Mineralization of Hydrogels for Bone Regeneration
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Hydrogels are an important class of highly hydrated polymers that are widely investigated for potential use in soft tissue engineering. Generally, however, hydrogels lack the ability to mineralize, preventing the formation of chemical bonds with hard tissues such as bone. A recent trend in tissue engineering involves the development of hydrogels that possess the capacity to mineralize. The strategy that has attracted most interest has been the incorporation of inorganic phases such as calcium phosphate ceramics and bioglasses into hydrogel matrices. These inorganic particles act as nucleation sites that enable further mineralization, thus improving the mechanical properties of the composite material. A second route to create nucleation sites for calcification of hydrogels involves the use of features from the physiological mineralization process. Examples of these biomimetic mineralization strategies include (1) soaking of hydrogels in solutions that are saturated with respect to calcium phosphate, (2) incorporation of enzymes that catalyze deposition of bone mineral, and (3) incorporation of synthetic analogues to matrix vesicles that are the initial sites of biomineralization. Functionalization of the polymeric hydrogel backbone with negatively charged groups is a third mechanism to promote mineralization in otherwise inert hydrogels. This review summarizes the main strategies that have been developed in the past decade to calcify hydrogel matrices and render these hydrogels suitable for applications in bone regeneration.

Introduction

Bone substitution materials

Bone is a composite material comprised of a collagenous fibrous matrix that is enriched with platelet-shaped nanocrystals of carbonated apatite (average dimensions: 50 nm long, 25 nm wide, and 3 nm thick). This complex nanosstructure makes bone a unique tissue with exceptional mechanical and biological properties.1,2 Despite several decades of research on synthetic bone substitutes, the use of autografts is still the gold standard in clinical practice. Autografting requires a surgery in which parts of healthy bone from the patient are harvested from, for instance, the iliac crest and subsequently transferred to the site of application. Alternative options include the use of bone harvested from another donor (allografts) or from animals (xenografts).3,4 Even though these surgical treatments have resulted into good clinical outcome, they are accompanied by strong drawbacks such as infections, pain, and morbidity at the donor site, high costs, and the necessity of additional surgery.3,5 To eliminate these severe problems, there is a pressing need for novel synthetic materials that can substitute bone sufficiently.

Several materials, such as metals7, ceramics, and polymers8, have been used for bone replacement. In the era of regenerative medicine, the poor degradability of metallic and ceramic scaffolds has become the major disadvantage that inhibits complete regeneration of bone tissue. Polymers, on the other hand, are known for the ease by which degradation can be tailored by controlling the chemical composition of the monomer units during synthesis. Until recently, the majority of polymeric bone substitutes were premade constructs that were implanted surgically via invasive surgery. Clinically, there is a growing need for materials that can be inserted using minimally invasive methods such as a simple injection.9 Ideally, such a material should be of viscosity low enough to be injected and harden after injection, thereby enabling incorporation of drugs, cells, and growth factors in the viscous solution before administration.10 Hydrogels are a specific, highly hydrated class of polymers that fulfill all of the abovementioned requirements.

