

PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a postprint version which may differ from the publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2066/103582>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2021-01-27 and may be subject to change.

1
2
3
4 *On-line detection of root-induced volatiles in Brassica nigra plants*
5
6
7 *infested with Delia radicum L. root fly larvae*
8

9
10 Elena Crespo¹, Cornelis A. Hordijk², Rob M. de Graaf³, Devasena Samudrala ¹,
11
12 Simona M. Cristescu¹, Frans J.M. Harren¹, Nicole M. van Dam^{3*}
13

14 ¹Life Science Trace Gas Facility, Institute of Molecules and Materials (IMM),
15
16 Radboud University Nijmegen, Nijmegen, the Netherlands
17

18 ²Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO-KNAW), Wageningen, the Netherlands
19

20 ³Department of Ecogenomics, Institute for Water and Wetland Research (IWWR),
21
22 Radboud University Nijmegen, Nijmegen, the Netherlands
23
24

25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32 *Corresponding author: Nicole M. van Dam

33 n.vandam@science.ru.nl

34
35
36 Department of Ecogenomics, Institute for Water and Wetland Research (IWWR),
37
38 Radboud University Nijmegen, Heyendaalseweg 135, 6525 AJ, Nijmegen, the
39
40
41 Netherlands
42

43
44 Tel: 0031-24-3652414; Fax: 0031-24-3553450
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 ***Abstract***
5
6

7 Plants emit various volatile organic compounds (VOCs) upon herbivore attack.
8
9 These VOC emissions often show temporal dynamics which may influence the
10 behavior of natural enemies using these volatiles as cues. This study analyzes on-
11 line VOC emissions by roots of *Brassica nigra* plants under attack by cabbage root
12 fly larvae, *Delia radicum*. Root emitted VOCs were detected using Proton-Transfer-
13 Reaction Mass Spectrometry (PTR-MS) and Gas Chromatography Mass
14 Spectrometry (GC-MS). These analyses showed that several sulfur containing
15 compounds, such as methanethiol, dimethyl sulfide (DMS), dimethyl disulfide
16 (DMDS), dimethyl trisulfide (DMTS) and glucosinolate breakdown products, such
17 as thiocyanates (TC) and isothiocyanates (ITC), were emitted by the roots in
18 response to infestation. The emissions were subdivided into early responses,
19 emerging within one to six hours after infestation, and late responses, evolving only
20 after six to 12 hours. The marker for rapid responses was detected at m/z 60. The ion
21 detected at m/z 60 was identified as thiocyanic acid, which is also a prominent
22 fragment in some TC or ITC spectra. The emission of m/z 60 stopped when the
23 larvae had pupated, which makes it an excellent indicator for actively feeding larvae.
24 Methanethiol, DMS and DMDS levels increased much later in infested roots,
25 indicating that activation of enzymes or genes involved in the production of these
26 compounds may be required. Earlier studies have shown that both early and late
27 responses can play a role in tritrophic interactions associated with *Brassica* species.
28 Moreover, the identification of these root induced responses will help to design non-
29 invasive analytical procedures to assess root infestations.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 **Keywords:** *Brassica nigra*; Brassicaceae; black mustard; root volatile emissions;
5
6
7 sulfur compounds; glucosinolate breakdown products; isothiocyanates.
8
9

10 11 **1. Introduction**

12
13
14 The induction of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in plants as a response to
15
16 herbivore feeding has received considerable attention during the last decades (Vet
17
18 and Dicke, 1992; Dicke, 1999; Peñuelas and Llusà, 2001; Dicke and Baldwin
19
20 2010). It has been well established that these VOC emissions affect the behavior of
21
22 herbivores as well as their predators and parasitoids (Turlings et al., 1990; van
23
24 Poecke and Dicke, 2004). To date, most studies have focused on the role of volatiles
25
26 in aboveground interactions, while induction by belowground feeding herbivores so
27
28 far has received relatively little attention (van Dam et al., 2003; Erb et al., 2008;
29
30 Olson et al., 2008). Recently it has been shown that belowground herbivores also
31
32 induce VOCs that are released by the plant, thereby affecting the behavior of natural
33
34 enemies associated with root and shoot herbivores (Neveu et al., 2002; Rasmann et
35
36 al. 2005; Soler et al. 2007; Ferry et al. 2007; Ali et al., 2011). However, the exact
37
38 nature of VOC emissions induced by root herbivores has not always been studied in
39
40 depth, and even less is known about the temporal dynamics of root-emitted VOCs.
41
42 Increasing our knowledge of belowground induced VOCs will not only contribute to
43
44 a better understanding of plant-insect interactions in wild plant species, but may also
45
46 contribute to improving biocontrol strategies that reduce the use of synthetic
47
48 pesticides (Rasmann and Turlings, 2008).
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Here, the VOCs released by roots of *Brassica nigra* plants in response to infestation
5
6 with larvae of the crucifer specialist *Delia radicum* L. (cabbage root fly), a natural
7
8 root herbivore of both wild and cultivated Brassicaceae (Finch and Ackley 1977),
9
10 are studied. Brassicaceous plants that are damaged, or treated with signaling
11
12 hormones such as jasmonic acid, induce a complex bouquet of VOCs which can
13
14 comprise up to 200 compounds (Geervliet et al. 1997; Rohloff and Bones, 2005; van
15
16 Dam et al. 2010). Among these volatiles are the breakdown products of
17
18 glucosinolates. Glucosinolates are sulfur-containing compounds that are typical for
19
20 the Brassicaceae (Hopkins et al., 2009). Despite the fact that glucosinolates
21
22 themselves have defensive properties, their major hydrolysis products, such as
23
24 isothiocyanates and nitriles, are generally more effective defenses than
25
26 glucosinolates against pathogens and herbivores (Kim and Jander, 2007; Hopkins et
27
28 al., 2009). In contrast to the non-volatile glucosinolates, the breakdown products can
29
30 be found as volatiles in the headspace of herbivore-infested *Brassica* plants (Cole,
31
32 1976; de Vos et al., 2008; Fig. 1). Upon tissue disruption glucosinolate hydrolysis is
33
34 catalyzed by the enzyme myrosinase. The initial hydrolysis products include
35
36 thioglucose, sulfate and an unstable intermediate. This intermediate rearranges
37
38 spontaneously to produce several degradation products, such as isothiocyanates,
39
40 nitriles and thiocyanates. Which of these products will be formed depends on the
41
42 side chain structure and the hydrolysis conditions, such as the pH and the presence
43
44 of specific modifier proteins in the plant (Halkier and Du, 1997; Wittstock et al.,
45
46 2003).

47
48 Previous studies on *B. nigra* plants infested with *D. radicum* larvae showed that the
49
50 glucosinolate levels change in roots of infested plants (van Dam and Raaijmakers,
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 2006; Hopkins et al., 1998). In addition, headspace analyses revealed that root fly-
5
6 infested plants and turnips emit higher levels of specific volatile sulfides than non-
7 infested plants (Soler et al., 2007; Ferry et al., 2007). Predators and parasitoids of
8
9 above ground (AG) and belowground (BG) herbivores were found to use these
10
11 sulfides as cues to locate suitable hosts. The behavioral response of parasitoids and
12
13 predators, however, was found to be species-specific as well as dose-dependent.
14
15 Ground-dwelling beetles that are predators of herbivorous cabbage root fly larvae
16
17 are attracted to dimethyl_disulfide (DMDS) in a dose-dependent way; traps baited
18
19 with 0.2 - 2 μ l of pure DMDS contained more predatory beetles than traps with
20
21 lower or higher amounts (Ferry et al, 2007). AG parasitoids, on the other hand, avoid
22
23 plants infested with large root fly larvae and elevated sulfide emissions, whereas
24
25 plants with small root fly larvae were equally attractive as non-infested plants (Soler
26
27 et al., 2007). These behavioral observations strongly suggest that there may be
28
29 temporal dynamics in the amounts of sulfides and possibly other VOCs released
30
31 from root infested *B. nigra* plants, which may have important consequences for the
32
33 attraction of both AG and BG natural enemies. However, the temporal dynamics of
34
35 the herbivore-induced volatile emissions underlying the natural enemies' preferences
36
37 have not been analyzed to date. As it seems likely that the strongest and most
38
39 reliable cues come from the feeding site of the herbivores, i.e. the roots, this
40
41 investigation focuses on the temporal profile of sulfur-containing compound
42
43 emissions from the roots.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54
55 Commonly, techniques such as gas chromatography (GC) or gas chromatography
56
57 mass spectrometry (GC-MS) are used to analyze VOCs released by plants. The
58
59 sampling and analytical procedures of these methods can be very time-consuming,
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 and mostly they do not allow the simultaneous, time-resolved monitoring of
5
6 different classes of compounds. Because of these limitations, these techniques are
7
8 not optimal to assess the temporal dynamics of VOC emissions caused by biotic
9
10 stresses. Therefore, Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometry (PTR-MS) was
11
12 used, a technique allowing rapid, on-line detection of trace gases from various
13
14 chemical groups in the order of seconds at (sub) parts per billion (ppb) levels
15
16 (Hansel et al., 1995; Boamfa et al., 2004; Blake et al., 2009). However, PTR-MS
17
18 only provides information about the molecular weight of the detected volatile, and
19
20 therefore, the identity of the compound still needs to be confirmed by other methods
21
22 such as GC-MS (Steeghs et al., 2004). For this reason, on-line PTR-MS
23
24 measurements and GC-MS analyses were combined to follow the temporal
25
26 dynamics, and to quantify and identify the volatiles emitted from *B. nigra* roots
27
28 infested by *D. radicum* larvae.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 **2. Results**

