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Summary
Heterozygous mutations of p63, a key transcription factor in epithelial development, are causative in a variety of human ectodermal dysplasia disorders. Although the mutation spectrum of these disorders displays a striking genotype–phenotype association, the molecular basis for this association is only superficially known. Here, we characterize the transcriptional activity and protein stability of ΔNp63 mutants (that is, mutants of a p63 isoform that lacks the N-terminal transactivation domain) that are found in ectrodactyly–ectodermal dysplasia–cleft syndrome (EEC), ankyloblepharon–ectodermal dysplasia–clefting syndrome (AEC) and nonsyndromic split-hand/split-foot malformation (SHFM). DNA-binding and sterile alpha motif (SAM) domain mutants accumulate in the skin of EEC and AEC syndrome patients, respectively, and show extended half-lives in vitro. By contrast, C-terminal mutations found in SHFM patients have half-lives similar to that of the wild-type protein. The increased half-life of EEC and AEC mutant proteins was reverted by overexpression of wild-type ΔNp63. Interestingly, the mutant proteins exhibit normal binding to and degradation by the E3 ubiquitin ligase Itch. Finally, EEC and AEC mutant proteins have reduced transcriptional activity on several skin-specific gene promoters, whereas SHFM mutant proteins are transcriptionally active. Our results, therefore, provide evidence for a regulatory feedback mechanism for p63 that links transcriptional activity to regulation of protein homeostasis by an unknown mechanism. Disruption of this regulatory mechanism might contribute to the pathology of p63-related developmental disorders.
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Introduction
p63 (Yang et al., 1998), a member of the p53 family, has an important role in development, and plays a vital role in regulating epithelial formation and in maintaining the proliferative capacity of epithelial stem cells (Candi et al., 2007b; Senoo et al., 2007). p63 is expressed as at least six different isoforms. Transcription from two different promoters, one preceding the first exon and a second within the third intron, give rise to either a transactivation domain containing (TA) or transactivation domain lacking (ΔN) N-termini of p63 (Yang et al., 1998). Alternative splicing of the C-terminus generates additional α-, β- and γ-isoforms, of which only the full length α-isofrom contains the sterile alpha motif (SAM), thought to mediate protein–protein interactions (Cicero et al., 2006; Yang et al., 1998), and a transactivation inhibitory (TI) domain that can interact with the TA domain. This interaction consequently masks the residues that are important for transactivation, thereby suppressing TAp63-mediated transactivation (Serber et al., 2002; Yang et al., 1998). Interestingly, the ΔNp63 isoforms appear to contain a second transactivation domain (TA2) (Dohn et al., 2001; Duiff et al., 2002) and can therefore regulate expression of a distinct subset of genes as compared with the TAp63 isoforms (Candi et al., 2006). p63 function has been studied in animal models in which p63 expression was ablated (Mills et al., 1999; Yang et al., 1999) or overexpressed (Candi et al., 2006). Knockout mice exhibit severe defects in limb, skin and craniofacial development, and die shortly after birth due to lack of an epidermal barrier (Gu et al., 2006; Laurikkala et al., 2006; Mills et al., 1999; Yang et al., 1999). In adult skin, ΔNp63α is by far the main isoform expressed in the basal layer of the epidermis (Candi et al., 2007a; Candi et al., 2007b; Yang et al., 1998). Although several p63 target genes have been identified (Carroll et al., 2006; Ellisien et al., 2002; Pozzi et al., 2009; Vigano et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2006), a complete assessment of p63 target genes activated in vivo is crucial to understanding of its function.

The expression of p63 has been found to be post-translationally regulated by the HECT-containing ubiquitin E3 ligase Itch (Rossi et al., 2006). Itch has been reported to bind via its WW domain to a PPxY consensus motif in p63, spanning residues 501–504 that lie immediately adjacent to the C-terminal SAM domain. More recently it has been shown that the expression of p63 is also under post-transcriptional regulation through the microRNA machinery by mir203 (Lena et al., 2008; Yi et al., 2008).

