SS18 Together with Animal-Specific Factors Defines Human BAF-Type SWI/SNF Complexes
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Abstract

Background: Nucleosome translocation along DNA is catalyzed by eukaryotic SNF2-type ATPases. One class of SNF2-ATPases is distinguished by the presence of a C-terminal bromodomain and is conserved from yeast to man and plants. This class of SNF2 enzymes forms rather large protein complexes that are collectively called SWI/SNF complexes. They are involved in transcription and DNA repair. Two broad types of SWI/SNF complexes have been reported in the literature; PBAF and BAF. These are distinguished by the inclusion or not of polybromo and several ARID subunits. Here we investigated human SS18, a protein that is conserved in plants and animals. SS18 is a putative SWI/SNF subunit which has been implicated in the etiology of synovial sarcomas by virtue of being a target for oncogenic chromosomal translocations that underlie synovial sarcomas.

Methodology/Principal Findings: We pursued a proteomic approach whereby the SS18 open reading frame was fused to a tandem affinity purification tag and expressed in amenable human cells. The fusion permitted efficient and exclusive purification of so-called BAF-type SWI/SNF complexes which bear ARID1A/BAF250a or ARID1B/BAF250b subunits. This demonstrates that SS18 is a BAF subtype-specific SWI/SNF complex subunit. The same result was obtained when using the SS18-SSX1 oncogenic translocation product. Furthermore, SS18L1, DPF1, DPF2, DPF3, BRD9, BCL7A, BCL7B and BCL7C were identified. ‘Complex walking’ showed that they all co-purify with each other, defining human BAF-type complexes. By contrast, we demonstrate that human PHF10 is part of the PBAF complex, which harbors both ARID2/BAF200 and polybromo/BAF180 subunits, but not SS18 and nor the above BAF-specific subunits.

Conclusions/Significance: SWI/SNF complexes are found in most eukaryotes and in the course of evolution new SWI/SNF subunits appeared. SS18 is found in plants as well as animals. Our results suggest that in both protostome and deuterostome animals, a class of BAF-type SWI/SNF complexes will be found that harbor SS18 or its paralogs, along with ARID1, DPF and BCL7 paralogs. Those BAF complexes are proteomically distinct from the eukaryote-wide PBAF-type SWI/SNF complexes. Finally, our results suggest that the human bromodomain factors BRD7 and BRD9 associate with PBAF and BAF, respectively.

Introduction

Gene expression programs determine cell identity and response to endocrine stimuli, as has been demonstrated most dramatically by the generation of induced pluripotent stem cells with the Oct4, Sox2, Klf4 and c-Myc transcription factors [1]. Such epigenetic programming involves many nucleosome remodeling activities [2]. Besides covalent nucleosome modifications such as acetylation and methylation, a second type of nucleosome remodelling involves translocation of nucleosomes along chromosomal DNA [3–5] as well as the catalysis of alternative nucleosome conformations, and even nucleosome eviction [6–8]. These nucleosome translocations are catalyzed by SNF2 type enzymes, a group of ATPases that belongs to the SFII ATPase superfamily that includes many helicases [9]. The present paper is concerned with a subtype of the nucleosome remodelling SNF2 enzymes that are uniquely characterized by a C-terminal bromodomain, represented in yeast by Snf2 and Sth1, in Drosophila by brahma and in humans by BRM and BRG1.

The C-terminal bromo domain-bearing SNF2 enzymes are found in so-called SWI/SNF multiprotein complexes and are conserved in most eukaryotes. They are implicated in transcriptional regulation and multiple DNA repair pathways [10–25]. These large multi-protein complexes consist of at least 4 evolutionarily conserved core subunits represented in man by SMARCB1 and the SMARCA2/A4, SMARCC1/C2 and SMARCD1/D2/D3 paralogs [26], and a large number of ancillary subunits, some of which define SWI/SNF complex subtypes. Interestingly, SWI/SNF complexes were identified as biochemical factors that dramatically reduce the amount of time required to reprogram mouse embryonic fibroblasts into iPS at the hand of recombinant transcription factors [27], underscoring the
importance of SWI/SNF in epigenetic programming processes [20]. Indeed, SWI/SNF has been mapped to some 50,000 human chromosomal sites in one cultured human cell line, demonstrating that this protein complex is a feature of many cis-acting regulatory elements, including DNA replication origins [29].