Hydrogels

Hydrogels are hydrophilic crosslinked polymers that are formed by the reaction of one or more monomers, by association of hydrogen bonds or van der Waals interactions between the chains.11,12 The crosslinking can be achieved either physically or chemically. While in chemical crosslinking covalent bonds must be formed, physical crosslinking happens when physical interaction between the chains occurs.13
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Hydrogels can be classified according to their origin (natural or synthetic),\textsuperscript{14} method of preparation (homopolymer, copolymer, multipolymer, and interpenetrating hydrogels), ionic charges (neutral, anionic, cationic, and ampholytic hydrogels), and physical structure (amorphous, semicrystalline, and hydrogen bonded structures).\textsuperscript{14} When hydrogels are in contact with water, they swell and form an insoluble three-dimensional network. Other than injectability, hydrogels display many properties\textsuperscript{15} that make them desirable candidates for tissue engineering applications. One of the most important advantages is their aqueous environment, which protects cells and sensitive drugs that can be incorporated in the network for controlled delivery at the site of injury. The aqueous environment allows transportation of substances, such as nutrients and by-products from cell metabolism, in and out of the hydrogels.\textsuperscript{16} Hydrogels can also be derivatized with functional groups that mediate processes such as cell attachment and subsequent spreading.\textsuperscript{27} Until recently, hydrogels have been mainly considered for soft tissue regeneration. In the last few years, however, the interest to test the feasibility of using the beneficial properties of hydrogels for hard tissue regeneration has increased. Still, for applications in hard tissue engineering, hydrogels are associated with a number of disadvantages such as their poor mineralization upon implantation.\textsuperscript{18} Further, the inherent mechanical weakness of hydrogels is a limiting factor that restricts their use to non-load-bearing applications\textsuperscript{15,19}, even though reinforcement can be achieved by the addition of other phases.\textsuperscript{5,18,20,21} Finally, many hydrogels are difficult to sterilize due to their high water content and the polymer reactivity under UV light.\textsuperscript{22}

It is not in the scope of this study to review a list of all the hydrogels—natural or synthetic—used in the field of tissue engineering, since there are many excellent reviews that thoroughly elaborate on this subject.\textsuperscript{19,23–28} This article will focus on the strategies developed during the past decade to induce mineralization in inert, nonmineralizing hydrogels in vitro (immersion in simulated body fluids [SBF]) or in vivo for use in bone regeneration. Three major strategies used for calcification of hydrogels will be reviewed, including (1) the addition of inorganic particles aiming at mineralization and improvement of the mechanical properties of hydrogels, (2) the creation of nucleation sites by biomimetic methods, such as soaking treatments and the use of enzymes and vesicles that play an important role in physiological biomineralization, and (3) the derivatization of the polymeric hydrogel backbone with anionic functional groups. In addition, some indirect methods of mineralization such as growth factors and cell incorporation or addition of demineralized bone matrix will be briefly discussed.

**Mineralization by Adding Inorganic Phases**

The capacity of a specific class of bone-substituting materials to induce calcification is often referred to as bioactivity, which implies that these materials possess the capacity to promote nucleation and subsequent proliferation of calcium phosphate crystals. Generally, most polymeric materials do not possess this capacity, but the addition of a ceramic phase can still render the resulting composites bioactive by providing nucleation sites for the promotion of hydroxyapatite (HA) precipitation. The concept of combining a hydrogel with an inorganic phase is inspired by the composite nature of bone itself. One of the many advantages of adding an inorganic phase is that the dispersed mineral will provide nucleation sites for HA formation as well as cell adhesion sites that enable integration with surrounding bone tissue.\textsuperscript{29,30} Further, degradation of the temporary hydrogel implant will allow for replacement by new bone formation, thus increasing mechanically stability.

Degradation times and mechanical properties of organic–inorganic composite materials can be controlled to a large extent by the addition of inorganic phases.\textsuperscript{20,21,31} Moreover, the handling characteristics of such composite materials can be greatly improved, since brittle ceramic particles can be delivered in moldable or even injectable formulations using the elasticity of the hydrogels.\textsuperscript{2} Finally,\textsuperscript{32} the addition of carbonated apatites in polymers can have a neutralizing effect on the acidic pH caused by the degradation by-products, thus minimizing excessive inflammation around the implantation site.

