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ABSTRACT All positive-strand RNA viruses induce membrane structures in their host cells which are thought to serve as suitable microenvironments for viral RNA synthesis. The structures induced by enteroviruses, which are members of the family Picornaviridae, have so far been described as either single- or double-membrane vesicles (DMVs). Aside from the number of delimiting membranes, their exact architecture has also remained elusive due to the limitations of conventional electron microscopy. In this study, we used electron tomography (ET) to solve the three-dimensional (3-D) ultrastructure of these compartments. At different time points postinfection, coxsackievirus B3-infected cells were high-pressure frozen and freeze-substituted for ET analysis. The tomograms showed that during the exponential phase of viral RNA synthesis, closed smooth single-membrane tubules constituted the predominant virus-induced membrane structure, with a minor proportion of DMVs that were either closed or connected to the cytosol in a vase-like configuration. As infection progressed, the DMV number steadily increased, while the tubular single-membrane structures gradually disappeared. Late in infection, complex multilamellar structures, previously unreported, became apparent in the cytoplasm. Serial tomography disclosed that their basic unit is a DMV, which is enwrapped by one or multiple cisternae. ET also revealed striking intermediate structures that strongly support the conversion of single-membrane tubules into double-membrane and multilamellar structures by a process of membrane apposition, enveloping, and fusion. Collectively, our work unravels the sequential appearance of distinct enterovirus-induced replication structures, elucidates their detailed 3-D architecture, and provides the basis for a model for their transformation during the course of infection.

IMPORTANCE Positive-strand RNA viruses hijack specific intracellular membranes and remodel them into special structures that support viral RNA synthesis. The ultrastructural characterization of these “replication structures” is key to understanding their precise role. Here, we resolved the three-dimensional architecture of enterovirus-induced membranous compartments and their transformation in time by applying electron tomography to cells infected with coxsackievirus B3 (CVB3). Our results show that closed single-membrane tubules are the predominant initial virus-induced structure, whereas double-membrane vesicles (DMVs) become increasingly abundant at the expense of these tubules as infection progresses. Additionally, more complex multilamellar structures appear late in infection. Based on compelling intermediate structures in our tomograms, we propose a model for transformation from the tubules to DMVs and multilamellar structures via enveloping events. Our work provides an in-depth analysis of the development of an unsuspected variety of distinct replication structures during the course of CVB3 infection.
Enteroviruses (family Picornaviridae) represent a group of nonenveloped, positive-strand RNA viruses that includes important human pathogens, such as poliovirus, coxsackieviruses, and rhinoviruses. Enterovirus-induced membrane structures were detected in poliovirus-infected cells by transmission electron microscopy (EM) as early as 1958 (4), and viral RNA replication was later shown to be associated with these membrane structures (5–7). EM showed these “replication structures” to be single-membrane vesicles (4, 6–8) or double-membrane vesicles (DMVs) (9–13) of heterogeneous size clustering in the perinuclear region and eventually occupying most of the cytoplasm.

Several findings suggest that enteroviruses transform membranes of the secretory pathway into their replication structures. Such a diversion of membranes could explain the strong inhibition of the anterograde transport from endoplasmic reticulum (ER) to Golgi complex caused by enterovirus infection (14, 15). Additionally, enterovirus replication is extremely sensitive to treatment with brefeldin A, a well-known inhibitor of the secretory pathway (16, 17). Consistent with this membrane origin, ER and Golgi markers have been detected in enterovirus replication structures (10, 18, 19).

On the other hand, in some EM studies, enterovirus-induced membrane modifications appeared as double-membrane compartments that were reminiscent of autophagosomes, although considerably smaller, which led to the alternative hypothesis that the autophagy pathway could be involved in the formation of the viral replication structures (10, 20). Accordingly, the autophagosomal marker protein LC3 was detected in enterovirus replication structures, and suppression of autophagy inhibited viral replication, albeit to a modest extent (11, 12, 21).

Three of the seven nonstructural proteins (2B, 2C, and 3A) contain hydrophobic domains and, in view of these intrinsic membrane-targeting properties, are presumed to be involved in the formation of the replication structures. Although expression of the precursor protein 2BC results in the accumulation of membranous structures (22, 23), only coexpression of 2BC and 3A results in double-membrane structures with biochemical properties similar to those of the structures induced by virus infection (20).

