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Limits on Neutrino Emission from Gamma-Ray Bursts with the 40 String IceCube Detector

Gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) have long been proposed [1] as one of the most plausible sources of the highest energy cosmic rays, as the observed flux can be entirely explained if the primary engine of the bursts accelerates protons and electrons with comparable efficiencies. The electrons would produce the observed gamma-ray emission by synchrotron emission and, possibly, inverse Compton scattering, while the protons escape to form the high-energy cosmic rays observed at Earth. Waxman and Bahcall observed [2] that, in this case, a potentially detectable flux of high-energy neutrinos is produced by $p\gamma$ interactions when protons and photons coexist in the primary fireball. The detailed flux predictions are dependent on the fireball parameters; here we use the model by Guetta et al. [3] to compute these parameters from observations by gamma-ray telescopes. Past searches with IceCube and other neutrino telescopes have met with negative results [4–6] but have never before had sensitivities at the level of the expected flux. We search in this work for neutrinos in coincidence with 117 GRBs with half of the IceCube detector complete and for the first time reach a sensitivity that would yield a positive result given expected fireball parameters, with a 4σ expected excess.

IceCube is a TeV-scale neutrino telescope currently under construction at the South Pole which detects neutrinos by measuring the Cherenkov light from secondary charged particles produced in neutrino-nucleon interactions. A total of 5160 digital optical modules [7] containing 10-inch photomultipliers and arranged in 86 strings frozen in the ice will make up the full detector; the results presented here were obtained by using the first 40 of these strings. Although capable of detecting multiple flavors of neutrinos from the entire sky, for point sources the detector is sensitive primarily to up-going muons produced in muon neutrino charged-current interactions. Searches in the muon channel benefit from good angular resolution ($\sim 0.7^\circ$ for $E_\mu \gtrsim 10$ TeV) and from the long range of high-energy muons (several kilometers at TeV energies), which substantially increases the effective volume of the detector. By using up-going tracks, Earth is used to shield against the much larger flux of down-going muons from cosmic-ray interactions in the atmosphere. Backgrounds from cosmic-ray-produced muons and atmospheric neutrinos can be further reduced by using the muon energy, as neutrinos from GRBs are expected to have higher energies than from either atmospheric source.
The origin of observed events in IceCube is determined by fitting a track to the hit pattern of the detected Cherenkov light by using a maximum likelihood method [8]. The location of the maximum is used as the source of the associated neutrino (collinear with the muon), and the statistical uncertainty in the fit provides an estimate of the uncertainty on the reconstructed direction [9].

Because of the stochastic nature of muon energy-loss processes and the rarity of events fully contained within the detector, it is not possible to measure the energy of either the muon or the primary neutrino directly. It is, however, possible to measure the mean energy-loss rate of muons in the detector, which is correlated at high energies with the muon energy and with the original neutrino energy [10]. The uncertainty of the muon energy using this method is on the order of 0.3–0.4 in log10E.

IceCube operated in a 40 string configuration from April 5, 2008 until May 20, 2009. During that time 129 GRBs were reported in the northern hemisphere via the GRB Coordinates Network (GCN) [11]. We assembled a catalog using data from GCN notices and circulars, where the position of the burst was taken from the notice with the lowest reported positional error. For bursts which were localized only by the Fermi Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM), the position was instead taken from the GBM Burst Catalog [12]. The start and stop times of the prompt gamma-ray phase, T_{start} and T_{stop}, respectively, were determined by taking the earliest and latest times any satellite reported detecting gamma rays. The fluence and spectral information were taken preferentially from Fermi GBM, Konus-Wind, Suzaku Wide-band All-sky Monitor, and then Swift.

Fermi GRBs for which no fluence was reported because the burst was too weak were removed. GRB080521, GRB081113, and GRB090515 occurred during detector downtime and were removed from the catalog. GRB090422 and GRB090423 occurred during a preliminary run with 59 strings in operation and will be analyzed later. The final catalog contained 117 bursts.

Neutrino spectra were calculated [3,4] by using data from the gamma-ray spectra of individual bursts or average parameters if no spectral measurements were available. Definitions of parameters and equations used to calculate neutrino fluence are identical to Appendix A of Ref. [4]. Spectra were calculated as power laws with two breaks: a low-energy break associated with the break in the photon spectrum and a high-energy break from synchrotron losses of muons and pions (Fig. 1).