In mice and humans, at least 20 different SWI/SNF complex subunits have been reported (Table 1) [10,30–43]. ‘Core’ subunits are found in virtually all cellular SWI/SNF complexes, whilst others define SWI/SNF complex subtypes. There are two broad classes of SWI/SNF complexes known; BAF-type SWI/SNF complexes (BRG1/BRM-associated factors) bear either one of ARID1A/BAF250a or ARID1B/BAF250b, whilst PBAF (Polybromo-associated BAF) complexes harbor both ARID2/BAF200 and polybromo/BAF180 subunits [38,39,44,45]. Functionally, ARID1B/BAF250b was shown to be required to maintain ES cell identity [46] whilst ARID1A/BAF250a is required to permit proper ES cell differentiation with retinoic acid [47]. Furthermore, the SMARCC variant BAF170 is expressed less upon ES cell differentiation [43,48,49]. Similarly, the switch from one actin related subunit, BAF53A, to its paralog BAF53B appears to play a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein alternative names</th>
<th>polyA mRNA*</th>
<th>TAP</th>
<th>INI1</th>
<th>SS18</th>
<th>SS18SSX1</th>
<th>BCL7A</th>
<th>BCL7C</th>
<th>DPF2</th>
<th>BRD9</th>
<th>PHF10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRG1 SMARCA4</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>5.337</td>
<td>4.440</td>
<td>2.039</td>
<td>2.290</td>
<td>1.662</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRF SMARCA2</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.339</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF250A SMARCF1, ARID1A</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>5.692</td>
<td>2.079</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>1.766</td>
<td>1.485</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF250B ARID1B, OSA1</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.728</td>
<td>1.540</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.638</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF200 ARID2, zipzap</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF180 Polypolybromo-1</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF170 SMARCC2</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.532</td>
<td>2.793</td>
<td>2.360</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>1.432</td>
<td>1.154</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>1.745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF155 SMARCC1</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.981</td>
<td>5.813</td>
<td>3.467</td>
<td>1.239</td>
<td>2.043</td>
<td>2.831</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF60A SMARCD1</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>3.037</td>
<td>3.037</td>
<td>0.784</td>
<td>2.054</td>
<td>1.477</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF60B SMARCD2</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>7.161</td>
<td>4.080</td>
<td>1.762</td>
<td>2.875</td>
<td>2.384</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF60C SMARCD3</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.102</td>
<td>0.346</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.346</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF57 SMARCE1</td>
<td>9.97</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>11.115</td>
<td>5.190</td>
<td>1.154</td>
<td>3.642</td>
<td>3.217</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF53A ACTL6A, ArpN8</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>6.305</td>
<td>6.305</td>
<td>2.511</td>
<td>2.511</td>
<td>0.874</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF53B ACTL6B, ArpN8</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF47 SMARCB1, INI1, SNF5</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td>5.449</td>
<td>3.160</td>
<td>1.154</td>
<td>3.160</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>0.823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF45A PHF10</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF45B DPF1</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF45C DPF3, CERD4</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF45D DPF2, REQ, UBID4</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.623</td>
<td>1.610</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>1.371</td>
<td>1.873</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS18 SYT, SSXT</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS18L1 CREST</td>
<td>9.92</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL7A -</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.874</td>
<td>1.310</td>
<td>3.329</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL7B Hom s 3</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL7C -</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.783</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.915</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRD7 CELTIX-1</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRD9 MU-RMS-40.8</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.505</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSX1 -</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.154</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLTSCR1 GSCR1</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>1.116</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRRM2 -</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYBBP1A p160</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NONO NMT55, p54(nrb)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.966</td>
<td>0.292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMA1 -</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPPQ PSF</td>
<td>11.42</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.624</td>
<td>0.528</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDX3X HLP2</td>
<td>12.18</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.159</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDX17 p72</td>
<td>11.85</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.578</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBM14 COAA</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.736</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDX5 p68</td>
<td>17.37</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.223</td>
<td>0.805</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Protein abundance is represented by the exponentially modified Protein Abundance Index [96].

*mRNA abundance was estimated from probe set fluorescence signal intensities, as recommended by Affymetrix (see Data S2).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033834.t001
key role in neuron progenitor differentiation [41,50]. Tissue specific expression of paralogous subunits has also been reported for the BAF60 variants [32,51–53], as well as for the minor SWI/SNF subunits DPF1 and 3 [41,42,50].

Strikingly, multiple SWI/SNF subunits function as tumor suppressors in man and mouse, adding a key medical dimension to SWI/SNF research [24,54–66]. For instance: the INI1 T-box mouse is the most lethal tumor suppressor mouse model reported to date [55], suggesting a decisive role for SWI/SNF in cell proliferation control. Cell cycle roles for SWI/SNF-type complexes have indeed been documented in human and in model organisms [67–78].

