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Influence of waveguide dispersion on short-pulse free electron laser detuning curves
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In this paper we present results of numerical studies on the cavity desynchronization in the short-pulse waveguided free electron laser (FEL) oscillator FLARE, which is a new THz FEL at the Radboud University Nijmegen, with the emphasis to investigate the influence of the waveguide dispersion. In particular, the peaks of the detuning curves are predicted to be essentially broader. We also predict that the extent of the peak broadening increases as the waveguide dispersion becomes more significant.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Free electron lasers (FELs) are powerful versatile light sources, in which the light is emitted by relativistic electrons propagating through a periodic magnetic field created by an undulator. The main advantage of FELs over conventional lasers is that they do not have restrictions on the generated frequencies. Any frequency can be selected by a proper choice of undulator parameters and electron energy. Tunable FELs have been realized at both extremes of the spectral range, at x-ray and THz frequencies [1,2], regions of the spectrum inaccessible for conventional lasers.

Most FELs are driven by radio-frequency linear accelerators (rf linacs) producing intense few ps short electron bunches. The first FELs driven by rf linacs were constructed to operate at small slippage parameters (see, e.g., Ref. [3]). The slippage parameter is defined as

$$\mu_{\text{slip}} = \frac{N_u \lambda_r}{\sigma},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $N_u$ is the number of undulator periods, $\lambda_r$ is the FEL light resonant wavelength, and $\sigma$ is the length of the electron bunch. Since electrons propagate slower than the speed of light $c$, at the exit of the undulator the front of the optical pulse will be ahead of the electron bunch by the distance $N_u \lambda_r$, the slippage distance. At large slippage parameters the electrons interact only with a part of the optical pulse and short-pulse effects become important. One such effect in the FEL oscillators is “lethargy” [4,5]. This effect implies that in the perfectly synchronized cavity, i.e., when the optical pulse round-trip time is equal to the period of electron bunches, electrons preferentially amplify only the rear part of the pulse, which results in a significant decrease of the overall single-pass gain and hampers the buildup of the optical energy. The “lethargy effect” can be compensated via the cavity desynchronization meaning a reduction of the cavity length (see, e.g., Ref. [6]).

For a long wavelength FEL a waveguide is usually employed in order to suppress diffraction losses. The waveguide dispersion links the wave number $k_z$ and the light frequency $\omega$ as follows:

$$k_z = c \sqrt{\omega^2 - \omega_c^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\omega_c$ is the cutoff frequency, which corresponds to the lowest frequency of the light that can propagate through the waveguide. Accordingly, the optical group velocity depends on $\omega$ as

$$v_g = \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial k_z} = \frac{c^2 k_z}{\omega},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Since in the waveguided FEL the optical pulses move with $v_g$ smaller than $c$, the slippage distance will be smaller than in open resonator FELs. The slippage distance in this case is given by $N_u \lambda_r \Delta$, where $\Delta$ is the FEL waveguide parameter [7]. $\Delta$ corresponds to 0 when the group velocity of the light is the same as the electron velocity and to 1 when the group velocity equals $c$ as in an open resonator FEL. Because of the waveguide dispersion, two resonant frequencies are supported in a waveguided FEL. In one case the optical pulse propagates faster than the electrons (higher frequency branch), in the other case the situation is reverse (lower frequency branch) [7]. It has recently been reported that selective amplification of the lower frequency branch is feasible for FELs employing electron bunches shorter than the corresponding light wavelength [8]. However, in most cases amplification of the higher frequency branch is preferred and in this paper we concentrate only on this branch. In particular, for this branch...
we numerically investigate cavity desynchronization in a short-pulse waveguided FEL. Whereas this is well studied for the open resonator FELs [6,9–17], in this paper we describe how detuning curves are altered by the waveguide dispersion. The results reported here have been obtained for the free electron laser for advanced spectroscopy and high-resolution experiments (FLARE), which is a new THz FEL oscillator under construction at the Radboud University Nijmegen. FLARE is distinct among other short-pulse FELs in that it will operate at very large slippage parameters, which can be as large as 40. In the paper we first briefly describe FLARE, then the numerical model utilized to investigate the FLARE dynamics, and after that the simulation results are presented.

