The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.
http://hdl.handle.net/2066/95858

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2019-03-04 and may be subject to change.
Electronic monitoring of treatment adherence and validation of alternative adherence measures in tuberculosis patients: a pilot study

Jossy van den Boogaard, Ramsey A Lyimo, Martin J Boeree, Gibson S Kibiki & Rob E Aarnoutse

Objective To assess adherence to community-based directly observed treatment (DOT) among Tanzanian tuberculosis patients using the Medication Event Monitoring System (MEMS) and to validate alternative adherence measures for resource-limited settings using MEMS as a gold standard.

Methods This was a longitudinal pilot study of 50 patients recruited consecutively from one rural hospital, one urban hospital and two urban health centres. Treatment adherence was monitored with MEMS and the validity of the following adherence measures was assessed: isoniazid urine test, Morisky scale, Brief Medication Questionnaire, adapted AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG) adherence questionnaire, pill counts and medication refill visits.

Findings The mean adherence rate in the study population was 96.3% (standard deviation, SD: 7.7). Adherence was less than 100% in 70% of the patients, less than 95% in 21% of them, and less than 80% in 2%. The ACTG adherence questionnaire and urine colour test had the highest sensitivities but lowest specificities. The Morisky scale and refill visits had the highest specificities but lowest sensitivities. Pill counts and refill visits combined, used in routine practice, yielded moderate sensitivity and specificity, but sensitivity improved when the ACTG adherence questionnaire was added.

Conclusion Patients on community-based DOT showed good adherence in this study. The combination of pill counts, refill visits and the ACTG adherence questionnaire could be used to monitor adherence in settings where MEMS is not affordable. The findings with regard to adherence and to the validity of simple adherence measures should be confirmed in larger populations with wider variability in adherence rates.
from their community (usually a relative or spouse) who is instructed on how to provide daily DOT at home. Patients on community-based DOT are supposed to collect their medication once a week in the first two months of treatment and once every two weeks in the remaining four months. They should return medication blisters for pill counts and their clinic attendance is registered.

Study design and procedures

This was a longitudinal pilot study in which treatment adherence among 50 patients on community-based DOT was monitored by MEMS throughout treatment. MEMS was used as a gold standard to validate several other adherence measures (single and in combinations) in this patient group. The adherence measures were selected for their applicability in the Tanzanian setting and included an isoniazid (INH) urine test, a urine colour test for rifampicin, the Brief Medication Questionnaire (BMQ), the Morisky scale, an adapted version of the Medication Questionnaire (BMQ), the Brief Medication Questionnaire (BMQ), the Morisky scale, an adapted version of the AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG) adherence questionnaire, pill counts and clinic attendance for medication refills.

The participants were recruited between February and May 2010 from one rural hospital, one urban hospital and two urban health centres. Considering the pilot nature of our study, we chose to enrol 50 patients only. Adult outpatients who consecutively presented at one of the study sites with newly diagnosed tuberculosis and who had chosen community-based DOT were eligible to participate. Eligible patients were informed about the study procedures by trained clinic staff and asked to sign an informed consent form. They were told that their tuberculosis medication would be provided in a medication bottle with a microelectronic chip that registers every bottle opening. They were asked not to use the MEMS bottle for other medication, to bring the bottle to every medication refill visit. Patients were informed that they would be under routine treatment and care.

Drug dispensing nurses were responsible for providing patients with medication. Medication refill visits were conducted in accordance with routine practice, except that the medication blisters were cut into pieces to make them fit in the MEMS bottle. The nurses registered the dates of the patients’ clinic visits for medication refills and the number of tablets remaining at each visit. During the visits in weeks 4, 8, 12 and 16, the procedures deviated from routine practice. At these visits, patients submitted a sample of urine and were asked to fill out the BMQ and Morisky scale (in weeks 4, 8 and 12) or the ACTG adherence questionnaire (in week 16). At completion of treatment, the patients filled out a questionnaire about their experience with the use of MEMS bottles.