Despite the progress described above, some key questions regarding the structure and morphogenesis of enterovirus replication compartments have remained unanswered. The fact that, depending on the particular EM study, they were visualized as either single- or double-membrane structures is particularly intriguing. Furthermore, the technical approach has thus far been limited to conventional two-dimensional (2-D) EM. Electron tomography (ET) (24) allows a detailed three-dimensional (3-D) analysis of viral replication structures, as illustrated by recent studies for other positive-strand RNA viruses (25–30). These works revealed new structural details (e.g., connections and openings) that have important mechanistic implications for the overall organization of the replicative cycle. In this study, we set out to investigate the membrane structures induced by the enterovirus coxsackievirus B3 (CVB3) at different stages of infection, using a combination of advanced cryofixation methods and serial ET. Our results document a variety of CVB3-induced structures that appear at different time points after infection, reveal their detailed 3-D architecture, and provide the basis for a model in which early single-membrane structures are transformed into late DMVs and multilamellar structures.

**RESULTS**

**Characterization of the time course of CVB3 infection in Vero E6 cells.** To establish the most suitable time points for EM analysis, we first investigated the general time course of CVB3 infection in Vero E6 cells by immunofluorescence microscopy. We visualized the production of the membrane-associated nonstructural protein 3A, the viral RNA polymerase 3D, and double-stranded RNA (dsRNA), a generally accepted marker for viral RNA replication. Both dsRNA and nonstructural proteins were first detectable at 4 h postinfection (p.i.) (Fig. 1A). By 5 h p.i., not only had the percentage of visibly positive cells increased significantly, but also nonstructural protein expression levels were clearly elevated relative to 4 h p.i. (Fig. 1A and B). Western blot (WB) analysis on cell lysates confirmed this substantial increase in the amounts of 3A and 3D from 4 to 5 h p.i. (Fig. 1C). During the next two hours, the number of positive cells continued to increase slightly (Fig. 1A). Notably, approximately half of the positive cells showed severe cytopathic effects by 7 h p.i., while at 8 h p.i. the vast majority of cells had gone into demise (data not shown).

In parallel, we measured intracellular viral RNA accumulation and the number of intracellular infectious virus particles by quantitative PCR and endpoint titration analysis, respectively. Figure 1D shows that, in agreement with the immunofluorescence assay (IFA) results, the amount of intracellular viral RNA started to rise between 3 and 4 h p.i., when the amount of viral proteins is still low. The exponential stage of viral RNA replication was between 4 and 5 h p.i., concomitant with the increased expression of viral proteins detected by immunofluorescence and WB. The corresponding increase in the production of progeny virus particles lagged about 1 h behind compared to RNA replication (Fig. 1D). Collectively, these results indicated that the 4- to 7-h-p.i. window would be the best time frame in which to study CVB3 replication structures by EM.

**CVB3 induces morphologically different membrane structures as infection progresses.** To provide optimal ultrastructural preservation and avoid possible artifacts of classical chemical fixation, we opted for the alternative EM sample preparation method of cryoimmobilization by high-pressure freezing. CVB3-infected Vero E6 cells were high-pressure frozen at 1-h intervals within the time frame defined above (4 to 7 h p.i.) and subsequently freeze-substituted using a protocol adapted to improve the visualization of CVB3-induced membrane structures (see Materials and Methods).

Clear morphological differences between CVB3- and mock-infected cells were not detected by EM until 5 h p.i., although viral RNA synthesis was initiated before this time point (Fig. 1). This discrepancy suggests that at 4 h p.i., viral replication structures are still small and/or rare and, consequently, difficult to capture and unambiguously identify in the thin sections used for EM. Furthermore, as shown by IFA (Fig. 1A) and WB (Fig. 1C), the 4- to 5-h-p.i. time frame is characterized by a substantial increase in the amount of viral proteins, suggesting that their levels need to exceed a certain threshold to induce abundant ultrastructural changes.

The first observed CVB3-induced membrane alterations were clusters of single-membrane structures that often occupied large areas of the perinuclear cytoplasm (Fig. 2A and A’). These clusters contained closely packed, elongated, single membranes that enclosed electron translucent compartments (Fig. 2A’). Although
with 2-D images it is formally impossible to ascertain if these elongated profiles correspond to tubules or cisternae, circular single-membrane profiles were also detected in these areas (Fig. 2A, inset). This suggested that both profiles derived from tubular structures, visualized either longitudinally or in cross section. In addition, we always detected a small number of DMVs with a more electron-dense interior (Fig. 2A, white arrows). Other ultrastructural features were comparable in CVB3- and mock-infected cells (Fig. 2D and D'), except for the Golgi cisternae, which were never observed in infected cells beyond 5 h p.i.

