen, E., Clare, L., Kenny, G., & Verhey, F. (2005). Awareness in dementia: A review of clinical correlates. *Aging & Mental Health, 9*, 414–422.
- American Psychiatric Association. (2000). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders text revision: DSM-IV-TR*. Washington, DC: Author.
- Appelhof, B., Bakker, C., van Duinen-van den IJssel, J. C. L., Zwijsen, S. A., Smalbrugge, M., Verhey, F. R., ... Koopmans, R. T. (2017). The determinants of quality of life of nursing home residents with young-onset dementia and the differences between dementia subtypes. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders, 43*, 320–329.
- Bakker, C., de Vugt, M. E., van Vliet, D., Verhey, F. R., Pijnenburg, Y. A., Vernooij-Dassen, M. J., & Koopmans, R. T. C. M. (2012). Predictors of the time to institutionalization in young-versus late-onset dementia: Results from the needs in young onset dementia (NeedYD) study. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association, 14*, 248–253.
- Brodady, H., Draper, B. M., & Low, L. F. (2003). Behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia: A seven-tiered model of service delivery. *The Medical Journal of Australia, 178*, 231–234.
- Cohen-Mansfield, J., & Billig, N. (1986). Agitated behaviors in the elderly. A conceptual review. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 34*, 711–721.
- Cohen-Mansfield, J., & Libin, A. (2005). Verbal and physical non-aggressive agitated behaviors in elderly persons with dementia: Robustness of syndromes. *Journal of Psychiatric Research, 39*, 325–332.
- Gorno-Tempini, M. L., Hillis, A. E., Weintraub, S., Kertesz, A., Cappa, S. F., Ogar, J. M., ... Grossman, M. (2011). Classification of primary progressive aphasia and its variants. *Neurology, 76*, 1006–1014.
- Harvey, R. J., Skeleton-Robinson, M., & Rossor, M. N. (2003). The prevalence and causes of dementia in people under the age of 65 years. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry, 74*(9), 1206–1209.
- Hori, K., Oda, T., Asaoka, T., Yoshida, M., Watanabe, S., Oyamada, R., ... Inada, T. (2005). First episodes of behavioral symptoms in Alzheimer's disease patients at age 90 and over, and early-onset Alzheimer's disease: Comparison with senile dementia of Alzheimer's type. *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences, 59*, 730–735.
- Kat, M. G., de Jonghe, J. F., Aalten, P., Kalisvaart, C. J., Droes, R. M., & Verhey, F. R. (2002). Neuropsychiatric symptoms of dementia: Psychometric aspects of the Dutch Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI). *Tijdschrift voor Gerontologie en Geriatrie, 33*, 150–155.
- Kaufers, D. I., Cummings, J. L., Ketchel, P., Smith, V., Mac Millan, A., Shelley, T., ... DeKosky, S. T. (2000). Validation of the NPI-Q, a brief clinical form

- of the Neuropsychiatric Inventory. *Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 12, 233–239.
- McKeith, I. G. (2006). Consensus guidelines for the clinical and pathologic diagnosis of dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB): Report of the Consortium on DLB International Workshop. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 9, 417–423.
- McKhann, G. D., Folstein, M., Katzman, R., Price, D., & Stadlan, E. M. (1984). Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: Report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurology*, 34, 939–944.
- Mulders, A. J. M. J., Fick, I. W. F., Bor, H., Verhey, F. R., Zuidema, S. U., & Koopmans, R. T. (2016). Prevalence and correlates of neuropsychiatric symptoms in nursing home patients with young-onset Dementia: The BEYOND study. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, 17, 495–500.
- Mulders, A. J. M. J., Zuidema, S. U., Verhey, F. R., & Koopmans, R. T. (2014). Characteristics of institutionalized young onset dementia patients – the BEYOND study. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 12, 1973–1981.
- Murman, D. L. D., Chen, Q., Powell, M. C., Kuo, S. B., Bradley, C. J., & Colenda, C. C. (2002). The incremental direct costs associated with behavioral symptoms in AD. *Neurology*, 59, 1721–1729.
- Mushtaq, R., Pinto, C., Tarfarosh, S. F., Hussain, A., Shoib, S., Shah, T., ... Arif, T. (2016). Comparison of the behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) in Early-onset and late-onset Alzheimer's Disease: A study from South East Asia (Kashmir, India). *Cureus*, 8, 625–634.
- Nijk, R. M., Zuidema, S. U., & Koopmans, R. T. (2009). Prevalence and correlates of psychotropic drug use in Dutch nursing-home patients with dementia. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 21, 485–493.
- Rascovsky, K., Hodges, J. R., Knopman, D., Mendez, M. F., Kramer, J. H., Neuhaus, J., ... Miller, B. L. (2011). Sensitivity of revised diagnostic criteria for the behavioural variant of frontotemporal dementia. *Brain: A Journal of Neurology*, 134, 2456–2477.