39 *2.1 Temporal dynamics of VOC signals on PTR-MS*

40
41 In initial experiments three ions, m/z 60, m/z 63 and m/z 95 (see Fig. 2), showed
42
43 increased signal intensities in infested roots, while these signals remained low in
44
45 control plants. As can be seen in the Fig. 2, m/z 63 and m/z 95 were induced between
46
47 six and 12 hours after the infestation, while m/z 60 (Fig. 2) started increasing shortly
48
49 after infestation (between one and six hours). Those three volatiles increased until
50
51 they reached a peak value between one and three days after infestation, after which
52
53 they decreased to initial values. Further replicates, in which volatiles with lower
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 molecular weights were screened as well, showed that also m/z 49 emissions differed
5
6 between infested and control roots (Fig. 2). The temporal dynamics of m/z 49 closely
7
8 followed that of the compounds detected at m/z 63 and m/z 95.
9
10

11 It is well known that after wounding, the aerial parts of plants emit C6 wound
12
13 compounds (hexenal, hexanal and related compounds, Hatanaka, 1993). Infested *B.*
14
15 *nigra* roots did not emit these compounds (results not shown). This is in agreement
16
17 with Steeghs et al. (2004), who did not observe induction of C6 wound compounds
18
19 in *Arabidopsis* root cultures that were mechanically damaged or infested with root-
20
21 feeding insects. In contrast to Steeghs et al. (2004), however, we did not observe
22
23 increased 1,8-cineole levels (m/z 155, detected at its main fragment m/z 81 with
24
25 PTR-MS) in our system, neither using PTR-MS nor using GC-MS.
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 33 2.2 GC-MS analysis and identification of the sulfides

34
35 GC-MS analysis of the volatiles released during infestation confirmed the induction
36
37 of dimethyl sulfide (DMS; m/z 63 in the PTR-MS analysis) and dimethyl disulfide
38
39 (DMDS; m/z 95 in the PTR-MS) in the root headspace of infested plants (Fig. 3).
40
41 Additionally, GC-MS measurements showed increased levels of dimethyl trisulfide
42
43 (DMTS) in root infested plants (Fig. 3). The temporal dynamics of DMTS could not
44
45 be observed with our PTR-MS due to its lack of sensitivity at higher masses and the
46
47 low emission rate of this compound by the root system.
48
49

50
51 Because of its low molecular weight and high volatility, the compound represented
52
53 by m/z 49 could not be detected under the specific conditions of the GC-MS system
54
55 that was used. Therefore, natural isotopic ratios ([Danner et al., 2012](#)) were used to
56
57 identify this compound as methanethiol (CH_3SH). Experimentally, the ratio m/z 51/
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 m/z 49 was equal to 4.46 ± 0.05 % (3 replicate average, $R^2 = 0.81$). This ratio is
5
6 close to the most abundant atomic sulfur ratio $^{34}\text{S}/^{32}\text{S} = 4.41$ % (NIST). The only
7
8 candidate for m/z 49 that includes a sulfur atom has as molecular formula CH_3SH ,
9
10 with an abundance of: m/z 51/ m/z 49 = 4.44 %. This value is in very good
11
12 agreement with the experimental value, confirming the assignment of m/z 49 to
13
14 methanethiol, [a compound that has been previously reported in Brassicaceae](#)
15
16 [\(Bending and Lincoln, 1999; Attieh et al., 2000\)](#).
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 24 2.3 Glucosinolate breakdown products and identification of m/z 60

25
26 Furthermore, the GC-MS analyses identified the presence of allylisothiocyanate,
27
28 phenylethylisothiocyanate and benzonitrile (Fig. 4), which are volatile glucosinolate
29
30 breakdown products and possibly also the origin of the compound detected at m/z 60
31
32 with PTR-MS. As mentioned above, the major glucosinolate breakdown products
33
34 are divided into nitriles, thiocyanates and isothiocyanates (see Fig. 1). The
35
36 compounds in the last two groups contain a CNS group. In the PTR-MS,
37
38 (iso)thiocyanates may fragment into thiocyanic acid (HCNS), which, after being
39
40 protonated, would be detected at m/z 60. To test this hypothesis, the isotopic ratios
41
42 of m/z 60 emitted during infestation were compared to the experimental isotopic
43
44 ratios of pure HCNS in our PTR-MS, and these were compared to literature based
45
46 isotopic ratios. In HCNS the most abundant isotope for ^{32}S is ^{34}S (4.25%)
47
48 ($^{33}\text{S}=0.75\%$), while $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ is 1.08%. The $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ ratio is 0.36%, and $^2\text{H}/^1\text{H}$ ratio is
49
50 (0.011%) (NIST). Taking this into account, with PTR-MS the most abundant isotope
51
52 for HCNS would be detected at m/z 62. By correlating the signals of m/z 60 and m/z
53
54 62 from root infested plants over time a natural abundance ratio m/z 62/ m/z 60 of
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

1
2
3
4 4.57 +/- 0.05 % was found, with $R^2 = 0.90$ (Fig. 5). Model calculations predict m/z
5
6 $62/ m/z 60 = 4.44\%$. Pure HCNS (thiocyanic acid) measured with PTR-MS shows a
7
8 natural isotopic abundance $m/z 62/ m/z 60 = 4.74 +/- 0.02 %$ with $R^2 = 0.988$.
9
10 Therefore, HCNS itself, or a bigger molecule fragmenting into HCNS, is a likely
11
12 candidate for this mass $m/z 60$.
13
14

15
16 To further investigate the origin of $m/z 60$, the fragmentation patterns of a number of
17
18 volatile glucosinolate breakdown products were studied. Based on GC-MS analysis,
19
20 *B. nigra* root glucosinolate and VOC profiles reported in the literature, the following
21
22 thiocyanates (TC) and isothiocyanates (ITC) were considered as candidates for the
23
24 parent mass: methyl TC, methyl ITC, ethyl TC, ethyl ITC, allyl ITC and 2-phenethyl
25
26 ITC. Pure samples of the above mentioned compounds were measured with PTR-
27
28 MS in order to obtain their mass spectra and fragmentation patterns. For this, closed
29
30 vials (5 mL volume) containing the pure compound were used, with a syringe needle
31
32 penetrating the septum in the cap. These emitters were placed in a 1 L volume
33
34 cuvette and flushed with air. The combined effect of vapor diffusion through the
35
36 needle and ventilation of the 1 L cuvette resulted in a diluted gas concentration that
37
38 did not saturate the PTR-MS detector. Based on these experiments, methyl TC,
39
40 methyl ITC, and 2-phenethyl ITC could be discarded as candidates for $m/z 60$. Even
41
42 though $m/z 60$ was found in the spectra of some of these pure compounds, in none of
43
44 the spectra it was a major fragment. In addition, the main fragments for each of these
45
46 compounds did not show any significant difference in emission rates between
47
48 infested and non-infested roots in the experiments.
49
50