From the length of gamma emission and energy spectrum, bursts are classified by GCN into two groups (long-soft and short-hard), which may have different underlying sources. If a burst was not explicitly identified as one class in a GCN notice, we used average values for a short-hard burst if 90% of the gamma emission was in less than 2 s [4] and a long-soft burst otherwise. Parameters for average long-soft bursts are from Ref. [4]. For short-hard bursts, we used L_{iso}^{90} = 10^{51} erg/s, τ_{var} = 0.001 s, and for redshift (z) the average of all Swift short burst measurements.

Two independent searches were conducted: one searching for neutrinos with the specific energy spectrum predicted by Guetta et al. [3] during the period of maximum gamma emission and the other searching generically for high-energy neutrinos within up to 24 h of the observed bursts.

The first of the two analyses, the model-dependent analysis, was designed specifically to find neutrinos produced in pγ interactions during the prompt phase of the GRB. Events observed in the detector were reduced by a series of cuts designed to select neutrino-like events, resulting in a data sample of primarily atmospheric neutrinos, an irreducible background for this analysis. We then conducted an unbinned maximum likelihood search [4] in which each event passing these cuts was assigned likelihoods of being a signal event (from a GRB) and of being a background event. Both the signal and background likelihoods for each event i were the product of three independent probability density functions (PDFs) based on direction, arrival time, and muon energy.

The spatial signal PDF was a two-dimensional Gaussian:

$$P^s(\hat{x}_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi(\sigma_{GRB}^2 + \sigma_i^2)} \exp\left(-\frac{\|\hat{x}_{GRB} - \hat{x}_i\|^2}{2(\sigma_{GRB}^2 + \sigma_i^2)}\right)$$

where $\|\hat{x}_{GRB} - \hat{x}_i\|$ is the angle between the reconstructed neutrino direction and the best location of the gamma-ray burst provided by GCN and $\sigma_{GRB}$ and $\sigma_i$ are the localization uncertainty of the GRB and the muon reconstruction, respectively. The spatial background PDF was computed by using a smoothed histogram of all off-source data in detector coordinates, accounting for zenith and azimuth asymmetry in the detector.

![FIG. 1. The neutrino spectra, including oscillations, of the five brightest GRBs are shown along with eight randomly selected bursts (thin lines). A single burst with Waxman 2003 parameters [16], assuming a cosmic-ray energy density of $10^{44}$ erg Mpc$^{-3}$ yr$^{-1}$, is shown by a thin dashed line. The sum of all 117 individual bursts is shown as a thick solid line along with the Waxman 2003 [16] prediction in a thick dashed line.](image-url)
The temporal signal PDFs were constant during the prompt phase of the gamma-ray burst (between \( T_{\text{start}} \) and \( T_{\text{stop}} \)), with Gaussian tails of width \( T_{\text{stop}} - T_{\text{start}} \) (constrained to at minimum 2 s and at maximum 30). The background PDFs were constant in time.

The signal energy PDF was computed from the reconstructed muon energy loss \((dE/dx)\) for neutrinos simulated with the average of the individual burst spectra (Fig. 1), while the background energy PDF was computed from the \(dE/dx\) distribution of off-source data.

From these likelihoods, we then computed the maximally likely number of signal events. The resulting likelihood ratio (the test statistic) was then compared to the distribution from scrambled background data sets to compute the significance of a result.

As well as looking for neutrinos with properties modeled from measured burst parameters, we conducted an additional search (the model-independent analysis) by using wider time search windows and looser event selection criteria, allowing observation of events with late or early arrival times or with unexpected energies due to unanticipated emission mechanisms.

Starting at the interval from \(-10\) to \(+10\) s from the GRB trigger time, we expanded a search time window in 1 s increments in both directions out to \( \pm 1 \) day, looking for a significant excess of neutrinos at each iteration. High correlation between adjacent time windows reduces the trials correction to the significance of any excess to only a few hundred.