There are many bioactive inorganic materials that can be used to render hydrogels mineralizable. These ceramic materials are able to create a firm bond with bone at the site of implantation by forming an intermediate layer of HA on their surface.\textsuperscript{33}

The most commonly used inorganic phases are calcium phosphates and bioglasses. Many calcium phosphate ceramics can be found in literature with the most representative being β-tricalcium phosphate (β-TCP), amorphous calcium phosphate, and HA. This group of ceramics shows strong resemblance to the mineral phase of bone and it is found in many normal or pathological calcified sites in the human body.\textsuperscript{34} Through reviews of all relevant calcium phosphates that are present in the human body can be found elsewhere.\textsuperscript{35–37} Bioactive glasses are amorphous solids containing <60 wt% SiO₂ that are bioactive due to their high reactivity in aqueous media. Modern preparation techniques such as the sol–gel process have yielded a wide range of mesoporous, highly bioactive, and bioreabsorbable materials for the production of bone implants.\textsuperscript{38} It has been shown\textsuperscript{39,40} that the formation of HA on the surface of these materials is due to the formation of –OH groups when the glass contacts body fluids.\textsuperscript{41,42}

**Composites based on natural hydrogels**

Advantages of natural hydrogels include their biocompatibility, biodegradability, and commercial availability. Composites of natural hydrogels and bioactive phases have been shown to accelerate osteogenesis and sometimes possess osteoconductive properties that were even superior to monolithic HA implants.\textsuperscript{43} There are many natural polymers\textsuperscript{23} used for tissue engineering most commonly collagen and its denatured derivative gelatin\textsuperscript{44}, fibrin, as well as chitin and its deacetylated derivative chitosan.

Collagen (mostly collagen type I) is the main polymer phase of bone,\textsuperscript{45} and it is highly biocompatible, degrades enzymatically, and can be processed easily into different forms such as sponges,\textsuperscript{46} fibers,\textsuperscript{47} tubes, and sheets.\textsuperscript{48,49} An example of a collagen hydrogel that was combined with an inorganic calcium phosphate phase was reported by Zou et al.\textsuperscript{49} The collagen fibers were crosslinked by using glutaraldehyde. Ceramic β-TCP particles were homogeneously
dispersed inside the collagen matrix, but also a firm bond between the ceramic particles and the hydrogel was formed. In addition, the scaffolds showed bone tissue regeneration after 12 weeks of implantation in animals. For more specific information on the use of collagen as matrix phase, the reader is referred to a thorough review about collagen-HA composites for hard tissue engineering by Wahl and Czermuszka.50

Fibrin glue51,52 is a synthetic analogue of the blood coagulation process that creates a fibrin clot upon mixing of the two components fibrinogen and thrombin and it can be used as tissue adhesive in many surgical applications due to its favorable biological behavior. Le Nihouannen et al.53 combined these beneficial properties of fibrin glue in terms of clinical handling and biocompatibility with the bioactive characteristics of an additional ceramic phase to develop a composite material for bone regeneration. Micro- and macroporous characteristics of an additional ceramic phase to develop a composite material for bone regeneration. Micro- and macroporous biphasic calcium phosphate granules (HA and β-TCP in a weight ratio of 60/40, respectively) were mixed with a fibrin glue matrix inducing mineralization within the fibrin network.

Tan et al.,54 prepared an injectable biomaterial consisting of calcium alginate and nano-HA. The injectability and the setting time of the material could be easily tuned by altering the absolute and relative concentrations of the components. Alginate has the unique capacity to gel in the presence of dissolved calcium ions, which is a very mild method to create crosslinks into an organic matrix. The particles of HA had a diameter of 50 μm and the final concentration of HA in the gel was kept at 3% g/mL. CaSO4 was used to crosslink the alginate gel. It was concluded that the final composite material is a good candidate for bone repair and bone tissue engineering. Alginates for bone reconstruction reinforced with HA55 and octacalcium phosphate56 have also been shown to be bioactive.