51
52 This leaves three potential glucosinolate breakdown compounds as candidates for
53
54 the parent mass of $m/z 60$: ethyl TC, ethyl ITC and allyl ITC. These pure compounds
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

1
2
3
4 all yielded a main fragment at m/z 60, and the natural isotopic ratio m/z 62/ m/z 60
5
6 supports the hypothesis that this m/z 60 originates from HCNS. However, neither
7
8 ethyl TC nor ethyl ITC was detected in the GC-MS analyses. Allyl ITC, on the other
9
10 hand, was measured with GC-MS. Moreover, the glucosinolate giving rise to allyl
11
12 ITC, sinigrin, is one of the major glucosinolates in *B. nigra* roots (van Dam and
13
14 Raaijmakers, 2006). Thus m/z 60 most likely originates from allyl ITC, even though
15
16 the signal at m/z 100 (the parent mass) was not observed with PTR-MS in damaged
17
18 roots, which may be due to low concentration, since the intensity for m/z 100 for the
19
20 pure compound was much lower than for m/z 60 (i.e., allyl ITC suffered from strong
21
22 fragmentation in the PTR-MS drift tube). Alternatively, enzymes such as
23
24 methyltransferases, which normally catabolize glucosinolate-derived TC and ITC to
25
26 smaller compounds *in planta*, may play a role in the production of HCNS molecules
27
28 from (any) ITC (Attieh et al., 2000). These enzymes were not present when
29
30 measuring pure compounds.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 In separate experiments s using one single plant (Fig. 6) the main root of a *B. nigra*
41
42 plants was artificially damaged with a single puncture of a scalpel, 2-5 cm below the
43
44 soil surface. The emission of m/z 60 increased immediately after damage; the
45
46 response started within seconds and reached a maximum about 10 min after the
47
48 damage. Two hours after damage, the emissions had returned to control levels,
49
50 indicating the reaction between myrosinase and the glucosinolates had ended.
51
52 Renewed damage, however, initiated the reaction again resulting in increased m/z 60
53
54 emissions (Fig. 6). From this figure it can also be seen that artificial damage has no
55
56 direct effect on DMS (m/z 63) and DMDS (m/z 95) signals; methanethiol (m/z 49)
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 showed increased emissions after artificial damage, though much smaller than the
5
6 one observed for m/z 60. The rapid increase of m/z 60 supports our hypothesis that
7
8 the fast m/z 60 emissions result from the rapid conversion of glucosinolates by the
9
10 enzyme myrosinase and possibly other enzymes involved in glucosinolate
11
12 conversion (Wittstock et al., 2003).
13
14
15
16
17

18 *2.4 Influence of larval instar on the temporal profile*

19
20
21 In addition, the influence of the larval developmental stage, or instar, on the
22
23 temporal emission profile or intensity of the emissions was studied. For this, m/z 60
24
25 (HCNS) was chosen as a marker, because of its high reproducibility, and the quick
26
27 and strong signal that was measured directly after infestation. Fig. 7 shows m/z 60
28
29 emissions of roots infested with four small first instar larvae, four large late second
30
31 instar larvae, and control roots. At the end of the experiments, actively feeding
32
33 larvae were found in all replicates infested with first instar larvae, on average two in
34
35 each root. In the experiments with the larger larvae, all larvae had pupated by the
36
37 end of the experiment. Emissions of m/z 60 clearly discriminated between plants in
38
39 the different treatment groups (Fig. 7). Plants infested with large second instar larvae
40
41 rapidly emit high levels of this volatile, but these emissions are dropping to control
42
43 values by the fourth day of the experiment. Most likely, this is the moment that the
44
45 larvae stopped feeding because of the transition to the pupal stage. Emissions from
46
47 plants infested with small larvae increased gradually in time as the larvae grew and
48
49 increasingly did more damage to the roots; six days after the start of the experiment,
50
51 emission levels were still higher than control values. A similar temporal pattern was
52
53 observed for sulfide emissions (data not shown). These temporal dynamics match
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 earlier observations showing that plants damaged with early instar larvae do not
5
6 elicit strong behavioral responses in AG parasitoids, most likely because of the low
7
8 concentration of sulfide emissions (Soler et al., 2007).
9
10

11 12 13 14 *2.5 Biogenic source of the sulfur containing volatiles*

15
16 The sulfur compounds detected in our experiments could be either directly emitted
17
18 by the plant as a result of feeding damage, or have originated from the larvae
19
20 themselves. In Fig. 8 the mass spectra of volatiles emitted from 20 second instar
21
22 larvae placed in a 100 mL cuvette filled with plain river sand are shown as compared
23
24 with plain river sand alone. Both sand and larvae emit equally low amounts of m/z
25
26 60, which supports the hypothesis that the roots, and not the larvae, are the source of
27
28 m/z 60. For methanethiol (m/z 49), DMS (m/z 63) and DMDS (m/z 95) higher values
29
30 are observed in the cuvette with the larvae (Fig. 8). Therefore, *D. radicum* larvae
31
32 themselves, or the plant material and bacteria that can be found in their alimentary
33
34 tract or frass (Lukwinski et al., 2006) may contribute to the signal detected at those
35
36 masses during the infestation experiments. However, the emission levels of these
37
38 compounds (methanethiol, DMS and DMDS) from the cuvettes with the larvae were
39
40 much lower than expected, if we take into account that in this experiment there were
41
42 4 to 5 times more larvae in a much smaller volume than were added to the plant
43
44 roots. Moreover, sulfide emissions from infested roots follow a pattern similar to
45
46 that of m/z 60, and are decreasing when larvae start pupating (Fig. 2). Therefore, it is
47
48 likely that the majority of the sulfide signals originate from the roots themselves and
49
50 not from the insect or associated microorganisms.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

3. Discussion

This study shows that root damage, either by root feeding insects or by artificial damage, induces various time-resolved volatile responses in plant roots. When considering these temporal dynamics, the emissions seem to be divided into early and late responses. HCNS, represented by m/z 60, showed an immediate response both after infestation and artificial damage. The results presented here show that HCNS likely arises from the two component glucosinolate-myrosinase system that is constitutively present in the plant, and is activated by artificial as well as herbivore damage to the plant (Rask et al., 2000). Allyl ITC was found to be the most likely candidate for being the parent compound of HCNS. The precursor glucosinolate of allyl ITC, allylglucosinolate (sinigrin), is one of the major glucosinolates in *Brassica nigra* roots, constituting about 40-50% of the glucosinolate profile in the main roots (van Dam and Raaijmakers, 2006). As in most *Brassica* species, the aromatic 2-phenylethyl glucosinolate is the other major root glucosinolate in *B. nigra*, constituting 50-60% of the glucosinolate profile (van Dam et al 2009). PTR-MS analyses of its conversion product, PE-ITC, however, did not show a major signal at m/z 60. Moreover, root fly feeding was shown to increase the percentage of allylglucosinolate to 57 – 65% of the total glucosinolate main root profile to the expense of 2-phenylethylglucosinolate levels (van Dam and Raaijmakers, 2006). This adds to the evidence that the increase of HCNS emissions from root infested plants results from the conversion of allylglucosinolate into allylITC. In addition to myrosinase, methyltransferases that detoxify ITC *in planta* may also have contributed to the emergence of HCNS from allylITC (Attieh et al., 2000). Because

1
2
3
4 the PTR-MS analysis was performed with pure compounds, the exact contribution of
5
6 methyltransferases and various other enzymes involved in glucosinolate conversion
7
8 in plants (for example epithiospecifier proteins (ESP, Wittstock et al., 2003)) to the
9
10 enhanced m/z 60 emissions from infested roots cannot be specified.
11
12