Treatment adherence measures

Medication Event Monitoring System

MEMS medication bottles (250-ml containers with a 38-mm MEMS 6 TrackCap, AARDEX Ltd, Sion, Switzerland) were used by all participants throughout treatment. MEMS data were used to calculate adherence rates (by dividing the number of days on which at least one bottle opening was registered by the total number of monitored days and multiplied by 100) and to differentiate between adherent and non-adherent patients for validation of the other adherence measures. For the latter purpose, commonly used adherence rate cut-off values of 100%, 95% and 80% were applied.

Isoniazid urine test

The isoniazid urine test or IsoScreen test (GFC Diagnostics Ltd, Bicester, England) is based on the Arkansas method for the detection of INH in urine and supplied in a ready-to-use plastic testing device. The test was performed in accordance with the directions provided in the accompanying manual. The test result was negative when no colour change was observed after 5 minutes, positive when the colour changed to dark purple and equivocal when the colour turned green. Patients with at least one negative test were regarded as non-adherent.

Urine colour test

Prior to each INH urine test, urine colour was checked for the presence of rifampicin. Orange urine was scored as positive and yellow urine as negative. Patients with at least one negative test were categorized as non-adherent.

Morisky scale

The Morisky scale is a self-report adherence measure with four questions about common barriers to adherence.22 We classified as non-adherent all patients who answered “yes” to at least one of the four questions in at least one of the three repeated tests.

Brief Medication Questionnaire

The BMQ consists of three sections (“screens”) with questions about adherence behaviour, the experienced effects of treatment and other factors that could affect adherence. The screens were scored as described elsewhere.24 Patients with a total score of 1 or more in at least one of the three repeated tests were classified as non-adherent.

AIDS Clinical Trials Group adherence questionnaire

The adapted ACTG adherence questionnaire was developed for patients infected with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) who participate in clinical trials25 and is available at www.ghdonline.org/uploads/ACTG_Adherence_Baseline_Questionnaire.pdf (last accessed: 12 May 2011). Our adapted version consisted of three multiple choice items corresponding to sections B (social support), C (possible reasons for non-adherence) and D (adherence behaviour) of the original baseline questionnaire. We scored any answer other than “never” to the questions in sections C and D or less than “somewhat satisfied” to the questions in section B as positive. A positive score was regarded as indicative of non-adherence.

Refill visits and pill counts

The patients’ clinic attendance for medication refills was registered and remaining tablets were counted at every refill visit. Patients who delayed at least once for a medication refill visit and those who had an incorrect number of tablets remaining at least once were classified as non-adherent.

Data analysis

MEMS data were analysed by using Powerview software (AARDEX Ltd, Sion, Switzerland). Periods of “pocket dosing” (i.e. taking out medication for later use) that were identified by the MEMS use questionnaire were excluded from the analysis as non-monitored periods. Statistical analysis was performed in SPSS version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, United States of America). Means are presented with standard deviation (SD) and medians with interquartile range (IQR). Means were compared by using the Student t-test. The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values and accuracy of single and combined adherence measures were calculated by using MEMS as the gold standard. For combined measures, non-adherent patients were those who were classified as non-adherent by at least one of the single measures in the combination.
Ethical approval

The study was approved by the institutional review board of the Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre (Moshi, United Republic of Tanzania) and the National Institute for Medical Research (Dar es Salaam, United Republic of Tanzania).

Results

Patient characteristics and treatment outcomes

We enrolled 31 male and 19 female patients. Their characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Six of the 22 patients who were co-infected with HIV used antiretroviral medication and 14 were on co-trimoxazole prophylaxis. Although all patients were on community-based DOT, seven had no formal treatment supporter.

Thirty-seven patients successfully completed treatment. Six patients died; all were HIV-positive. Three patients defaulted and four patients dropped out of the study (three were transferred to another region and one developed jaundice and his treatment had to be interrupted).

