Strength of effective Coulomb interactions in graphene and graphite
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To obtain an effective many-body model of graphene and related materials from first principles we calculate the partially screened frequency dependent Coulomb interaction. In graphene, the effective on-site (Hubbard) interaction is $U_{00} = 9.3$ eV in close vicinity to the critical value separating conducting graphene from an insulating phase emphasizing the importance of non-local Coulomb terms. The nearest-neighbor Coulomb interaction strength is computed to $U_{01} = 5.5$ eV. In the long wavelength limit, we find the effective background dielectric constant of graphite to be $\epsilon = 2.5$ in very good agreement with experiment.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Graphene</th>
<th>Graphite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$U_{00}$</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>17.5, 17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_{01}$</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_{02}$</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.4, 5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_{03}$</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. On-site ($U_{00}$), nearest-neighbor ($U_{01}$), next-nearest-neighbor ($U_{02}$), and third-nearest-neighbor ($U_{03}$) (intra-layer) Coulomb interaction parameters for freestanding graphene and graphite. In graphite $U_{02} = U_{03}$ due to the sublattice symmetry. The bare and partially screened (cRPA) parameters are given. The cRPA parameters should be used in the effective Hamiltonian [1].

The role of Coulomb interactions in graphene and related materials poses a long standing problem: Experiments reported ferromagnetic ordering in nanographene [1], in disordered graphite samples [2], and at grain boundaries in highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) [3]. Ferromagnetism in pristine graphene, however, has been excluded experimentally for temperatures down to 2K [4]. Theoretically, the possibility of magnetism in defect-free graphene has been predicted: An antiferromagnetic insulating ground state has been obtained for the local Coulomb interactions exceeding a critical value $U_{AF} \gtrsim (4.5 \pm 0.5)t$ in Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) calculations [5, 7] and $U_{AF} \gtrsim 2.2t$ in Hartree-Fock theory [5, 6], where $t \approx 2.8$ eV is the nearest neighbor hopping parameter. A gapped spin liquid has been predicted for on-site repulsion between $U_{sd} = 3.5t$ and $U_{AF}$ [8]. Sizable non-local Coulomb interactions can make the phase diagram even richer and lead to a competition between spin- and charge-density-wave phases [9, 10] or topologically non-trivial phases [11]. Doping of graphene might trigger further instabilities [12, 13]. In pristine graphene, the Coulomb interaction remains long ranged and it is controversial whether this might lead to strongly correlated electronic phases like an insulator [9, 14] or whether graphene is rather weakly correlated. The local part of Coulomb interaction is also crucial for the theory of defect-induced magnetism in graphene [15].

The central issue in this discussion is the effective strength of the Coulomb interaction acting on the carbon $p_z$-electrons, which has only been estimated very roughly up to now [16]. The bare on-site Coulomb interaction in benzene obtained from atomic carbon $p_z$ orbitals was estimated to be 16.9 eV [17]. For polyacetylene, an analysis of optical modulation spectroscopy experiments within weak coupling perturbation theory yielded an effective on-site Coulomb repulsion of 10 eV [18, 19]. However, in this regime weak coupling perturbation theory might be inapplicable. For the long wavelength limit, reflectance measurements of graphite [20] yielded a dielectric constant of $\epsilon = 2.4$ due to screening by the high energy $\sigma$-bands. This would correspond to an effective fine structure constant of $\alpha = \frac{e^2}{\hbar v_F} \approx 0.9$ for bulk graphene, where $\hbar v_F \approx 5.8$ eV is the Fermi velocity [10]. For graphene, recent inelastic x-ray scattering experiments [21] suggest a fully screened dielectric constant of $\epsilon = 15$ corresponding to a fine structure constant of $\alpha = 0.14$. At the same time, first-principles GW calculations [22] give $\epsilon \approx 4$, in agreement with the predictions of a simple Dirac model [10]. Recent experimental data on charge density dependence of the Fermi velocity [23] seem to be in agreement, rather, with the second value. So, up to now the strength of Coulomb interactions in graphene related materials has remained unclear and controversial — both theoretically and experimentally (for a review of correlation effects in graphene, see Ref. [24]).

In this letter, we determine the Coulomb interaction strength in graphene and graphite within the constrained random phase approximation (cRPA) [25]. We obtain ab initio effective Coulomb interaction parameters that should be used in a generalized Hubbard model of graphene or graphite (see cRPA values in table I). We find that the on-site interactions in free...
standing graphene are weaker than \( U_{AF} \) but close to the
transition to the insulating spin liquid phase at \( U_{d} = 3.5t \approx 9.8 \text{ eV} \). Our calculations stress the
importance of non-local Coulomb interactions in graphene.
They put graphene in close proximity to two quantum
phase transition lines and at the same time are possibly
crucial for stabilizing a conducting state of freely sus-
Pended graphene. In the long wavelength limit, we find
bulk graphite having an effective background dielectric
constant \( \epsilon \approx 2.5 \), in agreement with the experiments from
Ref. \[21\]. For graphene in the long-wavelength limit \( \epsilon \) is
just one, as it should be for any two-dimensional system
as will be explained below.