- Ratnavalli, E., Brayne, C., Dawson, K., & Hodges, J. R. (2002). The prevalence of frontotemporal dementia. *Neurology*, 58(11), 1615–1621.
- Reisberg, B., Ferris, S. H., de Leon, M. J., & Crook, T. (1982). The Global Deterioration Scale for assessment of primary degenerative dementia. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 139, 1136–1139.
- Roman, G. C., Tatemichi, T. K., Erkinjuntti, T., Cummings, J. L., Maseu, J. C., Carcia, J. H., ... Hofman, A. (1993). Vascular dementia: Diagnostic criteria for research studies. Report of the NINDS-AIREN International Workshop. *Neurology*, 43, 250–260.
- Toyota, Y., Ikeda, M., Shinagawa, S., Matsumoto, T., Hokoishi, K., Fukuhara, R., ... Tanabe, H. (2007). Comparison of behavioral and psychological symptoms in early-onset and late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 22, 896–901.
- Wetzels, R., Zuidema, S., Jansen, I., Verhey, F. J., & Koopmans, R. T. C. M. (2010). Course of neuropsychiatric symptoms in residents with dementia in long-term care institutions: A systematic review. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 22, 1040–1053.
- Wetzels, R., Zuidema, S., de Jonghe, J. F., Verhey, F. J., & Koopmans, R. T. C. M. (2010). Determinants of quality of life in nursing home residents with dementia. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 29, 189–197.
- Wetzels, R., Zuidema, S. U., de Jonghe, J. F., Verhey, F. J., & Koopmans, R. T. C. M. (2011). Prescribing pattern of psychotropic drugs in nursing home residents with dementia. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 23, 1249–1259.
- World Health Organisation Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology. (1997). Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) Classification Index Including Defined Daily Doses (DDDs) for Plain Substances. World Health Organisation Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology: oslo
- Zuidema, S. U., de Jonghe, J. F., Verhey, F. R., & Koopmans, R. T. C. M. (2007a). Neuropsychiatric symptoms in nursing home patients: Factor structure invariance of the Dutch nursing home version of the neuropsychiatric inventory in different stages of dementia. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 24, 169–176.
- Zuidema, S. U., de Jonghe, J. F., Verhey, F. R., & Koopmans, R. T. C. M. (2007b). Agitation in Dutch institutionalized patients with dementia: Factor analysis of the Dutch version of the Cohen-Mansfield Agitation Inventory. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 23, 35–41.
- Zuidema, S. U., de Jonghe, J. F., Verhey, F. R., & Koopmans, R. T. C. M. (2009). Predictors of neuropsychiatric symptoms in nursing home patients: Influence of gender and dementia severity. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 24, 1079–1086.
- Zuidema, S. U., de Jonghe, J. F., Verhey, F. R., & Koopmans, R. T. C. M. (2011). Psychotropic drug prescription in nursing home patients with dementia: Influence of environmental correlates and staff distress on physicians' prescription behavior. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 23, 1632–1639.
- Zwijssen, S. A., Kabboord, A., Eefsting, J. A., Hertogh, C. M., Pot, A. M., Gerritsen, D. L., & Smalbrugge, M. (2014). Nurses in distress? An explorative study into the relation between distress and individual neuropsychiatric symptoms of people with dementia in nursing homes. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 29, 384–391.
- Zwijssen, S. A., Smalbrugge, M., Zuidema, S. U., Koopmans, R. T., Bosmans, J. E., van Tulder, M. W., ... Pot, A. M. (2011). Grip on challenging behaviour: A multidisciplinary care programme for managing behavioural problems in nursing home residents with dementia. Study protocol. *BMC Health Services Research*, 11, 41.
- de Jonghe, J. F., & Kat, M. G. (1996). Factor structure and validity of the Dutch version of the Cohen Mansfield Agitation Inventory (CMAI-D). *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 44, 888–889.
- de Vugt, M. E., Riedijk, S. R., Aalten, P., Tibben, A., van Swieten, J. C., & Verhey, F. R. (2006). Impact of behavioural problems on spousal caregivers: A comparison between Alzheimer's disease and Frontotemporal Dementia. *Dementia Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 22, 35–41.
- van Duinen-van den IJssel, J. C. L., Appelhof, B., Zwijssen, S. A., Smalbrugge, M., Verhey, F. R. J., de Vugt, M. E., ... Koopmans, R. T. C. M. (2017). Behavior and Evolution of Young-ONset Dementia part 2 (BEYOND-II) study: An intervention study aimed at improvement in the management of challenging behavior in institutionalized people with young-onset dementia. *International Psychogeriatrics*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1017/S1041610217000941
- van Vliet, D., de Vugt, M. E., Aalten, P., Bakker, C., Pijnenburg, Y. A., Vernooij-Dassen, M. J., ... Verhey, F. R. (2012). Prevalence of neuropsychiatric symptoms in young onset compared to late-onset Alzheimer's disease – part 1: Findings of the two year longitudinal NeedYD-study. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 34, 319–327.