II. FLARE DESCRIPTION

The layout of FLARE is shown in Fig. 1. The rf linac accelerates electrons to 10–15 MeV energies and produces 10 μs long electron macrobunches each 0.1 s. The macrobunches consist of short 200 pC microbunches with a duration of ≈ 3 ps generated at a rate of ≈ 3 GHz. This repetition rate implies that 150 optical pulses will propagate simultaneously through the 7.5 m long FLARE optical cavity. The undulator is planar and has 40 periods with 0.11 m length. The waveguide employed at FLARE consists of two parallel plates separated by 10 mm, which are placed perpendicular to the undulator magnetic field. Because of this, in the wiggle plane the light propagates as a Gaussian beam. The curvature radius of the cylindrical aluminum mirrors, \( R = 4.81 \text{ m} \), defines the Rayleigh distance to be 2 m. The upstream mirror is designed to be movable in order to enable cavity length detuning. The optical energy stored in the cavity is outcoupled via a rectangular slit with a variable width mounted in the downstream mirror perpendicular to the waveguide plates. The full length between the cavity mirrors is waveguided. The undulator magnetic field can be set from 0.1 to 0.47 T by tuning the gap between the magnets. The FLARE parameters are summarized in Table I.

![FIG. 1. 3D artist impression of FLARE.](image)

### TABLE I. FLARE parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Optical wavelength range</td>
<td>100–1600 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rf frequency</td>
<td>2.997924 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron beam energy</td>
<td>10–15 MeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron bunch energy</td>
<td>200 pC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of undulator periods</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undulator period</td>
<td>110 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical cavity length</td>
<td>7.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total round-trip power losses</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waveguide gap</td>
<td>10 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirrors curvature radius</td>
<td>4.81 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rayleigh distance</td>
<td>2 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. NUMERICAL MODEL

Most of the existing FEL models employ averaging over a ponderomotive potential period or resonant wavelength. However, such models are not valid for the lower frequency part of the FLARE spectral range, where the optical wavelengths are comparable to the longitudinal size of the electron bunches (Table I). Therefore, a model that considers motion of individual electrons must be utilized to correctly describe the FLARE dynamics. Since the rf linac produces electron bunches with more than 10⁹ electrons, consideration of individual electrons would computationally be very expensive and a macroparticle approach is employed instead [18–21]. In this approach, the electron bunch is decomposed into pieces, containing a certain amount of electrons, and each piece is regarded in the simulation as an independent structureless macroparticle with a constant charge. Space-charge effects, i.e., mutual interaction between electrons, are assumed to be negligible and, therefore, are excluded from the simulation. In the simulation we also assumed the initial kinetic energies of electrons to have no spread and a zero transverse emittance of the electron bunch. Motion of the macroparticle in the electric and magnetic fields is described by the Lorentz force equation:

\[
\frac{d\mathbf{v}_i}{dz} = \frac{1}{\gamma_i} \left\{ \frac{e}{m_e} \mathbf{v}_i \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}_i, t_i) + \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}_i, t_i) \right\} - \mathbf{v}_i \frac{d\gamma_i}{dz},
\]

and the Lorentz factor \( \gamma_i \) is found from [20]

\[
\frac{d\gamma_i}{dz} = -\frac{e}{m_e c^2} \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}_i, t_i),
\]

where \( i \) refers to a certain macroparticle, \( z \) is the direction of propagation, \( \mathbf{v} \) is the macroparticle velocity, \( m_e \) and \( e \) are the electron mass and charge, respectively, \( \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) \) is the optical electric field, and \( \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) \) is the overall magnetic field of the optical pulse and undulator.
In the model the electric and magnetic fields are presented in the frequency domain and are expanded via a complete set of orthogonal modes. For instance, the electric field is presented as

$$E(r, \omega) = \sum_{m,n} C_{m,n}(z, \omega) \Psi_{m,n}, \tag{6}$$

where \{\Psi_{m,n}\} is the complete set of the Hermite-Gaussian modes [22,23] and \(C_{m,n}\) is the slowly varying amplitude of the particular mode. \(E(r, \omega)\) relates to \(E(r, t)\) via the Fourier transform. The amplitude coefficients are found from the following excitation equation [24]:

$$\frac{dC_{m,n}(z, \omega)}{dz} = -\frac{1}{4P_{m,n}} \int J(r, \omega) \Psi_{m,n}^* dA, \tag{7}$$

where \(J(r, \omega)\) refers to the electron current density and \(P_{m,n}\) is the normalization power of the particular mode. The dynamical equations for each macroparticle [Eqs. (4) and (5)] were solved self-consistently with the excitation equations [Eq. (7)] employing the Cash-Karp method [25]. It is assumed that only the Hermite-Gaussian modes with \(n = 1\) and \(m = 0\) are excited in the cavity [22,23]. The intracavity and outcoupled losses were assumed to be independent of the wavelength. In order to reduce computational time, instead of evaluating 150 optical pulses, all the pulses were supposed to be identical and only a single pulse was considered in the simulation. The computational time was further reduced by means of parallelization of the code [26].