13
14 Methanethiol, DMS and DMDS emissions, on the other hand, were much slower and
15
16 increased only six to 12 hours after infestation. No immediate increase was observed
17
18 in response to artificial damage other than the slight increases of methanethiol
19
20 (Figure 6). This points to an induction process which involves the transcription of
21
22 genes or activation of enzymes necessary for the synthesis of these sulfides in the
23
24 plant. Methanethiol, DMS and DMDS have been studied in *Brassica* crops because
25
26 they cause the typical ‘cabbage odour’ (Jones, 2010). Indeed, several enzymes,
27
28 mainly transferases and lyases, are present in the aboveground parts of Broccoli and
29
30 other cultivated cabbages that produce sulfides from the amino acids methionine and
31
32 cysteine (Derbali et al., 1998, Chin and Lindsay, 1994, Attieh et al., 1995). The
33
34 activity of these enzymes was found to be required as the production of these
35
36 sulfides was reduced when enzyme activity was inhibited (Derbali et al., 1998).
37
38
39
40
41
42

43
44 In addition to the plant roots, there are other natural sources that may contribute to
45
46 sulfide emissions. Several soil-dwelling microorganisms are known to produce
47
48 volatile sulfides, including DMDS (Kai et al., 2009, Kai et al., 2010). The low levels
49
50 of methanethiol and sulfides emerging from control plants show that the direct
51
52 contribution - if any - of soil dwelling microorganisms to these emissions is small
53
54 (Fig. 2, 3 and 4). Alternatively, the interaction between root fly gut microbes and the
55
56 damaged roots could lead to the production of methanethiol, DMS and DMDS. *D.*
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
radicum larvae contain a highly diverse gut microflora that helps them to infest and

1
2
3
4 digest the recalcitrant plant material they feed on; several of these gut bacteria are
5
6 closely related to sulfide producing bacteria found in soils (Lukwinsky et al., 2006).
7
8
9 Indeed, larvae on sand emitted more sulfides than pure sand, but the emission levels
10
11 per larva were relatively low compared to the emissions recorded from infested
12
13 roots. In addition, there was no immediate increase of sulfide emissions after the
14
15 larvae were added to the plants, so initially the direct contribution of gut bacteria to
16
17 these emissions is minimal. However, this does not preclude that the activities of gut
18
19 microbes have contributed to the emission of sulfides from *D. radicum* infested roots
20
21 at later time points during active feeding (Fig. 2). Finally, the emission of sulfide
22
23 compounds decreased when the larvae pupated (Fig. 2); remaining frass and
24
25 microbes alone therefore can not be responsible for the elevated sulfide emissions.
26
27 This also precludes that root pathogens entering the roots at the damage sites have a
28
29 major effect (Soroka et al., 2004), as they would also remain after larval feeding has
30
31 stopped.
32

33
34 C6 wound compounds were not detected in our analyses, neither after insect damage
35
36 nor after mechanical wounding. This result is in agreement with *in vitro* experiments
37
38 with *Arabidopsis* roots (Steeghs et al., 2004). Roots probably do not emit these
39
40 green-leaf volatiles as the enzymes involved in the production of these VOCs from
41
42 α -linoleic and linolenic acids are bound to the chloroplasts membrane (Hatanaka,
43
44 1993).
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52
53 Both early and late VOC emissions in roots may have ecological relevance for the
54
55 plant and its associated insect communities. Insect behavioral studies showed that
56
57 the parasitic wasp, *Cotesia glomerata*, has the ability to distinguish between plants
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 with and without *D. radicum* feeding on the root system when searching for their
5
6 AG host, but also that this ability depended on the developmental stage of the root
7
8 herbivore (Soler et al., 2007). *C. glomerata* females only avoided plants infested
9
10 with large root fly larvae, whereas they did not (or could not) distinguish between
11
12 undamaged plants and plants infested with small root fly larvae. Apparently, the
13
14 emissions of root-induced volatiles such as sulfides must pass a certain threshold
15
16 before parasitoids can detect them. This is also in agreement with the dose-
17
18 dependent response of several ground-dwelling *D. radicum* predators to DMDS
19
20 (Ferry et al., 2007). The online measurements presented here clearly show that the
21
22 emissions of glucosinolate conversion products as well as sulfides closely correlate
23
24 with the developmental progress of the larvae. Therefore, these emissions can be a
25
26 reliable cue for aboveground and belowground natural enemies searching for hosts
27
28 with an optimal quality for their offspring (Vet and Dicke, 1992). This also
29
30 highlights the importance of analyzing temporal dynamics of VOC emission when
31
32 aiming to understand the role of belowground induced responses in aboveground
33
34 and belowground multitrophic interactions associated with plants.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 46 **4. Conclusions**

47
48 The results presented here show the power of PTR-MS combined with GC-MS for
49
50 elucidating the temporal dynamics of VOCs emitted by root infested plants. The
51
52 PTR-MS analyses showed that infested plants with actively feeding herbivores can
53
54 clearly be distinguished from uninfested plants within hours after infestation until
55
56 the feeding had stopped. The temporal analyses also provided valuable information
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 about the progression of larval feeding and development. PTR-MS analyses thus
5
6 may provide a valuable tool for breeding companies screening for more resistant
7
8 crop varieties. Recently, several synthetic pesticides have been banned for use in the
9
10 European Union, which has incited an increased interest by plant breeding
11
12 companies to select crop varieties with high natural levels of resistance. On-line
13
14 PTR-MS analyses may provide a rapid and non-invasive tool to assess levels of
15
16 infestation and the progression of larval growth in varieties with different levels of
17
18 resistance. Similarly, PTR-MS analyses may be used to develop a detector which
19
20 could be used for identifying crops contaminated with this cryptic pest in quarantine
21
22 facilities. Moreover, the knowledge gained on the temporal dynamics of the volatile
23
24 emissions may deepen our understanding of the role of root induced volatiles in
25
26 multitrophic interactions. Further studies will reveal to which extend each of the
27
28 players in this tripartite interaction between plant, herbivore and gut microorganisms
29
30 determines the nature and dynamics of root induced VOC emissions in wild and
31
32 agricultural *Brassica* species.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 **5. Experimental**

45 **5.1 Plants**

46
47 *Brassica nigra* seeds from a bulk seed batch of plants collected in a feral population
48
49 near Wageningen, the Netherlands, in 2008 were germinated and transferred to tall
50
51 2.5 l 'Rosepots' (11cm x11 cm x 21 cm). The lower 15 cm of the pots were filled
52
53 with a peat soil-sand mixture (number 4, Lentse Potgrond, Lent, the Netherlands)
54
55 which was covered with 5 cm of plain river sand. This facilitated the placement of
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 the sampling cuvette around the roots, as well as the retrieval of the root fly larvae,
5
6 which mainly feed on the upper 5 cm of the roots. At the same time, it provided the
7
8 roots with sufficient nutrients in the lower layer. The pots with the seedlings were
9
10 placed in a glasshouse at 21 °C during the day and 16 °C, at night. The ambient light
11
12 conditions were supplied with sodium lamps to maintain the minimum
13
14 photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) at 225 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ for at least 16 h per
15
16 day.
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 24 *5.2 Addition of the root fly larvae and count of the remaining larvae*

25
26
27 *Delia radicum* L. (Diptera: Anthomyiidae) larvae are specialist root herbivores of
28
29 many Brassicaceae species. The adult flies live aboveground. Females oviposit at the
30
31 base of the shoot, on or next to the stem. After hatching, the larvae crawl down
32
33 beneath the soil and start feeding on the main roots until they pupate in the soil
34
35 (Finch and Ackely, 1977). Eggs and larvae of *D. radicum* larvae reared on turnips
36
37 (*B. rapa*) were kindly provided by Anne Marie Cortesero, University of Rennes,
38
39 France. Just before the infestation with the larvae, the root crowns of all plants
40
41 selected for analysis were gently moved sideways to create some space next to the
42
43 main root, which enabled the root fly larvae to crawl down quickly. The larvae used
44
45 to infest the plants were gently removed from the turnips, cleaned with tap water to
46
47 remove remaining turnip materials and placed in the space next to the root crown.
48
49 Larvae that had not crawled down into the sand within 10 minutes (usually less than
50
51 10% of the larvae) were removed and replaced. After the PTR-MS measurement had
52
53 ended, the roots were carefully checked to count the number of living *D. radicum*
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 larvae and pupae that may have formed. To do so, plants were lifted from their pots
5
6 and placed in a flat plastic dish. The upper layer of sand surrounding the main roots
7
8 was gently flushed with tap water to uncover the feeding larvae. To recover the
9
10 pupae, the sand was washed in a large plastic bowl which easily revealed the
11
12 floating pupae. It was also noted whether and to which extent the roots were
13
14 damaged by larval feeding activities, which is evidenced by the presence of brown
15
16 feeding trenches on the surface of the main roots.
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 *5.3 Experimental set-up*