Another link to cancer is provided by the SS18-SSX onc fusion proteins [79]. Synovial sarcomas are aggressive soft-tissue sarcomas accounting for about ten percent of all human soft-tissue sarcomas [80]. Characteristic for synovial sarcomas is the t(X;18)(p11.2;q11.2) translocation which is found in over 95% of all synovial sarcoma cases and results in the fusion of the SS18 (also called Syt) gene on chromosome 18 with one of the highly homologous SSX genes, SSX1, SSX2 or SSX4, on the X chromosome and consequently the expression of SS18-SSX fusion proteins [81–84]. These translocation events are believed to be the main molecular basis of this disease [79]. Orthology of the SS18 protein also exists in plants. They are positive regulator of cell proliferation in lateral organs, such as leaves and flowers and appear to control aspects of cell proliferation together with DNA sequence-specific GRF transcription factors [85,86]. Mammalian SS18 has been reported to associate with SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complexes and to interact with BRG1 and BRM proteins [87–90]. In order to identify protein interactors of the SS18 and SS18-SSX proteins and to characterize the SS18 and SS18-SSX complexes we exploited a Tandem Affinity Purification (TAP) tagging approach combined with mass spectrometric analysis [91].

We found SS18 to be present in BAF-class human SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complexes. Purification of SS18-SSX1 revealed that this onc fusion protein resides in the same complexes. Interestingly, we detected several additional putative SWI/SNF interactors [92–95]. Complex walking revealed the presence of these proteins in the same BAF SWI/SNF complexes as SS18, refining observations made by others [41,43]. Overall, we conclude that human SS18 and its parologue SS18L1/CREST together with; double PHD finger factors (DPF1,-2,-3), the B-cell CLL/lymphoma 7A (BCL7A) and bromodomain containing protein 9 (BRD9) [Table 1, Figure 1B]. Because the chromosomal translocation t(X;18)(p11.2;q11.2) results in production of the oncogenic SS18-SSX1 protein fusion it was of interest to compare the proteomic environments of SS18 and the SS18 onc fusions. Essentially, purification of SS18-SSX1 TAP resulted in the same set of interactors as purification of SS18 TAP, with the exception of peptides originating from the SSX1 moiety of the onc fusion protein (Table 1). All subunits of the SWI/SNF BAF variant complexes were identified, as well as the novel interactors GLTSCR1, DPFP2 and its paralog DPFP1, BRD9, and BCL7A and its paralogs BCL7B and C (Table 1, Figure 1B). We conclude that, similarly to SS18, the SS18-SSX1 onc fusion protein also resides in both the ARID1A and ARID1B-bearing BAF variants of human SWI/SNF.

**Results**

**TAP-tag purification of SWI/SNF complexes**

In order to define the protein complexes harboring known and suspected human SWI/SNF subunits we generated stable human embryonic kidney cell (Hek293) clones transduced with retroviral TAP-tag fusion expression constructs [91]. The following eight TAP-fusions were purified and analyzed by mass spectrometric analysis; INI1, SS18 and its oncogenic fusion product SS18-SSX1, BCL7A and BCL7C, DPFP2, PHFP10 and BRD9 (Figure 1A). The proteomic data we have collected (Table 1, Data S1) is schematized in Figure 1B, where the thickness of the edges reflect co-purification efficiency [96].

**INI1**

INI1 is a core subunit of SWI/SNF complexes that is also known as hSNF5, SMARCBL1 or BAF47. In our hands the yield of SWI/SNF complexes obtained with INI1 TAP has consistently been comparatively low. For instance, in most INI1 TAP preparations we detect BRG1 but not BRM, and ARID1A but not ARID1B (Table 1). This is consistent with BRG1 and ARID1A mRNA levels being 2–3 times that of their respective paralogs in Hek293 cells (Table 1, Data S2), a fact that is also reflected in the yields of these paralogous subunits in all the purifications (Table 1). Another indication that the INI1 TAP construct is not amenable to very high yield SWI/SNF purifications is that of all the proteins we employed here to purify SWI/SNF complexes, only two, PHFP10 and BRD7, are detected by INI1 TAP, whilst INI1 was detected in all the reciprocal purifications (Table 1).

In keeping with a role as a core SWI/SNF subunit, INI1 TAP purifications harbored both PBAF and BAF-specific SWI/SNF subunits (Table 1, Table 2). The comparatively higher yield of the PBAF-specific subunits ARID2 and polybromo versus the BAF-specific ARID1A and ARID1B suggests that INI1-bearing PBAF complexes are more preponderant than INI1-bearing BAF complexes in Hek293 cells, in line with the higher expression level of the PBAF-specific polybromo subunit (Table 1).