One hour later (6 h p.i.), the number of DMVs had increased dramatically (Fig. 2B and B', inset). In this intermediate stage, single-membrane structures were still present (black arrowheads), although they were significantly less abundant and seemed to have dispersed. Besides DMVs, compartments bound by more than two membranes were discernible (white arrowhead). By 7 h p.i. these multilamellar structures became more common (Fig. 2C and C', inset) and, together with the DMVs, occupied large areas of the cytoplasm, whereas the single-membrane tubules observed in earlier phases had disappeared. Also, clear cytopathic effects were observed in CVB3-infected cells at this late stage (Fig. 2C).

ET defines the early single-membrane structures as tubules and reveals two DMV configurations. In order to gain insight into the 3-D ultrastructure of CVB3 replication structures, we next performed ET on semithick (150 to 200 nm) serial sections of cells at different stages of infection. For this analysis, the contrast of the membranes of CVB3-induced structures was further enhanced by addition of tannic acid to the freeze-substitution medium (see Materials and Methods).

Electron tomograms of the early modifications (5 h p.i.) unambiguously showed the tubular nature of the single-membrane compartments (Fig. 3A and B; also, see Movie S1 in the supplemental material). In this stage, most tubules are tightly packed in domains where they adopt a similar orientation (Fig. 3B). Parts of ER (Fig. 3B, blue) were often found in proximity to CVB3-modified membranes, but connections between the two were never detected in any of our tomograms, and in agreement with previous observations (5, 7), ribosomes were not detected on any of the virus-induced structures. The length of individual tubules, which occasionally branched in two, was highly variable, ranging from around 300 nm to more than 1 μm (average, 654 ± 292 nm, n = 22), whereas their width was quite consistent (maximum

FIG 1 Time course analysis of CVB3 infection in Vero E6 cells. (A and B) Fluorescent images of CVB3-infected cells stained for dsRNA (green) and for viral proteins 3A and 3D (red). Nuclei are stained with Hoechst (blue). The asterisks in panel A indicate cells with a clear cytopathic effect that are rounding up. Enlarged images of infected cells at 5 h p.i. are shown in B. (C) Lysates of CVB3-infected cells were prepared in parallel and analyzed for expression of viral proteins on Western blot using antibodies directed against viral proteins 3A and 3D and β-actin, which served as a loading control. (D) In the same experiment, the amount of intracellular viral RNA was determined by quantitative PCR, and the total number of infectious particles was measured by endpoint titration analysis. For clarity, the results are expressed as fold induction relative to the quantities at 1 h p.i.
diameter, 81 nm ± 7 nm). We sometimes observed short, lateral membrane continuities that connected two tubules (Fig. 3C). This raised the question of whether the observed clusters could actually form an interconnected network, as described for some other groups of positive-strand RNA viruses (26, 27). However, a thorough analysis of the tomograms revealed that the majority of the tubules (around 75%) constituted individual, unconnected entities, and no more than two tubules ever appeared to be interconnected. It should be noted, though, that this analysis is limited by the anisotropic resolution that is typical of ET, since connections perpendicular to the third dimension cannot be resolved (24). Nevertheless, at most this effect would account for only a minor fraction of undetected connections. Therefore, our observations make the existence of an interconnected tubular network highly unlikely and instead are consistent with a superstructure of individual tubules that cluster together through homotypic interactions (Fig. 3D).

We next examined the architecture of the DMVs that, at this stage, are still only sparsely distributed within the tubular clusters. The shape of these DMVs was roughly spherical, with an average
The diameter of 159 nm (± 47 nm; n = 56). The tomograms also revealed that the DMVs were separate compartments, not connected to neighboring structures. Furthermore, DMVs were mostly closed (Fig. 3B, orange), yet about one-fifth of them had a vase-like configuration with an opening to the cytoplasm (Fig. 3B and E, yellow), which in 2-D projection images would produce the typical horseshoe profile described for poliovirus (10).