Treatment adherence according to MEMS

No MEMS data were available for three patients (one defaulter and two who died) because the medication bottle was not returned. For the other 47 patients, a total of 6871 treatment days were monitored by MEMS. On 194 monitored days the MEMS bottle was not opened; the median per patient was 2 days (IQR: 0–5). The mean adherence rate was 96.3% (SD: 7.7) and did not differ significantly between patients with and without a treatment supporter: 96.2% (SD: 8.2) and 97.1% (SD: 3.1), respectively ($P = 0.79$).

Adherence was less than 100% in 70% of all patients; less than 95% in 21% of them, and less than 80% in 2% (Table 2). Among the patients who completed the six-month treatment course, adherence was less than 100% in 73%, less than 95% in 19% and less than 80% in none, respectively.

Monthly adherence rates were fairly constant. In the group of patients who completed treatment, the median monthly adherence rate was 100% and the mean monthly adherence rate varied between 95.4% (SD: 7.3) in month 6 and 98.5% (SD: 2.7) in month 3.

Validation of adherence measures

For the validation of the alternative adherence measures only patients who completed the six-month treatment course ($n = 37$) were included. As shown in Fig. 1, the proportions of non-adherent patients identified by the different measures varied widely. Table 3 shows the sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value and accuracy of the adherence measures in terms of their ability to differentiate between adherent and non-adherent patients. The ACTG adherence questionnaire and urine colour test had the highest sensitivities but lowest specificities. The Morisky scale and refill visits had the highest specificities but lowest sensitivities. The sensitivities of most measures improved when the cut-off value to differentiate be-
between adherent and non-adherent patients was lowered from 100% to 95% adherence, but the specificities dropped. The positive and negative predictive values were almost reversed by changing the cut-off value from 100% to 95%, reflecting the large difference in the proportions of patients categorized as non-adherent by using the former versus the latter cut-off value. A cut-off value of 80% could not be applied because none of the patients who completed treatment was less than 80% adherent.

The combination of pill counts and refill visits that is used in routine practice had moderate sensitivity and specificity. Its sensitivity and negative predictive value for the identification of patients who were less than 95% adherent improved by adding any of the other adherence measures except the Morisky scale (Table 3).

### Table 2. Median monitored treatment days and adherence rates, and proportions of patients who were less than 100%, 95% and 80% adherent, as assessed by the Medication Event Monitoring System (MEMS), United Republic of Tanzania, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patientsa</th>
<th>Monitored days</th>
<th>Adherence rate (%)</th>
<th>&lt; 100% adherent</th>
<th>&lt; 95% adherent</th>
<th>&lt; 80% adherent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All (47)</td>
<td>Median (IQR)b</td>
<td>168 (138–172)</td>
<td>98.4 (95.7–100)</td>
<td>33 (70)</td>
<td>10 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed treatment (37)</td>
<td>169 (168–180.5)</td>
<td>98.4 (95.7–100)</td>
<td>27 (73)</td>
<td>7 (19)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defaulters (2)</td>
<td>40.0/113c</td>
<td>50.0/100f</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths (4)</td>
<td>63 (29.5–104)</td>
<td>98.4 (95.6–99.6)</td>
<td>3 (75)</td>
<td>1 (25)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study drop outs (4)</td>
<td>33 (21–73)</td>
<td>98.3 (88.4–100)</td>
<td>2 (50)</td>
<td>1 (25)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IQR, interquartile range.

* Only patients for whom MEMS data were available are included.
* All values given are medians and IQRs except for the values of the two defaulters.
* Number of monitored days for defaulter 1 and defaulter 2, respectively.
* Adherence rates of defaulter 1 and defaulter 2, respectively.

Patients’ experience with MEMS use

The questionnaire about the use of MEMS, which was filled out by the 37 patients who completed treatment, revealed that only one patient had correctly understood the purpose of MEMS despite verbal and written information at the onset of the study. Twenty-five patients (68%) stated that the white, bulky appearance of the MEMS bottle reminded them to take the medication. However, the other patients said that the use of MEMS had not influenced their adherence behaviour. Mean adherence rates did not differ between these two groups: 97.5% (SD: 3.0) and 97.3% (SD: 3.2), respectively ($P=0.86$).

