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The role of Ni in increasing the reversibility of the hydrogen release from nanoconfined LiBH₄†
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Nanoconfinement and the use of catalysts are promising strategies to enhance the reversibility of hydrogen storage in light metal hydrides. We combined nanoconfinement of LiBH₄ in nanoporous carbon with the addition of Ni. Samples were prepared by deposition of 5–6 nm Ni nanoparticles inside the porous carbon, followed by melt infiltration with LiBH₄. The Ni addition has only a slight influence on the LiBH₄ hydrogen desorption, but significantly enhances the subsequent uptake of hydrogen under mild conditions. Reversible, but limited, intercalation of Li is observed during hydrogen cycling. X-ray diffraction shows that the initial crystalline 5–6 nm Ni nanoparticles are not present anymore after melt infiltration with LiBH₄. However, transmission electron microscopy showed Ni-containing nanoparticles in the samples. Extended X-ray absorption fine structure spectroscopy proved the presence of NiₓB phases with the Ni–B coordination numbers changing reversibly with dehydrogenation and rehydrogenation of the sample. NiₓB can act as a hydrogenation catalyst, but solid-state ¹¹B NMR proved that the addition of Ni also enhanced the reversibility of the system by influencing the microstructure of the nanoconfined LiBH₄ upon cycling.

Introduction

The use of hydrogen as an energy carrier for automobile applications has attracted much attention. It is most useful if the hydrogen is produced using renewable energy sources such as wind or solar electricity. If used in conjunction with a fuel cell to drive a car, only water is emitted from the exhaust. However, an important technical bottleneck is the development of a compact and effective onboard hydrogen storage system. Hydrogen can be stored as a gas under high pressure (typically 800 bar). Alternatively, reversible hydrogen storage in porous solids or metal hydrides is considered, offering advantages in terms of safety and volumetric hydrogen content.¹,² One of the materials that is extensively being investigated is lithium boro-hydride (LiBH₄) which has a gravimetric and volumetric hydrogen content of 18.5 wt% and 121 kg H₂ m⁻³ respectively, making it attractive for hydrogen storage
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† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c0fd00028k
for cars. However due to the high stability of the compound, high temperatures are required to release the hydrogen. In addition, the hydrogen release is only partially reversible, and only under harsh conditions such as 600 °C and 350 bar hydrogen pressure. This at present renders LiBH₄ unfit for reversible hydrogen storage in fuel cell cars.

It is worthwhile to study strategies to improve the hydrogen sorption kinetics and reversibility in complex metal hydrides. Nanosizing/nanoconfinement in porous materials such as carbon, and the use of catalysts, are among the strategies being explored to improve the dehydrogenation and rehydrogenation behaviour. These approaches have been shown to be effective for several hydrides such as NH₃BH₃ and NaAlH₄. Recently, it has been demonstrated that not only the kinetics, but also the thermodynamical stability of complex metal hydrides can be affected. In the case of LiBH₄, Gross et al. demonstrated that the desorption temperature of LiBH₄ was lowered by 75 °C and the dehydrogenation rates at 300 °C increased up to 50 times when incorporated into a carbon scaffold. Furthermore, the nanoconfined LiBH₄ shows a reversible hydrogen uptake (75% of original capacity) at relatively mild conditions of 100 bar H₂, 400 °C for 2 h.

Bogdanović and Schwickardi first showed that Ti-based compounds are effective catalysts for the dehydrogenation and rehydrogenation reactions of NaAlH₄. Although the exact role of Ti is still not well understood, the discovery led to a new interest in finding catalysts that could also be effective for other complex hydrides such as LiBH₄. The search for an efficient catalyst for hydrogenation and dehydrogenation reactions of LiBH₄ was pioneered by Züttel et al. who first reported that SiO₂ acted as a catalyst for the dehydrogenation of LiBH₄. However later research showed that SiO₂ reacts with LiBH₄ to form stable silicates while irreversibly releasing hydrogen, as is the case with most metal oxide additives. A large range of materials, including metal halides, Al, Pt, carbon nanotubes, fullerene and Ni, has been investigated in an effort to identify an effective catalyst for LiBH₄ de/rehydrogenation. Addition of these materials generally led to a decrease in the dehydrogenation temperatures but most of the systems still required temperatures above 400 °C and hydrogen pressures above 40 bar for only achieving partial rehydrogenation of the desorbed material. For example 600 °C, 100 bar H₂ and 30 h were required for partial (12 wt% H₂) reversibility in LiBH₄ ball milled with Ni (600 °C and 350 bar hydrogen for bulk LiBH₄).