Event selection for the model-independent search was based entirely on rejecting misreconstructed down-going atmospheric cosmic-ray muons, which are the dominant background to this analysis, constituting more than 99.9\% of the final 1.61 \times 10^8 event sample. To avoid assuming a signal neutrino spectrum, no attempt was made to reject the small low-energy background from atmospheric neutrinos.

To ensure that no events were missed due to incorrect assumptions, this analysis was designed to maximize the number of signal neutrinos in the final analysis instead of the significance of an excess. Instead of being selected by hard cuts, events were weighted by their probabilities of being signal neutrinos [13]. Each probability was the product of the event’s point-spread probability density function [Eq. (1)] and the probability that the event was a neutrino, determined by dividing smoothed histograms of detector data and neutrino simulation in several variables related to reconstruction accuracy. These were then summed in each time window to form the expectation of the on-source signal neutrino density, which was then compared to the expected background value obtained by scrambling the observed data in time.

Although the use of scrambled data for the background reduces many possible uncertainties, the use of simulation for the signal introduces some systematic errors. The dominant sources of uncertainties in the final limits from both analyses are photon propagation in the ice, the quantum efficiency of the photomultiplier tubes, and theoretical uncertainties in both the neutrino-nucleon cross section and cross sections for muon energy-loss processes at high energies. Depending on the analysis and time interval, the cumulative effect of these uncertainties amounts to 2\%–13\% and has been included in the final limits by using a Bayesian marginalization procedure [14].

No events were observed in the model-dependent search with a signal to background likelihood ratio greater than 1, with 2.99 signal events expected on a background of 0.097. The closest event to its associated GRB was 26° from GRB090301A. This sets a 90\% upper limit of 82\% of the expected flux in the region 37–2400 TeV where 90\% of the events were expected, including a systematic uncertainty of \( \sim 2\% \) (Fig. 2).

In the model-independent search, no candidate events were observed in the interval \( \pm 2248 \) s with 4.2 expected from the Guetta et al. calculation. The variation of the upper limit (Fig. 3) with \( \Delta t \) reflects statistical fluctuations in the background, as well as the presence of individual events of varying quality. The three most significant of these occurred at \(-2249, -3594, \) and \(-6430 \) s, respectively, and were low-energy (\( \sim 1 \) TeV) neutrinos consistent with the atmospheric neutrino background. In addition to a constant 1.5\% uncertainty on the effective area (the ratio of fluence to the expected number of events), there is a systematic uncertainty in the limit on the number of expected events that increases with the size of the time window from 0\%–10\% (included in Fig. 3). This arises from the increased effect of systematic uncertainties in the event selection as the amount of background in the search window increases and the ability to distinguish GRB neutrinos from background events becomes correspondingly more important.

![FIG. 2. 90% C.L. Neyman [17] upper limit (including systematics) set by model-dependent analysis in solid black with the expected Guetta et al. flux in dotted black. The 22 string IceCube limit [4] is in dark gray and AMANDA [5] in light gray. The Waxman 2003 flux [16] is shown for comparison in dotted light gray. Diffuse fluxes were obtained from fluences assuming a total of 667 uniformly distributed bursts per year. Fluences are aggregate for 117 bursts.](image-url)
While the specific neutrino-flux predictions of the fireball model provided by Waxman and Bahcall [2] and by Guetta et al. [3] are excluded (90% confidence) by this work, we have not yet ruled out the general picture of fireball phenomenology. The neutrino flux we compute for GRBs is determined by the flux of protons accelerated in the fireball and by the fraction of proton energy transferred to charged pions ($f_{\pi}/C_{0}^{2}$). The proton flux can be chosen either such that the energy in gammas and protons is equal or set to the flux of cosmic rays above $10^{18}$ eV, with similar results. $f_{\pi}$ is determined largely by assuming protons are accelerated, in conjunction with the observed low optical thickness of the source. Because of uncertainties in the bulk boost factor and internal structure of the shocks, $f_{\pi}$ may range from 10% to 30% [15], causing an uncertainty of about a factor of 2 on our calculation of the flux, which used $f_{\pi} = 0.2$. Future observations by IceCube will push our sensitivity below the level of this theoretical uncertainty on $f_{\pi}$ and allow direct constraints on acceleration of protons to ultrahigh energies in gamma-ray bursts.
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