Addition of SiO2, which is the main component of bio-glasses, inside polymeric matrices also aims to trigger the calcification of polymer matrix. Madhumathi et al.57 prepared a scaffold by dispersing silica nanoparticles inside a chitin hydrogel. The scaffold showed HA formation only after 7 days of immersion in SBF. Similar particles were also introduced inside chitosan hydrogels58 and significant mineralization of the matrix was observed after immersion in SBF as well as implantation in rat calvaria for 3 weeks. Similarly, addition of sol-gel prepared SiO2-CaO-P2O5 bioglass nanoparticles inside a chitosan-based hydrogel also induced bone-like apatite after immersion in SBF.59

**Composites based on synthetic hydrogels**

Even though naturally derived hydrogels have desirable biological properties, they often exhibit degradation profiles that are too fast for hard tissue regeneration.60 Moreover, chemical characteristics of natural hydrogels such as the molecular weight usually display a wide distribution due to their natural origin, which limits the reproducibility and functionality of the materials. On the contrary, synthetic hydrogels can be prepared with tailored and highly reproducible chemical characteristics, thereby allowing for careful degradation properties.61 The combination of the different monomer units results in hydrogels with controlled characteristics in terms of degradation rate, swelling ratios, and mechanical properties.62

Polymeric chains can be finely tuned based on the clinical requirements of the various applications in hard tissue engineering. As a result, a wide range of crosslinking techniques can be used to form the hydrogels such as photo-polymerization or radical polymerization in the presence of small crosslinking agents.63,64 The most common synthetic hydrogels that are studied for bone tissue engineering purposes include hydrogels based either on polyethylene glycol (PEG)65,66, poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (pHEMA), or poly(N-isopropylacrylamide).67,68

A recent example of the use of PEG-based hydrogels as matrix for the addition of inorganic HA nanoparticles was described by Sarvestani et al.,66,67 who exploited the calcium-binding capacity of a 6-glutamic acid sequence (as found in the terminal sequences of osteonectin) to increase the interaction strength between inorganic HA nanoparticles and (L-lactide-co-ethylene oxide-co-fumarate). The other end of the peptide was functionalized with an acrylate group that enabled the establishment of covalent bonds between the peptide and the organic polymer. In this way, the functionalized peptide acted as a linker between inorganic and organic composite components.

Patel et al.68 developed cyclic acetal hydrogels reinforced with nanoparticles of HA for craniofacial tissue engineering application. Incorporation of HA nanoparticles into cyclic acetal hydrogels resulted into enhanced differentiation of bone marrow stromal cells by promotion of endogenous osteogenic signal expression.

Composites based on pHEMA with high mineral content of about 37%-50% were prepared by Song et al.69 The group used pHEMA polymer that was crosslinked in the presence of HA crystals using viscous ethylene glycol as solvent to facilitate the easy dispersion and prevent sedimentation of the HA particles. Even though the material had a mineral content similar to that of human bone, it possessed elastomeric properties that allowed for press-fitting the composites into bone defects. After implantation in rats, the material supported osteoblastic differentiation and promoted bone mineralization. The combination of the excellent mechanical properties along with the beneficial biological response, confirm the promising concept of using pHEMA in combination with HA crystals. Similarly, pHEMA has been reinforced with inorganic particles such as such as TiO2 nanoparticles,70 nanocarbonate-substituted apatite,71 and SiO2 nanoparticles.72

**Biomimetic Mineralization**

Hydrogels can also be mineralized by means of biomimetic methods that take their inspiration from the biomineralization process by which native apatite nanocrystals are formed in vivo. Several features from this biomineralization process have been studied for their potential to be used in hydrogel mineralization, including (alternate) soaking treatments in fluids that are saturated with respect to apatite deposition, enzyme-directed mineralization, and the incorporation of synthetic analogs of matrix vesicles as initial sites of biomineralization.

**Soaking in solutions containing Ca2+ and PO43−**

Du et al.73 used collagen matrices presoaked in PO43− that were subsequently immersed in Ca2+ solutions. By
controlling the parameters of their method, different crystal polymorphs could be created, whereas the materials were shown to be able to promote mineralization upon implantation in rats. Furuichi et al.24 prepared a calcium phosphate-polyacrylic acid composite hydrogel by crosslinking a polyacrylic acid polymer in the presence of (NH4)H2PO4 solution and then immersing it in a calcium containing solution. The diffusion of Ca2+ into the polyacrylic acid hydrogel that contained phosphate ions induced calcification of the hydrogel matrix resulting in a hierarchically organized composite architecture that resembled bone.

