Skin Diseases in Family Medicine: Prevalence and Health Care Use
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ABSTRACT

PURPOSE Ongoing care for patients with skin diseases can be optimized by understanding the incidence and population prevalence of various skin diseases and the patient-related factors related to the use of primary, specialty, and alternative health care for these conditions. We examined the recent prevalence of skin diseases in a defined population of family medicine patients, self-reported disease-related quality of life, extent and duration of skin disease, and the use of health care by patients with skin diseases.

METHODS We undertook a morbidity registry-based epidemiological study to determine the prevalence of various skin diseases, using a patient questionnaire to inquire about health care use, within a network of family practices in the Netherlands with a practice population of approximately 12,000 citizens.

RESULTS Skin diseases accounted for 12.4% of all diseases seen by the participating family physicians. Of the 857 questionnaires sent to patients registered with a skin disease, 583 (68.0%) were returned, and 501 were suitable for analysis. In the previous year, 83.4% of the patients had contacted their family physician for their skin disease, 17.0% had contacted a medical specialist, and 5.2% had consulted an alternative health care practitioner. Overall, 65.1% contacted only their family physician. Patients who reported more severe disease and lower quality of life made more use of all forms of health care.

CONCLUSION This practice population-based study found that skin diseases account for 12.4% of diseases seen by family physicians, and that some skin problems may be seen more frequently. Although patients with more extensive skin diseases also obtain care from dermatologists, most patients have their skin diseases treated mainly by their family physician. Overall, patients with more severe disease and a lower quality of life seek more treatment.


INTRODUCTION

Ongoing care for people with skin diseases can be optimized by understanding the incidence and population prevalence of various skin diseases and the patient-related factors (eg, disease severity, psychosocial well-being) related to the use of primary, specialty, and alternative health care for these conditions. Although skin diseases are common among the general population and account for a high percentage of all diseases dealt with by family physicians, recent prevalence data are desirable considering the reported increase in the prevalence of specific skin diseases (eg, atopic dermatitis and carcinoma of the skin). Additionally, the use of health care by patients with skin diseases has rarely been investigated.

The goal of this study was to examine the prevalence of skin diseases, the use of health care by patients with skin diseases, and the relationship between this use of health care and disease-related variables (eg, disease severity and quality of life). We expected more patients with more severe skin diseases and a lower skin-related quality of life to seek more treatment.
METHODS
The Continuous Morbidity Registration
This research was conducted within the Continuous Morbidity Registration (CMR) of the Department of Family Practice, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, the Netherlands. The CMR was founded in 1971 and consists of 4 family practices and 11 family physicians. It records all new episodes of diseases encountered by family physicians participating in the network. As a diagnosis-based disease registry, CMR records diagnoses according to the adapted E-list, which is compatible with the International Classification of Health Problems in Primary Care (ICHPPC-2). In the Netherlands each person is registered with 1 family physician, who is a gatekeeper to access to specialist medical care. This system enables the CMR to register referrals to medical specialists, as well as the specialist-reported diagnoses. The CMR network covers a relatively stable practice population of approximately 12,000 citizens, which is representative in terms of age and sex of the Dutch general population. All patients were informed about the use of the database and asked to provide written consent.

Prevalence and Incidence
Within the registry we calculated the age- and sex-specific prevalence of the skin diseases seen during a 5-year period (2002-2006), as well as the incidence (all new cases) during the same period.

Use of Health Care
Questionnaires were sent to all registered patients aged 18 years or older who had commonly encountered skin diseases during the 12 months preceding the study. The questionnaire was usually not administered near the time of a visit to the family physician when the skin disease was presumably more active.

Health care use was measured by the number of contacts (visits, telephone calls for prescriptions, or contact for referrals) with the family physician for skin diseases in the previous year. Additionally, we assessed the number of contacts with other specialists and complementary or alternative medicine practitioners in the previous year.

Disease severity was assessed with a validated 9-item disease severity scale using the Impact of Skin Disease on Daily Life (ISDL), a health instrument that measures the degree to which 9 parts of the body (face, haired head, neck, hands, arms, torso, legs, feet, and genitals/anus) were affected by the disease. Response categories were on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from “not” (1) to “totally” (4). A total score (range, 9-36) for the affected area of the body was calculated by summing the scores of the 9 items.