Ectodermal dysplasic syndromes (EDS) constitute a large group of autosomal dominant hereditary disorders of skin, orofacial and limb development that can be subdivided into a variety of individual syndromes according to their clinical manifestation (Rinne et al., 2006a). These syndromes include ectrodactyly–
ectodermal dysplasia–cleft lip/palate (EEC), ankyloblepharon–ectodermal dysplasia–clefing (AEC) and split-hand/split-foot malformation (SHFM). Heterozygous mutations in the p63 gene have been found to be causative in a large proportion of human EDS (Rinne et al., 2007). An interesting feature of the involvement of p63 in EDS is that mutations associated with particular syndromes are clustered within specific domains of the p63 protein. Indeed, mutations causing the EEC syndrome are largely clustered within the DNA-binding domain (DBD), whereas mutations associated with AEC are largely confined to the SAM domain (Rinne et al., 2007). The C-terminal Q634X mutation is associated with SHFM, a disorder that does not have a skin phenotype.

It was initially thought that mutations within the DBD of p63 necessarily resulted in a dominant-negative loss of function by preventing DNA binding (Celli et al., 1999). However, this hypothesis has been confounded by the discovery that mutations in arginine 298 of the DBD, resulting in the EEC like syndromes Acro-Dermato-Ungual-Lacrimal-Tooth (ADULT) and limb mammary syndrome (LMS), produce a gain-of-function (Duif et al., 2002; Rinne et al., 2006b). The molecular mechanism for the effect of mutations within the SAM and C-terminal domains of p63 are also unclear. Mutations within the SAM domain responsible for AEC syndrome were originally described as inhibiting the transactivation of a p53 consensus promoter by TAp63 α, while also abolishing the ability of the ΔNp63α isoform to inhibit p53- or TAp63β-mediated transactivation (McGrath et al., 2001). The L514F (leucine 514 to phenylalanine) mutation within the SAM domain has been demonstrated to impair the ability of ΔNp63α to bind and inhibit the splicing factors apobec-1-binding protein-1 (ABBP1) and SRA4, leading to aberrant splicing of components of the FGF and Notch signalling pathways and, indeed, of p63 itself (Candi et al., 2007b; Fomenkov et al., 2003; Huang et al., 2005). Mutations within the SAM domain of p63 have also been reported to prevent its direct binding and transcriptional regulation of the cell cycle checkpoint protein stratifin/14-3-3 σ (Fomenkov et al., 2003; Westfall et al., 2003). The differential effect of mutations within different domains of p63 is underscored by recent reports on the transactivation of the distalless family members Dlx3, Dlx5 and Dlx6 by p63. Mutations in the SAM domain of p63 associated with AEC syndrome abolished the transactivation of Dlx3 but not Dlx5 and Dlx6; whereas mutations in the DBD domain abolished the transactivation of Dlx5 and Dlx6 (Lo Iacono et al., 2008; Radoja et al., 2007). More recently, it has been shown that p63 and IRF6 cooperate to prevent cleft palate in mice (Moretti et al., 2010; Thomason et al., 2010). Outstanding questions regarding the role of p63 mutation in EDS include: What is the role of the individual domains of p63? Why do mutations in a single gene give rise to phenotypically different diseases? What are the molecular mechanisms underlying these diseases?

Here, we examine the effect of disease-causing mutations within the DBD, SAM and C-terminal domains of the ΔNp63α isoform on its stability and ability to bind the ubiquitin E3 ligase Itch. We also examine the effect of p63 mutations on the ability to transactivate target genes known to be important in the development and maintenance of the stratified epithelium. Our results provide an initial understanding of the molecular mechanisms responsible for p63-related developmental disorders and suggest that defects in both transcription and degradation are involved in EDS pathogenesis.

Results

p63 accumulates in skin tissue of patients affected by EEC or AEC syndromes

We analyzed skin sections from normal individuals or patients using immunofluorescence and confocal analysis (Fig. 1A–C). In agreement with previous findings, nuclear p63 staining was...
observed mainly in the basal keratinocyte layer and less in the spinous layer in normal controls. In patients affected by EEC (bearing the mutations R204W, R279H, R280C and R304W) and AEC (mutations T533P and G561D), p63 accumulates in basal and spinous layer keratinocytes as shown by increased red staining (Fig. 1A; quantification of the fluorescent signal is shown in the lower panel). To better evaluate p63 accumulation in the patient keratinocytes, we performed western blots using patient cell lines (Fig. 1B), and confirmed that the p63 protein strongly accumulates in patients affected by EEC (10- to 12-fold over controls; Fig. 1C) and, at a lower level, in a patient affected by AEC (fourfold over control; Fig. 1C). We next performed an immunohistochemical analysis of epidermal proliferation (keratin 14, K14) and differentiation (keratin 10, K10) markers. Most of these proteins, showed the expected staining patterns, both in control and patient skin (Fig. 1D). These results indicate that the p63 mutants accumulate in vivo in patients.