### IV. Numerical Results and Conclusions

The resonant wavelengths and the corresponding FLARE parameters for which calculations have been performed are listed in Tables I and II. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) illustrate the detuning curves, i.e., outcoupled saturated optical energy at different cavity detuning values, \(\delta L\), obtained at these resonant wavelengths. Note, desynchronization means reduction of the cavity length, hence \(\delta L\) is negative.

The shape of the detuning curves calculated numerically at the short resonant wavelengths, 100 \(\mu\)m and 256 \(\mu\)m [Fig. 2(a)], are similar to those of the open resonator FELs, which also show a sharp peak (e.g., see Ref. [11]). The \(\Delta\) parameters are close to unity for both \(\lambda_r = 100 \mu\)m and \(\lambda_r = 256 \mu\)m (Table II), which implies that at the short wavelengths FLARE resembles an open resonator short-pulse FEL. In such FELs the synchronism between optical pulses and the electron bunches cannot be sustained simultaneously during optical energy growth and during saturation. Only close to the zero detuning the electron bunches and optical pulses are synchronized at saturation resulting in the sharp peak in the detuning curves. However, since the electronic gain is very small [Fig. 2(a), the dashed curve], the buildup of optical energy is slow (the “lethargy effect”). In contrast, at the highest gain, which occurs with the shorter cavity, the round-trip time of circulating optical pulses is reduced such that during the buildup of the intracavity energy it is synchronized to the repetition rate of the rf linac. In this case, the optical energy is saturated much faster, but it stays at a lower level. Further shortening of the cavity reduces the optical pulse round-trip time, thereby disrupting the synchronism with electron bunches, which diminishes the FEL gain [Fig. 2(a), the dashed curve]. Figure 2(c) shows the FLARE efficiency at saturation for \(\lambda_r = 100 \mu\)m and \(\lambda_r = 256 \mu\)m versus different relative detuning values, \(\delta L/\lambda_r\), and the dashed curves in the figure depict FWHM bandwidth of the optical pulses, \(\Delta \omega/\omega\), calculated at \(\lambda_r = 100 \mu\)m in the small-signal regime. From the figure, it follows that the duration of the optical pulses increases as the cavity length is shortened. Reduction of the cavity length suppresses the “lethargy effect,” which improves the overlap with electrons and stretches the optical pulses. As was suggested in Ref. [9], the FEL efficiency increases with the optical pulse bandwidth and, indeed, such a relation is observed in Fig. 2(c).

Waveguide dispersion has effects on the detuning curves, which become more pronounced at long wavelengths. Figure 2(b) shows that at the long wavelengths the optical pulses propagate through the waveguide with \(n_g\) smaller than \(c\) [Eq. (3)] and the FEL cavity has to be shortened in order to preserve synchronization between the electron bunches and the light pulses and to achieve lasing. While the wavelength increases, the total range of the detuning values that allows lasing decreases relative to \(\lambda_r\) and this effect is stressed in Fig. 2(d), which shows the efficiency (saturation power) against \(\delta L/\lambda_r\). Such a tendency is a consequence of the relative slippage distance, \(N_a \Delta\), that reduces with increasing wavelength (Table II), i.e., with smaller \(N_a \Delta\) the shorter optical pulses relative to \(\lambda_r\) are produced and, respectively, the range of the \(\delta L/\lambda_r\) values is reduced. The fact that the maximum efficiency decreases with increasing wavelength [Fig. 2(d)] is due to the large diffraction at long wavelengths, which worsens the overlap between the light field and the electrons.

The detuning curves shown in Fig. 2(b) have remarkably broader main peaks compared to those at the shorter wavelengths [Fig. 2(a)]. In Figs. 2(c) and 2(d), the curves are shown on a scale normalized to the resonant wavelength.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\lambda_r, \mu\text{m})</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>256</th>
<th>748</th>
<th>990</th>
<th>1230</th>
<th>1592</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(E_y, \text{MeV})</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B_a, \text{T})</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
which clearly demonstrates that the width of the main peaks increases with $\lambda_r$. Furthermore, the highest efficiency tends to occur at the larger $|\delta L|/\lambda_r$ values [Fig. 2(d)]. In order to understand the origin of the peak broadening, we analyze the saturated optical spectral density versus different cavity lengths calculated at $\lambda_r = 1592$ $\mu$m (Fig. 3), for which the strongest broadening is predicted. When the stored optical energy increases, the electrons lose a greater amount of their energy in the undulator. As a result, the wavelength of the emitted light slightly increases. At some point the FEL resonant conditions are not fulfilled any longer and the stored optical energy is saturated. Such a shift from $\lambda_r$ towards longer wavelengths is obviously seen in Fig. 3 at $\delta L \approx -27$ mm. However, at the larger $|\delta L|$ values the FEL efficiency, as is illustrated in Fig. 2(d), continuously diminishes and the increase in emitted wavelength becomes less pronounced (Fig. 3). Furthermore, due to the waveguide dispersion, the propagation velocity depends on the