**SS18 and the oncogenic SS18-SSX fusions are BAF subunits**

SS18 TAP purifications yielded high levels of SWI/SNF (Table 1, Figure 1). All known core subunits were found, consistent with previous work [87,97]. Since both ARID1A and ARID1B but no ARID2 nor polybromo peptides were found, SS18 appears to be specific to both the ARID1A and ARID1B-bearing BAF-class variants of SWI/SNF (Table 1). Furthermore, several other potential SS18 interactors were identified, including GLIoma Tumor Suppressor Candidate Region gene 1 protein (GLTSCR1), zinc finger protein ubi-d4 (DPFP2), B-cell CLL/lymphoma 7A (BCL7A) and bromodomain containing protein 9 (BRD9) [Table 1, Figure 1B]. Because the chromosomal translocation t(X;18)(p11.2;q11.2) results in production of the oncogenic SS18-SSX1 protein fusion it was of interest to compare the proteomic environments of SS18 and the SS18 onc fusions. Essentially, purification of SS18-SSX1 TAP resulted in the same set of interactors as purification of SS18 TAP, with the exception of peptides originating from the SSX1 moiety of the onc fusion protein (Table 1). All subunits of the SWI/SNF BAF variant complexes were identified, as well as the novel interactors GLTSCR1, DPFP2 and its paralog DPFP1, BRD9, and BCL7A and its paralogs BCL7B and C (Table 1, Figure 1B). We conclude that, similarly to SS18, the SS18-SSX1 onc fusion protein also resides in both the ARID1A and ARID1B-bearing BAF variants of human SWI/SNF.

**DPFP2 resides in BAF**

DPFP2, also known as ubi-d4 or Requiem, is ubiquitously expressed and implicated in apoptosis [92]. It belongs to the d4 family which in humans consists of three paralogous genes: neuro-d4 (DPFP1), ubi-d4 (DPFP2) and cer-d4 (DPFP3) [98,99]. This gene family is not present in any of the currently sequenced plant genomes. Figure 2A shows that DPFP factors harbor a conserved N-terminal domain (Pfam14051, [100]), a central C2H2-type Kruppel zinc finger motif with potential nucleic acid binding activity and C-terminal double paired finger PHD domains that have been shown to mediate conditional protein-protein interactions [42,101,102]. DPFP1, 2, 3 and PHFP10 were named BAF45A-D [41] because they were found in biochemical SWI/SNF preparations.
The DPF2TAP purification results indicate that DPF2 resides mainly in ARID1-bearing BAF complexes, since no polybromo or ARID2 peptides were identified, whilst high confidence ARID1A and ARID1B peptides were detected (Table 1). Furthermore, like SS18TAP, DPF2TAP co-purified BCL7A and BRD9 as well as the SS18 paralog, SS18l1. Association of DPF2 with SS18 was further confirmed by co-immunoprecipitation (Figure 2C).

PHF10 resides in PBAF

PHF10 harbors two PHD domains but it is not a member of the DPF paralog group as it lacks a central Krüppel zinc finger motif, and harbors a SAY domain that is conserved in animals but not plants [103] (Figure 2A). In contrast to DPF2TAP, PHF10TAP was second only to INI1TAP in its yield of the PBAF-specific subunits ARID2 and polybromo (Table 1), demonstrating a strong association with PBAF-class SWI/SNF complexes. None of the BAF-associated SS18, DPF or BCL7 factors were detected in PHF10TAP preparations, suggesting that PHF10 indeed resides in a distinct subset of SWI/SNF complexes. Complete exclusion of PHF10 from BAF complexes may not be the case however, since one high confidence ARID1A-derived peptide was identified. Whether this reflects physiological subunit exchange between subtypes of SWI/SNF complexes or mal-assembled complexes remains an open question.
Notably, high confidence BRD7 peptides were detected, similar to the INI1TAP purification (Table 1), suggesting that PHF10 forms PBAF-type SWI/SNF complexes that can harbor BRD7 but not BRD9 since, PHF10TAP did not pull down BRD9, in contrast to SS18TAP, SS18-SSX1TAP and BCL7CTAP which did pull down BRD9 (Table 1, Figure 1B).