We start with constructing a generalized Hubbard
model for the graphene \( \pi \)-bands,

\[
\hat{H}_0 = -t \sum_{<i,j>,\sigma} c_i^{\dagger} c_j - t' \sum_{<i,j>,\sigma} c_i^{\dagger} c_j - U_{00} \sum_i n_{i,\uparrow} n_{i,\downarrow} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,\sigma,\sigma'} U_{ij} n_{i,\sigma} n_{j,\sigma'},
\]

where \( c_{i,\sigma} \) annihilates an electron with spin \( \sigma \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\} \)
at site \( i \) and \( n_{i,\sigma} = c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i,\sigma} \). The index \( i = (i, A/B) \) la-

vors the sublattice (A,B) and the unit cell centered at
position \( R_i \). \( U_{ij} \) are the Coulomb interaction parameters.
The nearest neighbor hopping is known to be \( t \approx 2.8 \text{ eV} \)
\[10, 20\] and the next-to-nearest neighbor hopping \( t' \)
depends on details of how the tight-binding parameters are
determined: \( 0.02t \lesssim t' \lesssim 0.2t \).

To obtain all parameters entering the Hamiltonian \[1\],
from first principles, we performed density functional the-
ory (DFT) and cRPA calculations. The DFT calculations
are carried out with the FLEUR code \[27\] using a
generalized gradient approximation \[28\] for the ex-
change-correlation energy functional. We use a linear
momentum cutoff of \( G_{\text{max}} = 4.5 \text{ bohr}^{-1} \) for the plane waves
and an angular momentum cutoff of \( l_{\text{max}} = 6 \) in the muffin-
tin spheres. The partially screened Coulomb matrix ele-
ments are calculated in the cRPA with the SPEX code
\[29, 30\] using the mixed product basis \[29, 31, 32\] with
cutoff values \( G_{\text{max}} = 4 \text{ bohr}^{-1} \) and \( L_{\text{max}} = 4 \).

The Hamiltonian \[1\] describes a system of C-p\(_z\) elec-

trons that interact via the effective interaction \( U_{ij} \), which
incorporates the screening effects of all other electrons
not contained in the Hamiltonian \[1\]. The cRPA
approach offers an efficient way to calculate this interac-
tion \[23\], as the screening channels are individually accessible.
The two-dimensional symmetry of graphene clearly sepa-

rates the C-p\(_z\) from other bands and, thus, enables an
unequivocal elimination of the C-p\(_z\) screening from
the full RPA polarization function. Apart from the on-site
term the resulting effective interaction yields the off-site,
 intra-orbital, and inter-orbital terms as well as their fre-
quency dependence.

The fully screened long wavelength dielectric constants
reported in Refs. \[21, 23\] are different from the par-
tially screened cRPA dielectric constants obtained, here,
in that the former include also contributions to screening
due to transitions between the graphene \( \pi \)-bands.
Hence, using the dielectric constants from Refs. \[21, 23\]
in a generalized Hubbard model like Eq. \[1\] or in the
context of investigations like Refs. \[8, 14\] would lead to
double counting of screening terms arising from the \( \pi \)
electrons.

We ensure the accuracy of the model parameters being
derived by carefully checking their dependence on the
 calculation procedure (the type of Wannier construction
being used to define the C-p\(_z\) orbitals) and convergence
issues (Brillouin zone sampling and finite supercell height
\( h \)) as we explain in the online supporting material \[33\].
We find that Wannier functions directly from the C-
p\(_z\) orbitals \[8\] and convergence issues \( \pi \)-bands.

For graphene at its equilibrium lattice constant of \( a_0 = 2.47 \text{ Å} \),
we obtain the Coulomb interaction parameters
given in table \[1\]. The on-site Coulomb repulsion \( U_{00}/a_0 \approx 3.3t \) is below \( U_{AF} \approx (4.5 \pm 0.5)t \) \[3, 4\] but very close to
the critical value of \( U_{d} = 3.5t \) separating the zero gap phase
from a gapped spin liquid one \[8\]. Comparing to the phase diagram reported in Ref. \[10\] our results show that
the nearest-neighbor Coulomb interaction of \( U_{01} \approx 2.0t \)
taken together with the local Coulomb interaction puts
graphene in close proximity to, both, a spin-density wave
and a charge density wave transition line.

The ratio of the kinetic energy given by \( t \) to the
Coulomb interaction can, e.g., be changed by applying
strain. Upon expanding the graphene lattice the nearest
neighbor hopping decreases faster than the Coulomb
egin{align*}
\text{(a)} & \quad U_d(a)/(a_0) \\
\text{(b)} & \quad U_d(a)/t(a)
\end{align*}
interaction parameters (Fig. 1a). An expansion of the lattice by a few percent leads to $U_{00}(a)/t(a) > 3.5$, i.e. an increase of the ratio of local Coulomb interactions to the kinetic energy beyond the critical value of $U_d/t = 3.5$. In this situation, the non-local Coulomb interaction effects can be crucial. It remains to be seen to which extent the long range non-local Coulomb interaction screens the on-site repulsion and stabilizes the semimetallic phase or whether non-local Coulomb terms drive the system towards other strongly correlated possibly topologically non-trivial electronic phases as suggested in Refs. 10, 11.

