Dipyridamole augments the antiinflammatory response during human endotoxemia
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Abstract

Introduction: In animal models of systemic inflammation, the endogenous nucleoside adenosine controls inflammation and prevents organ injury. Dipyridamole blocks the cellular uptake of endogenous adenosine and increases the extracellular adenosine concentration. We studied the effects of oral dipyridamole treatment on innate immunity and organ injury during human experimental endotoxemia.

Methods: In a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study, 20 healthy male subjects received 2 ng/kg Escherichia coli endotoxin (lipopolysaccharide; LPS) intravenously after 7-day pretreatment with dipyridamole, 200 mg slow release twice daily, or placebo.

Results: Nucleoside transporter activity on circulating erythrocytes was reduced by dipyridamole with 89% ± 2% (P < 0.0001), and the circulating endogenous adenosine concentration was increased. Treatment with dipyridamole augmented the LPS-induced increase in the antiinflammatory cytokine interleukin (IL)-10 with 274%, and resulted in a more rapid decrease in proinflammatory cytokines tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and IL-6 levels directly after their peak level (P < 0.05 and < 0.01, respectively). A strong correlation was found between the plasma dipyridamole concentration and the adenosine concentration (r = 0.82; P < 0.01), and between the adenosine concentration and the IL-10 concentration (r = 0.88; P < 0.0001), and the subsequent decrease in TNF-α (r = -0.54; P = 0.02). Dipyridamole treatment did not affect the LPS-induced endothelial dysfunction or renal injury during experimental endotoxemia.

Conclusions: Seven-day oral treatment with dipyridamole increases the circulating adenosine concentration and augments the antiinflammatory response during experimental human endotoxemia, which is associated with a faster decline in proinflammatory cytokines.

Trial registration: ClinicalTrials (NCT): NCT01091571.

Introduction

During sepsis, unopposed and prolonged activation of the innate immune system can induce significant collateral damage to host tissues, resulting in a high mortality rate. During inflammation, the extracellular concentration of the purine nucleoside adenosine rapidly increases [1-3]. Subsequent receptor activation acts as a physiological negative-feedback mechanism that dampens the inflammatory response [4]. Indeed, administration of adenosine-receptor agonists exerts antiinflammatory and tissue-protective effects and reduces mortality in animal models of systemic inflammation [5,6].

Dipyridamole blocks the equilibrative nucleoside transporter (ENT), which facilitates the transmembraneous diffusion of adenosine (Figure 1). Dipyridamole will increase the extracellular endogenous adenosine concentration, mainly in situations of increased extracellular formation of adenosine, such as occurs during hypoxia or inflammation [7]. In animals, the administration of ENT blockers attenuates LPS-induced leukopenia and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) production [8] and reduces the severity of tissue injury in several inflammatory models [9-11].
We hypothesized that dipyridamole may ameliorate the excessive and prolonged activation of the immune response that can occur during systemic inflammation. Therefore, in a proof-of-concept study in healthy volunteers, we examined whether pretreatment with dipyridamole curtails the activation of the innate immune system during experimental endotoxemia and prevents (subclinical) organ damage.

**Materials and methods**

**Healthy volunteers**

This study was approved by the local ethics committee and registered (http://www.clinicaltrials.gov, NCT01 091571). After signing for informed consent, 20 healthy male volunteers participated. Because of significant differences in the innate immune response between male and female subjects during experimental endotoxemia, we included only male subjects [12]. All volunteers were asked not to take any drugs or caffeine-containing substances 48 hours before the start of the endotoxemia experiment. Subjects were randomized in a double-blinded fashion to 7-day pretreatment with dipyridamole (200 mg BID orally, Persantin Retard; Boehringer-Ingelheim, Alkmaar, The Netherlands; \( n = 10 \)) or placebo (microcrystalline cellulose, \( n = 10 \)), based on the fact that a steady state occurs after 3 days, and previous studies that examined the effects of dipyridamole during ischemia were also performed after 7 days of treatment [13]. Oral dipyridamole and placebo capsules were provided and labeled by the Department of Clinical Pharmacy of the Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Center according to GMP standards. Both capsules had the same appearance.

**Experimental protocol**

After local anesthesia, the brachial artery of the nondominant arm was cannulated for blood pressure monitoring, blood sampling, and administration of vasoactive substances.
drugs [14]. A second cannula was placed in a deep ante-
cubital vein for prehydration [15]. U.S. Reference E. coli
endotoxin (Escherichia coli O:113; Clinical Center Refer-
ence Endotoxin, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda,
MD (LPS)) was administered as a bolus infusion in 1
minute (2 ng/kg) at t = 0 hours, after vortex mixing for
30 minutes. The protocol is illustrated in Figure 2.