Physical symptoms of itching, pain, and fatigue were assessed with separate visual analogue scales (VAS) that measured the mean level of itching, pain, and fatigue during the past 4 weeks (0 = no itching/pain/fatigue; 10 = worst itching/pain/fatigue ever experienced).

Disease-related quality of life was measured with the Dermatological Life Quality Index. Higher scores indicate a lower disease-related quality of life.

Disease duration was measured as the self-reported time since initial diagnosis in years. Groups were compared using 2-tailed Student’s t tests or Pearson’s χ².

RESULTS
Prevalence and Incidence
Skin diseases accounted for 12.4% of all diseases seen by family physicians in the CMR. By calculating the amount of skin diseases as a percentage of all diseases instead of the percentage of all patients, it is possible to gain a more precise insight into the frequency with which physicians encounter a disease. Table 1 shows the age- and sex-specific prevalence and incidence of each skin disease.

Use of Medical Care for Skin Diseases
Of the 857 questionnaires sent, 583 (68.0%) were returned, and 501 were suitable for analysis. The mean age of these patients was 49.7 years (SD 17.1 years; range, 18.5-97.6 years), 60.9% were female, and 7.4%, 60.9%, and 31.7% had a primary, secondary, and tertiary level of education, representing on average 7, 12, and 17 years of formal education, respectively. There was no difference by sex between the responders and nonresponders, but the responders were significantly younger (t = 3.9, P < .01). Patients with the following diagnoses returned questionnaires: 41 patients with acne vulgaris, 97 with atopic dermatitis, 27 with contact dermatitis, 11 with corns, 67 with dermatitis, 11 with diseases of sebaceous glands and sweat glands, 115 with psoriasis, 72 with seborrheic dermatitis, and 29 with seborrheic keratosis. There were fewer than 10 respondents with each of the following diagnoses: diseases of the nail, sebaceous cyst, benign neoplasm of the skin, chronic ulcer, pruritus, diseases of the hair, and the different forms of skin carcinoma. The mean duration of skin disease was 16.7 years (SD 15.5 years; range, 0.1-67.1 years).

Of the 501 patients who returned the questionnaire, 83.4% reported having contacted a family physician for their skin disease in the previous 12 months (62.1% for visits, with a mean of 2.8 office visits; 46.3% for prescriptions, with a mean of 3.1 telephone calls; and 9.4% for referral to other specialists, with a mean...
of 1.4 contacts). Seventeen percent of the patients had visited a specialist on average 3.7 times (91.3% visited a dermatologist). In addition, 5.2% had visited an alternative health care practitioner (on average 8.1 times).

Most patients contacted only their family physician (n = 326, 65.1%). Eight patients (1.6%) visited only a specialist, and 3 patients (0.6%) visited only an alternative health care practitioner. Sixty-eight (13.6%) visited their family physician and a specialist, and 14 (2.8%) visited their family physician and an alternative health care practitioner. In addition, 9 (1.8%) patients visited their family physician, a specialist, and an alternative health practitioner, and 73 (14.6%) did not contact a health care practitioner at all during a 12-month period.

Table 2 compares patients who consulted a health care practitioner with those who did not. Patients who visited their family physician, another specialist, or an alternative health care practitioner reported a significantly higher disease severity, more severe itching, and a lower disease-related quality of life.

**DISCUSSION**

In accordance with earlier studies, skin diseases accounted for 12.4% of all diseases seen by the participating family physicians of the CMR. As expected, we found a high prevalence of, for example, psoriasis and atopic dermatitis. The CMR used standard age ranges and diagnostic categories that enabled comparison with earlier population-based data on skin disease prevalence in the Netherlands. These descriptive data indicated a possible increase in the prevalence of atopic dermatitis, seborrheic dermatitis, psoriasis, diseases of the hair, and seborrheic keratosis.

Regarding the use of medical care, our results indicated that more than 80% of all patients had contacted their family physician for their skin disease during the previous year. Moreover, 65% were treated only by their family physician during this year. Patients with more extensive disease, more itching, and a low disease-related quality of life made the most use of medical services. These findings are in line with findings for other chronic diseases. For example, Stein et al reported that patients with a chronic disease accompanied by severe psychosocial impairments made more frequent use of medical care.