The late multilamellar modifications are enwrapped DMVs. We next investigated the 3-D ultrastructure of the CVB3-induced vesicles that are typical of the final stage of infection (7 h p.i.) (Fig. 4). We were particularly intrigued by the multilamellar vesicles due to their complexity in 2-D images. Although their size often exceeded the thickness of the sections, our serial tomography approach allowed us to examine complete structures. With this method, tomograms of the region of interest are obtained in successive serial sections and joined in a single, larger reconstruction (24). The vesicles were then assigned to a particular class depending on their architecture (Fig. 4A and B; also, see Movie S2 in the supplemental material). The 3-D analysis demonstrated that the elaborate multilamellar structures (Fig. 4B, red), despite their various shapes and degrees of complexity, in all instances contained only one closed inner vesicle delimited by a double membrane. These inner DMVs were enwrapped by one or several layers of curved cisternae, which often engulfed most of the DMV but remained open to the cytoplasm (Fig. 4D and E). The spherical shape of these DMVs appeared to be distorted by the enwrapping process.

Together with these complex structures, a large number of unwrapped, predominantly closed DMVs were found in the tomograms (Fig. 4B and C, orange; average diameter, 164 ± 40 nm, n = 54). ER membranes (Fig. 4B, blue) were found near DMV clusters but, as at earlier time points, appeared to be physically disconnected from CVB3-induced membrane structures.

ET reveals intermediate structures supporting the transformation of single-membrane tubules into DMVs. The fact that the disappearance of the single-membrane tubules during CVB3 infection coincided with the increase in the number of DMVs suggested that the tubules could be DMV precursors. This possibility was consistent with our measurements: in terms of membrane surface, an average-sized DMV with a diameter of 160 nm would be equivalent to a tubule 632 nm in length (81 nm diameter), which is well within the observed tubular size range. Moreover, our 3-D data, particularly from cells in intermediate stages of infection, disclosed several profiles further supporting this hypothesis.

The transformation of a single-membrane tubule (Fig. 5A) into a closed DMV requires several steps: (i) membrane pairing, (ii) induction of curvature, and (iii) membrane fusion (Fig. 5; also, see Movie S3 in the supplemental material). Whereas pairing...
of the tubular walls by interaction of the inner surfaces would help to flatten a tubule, membrane curvature needs to be induced to produce the round DMV shape. These predicted intermediate structures, which would be better described as cisternae, were indeed present in our tomograms (Fig. 5B to D). The combined effect of curvature and pairing would eventually result in a rounded-up cisterna enwrapping cytoplasmic space. In this stage, the curved cisternae would be indistinguishable from an open DMV in a vase-like configuration (Fig. 5E). Fusion of the membrane ends would then account for the transition into a closed DMV (3, 31). The observations of open DMVs in 2-D EM images previously suggested an enwrapping mechanism, analogous to autophagosome formation, and a possible role of the autophagocytic pathway in enterovirus replication (10, 20). However, this was difficult to reconcile with studies showing single-membrane structures, budding from the ER (7).

We have now accomplished an in-depth 2-D and 3-D characterization of the CVB3–induced replication structures. Our results establish that both single- and double-membrane compartments are characteristic CVB3–induced membrane alterations whose relative abundance is correlated with the infection stage. This newly discovered time dependency provides an explanation for the discrepancies between previous reports on enterovirus replication structures. Single-membrane tubular clusters occur predominantly early in infection (Fig. 2A, 2A', and 3), whereas the number of DMVs increases as infection progresses (Fig. 2B, 2B', and 4). Importantly, similar observations have been made recently in poliovirus-infected HeLa cells in an independent study (G. A. Belov, V. Nair, B. T. Hansen, F. H. Hoyt, E. R. Fischer, and E. Ehrenfeld, submitted for publication), which suggests that this phenomenon is general for enteroviruses and independent of the specific cell line. In addition to these compartments, we detected a third type of modification, the multilamellar structures (Fig. 2C and C'), which are typical of the late phase of infection. The basic structural unit of these complex structures is a DMV tightly enwrapped by one or several apposed cisternae.