Analytic procedures
Plasma caffeine and dipyridamole concentrations were
determined by reversed-phase high-power liquid chro-
matography (HPLC) [16]. Circulating adenosine concen-
trations were measured before and during endotoxemia,
and the activity of the ENT was measured in isolated
erthrocytes by measuring uridine uptake, as previously
described [13,17]. Concentrations of tumor necrosis fac-
tor (TNF)-α, interleukin (IL)-6, IL-1 receptor antagonist
(IL1ra), IL-10, intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-
1), and vascular adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1) were
analyzed in batches by using a Luminex assay (Bio-plex
cytokine assay; BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). The antiox-
didant capacity in blood plasma was measured by using
the ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) assay,
according to the method of Benzie and Strain [18].

Forearm blood-flow measurements
Forearm blood flow (FBF, milliliters per minute per dec-
iliter forearm volume) was measured 2 hours before and
4 hours after LPS administration with venous occlusion
plethysmography (Filtrass Domed, Munich, Germany)
[19]. The vasodilator response to intrabrachial infusion
of acetylcholine (5, 20, 80 μg/min/dl) and nitroprusside
(0.6, 2, 6 μg/min/dl), and the vasoconstrictor response
to norepinephrine (0.03, 0.1, 0.3 μg/min/dl) was quanti-
fied [20]. Infusion rates of drugs and measurements of
forearm blood volume were normalized to forearm
blood volume and expressed per deciliter of forearm
volume.

Drugs and solutions
Acetylcholine (Novartis Pharma, Nurnberg, Germany)
and norepinephrine (Centrafarm BV, Etten-Leur, The
Netherlands) were dissolved in normal saline, and nitro-
prusside (Clinical Pharmacy, Radboud University Nijme-
gen Medical Centre) was dissolved in a 5% glucose
solution. All solutions were freshly prepared at the day
of the experiment.

Urine collection
Subjects collected their morning urine before treatment
with dipyridamole or placebo and on the day of the LPS
experiment. After start of the LPS infusion, urine was
collected in four 3-hour periods and during a period of
12 to 24 hours (see Figure 2). During the sampling per-
iod, urine was kept on ice. Urine volume was measured,
and creatinine, glutathione S-transferase (GST) alpha
(A1-1) and pi (P1-1), as markers of proximal and distal
tubule injury, respectively, were measured [21].

Statistical analyses
The effect of dipyridamole was analyzed by using a
repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA), with
post hoc tests for specific time points (Bonferroni).
Further to substantiate the possible mechanism of action
of dipyridamole, Pearson correlations were conducted to explore the correlation between plasma levels of dipyridamole, adenosine, and cytokines. The lines were calculated from linear regression analyses.

The area under the curve (AUC) of the increase in FBF was calculated (before and after LPS administration). The LPS-mediated difference was compared between groups by using an unpaired Student t test. The effect of endotoxemia on FRAP was tested by using a repeated measures ANOVA. Because data had a gaussian distribution, data are expressed as mean ± SEM, unless specified otherwise. Nonparametric data are illustrated as box-and-whiskers. A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Demographic characteristics
The demographic characteristics were comparable between groups (Table 1). Plasma caffeine concentrations immediately before LPS administration were < 0.06 mg/L in both the dipyridamole and the placebo groups. The incidence of side effects was not significantly different between the dipyridamole and the placebo groups.

Effect of dipyridamole on circulating adenosine
The plasma dipyridamole concentration at the moment of LPS administration (t = 0) averaged 1.8 ± 0.3 and 0.0 ± 0.0 mg/L for the dipyridamole and placebo groups, respectively.

Uridine uptake into the erythrocyte via the ENT was profoundly inhibited by dipyridamole: from 113 ± 9 nmol/10⁹ erythrocytes/min at baseline to 11 ± 2 nmol/10⁹ erythrocytes/min immediately before the LPS experiment (P < 0.0001). In placebo-treated subjects, uridine transport was 112 ± 7 nmol/10⁹ erythrocytes/min at baseline and 124 ± 7 nmol/10⁹ erythrocytes/min immediately before the LPS experiment (P = 0.86).