We previously reported the synergetic effect of nanoconfinement and addition of Ni on the reversibility of the hydrogen release from LiBH₄. In this paper we discuss how Ni nanoparticles increase the reversibility of the hydrogen desorption. Although Ni is a classical hydrogenation catalyst, for complex metal hydrides usually the dissociation of hydrogen molecules at the surface is not the rate limiting factor. Rather solid state diffusion, microstructure, and nucleation of phase transformations seem to play a role. We hence discuss the structural changes and phase evolution of the nanoconfined LiBH₄ during cycling in the presence and absence of Ni.

**Experimental details**

Carbon-supported Ni nanoparticles were prepared by incipient wetness impregnation. Nickel nitrate (99%, Acros) and citric acid (99.5%, Acros) were mixed in a 3 : 2 molar ratio in demineralized water resulting in a bright green nickel citrate solution. Typically, 0.66 ml of the solution was added to 1 g high surface area graphite (HSAG 500) under static vacuum. HSAG 500 is high purity (99.9%) graphitic carbon from Timcal Switzerland. It contains mesopores (mostly 2–3 nm) up to 20 nm in diameter, has 500 m² g⁻¹ BET surface area and a total pore volume of 0.66 cm³ g⁻¹. The impregnated sample was dried in air overnight at 120 °C, calcined by heating to 450 °C under N₂ flow and reduced in a flow of a gas mixture of 5% H₂ in N₂ with 1 h dwell at 400 °C.
25 wt% LiBH₄ (Acros-organics 95% pure) was incorporated into the Ni-impregnated carbon by melt infiltration. The required amounts of Ni/C nanocomposites and LiBH₄ were placed in a graphite sample holder and inserted into a stainless steel autoclave. An initial pressure of 50 bar H₂ was applied and the sample was heated at 3 °C min⁻¹ to 295 °C and allowed to stay for 30 min at 295 °C at a final pressure of ≈ 100 bar H₂. The sample was then allowed to cool down to room temperature, the pressure was released and the samples were stored in a glove box. Apart from Ni impregnation, all other sample handling was under Ar atmosphere in a glove-box (contamination typically less than 1 ppm of O₂ and H₂O) to avoid exposure and contamination. Nanocomposites containing 25 wt% LiBH₄ and different Ni loadings on carbon (0 wt%, 5 wt%, and 10 wt%) were synthesized and labeled according to the weight percentage of these materials in the composites. For example the sample 25LiBH₄/3.75Ni/C contains 25 wt% LiBH₄, 3.75 wt% Ni and 71.25 wt% C.

Sample characterization was performed using X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), N₂-physisorption, Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure spectroscopy (EXAFS) and solid-state Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) measurements. All measurements (except TEM) were done in air tight sample holders. XRD patterns were obtained at room temperature from 18 to 80° 2θ with a Bruker-AXS D-8 Advance X-ray diffractometer setup using CoKα₁,2 radiation with λ = 1.790 Å. The Ni crystallite sizes were derived from analysis of the width of the 52.2° 2θ diffraction peak using the Debye–Scherrer method and assuming a Scherrer constant of 0.94 and corrected for experimental line broadening. N₂-physisorption measurements were performed at −196 °C, using a Micromeritics Tristar 3000 apparatus. The pore size distributions of the samples were calculated from the desorption branch using BJH theory with the Harkins and Jura thickness equation. Transmission Electron Micrographs (TEM) were obtained using a Tecnai 20F microscope operating at 200 kV acceleration voltage, equipped with a LaB₆ electron source and a TWIN objective lens. Particle size histograms were determined from dark-field images analyzing 100–200 crystallites. The electron diffraction pattern was determined using a camera constant of 720.