**Table 2. Orthologs of known SWI/SNF complex subunits in human, fly and yeast.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human Protein</th>
<th>Alternative human names</th>
<th>Human complex</th>
<th>Drosophila melanogaster</th>
<th>Fly complex</th>
<th>Saccharomyces cerevisiae SWI/SNF</th>
<th>Saccharomyces cerevisiae RSC [134]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRG1</td>
<td>SMARCA4</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>brahma/CG5942</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>SNC2</td>
<td>STH1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRM</td>
<td>SMARCA2</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>brahma/CG5942</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>SNC2</td>
<td>STH1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF250A</td>
<td>SMARCF1, ARID1A</td>
<td>BAF</td>
<td>OSA/eyelid/CG7467</td>
<td>BAP</td>
<td>SW1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF250B</td>
<td>ARID1B, OSA1</td>
<td>BAF</td>
<td>OSA/eyelid/CG7467</td>
<td>SW1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF200</td>
<td>ARID2, zip zap</td>
<td>PBAF</td>
<td>BAP170/GS3274</td>
<td>PBAF</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF180</td>
<td>Polybromo-1</td>
<td>PBAF</td>
<td>polybromo/BAP180/CG11375</td>
<td>PBAF</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF170</td>
<td>SMARCC2</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>moira/BAP155/CG18740</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>SW3</td>
<td>RSC8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF155</td>
<td>SMARCC1</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>moira/BAP155/CG18740</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>SW3</td>
<td>RSC8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF60A</td>
<td>SMARCD1</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>BAP60/CG4303</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>SWP73</td>
<td>RSC6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF60B</td>
<td>SMARCD2</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>BAP60/CG4303</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>SWP73</td>
<td>RSC6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF60C</td>
<td>SMARCD3</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>BAP60/CG4303</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>SWP73</td>
<td>RSC6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF57</td>
<td>SMARCE1</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>dalaos/BAP111/CG7055</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF53A</td>
<td>ACTL6A, ArpNb</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>BAP55/CG6546</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>ARP7 &amp; ARP9</td>
<td>ARP7 &amp; ARP9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF53B</td>
<td>ACTL6B, ArpNa</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>ARP7 &amp; ARP9</td>
<td>ARP7 &amp; ARP9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF47</td>
<td>SMARCB1, INI1, SNF5</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>SNR1/CG1064</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>SNF5</td>
<td>SFH1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF45A</td>
<td>PHF10</td>
<td>PBAF</td>
<td>elyj3/SAYP/CG12238</td>
<td>PBAF</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF45B</td>
<td>DPF1</td>
<td>BAF</td>
<td>d4/CG2682</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF45C</td>
<td>DPF3, CERD4</td>
<td>BAF</td>
<td>d4/CG2682</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAF45D</td>
<td>DPF2, REQ, UBI4</td>
<td>BAF</td>
<td>d4/CG2682</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS18</td>
<td>SYT, SSX</td>
<td>BAF</td>
<td>CG10555</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS18L1</td>
<td>CREST</td>
<td>BAF</td>
<td>CG10555</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL7A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BAF</td>
<td>BCL7-like/CG17252</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL7B</td>
<td>Hom s 3</td>
<td>BAF</td>
<td>BCL7-like/CG17252</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL7C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BAF</td>
<td>BCL7-like/CG17252</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRD7</td>
<td>CELTIX-1</td>
<td>PBAF</td>
<td>CG7154</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRD9</td>
<td>MU-RMS-40.8</td>
<td>BAF</td>
<td>CG7154</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>actin</td>
<td>act1</td>
<td>actin</td>
<td>actin</td>
<td></td>
<td>actin</td>
<td>RTT102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>actin</td>
<td>NPL6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>actin</td>
<td>HTL1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>actin</td>
<td>LDB7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>actin</td>
<td>RSC3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>actin</td>
<td>RSC5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>actin</td>
<td>RSC9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>actin</td>
<td>SNF6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notably, high confidence BRD7 peptides were detected, similar to the INI1TAP purification (Table 1), suggesting that PHF10 forms PBAF-type SWI/SNF complexes that can harbor BRD7 but not BRD9 since, PHF10TAP did not pull down BRD9, in contrast to SS18TAP, SS18-SSX1TAP and BCL7CTAP which did pull down BRD9 (Table 1, Figure 1B).