Seven-day treatment with dipyridamole resulted in a higher adenosine concentration before the LPS administration; 22.6 ± 2.7 nmol/ml compared with 11.1 ± 1.8 nmol/ml in the placebo group (P < 0.01). The adenosine concentration further increased with 2.1 ± 2.8 and 2.1 ± 0.9 nmol/ml after administration of LPS in both groups (P = 0.99, difference between both groups). Dipyridamole concentrations correlated strongly with peak adenosine concentrations (r = 0.82; P < 0.01, see Figure 3a).

Innate immune response
Inflammatory parameters during human endotoxemia
During the first hour after LPS administration, the total white blood cell count decreased from 6.2 ± 0.3 to 2.2 ± 0.3 × 10⁹/L and from 5.7 ± 0.6 to 2.2 ± 0.3 × 10⁹/L for dipyridamole- and placebo-treated subjects, after which there was an increase to 13.6 ± 0.7 × 10⁹/L and 11.9 ± 0.6 × 10⁹/L at 8 hours after LPS (P = 0.07). Dipyridamole-treated subjects had significantly higher amounts of circulating monocytes in the period of 4 to 8 hours after LPS, with a peak at 8 hours after LPS administration (0.64 ± 0.08 × 10⁹/L in dipyridamole-treated subjects versus 0.37 ± 0.03 × 10⁹/L in placebo; P = 0.04). The increase in body temperature after administration of LPS was similar in the dipyridamole and placebo groups; from 36.5°C ± 0.1°C to 38.0°C ± 0.2°C and from 36.4°C ± 0.1°C to 38.2°C ± 0.1°C, respectively (P = 0.76 between groups).

Dipyridamole treatment augmented the IL-10 response during endotoxemia (P < 0.0001 compared with the placebo group; Figure 4). Moreover, the endogenous adenosine concentration 2 hours after LPS administration correlated with peak levels of IL-10 (r = 0.88; P < 0.0001), as illustrated in Figure 3b. The LPS-induced peak concentrations of proinflammatory cytokines were not influenced by dipyridamole treatment. In contrast, the decline of TNF-α and IL-6 levels directly after their highest value was accelerated in dipyridamole-treated subjects (P < 0.05 and < 0.01, respectively; Figure 4). The peak IL-10 levels correlated with the decline of TNF-α (r = -0.54; P = 0.02), but not with that of IL-6 (r = -0.32; P = 0.18), Figure 3c and 3d.

LPS-induced end-organ dysfunction
Clinical and hemodynamic parameters during human endotoxemia
In all volunteers, LPS administration induced the expected flu-like symptoms. Experimental endotoxemia resulted in a vasodilatory state, illustrated by a decrease in blood pressure and an increase in heart rate and forearm blood flow, with a maximum effect at t = 4 to 6 hours after LPS administration (Table 2). This LPS-induced cardiovascular response was similar between groups.

FBF response to acetylcholine, nitroprusside and norepinephrine
Subjects treated with dipyridamole had a lower baseline FBF (2.7 ± 0.4 versus 4.3 ± 0.5 ml/min/dl in placebo-
treated participants, \( P = 0.03 \)). This baseline difference disappeared after LPS administration: \( 7.3 \pm 0.8 \) in the dipyridamole group versus \( 8.6 \pm 1.2 \) ml/min/dl in the placebo group. No significant changes in FBF were found in the noninfused forearm during the intrabronchial infusions of acetylcholine, nitroprusside, and norepinephrine, excluding systemic hemodynamic effects of these drugs. As shown in Figure 5, endothelium-dependent (a) and independent vasodilatation (b) as well as norepinephrine-induced vasoconstriction (c) were significantly impaired after endotoxemia. No significant differences were noted between the treatment groups.

**Circulating adhesion molecules**

Baseline plasma levels of ICAM and VCAM tended to be higher in dipyridamole-treated subjects (ICAM: dipyridamole, \( 12.0 \pm 0.7 \times 10^4 \) pg/ml, versus placebo, \( 10.0 \pm 0.7 \times 10^4 \) pg/ml \( P = 0.05 \); VCAM: dipyridamole, \( 19.1 \pm 1.2 \times 10^4 \) pg/ml versus placebo, \( 16.5 \pm 0.7 \times 10^4 \) pg/ml \( P = 0.08 \)). Both ICAM and VCAM levels increased after LPS administration (\( P < 0.0001 \)), but dipyridamole treatment did not affect the endotoxemia-induced increase in ICAM and VCAM levels (difference between groups: \( P = 0.31 \) and \( P = 0.90 \), respectively).