24
25
26
27 In a typical experiment, the roots of six *B. nigra* plants were monitored by the PTR-
28
29 MS over time for a period up to seven days. The experiments were performed at a
30
31 constant temperature of 21°C; ambient light conditions were supplied with sodium
32
33 lamps, maintaining a photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) of 225 $\mu\text{mol photons}$
34
35 $\text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ during the light period (16 h). Based on the literature, a number of masses,
36
37 representing compounds expected to be emitted from wounded roots and/or shoots
38
39 were selected and followed over time (Table 1). Also, to prevent the risk of losing
40
41 information due to monitoring of pre-selected masses, full mass scans covering a
42
43 range from m/z 20 to m/z 150 were performed at different time points during the
44
45 infestation. In this way, other masses showing different emission levels in infested
46
47 roots as compared to control roots were included (Table 1). The plants were six to
48
49 eight weeks old when three plants were infested with five cabbage root fly larvae
50
51 each, whereas the other three served as non-infested control plants. Each cuvette was
52
53 sampled for 20 minutes within a period of two hours. Similar experiments were
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 repeated six times. The volatiles released by *B. nigra* roots in infested and
5
6 control/non-infested plants were monitored immediately after the addition of *D.*
7
8 *radicum* root-fly larvae.
9

10
11 For the GC-MS analyses, the root headspace was collected in separate experiments.
12

13
14 *B. nigra* plants from the same batch as used for the PTR-MS experiments were
15
16 infested with six to ten second instar *D. radicum* larvae (with the amount of larvae
17
18 depending on their size in order to ensure damage). Two days later, a 25x40 cm
19
20 cooking bag (Toppits, Germany) prepared as in Stewart-Jones and Poppy (2006) and
21
22 cut open at the bottom, was pulled up from the bottom of the pot and fixed with a
23
24 rubber band around the pot. The open top of the bag was closed at ~5 cm over the
25
26 soil around the stem of the plant using binder clips, leaving an opening to insert a
27
28 steel trap. Volatiles were collected by pulling air from the interior of the cooking bag
29
30 over a steel trap filled with 150 mg Tenax TA and 150 mg Carbopack B (Markes
31
32 International Ltd., Llantrisant, UK) with a vacuum pump. Flow rates over the traps
33
34 were set to 100 ml/min using mass flow regulators (Sho rateTM, Brooks Instrument,
35
36 Hatfield, PA, USA). After 80 min. the traps were removed. Four plants with
37
38 individual mass flow meters were sampled in parallel. In total three control and three
39
40 damaged plants were sampled, plus two background controls. After the experiment,
41
42 infested plants were checked for larval damage. The roots of one of the control
43
44 plants turned out to be mechanically damaged and the data of this plant were
45
46 removed from the analysis.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54
55 Volatiles were desorbed from the traps into the GC-MS (model Trace,
56
57 ThermoFinnigan, Austin, TX, USA) using an automated thermodesorption unit
58
59 (model Unity, Markes International Ltd., Llantrisant, UK) as described in van Dam
60
61
62

1
2
3
4 et al. (2010). Compounds were identified by their mass spectra using deconvolution
5
6 software (AMDIS) in combination with NIST 2005 (National Institute of Standards
7
8 and Technology, USA, <http://www.nist.gov>) and Wiley 7th edition spectral libraries.
9
10 Additionally, reference spectra was obtained from several plant volatile compounds
11
12 (farnesene, +-limonene, methyljasmonate, methylsalicylate, dimethyl_disulfide,
13
14 dimethyltrisulfide, octanal, nonanal, decanal, cis-3-hexen-1-ol, 2-
15
16 phenylethylalcohol, indole, benzylcyanide and phenylisothiocyanate (Sigma-
17
18 Aldrich; St. Louis, IL, USA); as well as methyl thiocyanate, methyl isothiocyanate,
19
20 ethyl thiocyanate, ethyl isothiocyanate and allyl isothiocyanate (Acros Organics
21
22 BVBA, Geel, Belgium). For identification, linear retention indices (lri) were used,
23
24 and the lri data for the compounds in the study were confirmed by authentic
25
26 standards. The integrated signals generated by the AMDIS software from the MS-
27
28 chromatograms were used for comparison between the treatments. Peak areas in
29
30 each sample were divided by the total air volume (in ml) that was sampled over the
31
32 trap to correct for differences sampling times between experiments. Differences in
33
34 volatile emissions between infested and control plants were assessed using a t-test.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 5.4 Artificial wounding of *Brassica nigra* roots

47
48 For the artificial wounding experiments, the main root of non-infested *Brassica*
49
50 *nigra* plants was damaged with a single puncture of a scalpel, 2-5 cm below the soil
51
52 surface. Once the increased emissions coming from the roots after damage decreased
53
54 back to initial values, the damage was repeated up to three times in total. This
55
56 experiment was performed with a single non- infested *B. nigra* plant each time, and
57
58 replicated three times in total with different plants
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 5.5 Influence of larval instar on volatile emissions

8
9 In order to study the influence of the larval instar on the temporal profile of the *B.*
10 *nigra* roots emissions, two experiments were conducted. For each of them, three *B.*
11 *nigra* plants were infested with four small first instar larvae per root, one with four
12 large second instar larvae, and one plant was not infested and used as control.

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21 5.6 Pure compounds for PTR-MS analysis

22
23
24 Methyl thiocyanate, methyl isothiocyanate, ethyl thiocyanate, ethyl isothiocyanate,
25 allyl isothiocyanate were purchased from Acros Organics BVBA, (Geel, Belgium).

26
27
28 2-Phenethyl isothiocyanates was purchased from Sigma- Aldrich (St. Louis, IL,
29 USA).

30
31
32
33 Thiocyanic acid was prepared as described by Bagliano et al. (1966). A Dowex 50H⁺
34 column was prepared (20-50 mesh, diameter 1.2 cm, length 6 cm), converted to the
35 hydrogen form by washing with 2M HCl and washed with distilled water until the
36 eluent became neutral. Subsequently, 2 mL of a 2M potassiumthiocyanic acid
37 solution was added to the column followed by elution with distilled water. When the
38 pH of the eluent became acid collecting of eluents was started.

39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48 HPLC vials (1.5 mL volume) containing 2 µL of compound were prepared for each
49 of the compounds mentioned. For the PTR-MS measurements, a vial with a needle
50 (1.10 x 40 mm, Microlance, Dublin, Ireland) inserted in its cap was placed in a 5 L
51 volume glass cuvette with an inlet and an outlet. The cuvette was flushed with a
52 constant 1.5 L/h airflow free of hydrocarbons. The outlet of the cuvette was
53 connected to PTR-MS.

5.7 Proton Transfer Reaction Mass Spectrometry (PTR-MS)

The volatiles released by *B. nigra* roots were analyzed with a home built PTR-MS. A detailed description of the system can be found in Crespo et al. (2011); here we will give a brief description of the working principles. Proton-transfer reaction is a soft ionization technique to ionize trace gas molecules in air (Lindinger et al., 1998). In PTR-MS, trace gases with a proton affinity higher than that of water are ionized by a proton-transfer reaction with H_3O^+ ions in a drift tube, after which the product ions are mass analyzed and detected with the quadrupole mass spectrometer. The operation conditions of the drift tube are generally described by the E/N (E over N) value expressed in Townsend ($1 \text{ Td} = 10^{-17} \text{ V}\cdot\text{cm}^2$) (de Gouw and Warneke, 2007); a value of 120 Td was used in our experiments.