**BCL7 proteins reside mainly in BAF**

Similar to multiple SWI/SNF subunits, BCL7 family members have been implicated in carcinogenesis [93,94]. The presence of BCL7 family members in SWI/SNF complexes has been reported before [43]. We succeeded in purifying BCL7ATAP and BCL7CTAP-associated proteins (Table 1, Figure 1B). In both cases and in contrast to INI1TAP and PHF10TAP, next to the core SWI/SNF subunits we also recovered more BAF-specific ARID1A and ARID1B subunit peptides (Table 1, Figure 1B), suggesting that BCL7 factors are mainly subunits of BAF complexes. The comparatively low levels of the PBAF-specific subunits in the BCL7CTAP preparations may be due to the high levels of BCL7CTAP in the cell line that was employed (Figure 1A) and indicate that the distinction between BAF and PBAF complexes by BAF subunits can be blurred operationally (Figure 1B). The absence of the PBAF-specific SWI/SNF subunit PHF10 from both BCL7TAP purifications strengthens the notion that BCL7 factors mainly associate with the BAF variants of SWI/SNF, however. Furthermore, the fact that BCL7CTAP co-purified DPF2, like
BCL7ATAP, as well as the DPF2 paralogs DPF1 and DPF3 suggest that these two DPF2 paralogs also associate with BAF complexes. Indeed, co-purification of DPF1 and DPF3 parallels the purification results obtained with SS18-SSX1TAP (see above) again pointing towards the BAF variants of SWI/SNF. Finally, like DPF2TAP, BCL7CTAP also pulled down SS18TAP (Table 1, Figure 1B), a paralog of SS18 also known as CREST which has previously been linked physically to ARID1B [104], again strengthening the conclusion that BCL7A and BCL7C are mainly subunits of the BAF variants of SWI/SNF complexes. Interestingly, orthologs of BCL7 can only be found in sequenced animal genomes.

BRD9 associates with BAF

A well established function of bromodomains is to recognize specific acetylated lysines. The paralogous catalytic subunits of SWI/SNF, BRG1 and BRM harbor one C-terminal bromodomain that is closely related to the six bromodomains of polybromo, but quite distinct from the bromodomains of BRD7 and BRD9 [105–107].

A FLAG-BRD7 fusion has been reported to purify PBAF complexes [43]. We were not able to successfully perform BRD7TAP purifications (data not shown). However, BRD9TAP did yield significant mass spectrometry results (Table 1, Figure 1). BRD9TAP yielded peptide hits for at least one paralog of each core SWI/SNF subunit and, contrary to what was reported for BRD7 [43,100], the presence of high confidence ARID1A and ARID1B peptides indicates inclusion of BRD9 in BAF complexes. This notion is buttressed by the presence of SS18 and BCL7C amongst the proteins co-purifying with BRD9TAP (Table 1, Figure 1, Data S1). Association of BRD9 with SS18TAP was further confirmed by co-immunoprecipitation (Figure 2C).

We quantified our mass spectrometry data on the basis of the exponentially modified protein abundance index (emPAI, Table 1, Figure 1) and this revealed that BRD9TAP did not efficiently purify SWI/SNF (Table 1), in keeping with our gel electrophoresis analysis (Figure 1A). The major factors we identified in our BRD9 preparation are the DEAD box ATP-dependent RNA helicases DDX3X, DDX5 and DDX17 and the RNA binding factor RBM14/COAA (Table 1). Since Emerson and co-workers reported substantially higher ATPase activity in their BRD7 preparations than predicted by BRG1/BRM content, it may perhaps be that BRD7 also co-purifies the DEAD box RNA helicases DDX3X, DDX5 and/or DDX17 [43,109,110]. Similarly, RBM14/COAA is a nuclear receptor co-activator [111]. Furthermore, RBM14/COAA has previously been reported to associate with SS18 in yeast two hybrid assays [112,113]. However, arguing against a direct interaction between SS18 and RBM14, we did not detect RBM14/COAA when SS18TAP or SS18-SSX1TAP associated factors were purified (Table 1, Figure 1B).

Putative BAF associated proteins

Crabtree and colleagues [49] published a list of putative novel BAF-associated proteins which we have monitored in this data set. Hence, we also detected GLTSCR1 in our SWI/SNF complex preparations (Table 1). GLTSCR1 is a candidate tumor suppressor gene for gliomas [114]. As we detected GLTSCR1 in four of five BAF purifications (Table 1), our results support the notion that GLTSCR1 is a BAF-associated factor, but this will need to be confirmed directly.

Of the other putative novel BAF-associated proteins, we could detect NONO and its binding partner SFPQ [115,116], however, at levels that were not much higher than in control purifications (Table 1). Thus, although our data do not exclude an interaction with SWI/SNF, more experimental evidence is needed on this front. Finally, the proposed putative BAF-associated factors NUMA1, SRRM2 and MYBBP1A [49] were not detected in any of our SWI/SNF purifications (Table 1), suggesting weak biochemical association with SWI/SNF in the ‘293’ human embryonic kidney cell line, if any.