**Oxidative stress**

The total antioxidant capacity, as measured with FRAP, increased during the first 2 hours after endotoxemia from \( 0.96 \pm 0.04 \) to \( 1.00 \pm 0.03 \) mmol/L and from \( 1.06 \pm 0.05 \) to \( 1.16 \pm 0.05 \) mmol/L \( P = 0.08 \) and \( P = 0.02 \) for dipyridamole and placebo groups, respectively). No significant difference in FRAP was found between both groups (\( P = 0.36 \); Figure 6).

**Renal injury**

Endotoxemia resulted in a cumulative GSTA1-1 excretion of \( 11.2 \) (6.2 to 13.0) \( \mu \)g compared with \( 5.1 \) (3.9 to 9.4) \( \mu \)g 12 hours after LPS administration in Figure 3.
dipyridamole- and placebo-treated subjects, respectively. Cumulative GSTP1-1 excretion was 6.4 (5.4 to 7.8) μg and 6.3 (4.5 to 8.0) μg, respectively. No differences were seen between the LPS-induced increase between both groups ($P = 0.07$ and $P = 0.44$, respectively).

**Table 2 Hemodynamic profile in response to endotoxemia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Dipyridamole</th>
<th>Difference between groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>4 to 6 hours after LPS</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (beats per minute)</td>
<td>65 ± 2</td>
<td>84 ± 2</td>
<td>67 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>132 ± 1</td>
<td>121 ± 2</td>
<td>134 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>76 ± 1</td>
<td>71 ± 2</td>
<td>74 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>94 ± 1</td>
<td>87 ± 2</td>
<td>94 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBF ml/min/dl</td>
<td>27 ± 0.04</td>
<td>73 ± 0.8</td>
<td>43 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data expressed as mean ± SEM. DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FBF, forearm blood flow; HR, heart rate; MAP, mean arterial pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure. All LPS-induced changes were significant ($P < 0.0001$).

Discussion

In the current study, we showed for the first time in humans *in vivo* that oral treatment with the nucleoside transport inhibitor dipyridamole augments the anti-inflammatory response of the innate immune system during experimental endotoxemia. Treatment with...
Figure 5 Dose-response curve of intrabrachial infusion of (a) acetylcholine, (b) nitroprusside, and (c) norepinephrine on forearm blood flow (FBF) before (open symbols, dotted line) and 4 hours after administration of 2 ng/kg *Escherichia coli* LPS (solid symbols). Data are presented as percentages of baseline FBF of the intervention arm (mean ± SEM; n = 10 per group). Left panel shows placebo-treated subjects; right panel, subjects treated with dipyridamole. The probability values refer to the statistical difference between the dose-response curves, as analyzed with two-way ANOVA.
Dipyridamole effectively blocked nucleoside uptake and resulted in a significant increase in the circulating endogenous adenosine concentration. In the dipyridamole-treated subjects, the antiinflammatory IL-10 response to LPS administration was highly augmented, and was associated with an accelerated decline of the proinflammatory cytokines TNF-α and IL-6 after their initial increase. We demonstrated that dipyridamole concentrations correlated with adenosine concentrations, that higher adenosine concentrations were associated with higher IL-10 concentrations, and that higher IL-10 levels were associated with a more pronounced decline of TNF-α. These subsequent correlations suggest that the immunomodulating effects of dipyridamole are mediated through the adenosine pathway.

The purine nucleoside adenosine is a well-known endogenous signaling molecule with potent antiinflammatory and tissue-protective properties [1,22,23]. During systemic inflammation, the endogenous adenosine concentration rapidly increases [3,24], with circulating concentrations doubled during experimental human endotoxemia [17] and increasing up to tenfold in septic shock patients [3]. However, interpretation of these measurements must be addressed with caution, because adenosine measurement is notoriously troublesome [25]. Subsequent stimulation of membrane-bound adenosine receptors may act as a negative-feedback mechanism to control and curtail the inflammatory response and to attenuate further organ damage. Indeed, animal studies previously showed that adenosine plays a pivotal role in the protection of tissue against damage from excessive inflammation (for example, during sepsis [4,5]). In addition, the administration of adenosine-receptor agonists potently limits inflammation in murine models of systemic inflammation [5,6]. Human data on the role of adenosine during systemic inflammation are scarce. Continuous intravenous administration of adenosine attenuated the IL-6 response during human endotoxemia [26]. However, therapeutic administration of adenosine is cumbersome, because of the extremely short half-life of adenosine, the hemodynamic effects during systemic administration, and because the endothelium acts as a strong metabolic barrier for adenosine, preventing adenosine from entering the interstitial compartment [27]. As such, the plasma concentration of adenosine does not represent tissue interstitial concentrations of adenosine. This is relevant, because resident tissue macrophages appear to be the major source of circulating cytokines. By preventing cellular uptake of adenosine, dipyridamole increases the endogenous extracellular adenosine concentration mainly in those tissues where extracellular adenosine formation is increased (that is, at the site of inflammation). Therefore, we hypothesized that dipyridamole controls inflammation more effectively than does exogenous adenosine, with fewer hemodynamic side effects.