By alternately incubating a cellulose hydrogel in calcium and phosphate solutions, Hutchens et al.25 was able to prepare biomimetic composites. The mineral phase of these composites was characterized as calcium-deficient HA. X-ray diffraction also revealed that the crystallites formed were elongated along the c-axis and had a length of ~50 nm, which is similar to the apatite crystals found in natural bone. The same mechanism was utilized to induce HA mineralization in a chitosan hydrogel by Madhumathi et al.,76 who used chitosan hydrogel membranes that were alternately soaked in solutions of CaCl2 and Na2HPO4. HA deposits were homogeneously dispersed throughout the matrix after five cycles. Similarly, Hong et al.77 used a cellulose hydrogel that was first treated with a CaCl2 solution and then immersed in SBF. Uniform and dense biomimetic mineralization was observed after immersion for 14 days in the SBF solution.

Using a urea-containing solution, Kim et al. managed to precipitate calcium phosphate crystals on top and inside a PEG-based hydrogel.28 The PEG-fumarate polymer was crosslinked with ethylene glycol methacrylate phosphate, which acted as a source of phosphorous for the formation of apatitic crystalline platelets with a ratio of Ca/P equal to 1.60.

**Vesicles loaded with Ca2+ and PO43−**

Another aspect of bone biomimeralization that has been exploited to calcify hydrogel matrices relates to the vesicular nature of physiological calcification. Initial mineralization occurs in the so-called matrix vesicles, which are cellularly derived structures of 40–200 nm in diameter that are separated from other structures in the extracellular matrix by a limiting phospholipid membrane enclosing a central aqueous core. After their formation in specific regions of the outer membrane of osteoblasts, these vesicles migrate toward the calcification front of growing bones. Here, the vesicles secrete apatitic crystals that subsequently calcify periodically arranged, calcium-binding hole zones with specific amino acid composition in collagen fibers of the extracellular matrix.80 Liu et al.81 created liquid vesicles that entered the hydrogel matrix using a current-mediated ion diffusion method that resulted in mineralization at the interior of a pHEMA hydrogel. The dense hydrogel acted as binding site for the Ca ions and promoted mineralization of nanoapatite. The mineral that was formed inside the entire volume of the hydrogel exhibited a structure very similar to the inorganic component of bone. A similar strategy to promote mineralization according to vesicular mineralization was developed by Pederson et al.82 and Westhaus and Messersmith.83 In the latter studies the vesicles were designed to melt at body temperature to release the Ca2+ and PO43− ions necessary for mineralization of the surrounding hydrogel matrix.

**Enzymatic mineralization**

Alkaline phosphatase (ALP)84 is an enzyme that plays an important role in the remodeling of bone and more specifically in the resorption of bone and the mineralization of carbonated apatite. The enzyme acts as a catalyst for the hydrolysis of the organic phosphoesters, thereby increasing the local concentration of inorganic phosphate groups that results into enzyme-directed deposition of carbonated apatites.85,86 Moreover, ALP decreases the concentration of pyrophosphates that act as inhibitors of apatite crystal growth. Recently, several groups have tried to immobilize this enzyme onto implant surfaces or into hydrogels to induce local mineralization of implant surfaces and scaffolds.87

ALP has been immobilized88 onto a fibrin gel by activating the –COOH groups from fibrin glue using 1-ethyl-3-(dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride. Subsequently, these scaffolds were incubated in ALP solutions resulting in covalent bonding between the enzyme and the fibrin scaffold. Using a mouse calvarial defect model, it was demonstrated that the fibrin scaffold with the immobilized ALP enhanced new bone formation.

Similarly89, ALP has been immobilized onto a pHema hydrogel using a copolymerization technique. The enzyme retained its activity after copolymerization, and after immersion in SBF containing organophosphates for 17 days, mineral deposition was observed.