The effect of DBD, SAM and C-terminal domain mutation on steady-state stability and binding to Itch

To further study p63 mutant accumulation in patient tissue sections, we investigated 12 mutations in ΔNp63α known to give rise to phenotypically different ectodermal dysplasia-related syndromes. We chose the ΔNp63α isoform because it is by far the most highly expressed in the basal epithelium of developing limb buds and skin (Laurikkala et al., 2006). The mutations included six DBD mutations known to cause EEC syndrome, four SAM domain mutations known to cause AEC syndrome and one C-terminal domain mutation known to cause SHFM syndrome, along with two mutations of the lysine 637 residue in the C-terminal domain, which is known to be a target for sumoylation in TAp63α (Bakkers et al., 2005; Ghioni et al., 2005; Huang et al., 2004) (Fig. 2A). All the p63 mutations studied exhibited normal nuclear localization upon transfection in epithelial cell lines (supplementary material Fig. S1). We next examined the stability of wild-type and mutant ΔNp63α constructs 48 hours after overexpression in p53-null H1299 cells. Mutations within the DNA binding domain (Fig. 2B, lanes 2–7), and to a lesser extent in the SAM domain (Fig. 2B, lanes 8–11), resulted in increased expression as compared with the wild type; mutations within the C-terminal region had no observable effect (Fig. 2B). The increased expression could be due to an inability of these mutants to undergo ubiquitin-mediated degradation. To test this, we expressed them together with Itch, a ubiquitin E3 ligase known to target p63. Despite their higher basal expression levels, all mutants underwent Itch-mediated degradation as normal (Fig. 2C,D; lanes 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14). To further confirm that normal mutant degradation reflected their ability to interact with Itch, we carried out co-precipitation experiments with exogenously expressed enzymatically inactive Itch. We observed normal (supplementary material Fig. S2, lanes 9–12) or higher (supplementary material Fig. S2, lanes 3–8) interaction of the DBD and SAM mutants of p63 with Itch. Although there was no difference in the accumulation of the C-terminal domain mutants Q634X and K637Q, both also interacted normally with Itch (supplementary material Fig. S2, lanes 13, 14).

To confirm that the increased steady-state expression of the ΔNp63α DBD and SAM mutants was due an increased protein half-life, we exogenously expressed the mutants in H1299 cells and subjected them to [35S]methionine pulse-chase followed by specific immunoprecipitation for metabolically labelled p63. Analysis of the time-course of exogenously expressed p63 showed the expected staining patterns, both in control and patient skin (Fig. 1D). These results indicate that the p63 mutants accumulate in vivo in patients.

**Fig. 2. Mutations of the DNA binding and SAM domains of ΔNp63α increase the steady-state protein stability without interfering with interaction with Itch.** (A) Schematic diagram of ΔNp63α indicating the position of the DNA binding (DBD), isomeration (Iso), sterile alpha motif (SAM) and transactivation inhibitory (TI) domains. The location of the naturally occurring human mutations used in this study and the syndromes associated with them are indicated. Note, that for clarity, the positions of mutations in other p63 isoforms are indicated using the amino acid numbering system for TAp63α according to genetic classification reported in the literature (Rinne et al., 2007). (B) Mutants of the DBD or SAM but, not C-terminal domain, of ΔNp63α showed increased steady-state protein stability. H1299 cells were co-transfected with expression vectors for wild-type, DBD, SAM or C-terminal domain mutant HA-tagged ΔNp63α (HA-ΔNp63α). The panels show the input lysates probed with anti-HA and anti-actin antibodies. (C) Mutants of the DBD domain of ΔNp63α were degraded normally by Itch. H1299 cells were co-transfected with expression vectors for wild-type, DBD, SAM or C-terminal domain mutant HA-tagged ΔNp63α (HA-ΔNp63α). The panels show the input lysates probed with anti-HA and anti-actin antibodies. (D) Mutants of the SAM or TI domain of ΔNp63α were degraded normally by Itch. H1299 cells were co-transfected with expression vectors for wild-type, SAM or TI domain mutant HA-tagged ΔNp63α (HA-ΔNp63α), myc-tagged wild-type Itch (myc-Iitch WT), and GFP vector as transfection control. The panels show the input lysates probed with anti-HA, anti-actin and anti-GFP antibodies. A representative experiment out of three is shown.
degradation in H1299 cells by [35S]methionine pulse-chase revealed a greatly extended half-life for the DBD mutants of more than 6 hours (Fig. 3A,B) and a significantly extended half-life for the SAM domain mutants, from approximately 1.5 hours to 4.5 hours (Fig. 3C,D), consistent with the data in Fig. 1B.