Eight patients occasionally opened the MEMS bottle to take out medication for later use (resulting in a total of 42 non-monitored treatment days). This usually occurred when patients did not want to take the bottle along on travel occasions.

### Discussion

This is the first study in which MEMS was used to assess treatment adherence rates in patients on community-based DOT over the full six-month tuberculosis treatment course. We observed high adherence rates in our pilot study of 50 Tanzanian patients. Almost 80% of the patients were more than 95% adherent and only one patient was less than 80% adherent. These findings do not confirm the concern that patients on community-based DOT are prone to become non-adherent, even though the study did reveal that some patients (i.e. those without a formal treatment supporter) turn community-based DOT into unsupervised treatment.

The participants’ demographic characteristics, such as the ratio of males to females and their treatment outcomes, were comparable to those of the general tuberculosis patient population in the Kilimanjaro region. This suggests that we studied a regionally representative patient sample. However, the adherence rates of our patients could have been biased by their participation in the study. Although we tried to deviate as little as possible from routine practice, the repeated adherence questionnaires and urine tests certainly made participants aware of our interest in their adherence behaviour. Two thirds of the patients felt that their adherence behaviour had been influenced by the use of MEMS, but their average adherence rate did not differ from those observed among patients who stated that MEMS had not influenced their behaviour. Findings from other studies suggest that when MEMS is used over long periods, its "interventional...
effect” disappears. Since our sample size was small, larger population studies should be conducted to assess the real impact of community-based DOT with and without formal treatment supporter on adherence rates. We did not aim to validate the concept of community-based DOT; studies for this purpose should have a different design.

The main objective of our study was to use MEMS as a reference standard to calculate the validity of several adherence measures whose use is feasible in patients on community-based DOT in resource-limited settings. The high adherence rates in the study population forced us to apply high adherence rate cut-off values to calculate the validity and reliability of the adherence measures. This resulted in wide gaps between the sensitivities and specificities of the measures. Combinations of measures were found to be more accurate than single measures in identifying as many true non-adherent patients as possible (reflected in high sensitivities and negative predictive values). The sensitivity and negative predictive value of the routinely used combination of pill counts and clinic attendance for medication refills improved substantially by adding a simple and cheap measure such as the ACTG adherence questionnaire, particularly at an adherence rate cut-off value of 95%.

The rifampicin urine colour test classified more patients as non-adherent than the INH urine test. Since the orange urine colouration caused by rifampicin is of short duration and may be absent altogether, it is likely that the urine colour test misclassified some patients with yellow urine as non-adherent. Such misclassifications are difficult to confirm in a study population with high adherence rates.

The adapted ACTG adherence questionnaire yielded more favourable responses than the other self-report measures. Differences in wording in the questionnaires may account for this. While patients had to answer either “yes” or “no” to the questions in the Morisky scale, they could answer “often”, “sometimes”, “rarely”, or “never” to comparable questions in the ACTG adherence questionnaire. This wider range of choice options may have evoked more honest replies. The ACTG adherence questionnaire (and to a lesser extent the BMQ) has the added advantage of disclosing factors that cause non-adherence in the individual patient. These factors could be used to design tailored interventions for promoting adherence among non-adherent patients on community-based DOT. We therefore suggest using the triple combination consisting of the ACTG adherence