Spoerke et al.90 report the synthesis of a novel gel composed of amphiphilic nanofibers functionally enriched with phosphorylated and acidic groups. The hydrogels were formed in the presence of cell culture media supplemented with calcium chloride and immersed in calcification media containing β-glycerolphosphate and ALP among others. After 8 days of immersion the mineralization was visibly apparent throughout the hydrogel.

**Chemical Modification of Hydrogels**

A different approach to induce mineralization in hydrogels involves the introduction of negatively charged functional groups onto the backbone or side chains of hydrogel polymers. This mechanism resembles the biomineralization process in bone tissue, where noncollagenous, calcium-binding proteins are essential as modulators of nucleation and growth of apatitic biominal nanocrystals. Generally, these proteins are acidic and phosphorylated and accumulate in mineralizing bone matrix.91,92 Important mineral-inducing proteins such as osteonectin and bone sialoprotein (BSP) are enriched in anionic glutamate (Glu).92,93 These acidic sequences are responsible for the attraction of Ca2+ and subsequent creation of a local supersaturation that is necessary for CaP precipitation, which make them quintessential for biomineralization of hard tissues. Similarly, alternating sequences of anionic carboxylate, phosphate, or hydroxyl groups along the backbone of synthetic or natural polymers can endow the resulting hydrogels in swollen state with apatite-nucleating properties. Therefore, the implementation of acidic sequences into hydrogels opens up new perspectives for the development of hydrogels with mineral-attracting capacity. The following section will address the functionalization of hydrogels with negatively charged groups (PO43−, COOH, and OH) that are either present as isolated functional groups or as part of peptide sequences.
PO₄³⁻, -COOH, and -OH groups

Addition of negatively charged groups such as phosphate, carboxylate, and hydroxyl groups is commonly performed by copolymerization of the hydrogel-forming polymer with monomers containing one or more of these groups.

Stancu et al. developed copolymers of diethyl amino ethyl methacrylate and methacryloyloxyethyl phosphate (MOEP), as well as copolymers of MOEP with 1-vinyl-2-pyrrolidinone and compared the calcification ability of both types of copolymer. Samples with different phosphate content were prepared and immersed in SBF for 15 days. The results revealed that globular mineralization occurred on the surface of the MOEP-diethyl amino ethyl methacrylate hydrogels. The absence of mineral deposition onto the MOEP-1-vinyl-2-pyrrolidinone copolymers was attributed to the fact that each calcium ion was double bonded by two phosphate groups from adjacent MOEP units formed during copolymerization.

Nuttelman et al. coupled ethylene glycol methacrylate phosphate groups to PEG-diacrylate hydrogels. The polymers were immersed in human mesenchymal stem cell culture media that were supplemented with β-glycerophosphate, which resulted in mineral formation on their surface. The precipitated mineral was found to resemble biological apatites not only in composition, but also in molecular structure. Wang et al. also modified a PEG hydrogel by copolymerization with a phosphoester. Upon immersion in osteogenic media for 3 weeks, extensive mineralization was observed throughout the three-dimensional network of the copolymer.

The introduction of carboxymethyl groups on the pHEMA backbone was described by Filmon et al. The prepared carboxylated scaffolds were immersed in SBF supplemented with antibiotics for 15 days. The results showed that mineralization was induced only by the functionalized pHEMA-carboxymethyl hydrogel, whereas the unfunctionalized pHEMA hydrogels did not display any mineral formation. Crosslinked pHEMA has also been modified by exposing carboxylate groups on the surface of the hydrogel using urea to hydrolyze the 2-hydroxyethyl esters of the polymer by Song et al., who also prepared libraries of pHEMA-based hydrogels copolymerized with negatively charged monomers in a separate study. Both types of carboxylate-functionalized hydrogels were reported to induce mineralization after immersion in SBF.

The introduction of hydroxyl-containing silanol (Si-OH) groups on thermosensitive poly(N-isopropylacrylamide)-PEG dimethacrylate copolymer is described by Ho et al. These silanol groups were introduced to the main polymer backbone by reacting with trimethacryloxylpropyltrimethoxysilane (MPS). It was reported that MPS could be added at various concentrations, thereby improving the mechanical properties of the final hydrogel without altering its lower critical solution temperature. Similar to carboxylate and phosphate groups, Si-OH groups present in MPS provided sites that bound calcium and induced subsequent mineral deposition upon soaking in SBF.