We now consider the Coulomb interaction in graphite and compare to graphene. In graphite, the two sublattices are not equivalent. We define the atoms of sublattice A be to directly above each other in adjacent layers and sublattice B as the atoms above hollow sites of the layer beneath. As table 1 shows, the on-site interaction in graphene and graphite is qualitatively similar with very little difference between the two graphite sublattices. The ratio of bare to cRPA nearest-neighbor Coulomb interaction is $U_{01}^{\text{bare}}/U_{01}^{\text{cRPA}} = 1.6$ in graphene as compared to 2.2 in graphite. The non-local screening by the $\sigma$-bands is considerably more effective in graphite than in graphene.

This trend manifests clearly in the long wavelength limit as can be seen from the Coulomb interaction in reciprocal space. To this end, we consider the Coulomb interaction matrix elements in terms of the Bloch transformed C-$p_z$-Wannier functions, $|w_nk\rangle$. We calculate the ratio of bare to cRPA screened interaction

$$\epsilon(k) = \frac{\langle w_nq_1, w_nq_2+k | W^{\text{bare}} | w_nq_1+k w_nq_2 \rangle}{\langle w_nq_1, w_nq_2+k | W^{\text{cRPA}} | w_nq_1+k w_nq_2 \rangle}$$

For graphene, our cRPA calculations (Fig. 2) yield $\epsilon(k) \approx 2.4$ for intermediate momentum transfer, $k = |k| \gtrsim 1 \text{Å}^{-1}$, and $\epsilon(k) \rightarrow 1$ for $k \rightarrow 0$. The screening due to high energy states in graphene becomes essentially negligible in the long wavelength limit. This is fundamentally different for graphite where $\epsilon(k) \approx 2$ almost independently of the momentum transfer and $\epsilon(k = 0) \approx 2.5$. Hence, graphite should be less correlated than graphene.

In the long wavelength limit, the simplest model to address screening by high-energy bands in freestanding graphene is to consider a film of thickness $d$ and dielectric constant $\epsilon_1$. Transferring Ref. 38 to the geometry at hand we obtain

$$\epsilon_1^{-1}(k) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} + \frac{\epsilon_1 + 1 + (\epsilon_1 - 1)e^{-kd}}{\epsilon_1 + 1 - (\epsilon_1 - 1)e^{-kd}}$$

(3)

$$k \rightarrow 0 \quad 1 + kd \left( \frac{1}{2\epsilon_1} - \epsilon_1 + 1/2 \right).$$

(4)

Our cRPA calculations confirm this expectation (see Fig. 2). Eq. 3 turns out to describe the partially screened Coulomb interaction well for $k = |k| < 1 \text{Å}^{-1}$ with $d = 2.8\text{Å}$ and $\epsilon_1 = 2.4$ proving the applicability of this classical model at long wavelengths.

Integrating out the graphene $\sigma$-bands and other high energy states leads to frequency dependent effective Coulomb matrix elements. For graphene and graphite, the effective Coulomb interaction is significantly frequency dependent above $\omega > 5\text{ eV}$ (Fig. 3). Within the energy range of the Dirac spectrum, however, ($\sim 2\text{ eV}$) the Coulomb interaction can be well considered in the static limit.

In conclusion, the strength of Coulomb interactions in graphene and graphite is accurately determined by first-principles calculations. The local Coulomb interaction in graphene is $U_{00}^{A/B} = 9.3\text{ eV} \approx 3.3t$, which is very close to the critical value $U_{sd} = 3.5t$ for the transition to a gapped spin liquid. By straining graphene, the
The system can be driven across this critical value. Moreover, we find large non-local Coulomb interactions (e.g. $U_0 = 5.5\, eV \approx 2\, t_0$). By means of a dielectric substrate below graphene the screening of the long range tails of the Coulomb interaction can be tuned, while the local Coulomb interaction terms are expected to be much less affected by the dielectric environment. Hence, also the ratio of local to non-local Coulomb interactions can be tuned. It remains to be seen which additional many body instabilities might be triggered in this way or to which extent the conducting state of free standing graphene can be stabilized by non-local Coulomb terms. This issue deserves future attention. Very likely, our finding of large non-local Coulomb interaction $U_{01}$ in graphene generalizes to other two-dimensional materials. In narrow impurity bands or edge states of graphene, the Coulomb interaction might in any case present the dominating energy scale and, thus, trigger many body instabilities including magnetism.
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[33] See EPAPS Document No. X for technical details for the cRPA calculations.