| Table 3. Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values, and accuracy of individual treatment adherence measures, alone and in combination, in a study of adherence to tuberculosis treatment, United Republic of Tanzania, 2010 |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Adherence measure               | MEMS cut-off<95% | MEMS cut-off<95% | MEMS cut-off<95% | MEMS cut-off<95% | MEMS cut-off<95% | MEMS cut-off<95% | MEMS cut-off<95% |
| MEMS                             |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| INH urine test                   | 43               | 40               | 22               | 18               | 51               | 46               | 57               |
| Urine colour test                | 74               | 86               | 43               | 43               | 74               | 86               | 43               |
| ACTG questionnaire               | 70               | 100              | 22               | 18               | 70               | 100              | 22               |
| BMQ                              | 70               | 100              | 22               | 18               | 70               | 100              | 22               |
| Pill counts                      | 15               | 15               | 15               | 15               | 15               | 15               | 15               |
| Refill visits + pill counts      | 41               | 29               | 29               | 29               | 41               | 29               | 29               |
| Refill visits + pill counts + ACTG questionnaire | 70 | 86 | 43 | 43 | 70 | 86 | 43 |
| Refill visits + pill counts + urine colour test | 70 | 86 | 43 | 43 | 70 | 86 | 43 |
| Refill visits + pill counts + BMQ | 69               | 86               | 43               | 43               | 69               | 86               | 43               |
| Refill visits + pill counts + INH urine test | 63               | 86               | 43               | 43               | 63               | 86               | 43               |
| ACTG, AIDS Clinical Trials Group; BMQ, Brief Medication Questionnaire; INH, isoniazid; MEMS, Medication Event Monitoring System; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value.

a Sensitivity: the proportion of non-adherent individuals correctly identified as non-adherent by the measure.
b Specificity: the proportion of adherent individuals correctly identified as adherent by the measure.
c Positive predictive value: the proportion of non-adherent individuals according to the measure who were non-adherent according to MEMS.
d Negative predictive value: the proportion of adherent individuals according to the measure who were adherent according to MEMS.
e Accuracy: the proportion of individuals correctly identified as either adherent or non-adherent by the measure.
f Non-adherence defined as an adherence rate of < 100% (27 patients) or < 95% (7 patients) as assessed by MEMS.
questionnaire, refill visits and pill counts to monitor treatment adherence by Tanzanian patients on community-based DOT. If the results are interpreted carefully, the combination seems valid and its use in routine practice appears feasible.

In conclusion, this study revealed higher levels of treatment adherence by Tanzanian tuberculosis patients on community-based DOT. Although caution should be exercised in interpreting the results because this is a pilot study, the findings support the recommendation of using community-based DOT as an alternative to facility-based DOT in settings with overburdened health-care facilities. To monitor adherence among patients on community-based DOT in resource-limited settings where electronic monitoring is not feasible, combinations of simple and affordable adherence measures can be used. Supplementeding pill counts and clinic attendance with a self-report measure such as the ACTG adherence questionnaire will help to identify potentially non-adherent patients who could benefit from tailored adherence-promoting interventions. Further studies in larger patient populations are needed to assess the adherence rates of patients on community-based DOT and to confirm the validity of simple and affordable adherence measures.
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Résumé

Suivi électronique de l’adhésion au traitement et validation de mesures d’adhésion alternatives des patients tuberculeux: une étude pilote

Objectif: Évaluer l’adhésion au traitement directement observé en milieu communautaire des patients tuberculeux tanzaniens, à l’aide du système de suivi des événements de médication (MEMS, Medication Event Monitoring System) et valider les mesures d’adhésion alternatives dans les configurations de ressources limitées utilisant le MEMS comme critère de référence.

Méthodes: Il s’agissait d’une étude pilote longitudinale sur 50 patients recrutés consécutivement dans un hôpital rural, un hôpital urbain et deux centres de soins urbains. L’adhésion au traitement a été contrôlée par le système de suivi des événements de médication (MEMS). Les mesures d’adhésion alternatives ont été validées à l’aide du MEMS comme critère de référence.


637
MEMS and the validity of the measures of adherence consequent to have been evaluated:

test urinary for detecting the presence of isoniazid, test of coloration of the urines, eclipse of Morisky, bref questionnaire on the medication, questionnaire of adherence adapted of the Group of essen clinicals of the SIDA (ACTG, AIDS Clinical Trials Group), décomptes of pilules and visits of reapprovisionnement in medications.