Peptide-mediated mineralization—acidic peptides

Acidic peptide sequences can be conjugated on a hydrogel, but there are also formulations of hydrogels composed of polymerized polypeptides. The mineralization capacity of a hydrogel made from crosslinked polyglutamic acid was studied by Sugino et al. The hydrogel samples studied were injectable and bioresorbable, and after crosslinking they were treated with different concentrations of CaCl₂ solutions for 24 h at body temperature. Upon soaking in SBF for 7 days, HA was formed on the surface of the treated hydrogels irrespective of the CaCl₂ concentration of the solution used for the pretreatment.

The HA nucleation potency of BSP-collagen hydrogels was tested and compared with agarose-BSP gels by Baht et al. To assess the mineralization potency, the hydrogel scaffolds were perfused with buffers containing either Ca(NO₃)₂ or Na₂HPO₄ with a steady flow state. The results showed that collagen favors the BSP nucleation potency by nearly a factor of 10 when compared to agarose gels. The synergistic interaction between collagen and BSP appeared to improve the mineralization capacity of these natural hydrogels.

Chirila et al. immobilized three different artificial protein sequences onto pHEMA hydrogels. These sequences (two of them can be found in nacrein and the third is present in dentin matrix acidic phosphoprotein) were tested in vitro and their ability to nucleate calcium phosphate was assessed in solutions. Disks prepared from the peptide conjugated polymers were immersed in Ca²⁺ and PO₄³⁻ containing media for a total period of 6 weeks. The peptide sequences were shown to have no or an enhancing effect on calcium mineralization.

Gungormus et al. developed a peptide-based hydrogel that mediated the formation of HA. The 27 residue peptide MDG1 self-assembles into a hydrogel by changing its form when alternating the ionic strength of the solution. By entrapping ALP in the hydrogel and immersing it in a β-glycerophosphate solution, mineralization of the hydrogel was achieved.

Indirect Mineralization

Even though it is not the scope of this review to address drug or cell delivery systems, it should be emphasized that hydrogels are often used to deliver osteoinductive growth factors such as bone morphogenetic proteins, demineralized bone matrix, and/or cells, and in many of these cases extensive mineralization is observed as a secondary consequence. According to this mechanism, growth factors trigger cell signaling pathways that stimulate stem cells in the direct vicinity of the hydrogel to differentiate into the osteogenic lineage and produce biominal. In the case of cell delivery, cells that have been differentiated into the osteogenic lineage are encapsulated directly into hydrogels before implantation that subsequently calcify the carrier hydrogel.

Introduction of the Arginine–Glycine–Aspartate amino acid sequence (RGD or Arg-Gly-Asp) is commonly used to provide attachment and differentiation sites for cells inside the hydrogels resulting in indirect mineralization.

For further information on mineralization induced by growth factors release and/or cell encapsulation, the reader is referred to reviews by Salinas and Anseth, Hunt and Grover, and Schmidt et al.

Conclusions

Traditionally, hydrogels have been considered for soft tissue regeneration only, but recently successful attempts have been made to render hydrogels suitable for hard tissue.
regeneration. The highly hydrated nature of hydrogels offers significant advantages over conventional ceramics and non-swelling polymers in terms of biocompatibility, biodegradation, drug delivery, and injectability that have not been exploited so far. Since bone is a highly mineralized tissue, the lack of mineralization ability of inert hydrogels can be generally considered as the major stumbling block toward application of hydrogels for bone-substituting purposes. This review provides an overview of recent strategies that have been explored to calcify hydrogels, including the addition of bioactive inorganic phases, biomimetic mineralization pathways that adopt principles from biomineralization, and the functionalization of hydrogels with negatively charged functional groups.
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