H_3O^+ ions are produced in the ion source by establishing a discharge in water vapor. It should be noted, however, that back diffusion of air from the drift tube into the ion source leads to a percentage of contaminant ions, with NO^+ and O_2^+ as main impurities (de Gouw and Warneke, 2007). It is important to reduce the amount of NO^+ and O_2^+ ions since those ions can undergo charge-transfer reactions with most VOCs (Smith and Španěl, 2004) without adding a proton to the trace gas molecule, thereby making the identification of certain masses more complicated. Experimentally, higher m/z 60 levels could be observed at higher levels of the contaminant primary ions NO^+ and O_2^+ .

The system was calibrated by mixing a variable flow of air free of hydrocarbons (by passing it through a catalytic converter at 350°C), with a fixed flow of 0.3 L/h of a

1
2
3
4 gas mixture of methanol, acetaldehyde, acetone, isoprene, benzene, toluene and
5
6 xylene (molecular weights ranging from 32 amu to 106 amu) in concentrations of 1
7
8 ppmv ($\pm 5\%$) (Linde, Dieren, the Netherlands). In this way, calibration factors are
9
10 obtained for these compounds converting ion intensity (in ncps, normalized counts
11
12 per seconds) to gas mixing ratios (in ppbv, part per billion volume). From these
13
14 conversion factors the calibration factors of other compounds at a specific m/z ratio
15
16 were calculated, taking into account the difference in collision rate constant in the
17
18 drift tube and transmission efficiency factors of the mass spectrometer (de Gouw et
19
20 al., 2007).
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 | 5.8 Gas handling set-up

29
30
31 To maximize the collection of root volatiles, cuvettes such as the one shown in Fig.
32
33 9A were employed. Silicon tubing was used to connect the glass inlet and the Teflon
34
35 tube into the PTR-MS. Care was taken to minimize the contact ($< 1\text{mm}$) of the VOC
36
37 flow with the silicon because silicon adsorbs VOCs. In Fig. 9B a typical set-up with
38
39 the root cuvette is shown; the plants were grown with a pot somewhat larger than the
40
41 diameter of the cuvette to maximize VOC influx, and a synthetic rubber based
42
43 sealant (Terostat IX) is used between the two halves of the cuvette to avoid major
44
45 leaks.
46
47
48
49

50
51 The headspace of the cuvettes was continuously flushed with hydrocarbon-free air,
52
53 which was regulated by mass flow controllers (flow 1 L/h , Brooks Instrument, Ede,
54
55 the Netherlands). All the sampling lines and connectors were made of Teflon
56
57 (Polyfluor Plastics, Oosterhout, the Netherlands). Sampling lines and drift-tube were
58
59 heated to 55°C to reduce memory effects caused by VOC-surface interactions. For
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 each experiment the roots of six cuvettes were sequentially monitored by switching
5
6 from cuvette to cuvette using a stream selector valve (Valco cheminert valves,
7
8 Bester BV, Amstelveen, the Netherlands).
9
10

11 12 13 14 **6. Acknowledgments**

15
16 This work was partially supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific
17
18 Research [VIDI grant number 864-02-001] to NM van Dam.
19
20

21 The authors would like to thank Aleksandra Laska for her assistance in the
22
23 experiments, and Marjolein Kruidhof (NIOO-KNAW, NL) and Anne Marie
24
25 Cortesero (University of Rennes, France) for generously providing *D. radicum*
26
27 larvae from their cultures.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

7. Figure captions

Figure 1: Glucosinolate structure and its major volatile autolysis products, isothiocyanates, nitriles and thiocyanates.

Figure 2: Intensities of m/z 49, m/z 60, m/z 63 and m/z 95 emissions from *B. nigra* roots under the attack of five second instar *D. radicum* larvae; average of 3 replicates + standard deviation. Symbols: open squares: control (non-infested) plants; closed squares: infested plants.

Figure 3: Relative peak areas (+ SE) on GC-MS of sulfides emerging from *Brassica nigra* roots with ($n=3$ plants) and without (control, $n = 2$ plants) root fly larvae. DMS = dimethyl sulfide ($P = 0.065$), DMDS = dimethyl disulfide ($P = 0.008$), DMTS = dimethyl trisulfide ($P < 0.001$). P -values of independent t -tests on the square root-transformed data are given between brackets after each compound name.

Figure 4: Relative peak areas (+ SE) on GC-MS of glucosinolate breakdown products emerging from *Brassica nigra* roots with ($n=3$ plants) and without (control, $n = 2$ plants) root fly larvae. ITC = isothiocyanate. P -values of independent t -tests on the square root-transformed data: Allyl ITC, $P = 0.029$; Phenylethyl ITC, $P = 0.008$; Benzonitrile, $P > 0.9$.

Figure 5: Correlation between the emissions at m/z 60 and m/z 62 (isotopes of thiocyanic acid, HCNS) from *B. nigra* roots infested with the cabbage root fly larvae.

Figure 6: m/z 60 increase by artificial wounding of *B. nigra* roots at $t = 15$ min (see arrow); other sulfur volatiles (methanethiol, DMS and DMDS) did not show such immediate increase as a result of artificial wounding. Symbols: closed circles: m/z 60 (thiocyanic acid); open squares: methanethiol; open triangles: dimethyl sulfide; open circles: dimethyl disulfide.

Figure 7: Time profile of the emissions of m/z 60 from roots infested with big larvae (four late second instar larvae; closed triangles), small larvae (four first instar larvae, 3 replicate average plus s.d., closed squares) and from control roots (open circles).

Figure 8: Emissions of methanethiol, HCNS, DMS and DMDS of 20 second instar larvae 5 cm deep in plain river sand, as compared to only river sand.

Figure 9: A) Cuvette for the collection of root volatiles. B) Root cuvette in *B. nigra* plants. Terostat was used to connect the two halves of the cuvette, thereby avoiding major leaks.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

8. Table captions

| *Table 1: Compounds potentially emitted by roots during infestation. Most of the compounds selected are reported to be emitted by whole plants. The compound name is followed by its related masses detected with PTR-MS, and references are from either Brassicaceae or other plant species (^aMain fragments, ^bparent mass and main fragments.)*

9. References

[Ali, J.G., Alborn, H.T., Stelinski, L.L., 2011. Constitutive and induced subterranean plant volatiles attract both entomopathogenic and plant parasitic nematodes. J. Ecol. 99, 26-35.](#)

Attieh, J.M., Hanson, A.D., Saini, H.S., 1995. Purification and characterization of a novel methyltransferase responsible for biosynthesis of halomethanes and methanethiol in *Brassica oleracea*. J. Biol. Chem. 270, 9250-9257.

Attieh, J., Kleppinger-Sparace, K.F., Nunes, C., Sparace, S.A, Saini, H.S., 2000. Evidence implicating a novel thiol methyltransferase in the detoxification of glucosinolate hydrolysis products in *Brassica oleracea L*. Plant, Cell and Environ. 23, 165-174.

Bagliano, G., Ossicini, L., Lederer, M., 1966. Chromatography on ion exchange papers. XIX. Ion exchange of metal ions in thiocyanic acid. J Chromatogr. 21, 471-480.

Bending, G.D., Lincoln, S.D., 1999. Characterization of volatile sulphur-containing compounds produced during decomposition of *Brassica juncea* tissues in soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 31, 695-703.

Blake, R.S., Monks, P.S., Ellis, A.M., 2009. Proton-Transfer Reaction Mass Spectrometry. Chem. Rev. 109, 861–896.

Boamfa, E.I., Steeghs, M.M.L., Cristescu, S.M., Harren, F.J.M., 2004. Trace gas detection from fermentation processes in apples and rice; a comparison between Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometry and Laser Photo-acoustics. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. 239, 193-201.

Chin, H.W., Lindsay, R.C., 1994. Mechanisms of formation of volatile sulfur compounds following the action of cysteine sulfoxide lyases. J. Agric. Food Chem. 42, 1529-1536.

Cole, R.A., 1976. Isothiocyanates, nitriles and thiocyanates as products of autolysis of glucosinolates. Phytochemistry 15, 759-762.