Nickel K-edge (8333 eV) EXAFS spectra of the as-prepared, dehydrogenated and rehydrogenated Ni-containing nanocomposites (25LiBH₄/3.75Ni/C) were recorded at beamline C of the DORIS III storage ring at the Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY), Hamburg. The beamline is equipped with a Si (111) double-crystal monochromator, which is detuned to 60% of the Bragg peak intensity to suppress higher harmonics. About 50 mg sample was pressed into a pellet, mounted to the cell, and transferred to the beamline without air exposure. The samples were measured in transmission mode at room temperature in Ar flow. A 7 μm thick Ni foil (99.99%) and Ni₂B powder (99.5%, Acros) were measured at liquid nitrogen temperature as reference materials. Data reduction was performed with XDAP in order to obtain the Fourier transformed (FT) EXAFS, phase-uncorrected Fourier transformed χ(k) EXAFS and the FEFF fits from the measured spectra. At least three scans from a sample were averaged. The edge-energy was determined from the maximum of the first derivative of the spectrum using the Ni foil as a standard reference. The background signal before the edge jump was fitted with a smooth spline, and substracted. The obtained data were normalized by setting the signal height (50 eV) after the edge to 1. Experimental data are fitted in R-space with k² weighting using the difference file techniques in real space and the quality of the fit checked by applying k¹ and k³ weightings.

Solid-state NMR experiments were performed on a 600 MHz Varian spectrometer using a 2.5 mm HX MAS probe. ¹¹B single pulse excitation spectra were obtained using a single hard pulse of 0.20 µs at effective rf-field strength of 140 kHz after taking pulse rise and decay times into account. A sample spinning speed of 15 kHz was applied. The ¹¹B spectra were referenced with respect to an aqueous solution of H₃BO₃ (δ = 19.6 ppm) and shifts are given relative to BF₃·OEt₂ (δ = 0 ppm).
Hydrogen release properties of the nanocomposites were measured by tempera-
ture programmed desorption (TPD) using a Micromeritics AutoChem II 2920 appa-
ratus. 100 to 150 mg of sample was heated at 5 °C min⁻¹ from room temperature to
400 or 450 °C in 25 ml min⁻¹ Ar flow with a dwell time of 25 min at the maximum
temperature. The composition of the desorbed gas was analyzed using a quadrupole
mass spectrometer (MS) with purified Ar as carrier gas. Rehydrogenation of the des-
orbed samples was performed in an autoclave by heating at 2 °C min⁻¹ with initial
pressure of 20 bar H₂ to 320 °C and dwelling at 320 °C for 120 min at a final pressure
of 40 bar.

Results and discussion

Hydrogen sorption

Fig. 1 shows the hydrogen release from samples heated to 400 °C and dwelled at this
temperature for 25 min. As a reference the desorption pattern from 25 wt% LiBH₄
melted with non porous graphite (BET surface area 7 m² g⁻¹) is included. Hydrogen
release started in the LiBH₄/graphite sample around 280 °C with two minor
hydrogen release peaks around 280 °C and 350 °C. The majority of the hydrogen
is desorbed only at higher temperatures as reported in the literature.⁵ For the
LiBH₄/C nanocomposite, hydrogen desorption started around 200 °C, with
a maximum release rate at 350 °C. The onset temperature of hydrogen release is
slightly lower (below 200 °C) in the Ni containing nanocomposites. Increasing the
Ni concentration from 3.75 to 7.5 wt% did not have a significant effect on the
hydrogen release. Quantitative analysis of the hydrogen desorption shows that all
the nanocomposites had released about 14 wt% H₂ per g LiBH₄ (3.5 wt% H₂ per
g sample) after dwelling for 25 min at 400 °C. Ni is a typical catalyst for hydrogen
dissociation and recombination. However its addition did not have a significant
effect on the hydrogen desorption, suggesting that either the recombination of
atomic hydrogen to molecular hydrogen is not the rate limiting step in the dehydro-
genation of LiBH₄ or that the Ni has reacted during synthesis.

To evaluate the reversible hydrogen uptake behaviour of the nanocomposites at
mild conditions, the dehydrogenated samples were rehydrogenated in an autoclave
at 320 °C, 40 bar H₂ for 120 min. Fig. 2 shows the hydrogen release from the rehy-
drogenated samples upon heating to 400 °C and dwelling at this temperature for