Discussion

Paralogous human SWI/SNF subunits are known to be expressed in tissue and signal specific fashion, generating alternative SWI/SNF complex configurations that can cooperate
with transcription factor networks to coordinate cell proliferation and differentiation. Here, we focus on SWI/SNF subunits that are absent from yeast but conserved in animals and plants (SS18) or only in animals (DPF, BCL7 and PHF10) (Table 2).

Essentially there are two types of human SWI/SNF complexes [32,44]: those that harbor the polybromo/BAF180 and ARID2/BAF200 subunits (PBAF-class) and those that harbor either ARID1A/BAF250a or ARID1B/BAF250b (BAF-class) [117]. A similar bi-partition exists in Drosophila melanogaster except that there is only one ARID1 ortholog, namely OSA [118,119]. Similarly, the fly genome only encodes one ortholog of the mammalian SMARCC (CG18740/moira) and of BRD7/9 (CG7154). SS18 (CG10555), DPF2 (CG26892/d4) and BCL7 (CG17252/BCL7-like) protein coding genes (Table 2).

Our mass spectrometry analysis of affinity-tag-mediated protein complex purifications confirms bipartition of SWI/SNF complexes in BAF and PBAF-class complexes. We demonstrate here that the paralogous cancer-related minor SWI/SNF subunits DPF1, -2, -3; BCL7A, -B, -C; and SS18 and SS18L1 reside in BAF-class human SWI/SNF complexes and, that PHF10 marks PBAF SWI/SNF complexes. Moreover, because quantitative analysis indicates that the chief interaction partners of PHF10, DPF2, SS18, SS18-SSX1, BCL7A and BCL7C are the other SWI/SNF subunits, we speculate that they exert their molecular action through their respective SWI/SNF complexes. It remains to be seen indeed to what extent our results, which were obtained in one human cell line, can be extrapolated to other cell types and even other organisms. Considering the congruence between our data and a recent studies on Drosophila SAYP [120] and a large scale proteomic survey of nuclear receptor co-activators [121], we believe they can. Moreover, there may be more as yet ‘undiscovered’ human SWI/SNF subunits, such as the putative GLTSCR1 subunit [49], and these may also be present in our data sets (Data S1), which can be mined by interested investigators.

Since we could not detect notable differences between SS18 and its oncogenic fusion products at the proteomic level, the oncogenic activity of the SS18-SSX fusions may have to be sought either at the level of SWI/SNF (dis)assembly dynamics, post-translational modifications or an affinity for specific genomic loci [42,106,122], although it is formally possible that the SS18-SSX oncogenes undergo different proteomic interactions in the elusive synovial sarcoma precursor cell type than those we detected in Hek293 cells [123].

Interestingly, we detected the bromodomain proteins BRD7 and BRD9 in our SWI/SNF preparations. The fly protein CG7154 is that organism’s sole BRD7/9 ortholog. It will be interesting to determine whether it associates with the fly bramha SNP2 ATPase, and if so, whether it is specific to the BAP or PBAF fly equivalents of BAF and PBAF. In humans, BRD7 appears to promote cellular senescence [108,124], and, in line with our results, it has convincingly been linked to the BAF complex [43]. On the other hand, our data make a novel link between BRD9 and the BAF complex defined by SS18, DPF and BCL7, since we recovered it when BCL7/TAP, SS18/TAP and the SS18-SSX1/TAP were used as affinity bait and since in the reciprocal experiment, BRD9/TAP purifications contained SS18 and BCL7A. We note however that BRD9/TAP did not efficiently pull down BAF proteins in our experimental set-up (Figure 1A). Whether this reflects a status as a minor though BAF-specific subunit or a technical limitation is an open question.

Altogether, this work demonstrates that paralogs of SS18, BCL7 and DPF factors, which can be found in both protostome [125] and deuterostome animals (Table 2), together define a novel class of BAF-type SWI/SNF complexes that is restricted to the animal lineage. Finally, our data indicate that PHF10 versus DPF1, -2, -3 respectively mark PBAF versus BAF-type SWI/SNF complexes in a mutually exclusive fashion.