Some limitations of the study should be kept in mind. Several subgroups of patients with specific skin diseases were relatively small, which made it impossible to examine severity, quality of life, and medical care use for specific skin diseases individually. Even so, our data suggest that the results about health care use did not differ greatly by diagnosis. Future research is needed to study the health care use of patients with specific skin diseases. Although the CMR uses generally accepted categories for skin disease that are compatible with the ICHPPC, the definition of dermatitis as a heterogeneous group of skin diseases that emerge as skin damage in reaction to a toxin may have influenced the high prevalence for this category. Finally, several variables, for example, disease duration and the number of visits to different health care practitioners, were assessed through self-report, and we cannot exclude a possible recall bias in these measurements.

Because patients with a more severe skin disease and a lower quality of life made the most frequent use of health care, paying particular attention to patients’ physical symptoms and psychosocial impairments might have beneficial consequences for dermatological treatment by increasing satisfaction with treatment and patient compliance with dermatological interventions. More research is needed, however, to provide insight into the determinants of health care use and the effectiveness of current health care facilities in treating specific skin diseases.

To read or post commentaries in response to this article, see it online at **http://www.annfammed.org/cgi/content/full/6/4/349**.
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Table 1. Skin Disease Incidence and Prevalence, 2002-2006, per 1,000 Patient-Years, by Age and Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skin Disease</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Male Total</th>
<th>Female Total</th>
<th>Male 0-4 y</th>
<th>Female 0-4 y</th>
<th>Male 5-14 y</th>
<th>Female 5-14 y</th>
<th>Male 15-24 y</th>
<th>Female 15-24 y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acne vulgaris</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>66.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atopic dermatitis</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>108.9</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>40.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign neoplasm</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic ulcer</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact dermatitis</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corns</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermatitis</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>65.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>75.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diseases of the hair</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diseases of the nails</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diseases of sebaceous glands and sweat glands</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pruritus</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psoriasis</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sebaceous cyst</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seborrhoeic dermatitis</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seborrhoeic keratosis (wart seborrhoeic)</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamous cell carcinoma</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Use of patient-years is necessary in long-term follow-up research because not every patient under study can be observed for the same period (because of death, for example). Total number of patient-years in the registry is the sum of the observation period for all observed individuals. In this case, each month within the 5-year period a patient could be observed counts for 1/12 patient year in the total number of patient years in the network.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male 25-44 y</th>
<th>Female 25-44 y</th>
<th>Male 45-64 y</th>
<th>Female 45-64 y</th>
<th>Male 65-74 y</th>
<th>Female 65-74 y</th>
<th>Male ≥75 y</th>
<th>Female ≥75 y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>32.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>100.7</td>
<td>92.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>106.5</td>
<td>147.7</td>
<td>119.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Comparison of Patients Who Visited a Health Care Provider With Those Who Did Not (Student’s t Test)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Contact Family Physician</th>
<th>Contact Specialist</th>
<th>Contact Alternative Health Practitioner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (n = 417 Mean (SD))</td>
<td>No (n = 84 Mean (SD))</td>
<td>P Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>49.7 (17.5)</td>
<td>49.7 (15.1)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex a</td>
<td>0.6 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.5)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td>4.3 (2.0)</td>
<td>4.2 (2.0)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease severity b</td>
<td>12.5 (2.8)</td>
<td>11.2 (2.4)</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration, years</td>
<td>16.7 (15.6)</td>
<td>17.7 (14.7)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itch c</td>
<td>3.4 (2.7)</td>
<td>2.0 (2.3)</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain c</td>
<td>1.6 (2.0)</td>
<td>1.2 (1.7)</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue c</td>
<td>3.2 (2.8)</td>
<td>2.5 (2.6)</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLQI d</td>
<td>4.3 (4.9)</td>
<td>2.4 (3.8)</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DLQI = Dermatological Life Quality Index; ISDL = Impact of Skin Disease on Daily Life.

a 0 = male, 1 = female, compared through Pearson’s χ².

b As measured by ISDL, range, 9-36.

c Assessed with visual analogue scales: 0 = no itch/pain/fatigue; 10 = worst itch/pain/fatigue ever experienced.

d Higher scores indicate lower disease-related quality of life.