FIG. 2. The left and right sides of the figure correspond to the results obtained at the short resonant wavelengths of 100 $\mu$m (black curve) and 256 $\mu$m (gray curve), and for the long resonant wavelengths of 748 $\mu$m (black curve), 990 $\mu$m (red curve), 1230 $\mu$m (green curve), and 1592 $\mu$m (blue curve), respectively. (a),(b) Detuning curves obtained after averaging over 50 oscillator passes at the end of the macrobunch. (c),(d) The FEL efficiency at saturation versus different detuning values and for each curve $\delta L$ values are divided by the corresponding resonant wavelength. $\delta L = 0$ corresponds to the cavity length of $v_g t_b/2$, where $v_g$ is the group velocity found according to Eq. (3) for a given $\lambda_r$ and $t_b$ is the period between successive electron bunches multiplied by 150. The dashed curves in (a) and (c) depict the electronic gain and FWHM optical pulse bandwidth, respectively, calculated at $\lambda_r = 100$ $\mu$m in the small-signal regime. The scales for the gain and bandwidth are shown on the right side abscissa in (a) and (c), respectively.

FIG. 3. Relative optical spectral density obtained at saturation for the resonant wavelength of 1592 $\mu$m versus different cavity detuning values.
waveguide dispersion is small and for the second region short-pulse FELs [6,9–17]. At the long wavelengths, where operation. The detuning curves at the short wavelengths 1592 of the detuning values relative to the resonant wavelength required in order to achieve lasing; (2) the total range the waveguide dispersion becomes pronounced, we con- consider. Therefore synchronization can survive only close to zero detuning, which manifests itself as the sharp peak in the detuning curve.

Finally, we can summarize the results reported in this paper. Two wavelength regions are described. For the first region (λe = 100 μm and λc = 256 μm), the waveguide dispersion is small and for the second region (λe = 748 μm, λc = 990 μm, λc = 1239 μm, and λc = 1592 μm), the waveguide dispersion influences the FEL operation. The detuning curves at the short wavelengths have sharp peaks, which are typical for open resonator short-pulse FELs [6,9–17]. At the long wavelengths, where the waveguide dispersion becomes pronounced, we conclude the following: (1) a larger cavity length reduction is required in order to achieve lasing; (2) the total range of the detuning values relative to the resonant wavelength where FLARE allows lasing decreases; (3) as predicted, the FEL efficiency diminishes due to the light diffraction; and (4) the peak of the detuning curve broadens and the maximum shifts towards larger |δL| values.

V. DISCUSSION

This paper demonstrates operation of the long wavelength waveguided FEL in the short-pulse regime. The crucial issue for operation of open resonator short-pulse FELs, i.e., those FEL oscillators that operate at the slippage parameter μslip > 1, is the “lethargic” start-up [4,5]. The latter implies a low FEL gain which results in very slow buildup of optical energy such that tens of μs, a typical duration of rf linac macrobunches, are not sufficient to achieve saturation. As was shown for open resonator short-pulse FELs [6,9–17] as well as reported here for the waveguided FEL, FLARE, operating at the resonant wavelengths of 100 and 256 μs, where the waveguide dispersion is not so significant, the lethargy effect can successfully be compensated via the cavity desynchronization, i.e., by shortening the cavity length. Although the FEL gain improves, the drawback of this approach is that it leads to a reduction of the saturation power and in such FELs it is not straightforward to obtain fast optical energy buildup with a large FEL efficiency at saturation. It could be accomplished, for instance, by tuning the cavity length when the intracavity energy is growing. However, it is not practically feasible at the moment to accurately tune the cavity length within such a short period of time. Otherwise, as it was reported by Bakker et al. [13], a high saturated power can simultaneously be achieved with a high gain by ramping the electron bunch repetition frequency. This paper shows that, by tuning the cavity length of FLARE when operating at the long wavelengths, we can obtain a high FEL efficiency in combination with sufficient small-signal gain. We conclude such a behavior to be an intrinsic feature of waveguided short-pulse FELs due to the waveguide velocity dispersion. As a result, this allows the production of short optical pulses of a few hundred ps duration with high peak power in the (sub)millimeter range.
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