Résultats Le taux d’adhésion moyen dans la population de l’étude atteignait 96,3% (déviation standard, DS: 7,7). L’adhésion était inférieure à 100% chez 70% des patients, inférieure à 95% chez 21% d’entre eux et inférieure à 80% chez 2% d’entre eux. Le questionnaire d’adhésion de l’ACTG et le test de coloration des urines présentaient les sensibilités les plus élevées, mais les spécificités les plus basses. L’échelle de Morisky et les visites de reapprovisionnement présentaient les spécificités les plus élevées, mais les sensibilités les plus basses. Pour l’analyse de Morisky et les visites de reapprovisionnement présenté les spécificités les plus élevées, mais les sensibilités les plus basses. Les décomptes de pilules et les visites de reapprovisionnement en médicaments combinés, utilisés dans la pratique de routine, montraient une sensibilité et une spécificité modérées, mais la sensibilité s’améliorait lors de l’ajout du questionnaire d’adhésion de l’ACTG.

Conclusion Les patients suivant un traitement directement observé en milieu communautaire ont montré une meilleure adhésion dans cette étude. La combinaison des décomptes de pilules, des visites de reapprovisionnement et du questionnaire d’adhésion de l’ACTG a pu être utilisée pour contrôler l’adhésion dans les cadres où le MEMS n’était pas abordable. Les résultats en termes d’adhésion et de validité des mesures d’adhésion simples devraient être confirmés auprès de populations plus larges, avec une variabilité plus importante des taux d’adhésion.

Resumen

Control electrónico del cumplimiento terapéutico de pacientes con tuberculosis y validación de medidas alternativas de cumplimiento: estudio piloto

Objetivo Evaluar el cumplimiento de los tratamientos observados directamente que están dirigidos a la comunidad por parte de los pacientes con tuberculosis en Tanzania, mediante el Sistema de vigilancia de la medicación (Medication Event Monitoring System [MEMS]) y validar medidas alternativas de cumplimiento para los entornos de recursos limitados, empleando los MEMS como método de referencia.

Métodos Se realizó un estudio piloto longitudinal con 50 pacientes seleccionados consecutivamente de un hospital rural, un hospital urbano y dos centros sanitarios urbanos. El cumplimiento terapéutico se controló con el MEMS y se evaluó la validez de las siguientes medidas de cumplimiento: detección de isoniazida en orina, prueba de color de la orina, test de Morisky, Cuestionario breve de medicación, cuestionario adaptado del cumplimiento terapéutico del Grupo de Ensayos Clínicos sobre el SIDA (ACTG), recuento de la medicación y visitas de aprovisionamiento de medicamentos.

Resultados La tasa media de cumplimiento en la población del estudio fue de un 96,3% (desviación estándar, DE: 7,7). El cumplimiento fue inferior al 100% en el 70% de los pacientes, inferior al 95% en el 21% de los pacientes e inferior al 80% en el 2% de los pacientes. El cuestionario de cumplimiento ACTG y la prueba de color de la orina registraron los niveles más elevados de sensibilidad y los más bajos de especificidad. El test Morisky y las visitas de aprovisionamiento de medicamentos obtuvieron los niveles más elevados de especificidad y los más bajos de sensibilidad. La combinación del recuento de medicamentos y las visitas de aprovisionamiento, empleada en la práctica habitual, registró una sensibilidad y una especificidad moderadas, si bien la sensibilidad aumentó cuando se añadió el cuestionario de cumplimiento ACTG.

Conclusión Los pacientes que siguieron un tratamiento observado directamente y dirigido a la comunidad mostraron un cumplimiento correcto.
en este estudio. La combinación del recuento de medicación, las visitas de aprovisionamiento de medicamentos y el cuestionario de cumplimiento ACTG podría emplearse para controlar el cumplimiento en entornos en los que el uso del sistema MEMS no resulte viable económicamente. Los resultados en cuanto al cumplimiento y la validez de las medidas sencillas de cumplimiento podrían confirmarse en poblaciones más amplias con una mayor variabilidad de sus tasas de cumplimiento.
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