Our observations also reconcile what previously appeared to be mutually exclusive mechanisms for the formation of enterovirus replication structures. A budding event could account for the formation of the initial single-membrane modifications, and a subsequent enwrapping “autophagy-like” mechanism could then lead to DMVs. Nevertheless, such an initial budding event was not detected in our tomograms, which seems to indicate that it may be a fast process that is completed in the early phases. Proteins of the entire secretory pathway as well as autophagy markers have been detected in enterovirus replication structures (10, 11, 18, 19, 32),

DISCUSSION

Enterovirus-induced replication structures have been studied for decades, and yet fundamental questions about their ultrastructure and morphogenesis have remained unanswered. One of these is whether these structures exist as single- or double-membrane compartments, an issue that has important mechanistic implications. Whereas a single-membrane vesicle can simply form from its membrane donor organelle through a budding mechanism, a more complex process is required for the genesis of a DMV (3, 31). The observations of open DMVs in 2-D EM images previously suggested an enwrapping mechanism, analogous to autophagosome formation, and a possible role of the autophagocytic pathway in enterovirus replication (10, 20). However, this was difficult to reconcile with studies showing single-membrane structures, with viral proteins and newly synthesized RNA on their surfaces, budding from the ER (7).
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than protrusions, the latter are invaginations into mitochondrial,
endo/lysosomal, and ER membranes, respectively, with a neck-
like connection to the cytosol (25, 27–29). From this point of view,
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those of nodaviruses, which have also been reported to generate
DMVs in infected cells (31, 37, 38). However, in light of our re-
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are apparent, since CVB3-induced DMVs are isolated compart-
ments, whereas our previous 3-D studies on nidoviruses estab-
lished that, in severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) corona-
virus and equine arterivirus, the DMVs are actually
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uous with the ER (26, 39).
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cytosol, and yet they contain the bulk of the dsRNA material (26),
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looks conceptually simpler, since the replication complex has
been reported to be situated on the cytosolic face of the membra-
nous structures (7, 40), consistent with the membrane topography
of the nonstructural proteins thought to assemble into the repli-
cation complex (41–43). We show here that the exponential phase
of viral RNA synthesis occurs relatively early, coinciding with the
predominance of single-membrane tubules, strongly suggesting
that these are the essential structures supporting viral RNA syn-
thesis. Accordingly, pulse-labeling and autoradiography experi-
ments performed early in infection pinpointed the active replica-
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thesis. Accordingly, pulse-labeling and autoradiography experi-
ments performed early in infection pinpointed the active replica-
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FIG 5 Tomographic xy slices through different structures possibly involved in the transition from a tubule to a closed DMV (see also Movie S3 in the supplemental material). (A) A longitudinally oriented tubule reaches its max-
imum width in the middle panel and fades in the first and last slices. (B) The
towers of the tubule have become closer, flattening the compartment and ex-
tending it in the Z direction, thereby forming a cisterna. Closer membrane
pairing can be observed in long stretches of the cisternae shown in panels C and
D. (E) Slices through a rounded-up cisterna, essentially an open DMV in a
vase-like configuration with an opening to the cytosol (black arrowhead). Fu-
sion of the ends of an open DMV could then give rise to a closed DMV (F). All
the panels are 5-nm-thick slices separated by an interval of 15 nm in their
respective tomograms; thereby, the encompassed Z height is identical for all
rows. Scale bars, 100 nm.
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5% CO2. The rabbit antiserum directed against the nonstructural protein remodeled structures in positive-strand RNA virus replication. will help to enlighten the fundamental similarities and differences in another, possibly in tight coordination with the progression of the enterovirus-induced structures, which seem to evolve from one postulated to mediate nonlytic release of progeny virus particles (44). Although this process would account for only a minor fraction of the total progeny viruses released after cell lysis, it might promote viral spread within the infected host.

In conclusion, our work unravels an unsuspected diversity of enterovirus-induced structures, which seem to evolve from one another, possibly in tight coordination with the progression of the viral replicative cycle, through a limited number of membrane-remodeling mechanisms. Future analysis should reveal the mechanisms underlying their development and their specific functions and will help to enlighten the fundamental similarities and differences in positive-strand RNA virus replication.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells, antibodies, and virus. Vero E6 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and 90 IU/ml of penicillin and streptomycin, and grown at 37°C in 5% CO2. The rabbit antiserum directed against the nonstructural protein 3A was described previously (42). Rabbit antiserum against CVB3 3C and 3D were generously provided by C. E. Cameron (Pennsylvania State University). Mouse monoclonal antibodies against dsRNA (12) and against β-actin were purchased from English & Scientific Consulting and Sigma Aldrich, respectively. The secondary antibodies Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated goat-anti-rabbit IgG and Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat-anti-mouse IgG were purchased from Molecular Probes. Coxsackievirus B3 (CVB3) was obtained by transfecting in vitro-transcribed RNA derived from the p53CB3/T7 plasmid, which contains the cDNA of CVB3 strain Nancy driven by a T7 RNA polymerase promoter (15). Virus titers were determined by endpoint titration analysis and expressed as 50% cell culture infectious doses (CCID50). All virus infections were carried out using a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 30 to 50.