We then investigated whether the coexpression of wild-type FLAG-tagged ΔNp63α would be able to reduce the expression of exogenously expressed DBD mutant (R280C) ΔNp63α. In Fig. 4A we show that coexpression of wild-type ΔNp63α did indeed reduce the expression of the mutant protein whereas coexpression of mutant R279H p63 was unable to achieve this (compare lanes 2 and 4 with lanes 2 and 6). This result suggests that wild-type, but not mutant, ΔNp63α might be involved in a feedback loop decreasing its own stability (compare lanes 1 and 3), perhaps by regulating an as-yet-unknown ubiquitin E3 ligase. A further indication of this was provided when FLAG-tagged wild-type and DBD mutant ΔNp63α were exogenously expressed along with haemagglutinin (HA) tagged ubiquitin. The level of ubiquitylation of the wild-type protein was found to be higher than that of the mutant protein (Fig. 4B). To identify genes involved in this process, we performed transcriptional profiling analysis in SaOs-2 cells upon expression of ΔNp63 and, for comparison, TAp63. Enrichment analysis of Gene Ontology-derived gene sets identified a cluster of genes, corresponding to the 9.3% of the modulated genes (supplementary material Table S1) involved in ubiquitylation and protein degradation (Fig. 4). Interestingly, both ΔNp63 and TAp63 exerted a similar effect on the transcription of these ubiquitylation-and degradation-related genes. Three genes from this set, RNF144B, MDM2 and HERC4, were validated by real-time PCR (Fig. 4D).

Although we failed to identify a specific new degradation pathway involving ΔNp63, this data provides an indication that p63 target genes control p63 protein stability.

Effect of ΔNp63α mutations on skin-specific promoter transactivation

We next decided to examine the effect of EDS-related mutations of p63 on its ability to transactivate several promoters known to be important in skin development (Fig. 5). As a prelude to examining the ability of the DBD, SAM and C-terminal domain mutants of p63 to transactivate skin-specific promoters we decided to investigate both the ability of ΔNp63α mutants to bind DNA and its subcellular localization. In chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments using consensus p53 binding sites from the K14 promoter (Candi et al., 2006) (Fig. 5A), we confirmed that there was no alteration in the ability of the SAM domain mutants of ΔNp63α to bind DNA, whereas the mutant analyzed had different binding capabilities. We also investigated the subcellular localization of the DBD, SAM and C-terminal domain mutants of ΔNp63α when exogenously expressed in H1299 cells. Confocal microscopy for ΔNp63α revealed normal nuclear localization for all the mutants examined (supplementary material Fig. S1), which confirmed that any alteration in transactivational capacity cannot be due to mislocalization of the exogenously expressed proteins.