---

Fig. 1  Hydrogen release from 25LiBH₄/non porous graphite, 25LiBH₄/C, 25LiBH₄/3.75Ni/C
and 25LiBH₄/7.5Ni/C.
25 min. Only a negligible amount of hydrogen was released from the rehydrogenated LiBH₄/graphite sample. This suggests rehydrogenation was not successful which is in line with the fact that bulk LiBH₄ requires about 600 °C and 350 bar H₂ for rehydrogenation.³,⁴ In contrast, the rehydrogenated LiBH₄/porous C nanocomposite released about 6 wt% H₂ per g LiBH₄ (1.5 wt% H₂ per g sample) indicating that hydrogen was absorbed during rehydrogenation. Surprisingly, the two Ni-containing samples released about 9.2 wt% H₂ (2.3 wt% H₂ per g sample). Similar to the first hydrogen release cycle, increasing the Ni concentration did not lead to an increase in the hydrogen desorption rate nor in the amount of hydrogen released in the second cycle. However, in contrast to the first hydrogen desorption cycle, in the second cycle there is a clear difference in the hydrogen desorption pattern of the nanocomposites with and without Ni. With Ni the onset temperature of the hydrogen release was significantly lower, and significantly more hydrogen was released between 250 and 300 °C. These differences in the hydrogen release profiles for the rehydrogenated nanocomposites suggest that the microstructure of the LiBH₄ formed after rehydrogenation might be influenced by the presence of Ni, or that a Ni-containing catalytically active component was only formed after the first dehydrogenation. Xia et al. recently showed that LiBH₄ ball milled with Ni can reversibly absorb about 12 wt% H₂ only when rehydrogenated for 30 h at 600 °C and 100 bar H₂.¹⁶ Hence, the results presented above clearly show the effectiveness of combining nanoconfinement and Ni addition to enhance the reversibility of the hydrogen desorption from LiBH₄.

**Bulk characterization**

It is interesting to investigate the role of Ni in more detail. To this end, possible structural changes occurring in the nanocomposites during the different stages were analyzed using XRD and TEM. Fig. 3 shows the XRD patterns of the porous carbon, 5 wt% Ni/C nanocomposite, and the LiBH₄/Ni/C nanocomposites after synthesis, dehydrogenation and rehydrogenation. The XRD pattern of the carbon shows three diffraction lines at 31°, 52° and 64° 2θ that are typical for turbostratic carbon materials. In addition to these diffraction lines, the Ni impregnated carbon shows two broad diffraction peaks around 53° and 60° 2θ that are due to crystalline Ni with an average crystallite size of 6 nm. After melt infiltration with LiBH₄, the Ni diffraction lines have disappeared. Upon dehydrogenation, no diffraction line other
than that of the carbon material was seen and this is same for the rehydrogenated samples. This shows that the crystalline Ni nanoparticles are no longer present after melt infiltration. This could be due to reaction with LiBH$_4$ during melt. For the LiBH$_4$, only very weak diffraction lines were seen after melt infiltration (spectrum c) indicating that the material is not crystalline, and most likely confined in the nanopores.$^7$ Nitrogen physisorption (Fig. S1 in supporting information†) shows that the carbon pore volume drastically reduced after melt infiltration due to incorporation of LiBH$_4$ into the pores.

Interestingly, a comparison of patterns (d) and (e) shows a reversible shift in the C (002) diffraction line from 31$^\circ$/C$_{23}$ to 29.9$^\circ$/C$_{23}$ and back upon dehydrogenation and rehydrogenation. Such a shift corresponds to a change in the average graphene interlayer spacing by 0.08 Å. As it is reversible, and occurs upon dehydrogenation (forming metallic Li) it is logical to ascribe it to Li intercalation in the graphitic carbon.$^{34-36}$ Similar behaviour is observed for the samples with and without Ni. Previously it has been reported that Na could be reversibly intercalated into this graphitic carbon upon dehydrogenation of NaH.$^{37}$ As far as we are aware, this is the first report of reversible Li intercalation in carbon during the dehydrogenation of LiBH$_4$. For full intercalation of Li to form LiC$_6$, a change in lattice spacing of 0.35 Å would be expected.$^{36,38}$ Hence, in this case intercalation was limited (on the average forming Li$_{0.23}$C$_6$). It might be of great importance if this effect could be enhanced, as it stabilizes the metallic phase and hence decreases the enthalpy difference between hydrided and dehydrided phase. However, a detailed investigation of this effect is outside the scope of the present paper.