Crespo, E., Cristescu, S.M., de Ronde, H., Kuijper, S., Kolk, A.H.J., Anthony, R.M., Harren, F.J.M., 2011. Proton Transfer Reaction Mass Spectrometry detects rapid changes in volatile metabolite emission by *Mycobacterium smegmatis* after the addition of specific antimicrobial agents. J. Microbiol. Methods, 86, 8-15.

[Danner, H., Samudrala, D., Cristescu, S.M., van Dam, N.M., 2012. Tracing hidden herbivores: time-resolved non-invasive analysis of belowground volatiles by Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometry \(PTR-MS\). J. Chem. Ecol., 38, 785-794.](#)

1
2
3
4
5
6 [Davison, B., Taipale, R., Langford, B., Misztal, P., Fares, S., Matteucci, G., Loreto, F., Cape, J.N., Rinne, J., Hewitt, C.N., 2009. Concentrations and fluxes of biogenic volatile organic compounds above a *Mediterranean macchia* ecosystem in western Italy. *Biogeosc.* 6, 1655–1670.](#)
7
8
9

10
11 de Gouw, J., Warneke, C., 2007. Measurements of volatile organic compounds in
12 the earth's atmosphere using proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry. *Mass*
13 *Spectrom. Rev.* 26, 223–257.
14

15
16 de Vos, M., Kriksunov, K.L., Jander, G., 2008. Indole-3-acetonitrile production
17 from indole glucosinolates deters oviposition by *Pieris rapae*. *Plant Physiol.* 146,
18 916–926.
19

20
21 Derbali, E., Makhlouf, J., Vezina, L.-P., 1998. Biosynthesis of sulfur volatile
22 compounds in broccoli seedlings stored under anaerobic conditions. *Postharvest*
23 *Biol. Technol.* 13, 191-204.
24

25
26 Dicke, M., 1999. Are herbivore-induced plant volatiles reliable indicators of
27 herbivore identity to foraging carnivorous arthropods? *Entomologia Experimentalis*
28 *et Applicata* 91, 131-142.
29

30
31 Dicke, M., Baldwin, I.T., 2010. The evolutionary context for herbivore-induced
32 plant volatiles: beyond the 'cry for help'. *Trends Plant Sci.*, 15, 167-175.
33

34
35 Erb, M., Ton, J., Degenhardt, J., Turlings, T.C.J., 2008. Interactions between
36 arthropod-induced aboveground and belowground defenses in plants. *Plant Physiol.*
37 146, 867-874.
38

39
40 Fall, R., Karl, T., Hansel, A., Jordan, A., Lindinger, W., 1999. Volatile organic
41 compounds emitted after leaf wounding: On-line analysis by proton-transfer-reaction
42 mass spectrometry. *J. Geophys. Res.* 104, 15963-15974.
43

44
45 Ferry, A., Dugravot, S., Delattre, T., Christides, J.-P., Auger, J., Bagnères, A.-G.,
46 Poinsot, D., Cortesero, A.-M., 2007. Identification of a widespread monomolecular
47 odor differentially attractive to several *Delia radicum* ground-dwelling predators in
48 the field. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 33, 2064-2077.
49

50
51 Finch, S., Ackley, C.M., 1977. Cultivated and wild host plants supporting
52 populations of the cabbage root fly. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 85, 13–22.
53

54
55 Geervliet, J.B.F., Posthumus, M.A., Vet, L.E.M., Dicke, M., 1997. Comparative
56 analysis of headspace volatiles from different caterpillar-infested or uninfested food
57 plants of *Pieris* species. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 23, 2935-2954.
58

59
60 Halkier, B.A., Du, L., 1997. The biosynthesis of glucosinolates. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2,
61 425-431.
62

- 1
2
3
4
5 Hansel, A., Jordan, A., Holzinger, R., Prazeller, P., Vogel, W., Lindinger, W., 1995.
6 Proton transfer mass spectrometry: on-line trace gas analysis at the ppb level. Int. J.
7 Mass Spectrom. Ion Processes. 150, 609-619.
8
9
10 Hatanaka. A., 1993. The biogenesis of green odour by green leaves.
11 Phytochemistry. 34, 1201-1218.
12
13
14 Hopkins, R.J., Griffiths, D.W., Birch, A.N.E., McKinlay, R.G., 1998. Influence of
15 increasing herbivore pressure on modification of glucosinolate content of swedes
16 (*Brassica napus* spp. *rapifera*). J. Chem. Ecol. 24, 2003-2019.
17
18 Hopkins, R.J., van Dam, N.M., van Loon, J.J.A., 2009. Role of glucosinolates in
19 insect-plant relationships and multitrophic interactions. Ann. Rev. Entomol. 54, 57-
20 83.
21
22
23 Janson, R., de Serves, C., 2001. Acetone and monoterpene emissions from the boreal
24 forest in northern Europe. Atmos. Environ. 35, 4629-4637.
25
26
27 Jones, M.G., 2010. The biosynthesis of volatile sulfur flavour compounds, in:
28 Hermann, A. (Ed.), The chemistry and biology of volatiles. John Wiley & Sons Ltd,
29 Chichester, UK.
30
31
32 Kai, M., Haustein, M., Molina, F., Petri, A., Scholz, B., Piechulla, B., 2009.
33 Bacterial volatiles and their action potential. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 81, 1001-
34 1012.
35
36
37 Kai, M., Crespo, E., Cristescu, S.M., Harren, F.J.M., Francke, W., Piechulla, B.,
38 2010. *Serratia odorifera*: analysis of volatile emission and biological impact of
39 volatile compounds on *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 88, 965-
40 976.
41
42
43 Kesselmeier, J., 2001. Exchange of short-chain oxygenated volatile organic
44 compounds (VOCs) between plants and the atmosphere: a compilation of field and
45 laboratory studies. J. Atmos. Chem. 39, 219-233.
46
47
48 Kim, J.H., Jander, G., 2007. *Myzus persicae* (green peach aphid) feeding on
49 *Arabidopsis* induces the formation of a deterrent indole glucosinolate. Plant J., 49,
50 1008-1019.
51
52
53 Loreto, F., Barta, C., Brillì, F., Nogues, I., 2006. On the induction of volatile organic
54 compound emissions by plants as consequence of wounding or fluctuations of light
55 and temperature. Plant, Cell Environ. 29, 1820–1828.
56
57
58 Lukwinski, A.T., Hill, J.E., Khachatourians, G.G., Hemmingsen, S.M., Hegedus,
59 D.D., 2006. Biochemical and taxonomic characterization of bacteria associated with
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 the crucifer root maggot (*Delia radicum*). Can. J. Microbiol. 52, 197-208 - Science
5 250, 1251 – 1253.
6

7
8 NIST, Physical measurement laboratory, Atomic weights and isotopic compositions,
9 <http://www.nist.gov/pml/data/comp.cfm>
10

11 Neveu, N., Grandgirard, J., Nenon, J.P., Cortesero, A.M., 2002. Systemic release of
12 herbivore-induced plant volatiles by turnips infested by concealed root-feeding
13 larvae *Delia radicum* L. J Chem. Ecol. 28, 1717-1732.
14

15
16 Olson, D.M., Davis, R.F., Wäckers, F.L., Rains, G.C., Potter, T., 2008. Plant–
17 herbivore–carnivore interactions in cotton, *Gossypium hirsutum*: linking
18 belowground and aboveground. J. Chem. Ecol. 34, 1341-1348.
19

20
21 Paré, P.W., Tumlinson, J.H., 1997. *De novo* biosynthesis of volatiles induced by
22 insect herbivory in cotton plants. Plant Physiol. 114, 1161-1167.
23

24
25 Peñuelas, J., Llusià, J., 2001. The complexity of factors driving volatile organic
26 compound emissions by plants. Biologia Plantarum 44, 481-487.
27

28
29 Peñuelas, J., Filella, I., Stefanescu, C., Llusià, J., 2005. Caterpillars of *Euphydryas*
30 *aurinia* (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) feeding on *Succisa pratensis* leaves induce
31 large foliar emissions of methanol. New Phytologist 167, 851-857.
32