To determine the transactivation capacity on skin-specific promoters, we transfected cells with either wild-type or EDS mutant ΔNp63α together with reporter constructs containing the luciferase gene under the control of the K14 promoter and the BPAG-1 promoter. Wild-type ΔNp63α was able to transactivate...
Fig. 4. The increased stability of DBD mutant ΔNp63α can be reversed by coexpression of excess wild-type ΔNp63α. (A) H1299 cells were co-transfected with 2.5 μg expression vectors for HA-tagged wild-type or DBD mutant ΔNp63α (HA-ΔNp63α) and 5 μg FLAG-tagged wild-type or DBD mutant ΔNp63α (FLAG-ΔNp63α) or empty pcDNA3-HA vector to normalize DNA levels and GFP. The panels show the cell lysates probed with anti-HA, anti-Flag, anti-p63 (4A4 pan p63), anti-GFP and anti-actin antibodies. Immunoprecipitation was carried out and the immunoprecipitate probed for the two promoters (Fig. 5B). Both SAM and DBD mutations resulted in inhibition of the transactivational capability of ΔNp63α on the two promoters tested. The natural C-terminal domain mutant (Q634X) and K637R both enhanced K14 and BPAG-1 promoter activity. We next tested the effect of coexpressing wild-type and mutant ΔNp63α on skin promoter transactivation. SAM and DBD mutants were able to suppress the transactivation of both K14 and BPAG-1 promoters by the wild-type protein, whereas the C-terminal mutants had no inhibitory effects (Fig. 5C). To further examine the transactivation activity of the mutant Q634X and to extend the observation described in Fig. 5, we performed quantitative real-time PCR upon expression of exogenous wild-type and mutated ΔNp63 in cells to evaluate the transcript levels of several p63 target genes. Interestingly, we found that the mutant Q634X had different effects on p63 transcriptional activity in comparison with the wild-type: it displayed increased activity towards the targets PERP and Cyc D1, decreased activity towards DSP, PROX1, DLL1, MTUS1 and K17, and had unchanged activity towards p21.

Discussion

In this study, we have investigated the phenotype–genotype relationship between EDS and mutations in ΔNp63α. The data
show that mutations in the DBD and SAM domains are associated with EEC and AEC, which have a skin phenotype, whereas mutations in the C-termius cause SHFM, which does not. The DBD and SAM mutants, but not those in the C-terminus, result in increased protein stability, as shown by their enhanced expression in the skin of affected patients and by in vitro studies. Moreover, DBD and SAM mutations, but, again, not those in the C-terminus, result in reduced transcriptional activity on skin-specific promoters (Fig. 5D).

The increased stability of the DBD and SAM mutants is difficult to explain. All the mutants tested bind efficiently to the E3 ubiquitin ligase, Itch, which we have shown to be important for the proteasomal degradation of ΔNp63α. At least the R279H DBD mutant can be ubiquitylated, albeit less efficiently than the wild-type protein (Fig. 4B). Coexpression of wild-type ΔNp63α with a DBD domain mutant resulted in increased degradation of the mutant protein (Fig. 4A), suggesting that wild-type ΔNp63α is able to induce factors that augment ubiquitin-dependent or ubiquitin-independent mutant degradation. Indeed, merely increasing wild-type ΔNp63α expression also seems to destabilize the wild-type protein. The R280C DBD mutant, however, is unable to increase R279H degradation. Indeed, the enrichment analysis of Gene Ontology-derived gene sets identified a cluster of genes involved in ubiquitylation and protein degradation (Fig. 4C).

Although we failed to identify a specific new degradation pathway involving ΔNp63, this result suggests that wild-type ΔNp63α, but not the transcriptionally inactive mutants, might be involved in a feedback loop controlling its own stability, perhaps by upregulating an as-yet unknown ubiquitin E3 ligase or an accessory factor. Recent studies have identified IRF6 as a new regulator for p63 protein stability in mice palate development and keratinocytes (Moretti et al., 2010; Thomason et al., 2010). However, the investigators failed to identify the molecular mechanism by which IRF6, being a transcription factor, contribute to proteasome-mediated p63 degradation. In the above cited studies, the authors investigated exclusively one transcriptionally inactive mutant (the EEC mutants, R279H) that was resistant to IRF6-mediated downregulation. Our data clearly indicate that, besides IRF6, a new direct mechanism dependent on p63 transcription activity could contribute to the control of ΔNp63 stability.

The DBD and SAM domain mutants, but not those in the C-terminus, in general show absent or drastically reduced transcriptional activity. This is not due to altered localization because all the mutants tested exhibited normal nuclear staining. Moreover, all the mutants tested showed normal DNA binding, as assessed by ChIP. In addition to their greatly reduced transcriptional activity on promoters of genes such as K14 and BPAG-1, the DBD and SAM domain mutants can inhibit the transcriptional activity of wild-type ΔNp63α because they retained their capacity to form heteromeric p63 complexes. Because EEC and AEC are autosomal dominant disorders, the DBD and SAM mutations associated with these diseases have a dual effect on expression of epidermal proteins: by being transcriptionally deficient themselves, and by inhibiting transactivation by the residual wild-type allele.