To investigate whether the Ni nanoparticles had withstood melt infiltration with LiBH$_4$, we further investigated the microstructure of the nanocomposites by acquiring TEM micrographs of the samples at different stages of treatment. A representative TEM micrograph of the 5 wt% Ni/C nanocomposite (Fig. 4A) shows well dispersed and homogeneously distributed Ni nanoparticles with a number-averaged particle size of 5–6 nm which is in good agreement with the volume-averaged crystallite size of 6 nm obtained from the XRD pattern using the Scherrer formula. Analysis of the electron diffraction pattern (see Fig. S2†) shows that the signal is due to diffraction from the graphitic carbon ($d$-values of 1.68 and 1.54 Å) and Ni (2.03 and 1.25 Å). Fig. 4B shows that after melt infiltrating the Ni/C nanocomposite with

![Fig. 3 XRD patterns of pure porous carbon (a); 5 wt% Ni/C (b); and the 25LiBH$_4$/3.75Ni/C nanocomposite after synthesis (c), dehydrogenation (d) and rehydrogenation (e).](image-url)
25 wt% LiBH$_4$ (to form LiBH$_4$/Ni/C nanocomposites), nanoparticles with average size of 7 nm were still present but the electron diffraction detects only graphitic carbon ($d$-values of 1.67 and 1.54 Å) as a crystalline phase, showing that these nanoparticles are amorphous. Energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) measurement on the same sample reveals that the nanoparticles contain Ni (Fig. S3†). LiBH$_4$ cannot be reliably imaged with TEM due to weak scattering of electrons by the light elements Li and B. The electron micrographs of the dehydrogenated and rehydrogenated samples (not shown here) looked similar to that of the melt infiltrated sample, with amorphous Ni-containing nanoparticles. Apparently the Ni has reacted during melt infiltration with the LiBH$_4$, forming amorphous/or non crystalline nanoparticles that are rich in Ni, and roughly maintain their morphology upon cycling.

**Characterization of the Ni chemical environment**

As these particles are non-crystalline, Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure spectroscopy (EXAFS) at the Ni K-edge was used to characterize the local environment of Ni. Fig. 5 shows the phase-uncorrected Fourier transform (FT) of the EXAFS spectra of 5 wt% Ni/C, and the LiBH$_4$/Ni/C nanocomposite after synthesis, dehydrogenation and rehydrogenation. For 5 wt% Ni/C a main peak is visible around 2.2 Å which is representative for Ni–Ni scattering in metallic Ni (Fig. 5(a)). The signals at larger distances are representative for Ni present in higher coordination shells as expected for crystalline metallic Ni. The main peak at 2.2 Å shifted to 2.1 Å and decreased in intensity after melt infiltration with LiBH$_4$. This indicates a significant change of the coordination around Ni after melt infiltration. In addition, after melt infiltration, no higher shells were observed which suggests that the sample lacked long range order, or consisted of nanosized clusters, in line with XRD and TEM results.

The fitting results and theoretical crystal structure of Ni–B compounds are listed in Table 1. The quality of the fit can be appreciated from Fig. S4 (supporting information†). For the Ni/C, Ni was surrounded by 8 Ni neighbors at 2.47 Å indicative of Ni particles of a few nanometres. After melt infiltration with LiBH$_4$, Ni was surrounded by 4.6 B neighbors at 2.07 Å and 4.2 Ni neighbors at 2.47 Å. By comparing these values to those of the reference compounds (Ni, NiB, Ni$_2$B and

![Fig. 4 TEM images and electron diffraction of (A) 5 wt% Ni/C; (B) 5 wt% Ni/C after melt infiltrating with 25 wt% LiBH$_4$ (25LiBH$_4$/3.75Ni/C).](image)
(Ni3B), it can be concluded that the structure of the sample is most similar to that of Ni2B. A smaller amount of other phases must be present as well, although the data do not allow a more detailed analysis of the composition. The Ni–Ni distances in Ni2B and Ni3B are close to that in metallic Ni, making it difficult to discuss the exact nature of the Ni–Ni scattering. Large $E_0$ shifts were observed for Ni–Ni and Ni–B scattering in the samples indicating that the coordination and/or nature of the scattering in the references used to fit the data was different from that in the samples. For Ni–Ni this is logical as a Ni-foil was used to extract the reference data while Ni–Ni in Ni–B compounds is different in nature. For Ni–B this is more difficult to explain since Ni2B was used as a reference. However the fitting of the data does not show

Fig. 5 Magnitude and imaginary part of the phase-uncorrected Fourier transformed (FT) $k^2\chi(k)$ for (a) 5 wt % Ni/C and the 25LiBH4/3.75Ni/C nanocomposite after melt infiltration, (b) melt infiltrated, dehydrogenated and rehydrogenated states of the 25LiBH4/3.75Ni/C nanocomposite.