Our results are in accordance with previous in vitro and animal experiments on the immunomodulating effect of nucleoside transport inhibition. Dipyridamole enhances the LPS-induced IL-10 production [28] and attenuates the production of TNF-α [29] and other proinflammatory cytokines in human cultured mononuclear cells. Furthermore, dipyridamole therapy in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting inhibited postoperative ex vivo polymorphonuclear cell adhesion to endothelial cells [30]. Also in animal studies, administration of ENT inhibitors limited the inflammatory response and reduced tissue injury in situations of severe inflammation [9-11]. Of importance, these effects were abolished by concomitant administration of adenosine A2a receptor antagonists [31].

The immune-modulating effects of dipyridamole are sparsely studied in humans in vivo. We have previously shown that dipyridamole reduces ischemia-reperfusion injury in healthy volunteers [13]. To our knowledge, apart from a small study in patients with rheumatoid arthritis [32], dipyridamole has never been tested in situations of generalized inflammation. In this latter study, dipyridamole was not found to reduce inflammation [32], but a clear conclusion is not possible, as it appears likely that this study was underpowered.

In our study, treatment with dipyridamole profoundly enhanced the antiinflammatory IL-10 response during endotoxemia. IL-10 is produced by cells of the innate immune system and is able to inhibit the synthesis of
various proinflammatory cytokines, including TNF-α, in an autoregulatory fashion [33]. In accordance, administration of IL-10 protects mice from lethal endotoxemia [34], and IL-10 knockout mice have a more-pronounced hemodynamic response to LPS administration [35]. Given the strong association between the plasma adenosine and IL-10 concentration, we propose that dipyridamole augments the IL-10 response by increasing the endogenous adenosine concentration. Indeed, animal studies have shown that adenosine-receptor agonists augment the IL-10 response to LPS [6]. In accordance with the antiinflammatory role of IL-10, we observed a more-rapid decrease in plasma TNF-α and IL-6 after the peak concentrations of these proinflammatory cytokines. In contrast, peak plasma levels of TNF-α and IL-6 were not affected by dipyridamole. It appears plausible that this initial proinflammatory response is needed as a stimulus for increased adenosine formation at the site of inflammation. Dipyridamole may therefore enhance the antiinflammatory properties of adenosine only directly after the initial proinflammatory insult. In our study, dipyridamole increased the baseline plasma adenosine concentration, but did not augment the LPS-induced increase in adenosine. This may be explained by the fact that adenosine can be highly compartmentalized, as described earlier.

Despite the observation that treatment with dipyridamole modulates the plasma cytokine response during endotoxemia toward a more antiinflammatory profile, this treatment did not prevent LPS-induced vascular dysfunction and renal injury, nor did it influence the LPS-induced increase in FRAP. Our observation that endotoxemia increases FRAP concentrations is in accordance with a previously described FRAP increase observed in sepsis patients [36]. We postulate that this lack of an effect on organ injury is due to the relatively mild and short-lasting inflammatory insult induced during experimental endotoxemia, and it may not rule out the possibility that the antiinflammatory effects of dipyridamole prevent organ dysfunction in the setting of a more severe or more persistent proinflammatory insult, such as during sepsis or autoimmune diseases.

Given the fact that dipyridamole treatment has limited side effects and modulates the innate immune response to a relevant extent, further studies are warranted to explore the immunomodulating potential in patients with systemic inflammation.

Conclusions
Seven-day oral treatment with dipyridamole is associated with increased circulating levels of adenosine and an augmented antiinflammatory response during human experimental endotoxemia that may curtail the release of proinflammatory cytokines.

Key messages
- Seven-day treatment with dipyridamole increases the endogenous adenosine concentration and augments the antiinflammatory response during human experimental endotoxemia.
- A strong correlation exists between the dipyridamole concentration and the endogenous adenosine concentration, which in turn correlates with the IL-10 response.
- The more-pronounced increase in IL-10 is associated with an accelerated decline of proinflammatory cytokines.
- Immunomodulating properties of dipyridamole may be of therapeutic benefit in patients with severe or persisting systemic inflammation.
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