By contrast, the C-terminal mutants both retain transcriptional competence and fail to inhibit effectively transcription by wild-type ΔNp63α, perhaps explaining the lack of a skin phenotype in these patients. An extensive analysis of the Q634X mutant (Fig. 6) showed that it has different effects in driving the expression of different target genes transcripts. The effect of the Q634X mutant is likely due to misexpression of specific target genes during limb development.

Fig. 6. Expression analysis of the target genes: comparison between wild-type ΔNp63 and Q634X mutant. Real-time PCR of the indicated target genes with RNA extracted from transfected HEK293 cells. Efficiencies of transfection were compared by GFP co-transfection. The results shown are average of three independent experiments ± s.d.
Materials and Methods

Cell culture, plasmids and transfection
H1299 were grown in RPMI medium (GibcoBRL), and HEK293T were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (GibcoBRL). All media were supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) fetal bovine serum (GibcoBRL), and cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% (vol/vol) CO2 in air. N-terminally Myc-tagged Itch plasmids and N-terminally FLAG-tagged TAp63x or ΔNp63x constructs were as described previously (Rossi et al., 2006). EDS-related p63 mutants were generated from pCDNA3 plasmids containing human N-terminally HA-tagged wild-type TAp63x or ΔNp63x using the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). Co-transfected GFP-expressing plasmid pEGFP-C1 was used to confirm efficient transfections in all experiments. Where required, empty pCDNA3-HA, FLAG or Myc vector was added to ensure equal total amounts of plasmid DNA in each transfection. Transient transfections were performed with Lipofectamine 2000 reagent according to the protocol of the manufacturer (Invitrogen).

Western blot and antibodies
Western blots were performed following standard procedures, using polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (PVDF). Detection was performed with the Amersham ECL Western Blotting Detection System (GE Healthcare). Endogenous Itch was detected with mouse monoclonal antibody (BD Biosciences), actin with mouse monoclonal antibody (C-11), and anti-actin antibody was used as a loading control and anti-GFP as a control for efficient transfection. 35S pulse-chase experiments were performed as described previously (Rossi et al., 2006).

Human primary keratinocyte culture
Skin biopsies from the trunk were taken from EEC patients carrying R240W, R279H, R280C and R304W mutations and from AEC patients with T533P and G561D mutations to establish the primary keratinocyte culture. Keratinocyte culture in KGM when cells were fully confluent.

Immunoprecipitation
Cells were transiently transfected with the indicated mammalian expression plasmids and harvested 48 hours after transfection. Cells were lysed on ice in a solution containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaF (pH 8.0), 10% glycerol, 1 mM MgCl2, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1% (vol/vol) Triton X-100, and complete protease inhibitors (Roche). Protein concentrations were determined with the Bio-Rad protein assay reagent. Immunoprecipitation were performed by incubating 1.5 mg of whole-cell extracts with the indicated antibodies pre-bound to Protein-G Agarose beads (KPL, Gaithersburg, MD) and mixing gently for 1 hour at 4°C. Immunoprecipitates were then washed four times in lysis buffer and denatured in reducing Laemml buffer. For alkaline phosphatase treatment, immunoprecipitates were washed four times with PBS then resuspended in 50 µl buffer, plus 10 units calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase (New England Biolabs) in the absence or presence of phosphatase inhibitors (10 mM NaVO₃ and 50 mM EDTA) and incubated for 60 minutes at 37°C. For co-immunoprecipitation showing the binding of Itch to different DNp63 mutants (supplementary material Fig. S2), the cells treated for 40 minutes with or without proteasome inhibitor MG132, at a final concentration of 50 µM in DMSO.

Steady-state protein level analysis
Levels of p63 proteins were determined 48 hours after co-transfection with the indicated combination of plasmids. Cell lysates were subjected to western blotting. p63 proteins were detected by using an anti-HA antibody. The same blots were re-probed with anti-Myc antibody to detect Itch. Anti-actin antibody was used as a loading control and anti-GFP as a control for efficient transfection. 35S pulse-chase experiments were performed as described previously (Rossi et al., 2006).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation and luciferase reporter assays
Chromatin immunoprecipitation to access binding to the first, second and third p53 responsive site in the K14 promoter was carried out as previously described (Candi et al., 2006) in Saos 2 cells line stably expressing the indicated wild-type or SAM domain point mutants of ΔNp63x and TAp63x proteins. The following primers amplifying the main p53 responsive element in the K14 promoter were used: forward 5'-CCTCTTCGCCCGGTGGC-3' and reverse 5'-CGTTTTTGCAGCCTTGGAGG-3'. Luciferase reporter assays for transactivation activity were carried out in HEK 293 cells as previously described (Candi et al., 2006) using the firefly luciferase gene under the control of the K14, EVPL, BPAG-1 promoter and expression vectors encoding for wild-type or mutant TAp63x and ΔNp63x.