Table 1 Local structure parameters including neighbour type, inter atomic distance ($R$) and Debye–Waller factor ($\sigma^2$) for Ni in 5 wt% Ni/C and LiBH4/Ni/C nanocomposites at different stages. The fit is optimized with $\Delta k = 3–13 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ and $\Delta R = 1.2–3.0$ with a $k$-weighting parameter of 2. The parameters of the NiB, Ni2B, Ni3B and Ni references are included for comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Shell</th>
<th>Neighbors</th>
<th>$\Delta\sigma^2$ (10$^{-3}$ Å$^2$)</th>
<th>$R$ (Å)</th>
<th>$\Delta E_0$ (eV)</th>
<th>Abs.</th>
<th>Img.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 wt% Ni/C</td>
<td>Ni–Ni</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>2.474</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melt infiltrated</td>
<td>Ni–B</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni–Ni</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dehydrogenated</td>
<td>Ni–B</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni–Ni</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehydrogenated</td>
<td>Ni–B</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni–Ni</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiB$^{36}$</td>
<td>Ni–B</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni–Ni</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni–Ni</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni2B$^{35}$</td>
<td>Ni–B</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni–Ni</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni–Ni</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni3B$^{34}$</td>
<td>Ni–B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni–Ni</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni–Ni</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>Ni–Ni</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
a perfect fit with Ni$_2$B as discussed above which might indicate the sample consists of a number of phases which makes the choice of a proper reference challenging.

A comparison of the FT EXAFS data after melt infiltration, dehydrogenation and rehydrogenation is shown in Fig. 5(b). After dehydrogenation the signal at 2.1 Å decreased in intensity and increased again after rehydrogenation. The fitting results of these samples are shown in Table 1. After dehydrogenation the Ni–B coordination number increased, from 4.6 to 5.3, while the Ni–Ni coordination decreased from 4.2 to 3.4. This indicates that more B reacted with Ni during dehydrogenation which can be expected since dehydrogenation resulted in the formation of significant amounts of B. After rehydrogenation the Ni–B coordination decreased again from 5.3 to 3.8 while the Ni–Ni coordination increased again from 3.4 to 6.9. This shows the reversible behaviour of the sample. Since the Ni–Ni coordination is higher than in the melt infiltrated sample and the Ni–B is lower, it is tempting to speculate that more Ni$_3$B is formed after rehydrogenation. This is in line with the stability of different Ni–B compounds at room temperature: Ni$_3$B > NiB > Ni$_2$B.

The EXAFS results show that nickel borides (Ni$_x$B) are formed after synthesis, dehydrogenation and rehydrogenation of the LiBH$_4$/Ni/C, with the value of $x$ depending on the state. Therefore the improved reversibility in this system is related to the formation of the nickel boride nanoparticles. Although nickel boride has been used as a catalyst in hydrogenation processes, an interesting question is how Ni$_x$B improves the reversible hydrogen uptake in the LiBH$_4$/Ni/C nanocomposites. A possible explanation is that the nickel boride acts as hydrogenation catalyst, lowering the barrier for the formation of borohydride anions (BH$_4^-$) as recently reported in the literature. In this case it is expected that the rate at which hydrogen is absorbed in the Ni containing nanocomposites will be higher, reaching the maximum capacity faster than the sample without Ni. However an investigation of the hydrogen uptake behaviour in the nanocomposites show that the nickel boride does not only enhance the overall uptake rates but its presence also seems to increase the fraction of the material that can be rehydrogenated under mild conditions. Other possible roles of nickel boride in the nanocomposite could be to act as a nucleation site for the reversible formation of LiBH$_4$ from the dehydrogenated products, and/or that its presence influences the microstructure of the nanocomposites during desorption and rehydrogenation.