33
34 Rask, L., Andréasson, E., Ekbom, B., Eriksson, S., Pontoppidan, B., Meijer, J.,
35 2000. Myrosinase: gene family evolution and herbivore defense in Brassicaceae.
36 Plant Mol. Biol. 42, 93–113.
37

38 [Rasmann, S., Köllner, T.G., Degenhardt, J., Hiltbold, I., Toepfer, S., Kuhlmann, U.,](#)
39 [Gershenson, J., Turlings, T.C.J., 2005. Recruitment of entomopathogenic nematodes](#)
40 [by insect-damaged maize roots. Nature, 434, 732-737.](#)
41


42
43 Rasmann, S., Turlings, T.C.J., 2008. First insights into specificity of belowground
44 tritrophic interactions. Oikos 117, 362-369.
45

46
47 Rohloff, J., Bones, A.M., 2005. Volatile profiling of *Arabidopsis thaliana* - Putative
48 olfactory compounds in plant communication. Phytochemistry 66, 1941-1955.
49

50
51 Smith, D., Španěl, P., 2004. Selected ion flow tube mass spectrometry (SIFT-MS)
52 for on-line trace gas analysis. Mass Spectrom. Rev. 24, 661–700.
53

54
55 Soler, R., Harvey, J.A., Kamp, A.F.D., Vet, L.E.M., van der Putten, W.H., van Dam,
56 N.M., Stuefer, J.F., Gols, R., Hordijk, C.A., Bezemer, T.M., 2007. Root herbivores
57 influence the behaviour of an aboveground parasitoid through changes in plant-
58 volatile signals. Oikos 116, 367-376.
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4 Soroka, J.J., Dosdall, L.M., Olfert, O.O., Seidle, E., 2004. Root maggots (*Delia* spp.,
5 Diptera: Anthomyiidae) in prairie canola (*Brassica napus* L. and *B. rapa* L.): spatial
6 and temporal surveys of root damage and prediction of damage levels. *Can. J. Plant*
7 *Sci.* 84, 1171-1182.
8
9
10 Steeghs, M.M.L., Pal Bais, H., de Gouw, J., Goldan, P., Kuster, W., Northway, M.,
11 Fall, R., Vivanco, J.M., 2004. Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometry as a
12 new tool for real time analysis of root-secreted VOCs in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.*
13 135, 47-58.
14
15
16 Stewart-Jones, A., Poppy, G.M., 2006. Comparison of glass vessels and plastic bags
17 for enclosing living parts for headspace analysis. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 32, 845-864.
18
19
20 Turlings, T.C.J., Tumlinson, J.H., Lewis, W.J., 1990. Exploitation of herbivore-
21 induced plant odors by host-seeking parasitic wasps. *Science* 250, 1251-1253.
22
23
24 van Poecke, R.M.P., Dicke, M., 2004. Indirect defense of plants against herbivores:
25 using *Arabidopsis thaliana* as a model plant. *Plant Biol.* 6, 387-401.
26
27
28 van Dam, N.M., Harvey, J.A., Wäckers, F.L., Bezemer, T.M., van der Putten, W.H.,
29 Vet, L.E.M., 2003. Interactions between aboveground and belowground induced
30 responses against phytophages. *Basic Appl. Ecol.* 4, 63-77.
31
32
33 van Dam, N.M., Raaijmakers, C.E., 2006. Local and systemic induced responses to
34 cabbage root fly larvae (*Delia radicum*) in *Brassica nigra* and *B. oleracea*.
35 *Chemoecol.* 16, 17-24.
36
37
38 van Dam, N.M., Tytgat, T.O.G., Kirkegaard, J.A., 2009. Root and shoot
39 glucosinolates: a comparison of their diversity, function and interactions in natural
40 and managed ecosystems. *Phytochem. Rev.* 8, 171-186.
41
42
43 van Dam, N.M., Qiu, B.L., Hordijk, C.A., Vet, L.E.M., Jansen, J.J., 2010.
44 Identification of biologically relevant compounds in aboveground and belowground
45 induced volatile blends. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 36, 1006-1016.
46
47
48 Vet, L.E.M., Dicke, M., 1992. Ecology of infochemical use by natural enemies in a
49 tritrophic context. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 37, 141-172.
50
51
52 Wittstock, U., Kliebenstein, D.J., Lambrix, V., Reichelt, M., Gershenson, J., 2003.
53 Glucosinolate hydrolysis and its impact on generalist and specialist herbivores, in:
54 Romeo, J.T. (Ed.), *Integrative Phytochemistry: From ethnobotany to molecular*
55 *ecology*. Pergamon, Amsterdam, Vol. 37.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65




GLUCOSINOLATES


GLUCOSINOLATES
BREAKDOWN PRODUCTS



NITRILES




ISOTHIOCYANATE




THIOCYANATE


Figure(s)




Figure(s)
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure(s)
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure(s)




Figure(s)




Figure(s)



Figure(s)



Figure(s)
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



Table(s)

[Click here to download Table\(s\): Table 1.doc](#)

Species	Protonated mass (m/z)	Reference
Methanol	33	Day-night rhythm, induction Davison et al., 2009 Loreto et al., 2006 Peñuelas et al., 2005
Acetaldehyde	45, 46 (^{13}C isotope)	Day-night, induction Davison et al., 2009 Loreto et al., 2006
Ethanol	47	Day-night, induction?
Methanethiol	49, 51 (^{34}S isotope)	Bending and Lincoln, 1999
Acetone	59	Day-night Davison et al., 2009 Janson and de Serves, 2001
Acetic acid	61	Day-night, induction Kesselmeier, 2001 Steeghs et al., 2004
Dimethyl sulfide (DMS)	63, 65 (^{34}S isotope)	Bending and Lincoln, 1999
Isoprene	69, 41 ^b	Day-night; Davison et al., 2009
1,8-Cineole	81 ^a	Induction; Steeghs et al., 2004
Dimethyl disulfide (DMDS)	95, 97 (^{34}S isotope)	Induction; Soler et al., 2007
Indole	118	Induction; Paré and Tumlinson, 1997
Dimethyl trisulfide (DMTS)	127	Induction; Soler et al., 2007
C6 wound compounds		
(Z)-3-hexenol,	83, 55 ^a	Induction Fall et al., 1999 Loreto et al., 2006 Peñuelas et al., 2005 Tollsten and Bergstrum, 1988 Wallbank, 1976
(E)-2-hexenol,	83, 55 ^a	
(E)-2-hexenylacetate	83, 55 ^a	
(Z)-3-hexenyl acetate	83, 55 ^a	
(E)-2-hexenal	99, 81, 57 ^b	
(Z)-3-hexanal	99, 81 ^b	
(E)-3-hexenol	83, 59 ^a	
(E)-2-hexenol	83, 55 ^a	
n-Hexanal	83, 55 ^a	
n-Hexanol	85, 57, 43, 41 ^a	
Hexyl acetate	61, 43 ^a	
C5 wound compounds		
Isoprene	69, 41 ^b	Induction Fall et al., 2001 Loreto et al., 2006
3-Methylbutanal	87, 69 ^b	
2-Methylbutanal	87, 69 ^b	
3-Methyl-2-buten-1-ol	69 ^a	
2-Methyl-3-buten-2-ol	87, 69, 41 ^b	
3-Methyl-3-buten-1-ol	69 ^a	
2(Z)-penten-1-ol	69 ^a	
2(E)-penten-1-ol	69 ^a	
1-Penten-3-ol	69 ^a	
1-Penten-3-one	85	
Monoterpenes	137, 93, 81 ^b	Day-night, induction Paré and Tumlinson, 1997 Peñuelas et al., 2005 Soler et al., 2007. Tollsten and Bergstrum, 1988
Glucosinolate breakdown products		
Thiocyanic acid	60, 62 (^{34}S isotope)	Induction Soler et al., 2007 Tollsten and Bergstrum 1988
Butanenitrile	70	
Methylisothiocyanate,	74	
Methylthiocyanate	74	
Ethylisothiocyanate,	88, 60 ^b	
Ethylthiocyanate	88, 60 ^b	
Allyl isothiocyanate	100, 60 ^b	