Immunofluorescence assay. Vero E6 cells grown on coverslips were infected with CVB3 for 30 minutes, after which the inoculum was removed and fresh medium was added. At various time points postinfection, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with phosphate-buffered saline containing 0.1% Triton X-100. Cells were then stained with primary and secondary antibodies and analyzed with a wide-field Leica BMR microscope.

Western blot analysis. CVB3-infected Vero E6 cells were collected in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.4], 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.05% sodium dodecyl sulfate) and heated for 5 min at 95°C after addition of Laemmli sample buffer. Samples were run on a 12.5% polyacrylamide gel and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad). Viral proteins 3A and 3D, as well as β-actin, which served as a loading control, were detected with primary and secondary IRDye anti-mouse or anti-rabbit antibodies (Li-Cor Biosciences). Imaging was done with the Odyssey system.

Quantitative PCR. RNA was isolated from infected cells using a GenElute mammalian total miniprep RNA kit (Sigma). cDNA was synthesized using a TaqMan reverse transcription reagents kit (Roche), which contains random hexamers as primers. Then, a quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed with the forward primer 5’ CGTGGGGCTACAA TCAAGTT 3’, the reverse primer 5’ TACACAGGACCTTGCCATC 3’, and the LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I master kit (Roche) for 45 cycles (5 s at 95°C, 10 s at 60°C, and 20 s at 72°C) on a LightCycler 480 (Roche).

EM sample preparation. Vero E6 cells were grown on sapphire discs and infected with CVB3 for 1 h. The cells were high-pressure frozen at different time points p.i. using a Leica EM PACT2. EM sample preparation was always accompanied by an immunofluorescence assay as a control to assess the phase of infection. Freeze-substitution was performed in an automated freeze-substitution system (Leica AFS2). Multiple freeze-substitution media were tested to improve the visualization of the membranes of the virus-induced structures, which, in agreement with previous reports (7, 10), appeared to be more difficult to contrast than other cellular membranes. In this respect, the inclusion of water and glutaraldehyde was found to be beneficial. The selected freeze-substitution medium was acetone containing 10% H2O, 2% osmium tetroxide, and 1% anhydrous glutaraldehyde. Samples were first maintained for 44 h at −90°C and then warmed to −20°C within 7 h, kept at −20°C for 12 h, warmed to 0°C within 2 h, and left at 0°C for 1 h. After washing with acetone at 0°C, samples were gradually infiltrated with epoxy resin LX-112 (Ladd Research) and polymerized at 60°C. Thin sections of 100 nm were counterstained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate.

For the 3-D analysis, sample preparation was identical, except that 0.1% low-molecular-weight tannic acid (Electron Microscopy Sciences) was added to the freeze-substitution medium to provide additional contrast to the membranes. Samples were cut into 150- to 200-nm-thick serial sections that were placed on parallel bar copper grids (R100; Electron Microscopy Sciences) coated with Formvar and carbon. Colloidal 10-nm gold particles were layered on both sides of the sections to serve as markers for alignment.

Electron microscopy. All the EM data (2-D and 3-D) were acquired in a FEI Tecnai12 BioTWIN electron microscope operating at 120 kV and equipped with an Eagle 4k cooled slow-scan charge-couple device (CCD) camera (FEI Company), using binning mode 2.

Electron tomography. For each time point, multiple tilt series were collected for different cells using a dual-axis tomography holder (Fischione) and SerialEM acquisition software (45). The images, covering 130° around the specimen in 1° increments along two orthogonal axes, were recorded with a pixel size of 1.2 nm at the specimen level. For selected
areas, dual-axis tilt series were acquired in three consecutive serial sections. The alignment, computation of electron tomograms, and joining of serial tomograms were performed using IMOD software (46). For visualization and presentation purposes, the tomograms were mildly denoised using a nonlinear anisotropic diffusion algorithm (47). The 3-D surface renderings were created with AMIRA (TGS Europe), drawing masks to separate the individual structures, which were then automatically thresholded.
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