**NMR investigation of the microstructure**

EXAFS is not suitable to investigate the lighter elements such as Li and B. Therefore, we further investigated the B-containing compounds present in the nanocomposites at different stages using solid-state $^{11}$B NMR. Please note that Ni-containing species will not be evident in these spectra. Fig. 6 shows the $^{11}$B NMR spectra of the nanocomposites after synthesis and rehydrogenation, including the spectrum for LiBH$_4$ physically mixed with porous carbon. The $^{11}$B spectrum of a physical mixture of LiBH$_4$ and porous carbon shows a well defined sharp peak at $-42$ ppm. The LiBH$_4$ lineshape is clearly broadened in both nanocomposites after synthesis due to close contact of the LiBH$_4$ with the carbon. The broadening can be explained by a variation in local magnetic field due to the anisotropic susceptibility of the carbon. In addition, we expect a broadening due to the higher disorder, which results in a distribution in chemical shift and quadrupolar interaction parameters, as reported for NaAlH$_4$ confined in nanoporous carbon.

After the samples have been dehydrogenated and rehydrogenated, in addition to the peak due to LiBH$_4$, both samples show the presence of an additional peak around $-15$ ppm chemical shift which is assigned to the intermediate compound Li$_2$B$_2$H$_{12}$ However two major differences exist between the rehydrogenated samples.

Firstly, the intensity of Li$_2$B$_2$H$_{12}$ is smaller in the sample with Ni, which is in line with the fact that more of the desorbed components are rehydrogenated back to
LiBH$_4$ in this sample. Secondly, the rehydrogenated Ni-containing samples have a LiBH$_4$ peak which is very similar to that in the as-synthesized samples both in the intensity and line width, while the sample without Ni shows a narrower LiBH$_4$ peak with lower intensity compared to both the as-synthesized sample and the rehydrogenated Ni-containing nanocomposite. These results suggest a change in microstructure or distribution of LiBH$_4$ in the carbon material for the sample without Ni upon cycling, with a tendency toward phase separation and increased cluster size. Since the broadening of the linewidth is related to the contact between the LiBH$_4$ and porous C,$^{48,49}$ the LiBH$_4$ in the as-synthesized sample possibly has a closer contact with the carbon than in the rehydrogenated sample. For the Ni-containing sample the NMR data suggest that the LiBH$_4$ distribution is retained upon cycling as similar lineshapes are observed before and after rehydrogenation. This could also explain the observed lower desorption temperatures in the rehydrogenated nanocomposite with Ni compared to the sample without Ni (Fig. 2).

The observed differences in the $^{11}$B NMR spectra of the rehydrogenated nanocomposites shows that the presence of the nickel boride nanoparticles influenced the microstructural evolution of the boron phases in the compound upon dehydrogenation and rehydrogenation.

**Conclusion**

We studied the structure and phase evolution in LiBH$_4$/Ni/C nanocomposites, and the role of Ni, during hydrogen cycling. XRD and TEM measurements show that after sample preparation Ni-containing nanoparticles are present. However, they are neither metallic Ni nor crystalline. EXAFS revealed that the local structure of this nickel boride phase is most similar to that of Ni$_2$B, although a smaller amount of other phases was present as well. Interestingly, upon hydrogen cycling of the LiBH$_4$, the chemical environment in this nanophase changes reversibly, with a higher Ni–B coordination in the dehydrogenated state. Ni$_2$B is known to be able to act as a hydrogenation catalyst. However, $^{11}$B NMR results showed that the presence of Ni also influenced the microstructural evolution of the LiBH$_4$. In the absence of Ni a change in the nanoconfined LiBH$_4$ microstructure upon cycling was observed, while this was not the case in the presence of Ni. From these structural studies it
has become clear that Ni does not simply act as a (de)hydrogenation catalyst in these systems. It reacts to form Ni\textsubscript{x}B nanoparticles which reversibly interact with the boron being formed upon dehydrogenation of the LiBH\textsubscript{4}. The presence of these particles enhances the stability of the microstructure of the nanoconfined LiBH\textsubscript{4} upon cycling.
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Note that the Ni-containing species will not be evident from the NMR due to a paramagnetic effect of the Ni. This effect results in a very fast relaxation of the $^{11}$B magnetization and/or a distortion of the local magnetic field homogeneity and the intensity will be smeared out in the baseline. Therefore an accurate quantification of the phases is not possible at present due to unknown effects of the carbon susceptibility on the local RF field strengths and penetration depths and possible paramagnetic effects of the Ni.