Immunofluorescence and confocal analysis of skin biopsies
Skin biopsies from patients and unaffected individuals were obtained from informed volunteers according to hospital ethical committee practice and fixed in 4% buffered formaldehyde solution. Tissue samples were then stained for p63, K14, K10 and K67, and counterstained for DNA (DAP) as described previously (Candi et al., 2006). Slides were analyzed with a confocal laser microscope (LSM 510; Zeiss). Quantification of the signal was performed using Laboratory Imaging software (Nikon).

RNA extraction and quantitative real-time RT-PCR
Total RNA was extracted by using the RNAeasy kit (Qiagen, Milan, Italy) and quantified by spectrophotometric analysis. Total RNA (500 ng) was used for reverse transcription using the InPromII kit (Promega, Madison, WI) following the manufacturer's instructions. Real-time PCR was performed using Platinum SYBR Green qPCR SuperMix (Invitrogen), with the following amplification file: one cycle of 3 minutes at 95°C, and 40 cycles of 20 seconds at 94°C and 40 seconds at 59°C. PCR reaction was followed by a melting curve protocol according to the specification of the ABI 7500 instrument (Applied Biosystems). Human β-actin mRNA was used as housekeeping gene for quantity normalization. Relative quantification of gene expression was calculated according to the method of 2^ΔΔCT as described in ABI User Bulletin no. 2 (http://www3.appliedbiosystems.com/cms/groups/mch_support/documents/general/documents/cms_049080.pdf, updated on October 2001) and the RQ software version 1.3 of Applied Biosystems. Primer pairs used in PCR reactions are: PERF forward 5'-TGTGGGTTAGAGTCTTCCCA-3', PERF reverse 5'-CCAATACCTCTCCAGAAGACAGC-3'; MTUS1 forward 5'-TCCACGGAGGAGCTTGC-3'; MTUS1 reverse 5'-AGGAGATGGCGGAGTGTTG-3'; p21 forward 5'-TGGGATATGTCGTCAGAACC-3', p21 reverse 5'-GGCCTTTGCAGGTTGAGAAATCG-3'; CycD1 forward 5'-AGAGGGGCCAGAAGACAAACAG-3', CycD1 reverse 5'-AGGCGTTAGGAGACAGAAAGTTG-3'; DLL1 forward 5'-CCCAAGAGGAGAGAGATGATG-3'; DLL1 reverse 5'-TGATGGTAACCAACACGCTGTGGC-3'; PROX1 forward 5'-GACGCTCCGTCGAGACTCA-3', PROX1 reverse 5'-TGCGGACACCTGAAATGC-3'; DSP forward 5'-TGGACATGCTCGAAGAAA-3', DSP reverse 5'-TGAATGCTGACAGCTTCAAC-3', DSP reverse 5'-TGAATGCTGACAGCTTCAAC-3', DSP forward 5'-TCAATCTGACCTTCAACATG-3', K17 forward 5'-TCAATCTGACCTTCAACATG-3', K17 reverse 5'-TCATGTGCAAGACCTTCAACATG-3', β-actin forward 5'-CTGCGACCACTCCTTCAACATG-3', β-actin reverse 5'-TAGACACCTGCTGATGAGACAG-3'.

Gene array
Affymetrix gene expression microarrays were analyzed using Affymetrix proprietary software for image processing. The rma algorithm (Bolstad et al., 2003), as implemented in the ‘affy’ Bioconductor package (Gautier et al., 2004), was used to generate normalized probe-set signals. Gene sets derived from Gene Ontology-based annotations were tested for enrichment in upregulated and downregulated genes (p63 versus control) using the GSEA algorithm (Gene-Set Enrichment Analysis) (Subramanian et al., 2005). Gene-set enrichment results were explored using the Cytoscape plugin Enrichment Map (Merci et al., 2010).
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