**Methods**

**Constructs**

Tandem Affinity Purification (TAP) constructs were generated by PCR using the oligomers indicated in parentheses and cloned into the XhoI and EcoRI restriction sites in the retroviral expression vector pZXN, whereby the TAP-tag sequence was fused to the coding sequences at their N-terminus [91]. SS18 (isoform 2, cgatcGAATT-CATGCTGTTGGGTCTCGG, tgtctCGAGTCGTTTGTGTAATTTTTCGATCT) and SS18-SSX1 (cgatcGAATT-CATGCTGTTGGGTCTCGG, tgtctCGAGTCGTTTGTGTAATTTTTCGATCT) purification sequences were amplified by PCR from pIRES2 vectors [126]. DPF2 (cgatcGAATT-CATGCTGTTGGGTCTCGG, tgtctCGAGTCGTTTGTGTAATTTTTCGATCT) and PHF10 (gaattcGAATTCATGCTTCAAGAACAAGTCAGTTATCTTCCTCAGGGT) coding sequence were amplified from cDNA clones (RZPD or OriGene). BCL7A (isoform 2, tatacGAATT-CATGCTGTTGGGTCTCGG, tgtctCGAGTCGTTTGTGTAATTTTTCGATCT) and PHF10 (gaattcGAATTCATGCTTCAAGAACAAGTCAGTTATCTTCCTCAGGGT) were amplified from pIRES2 vectors (Data S1), which can be mined by interested investigators.

**Cell culture and stable cell lines**

Human Embryonic Kidney (Hek293, ATCC CRL-1573) and Phoenix cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagles medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FCS, penicillin 100 μg/ml and streptomycin 100 U/ml (Invitrogen) at 37 °C in 5% CO2. Retroviral stable cell lines were generated as previously described [91]. Briefly, Phoenix amphotropic packaging cells were transfected with 2 μg retroviral plasmid pZXN-SS18, pZXN-SS18-SSX1, pZXN-SS18-SSX2, pZXN-DFP2, pZXN-BRD9, pZXN-BCL7A, pZXN-BCL7C, pZXN-PHF10 or pZXN-INI1 after which Hek-293 cells were selected with 1 μg/ml puromycin and tested for recombinant protein expression. Transduction of SS18 and SS18-SSX TAPtag fusions in the syo-1 synovial sarcoma cell line [128] were not successful (data not shown). Since Hek293 cells expressed the transduced transgenes efficiently and could be expanded as desired, we performed our study with this cell line.

Tandem Affinity Purification

Tandem Affinity Purification was performed as previously described in detail [91]. Shortly, whole cell extracts from cell lines...
expressing TAP-tagged proteins were incubated with IgG sepharose beads (Pharmacia). After TEV cleavage the TEV eluates were pre-cleared with protein A beads and used for immunoprecipitation with anti-MYC or anti TY1 epitope antibodies. Proteins were eluted from the beads by peptide elution, loaded on a SDS-PAGE gel and visualized by silver staining. The same protocol was employed for all the purifications reported here.

Co-immunoprecipitation

Co-immunoprecipitations were performed on TEV cleavage eluates obtained as described above, using antibodies directed against BRM (Abcam 15597), DPF2 (Aviva systems biology ARP33221_P050) or BRD9 (Aviva systems biology ARP34803, T200), under the same conditions as the anti-MYC or TY1 immunoprecipitations in the TAPtag purification protocol. The immunoprecipitated proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred onto nylon filters and probed with anti-MYC antibodies, which recognize the transduced SS18-SSX1 (Figure 2B) or SS18 (Figure 2C) proteins.

Mass spectrometry

The silver stained gel lanes were cut into small pieces. After reduction and alkylation the proteins were trypsin (Promega) digested and extracted from the gel using trifluoroacetic acid (TFA). Peptides were sequenced using a nano-high-pressure liquid chromatography Agilent 1100 nanoflow system connected online to a 7-Tesla linear quadrupole ion-trap Fourier transform (FT) mass spectrometer (Thermo Electron, Bremen, Germany) essentially as described previously [129]. MSquant software package (http://www.msquant.sourceforge.net) was used to parse the raw files and for generation of peak lists. The mascot algorithm was used to identify the proteins [130]. Exponentially Modified Protein

Abundance Index (emPAI) factors were calculated as described previously [96], using high confidence peptides (Data S1, Mascot score ≥20, delta ≥5, error ≤5; 400–6000 Da).

Expression profiling

Expression profiling was performed on four Hek293 polyA mRNA samples by microarray analysis using Affymetrix human exon array 1.0 ST according to manufacturer instructions (Data S2).

Supporting Information

Data S1 Mass spectrometry results, including: accession numbers, short protein descriptions, peptide sequences, associated Mascot score, peptide delta score and absolute calibrated mass relative error.

(XLS)

Data S2 Quadruplicate polyA mRNA expression profile of Hek293 cells determined with the Affymetrix human exon array 1.0 ST platform.

(XLS)
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