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Duration of Clopidogrel Therapy with Drug-Eluting Stents

TO THE EDITOR: In their article about the duration of dual antiplatelet therapy after implantation of drug-eluting stents, Park and colleagues (April 15 issue) report that the use of extended dual antiplatelet therapy in patients who had received drug-eluting stents was not significantly more effective than aspirin monotherapy in reducing the rate of myocardial infarction or death from cardiac causes. Yet the rate of a composite of myocardial infarction, stroke, or death from any cause was nearly significantly higher in patients receiving extended dual antiplatelet therapy than in those receiving aspirin alone. These results are unpredictable and thus are difficult to interpret, although the authors commented that the results seem most likely to be due to chance. Stent length is a known predictor of stent thrombosis and thus myocardial infarction after treatment with a drug-eluting stent. Did the trend toward the use of longer stents in patients receiving extended dual antiplatelet therapy as compared with patients receiving aspirin (P=0.07) affect the higher incidence of myocardial infarction, stroke, or death from any cause? Furthermore, several medications (cilostazol, metformin, pioglitazone, and angiotensin-receptor blockers) have been reported to decrease the incidence of death or myocardial infarction. Is it possible that differences in the use of these medications affect the results?
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TO THE EDITOR: On reading the article by Park and colleagues comparing aspirin alone and dual therapy with clopidogrel and aspirin to decrease adverse events 12 months after cardiac stenting, we are surprised that, given the failure to show a benefit, the obvious trend toward harm with dual therapy was not analyzed further, since the outcome of myocardial infarction, stroke, or death from cardiac causes showed a trend toward a worse outcome with dual therapy. The inclusion of major bleeding events to this composite outcome
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would have resulted in the difference between the two groups reaching significance (P=0.03).

This is not dissimilar to the findings for potent anticoagulants by Sharrock and colleagues, who examined anticoagulation strategies to reduce mortality from any cause following joint arthroplasty. The use of potent anticoagulants not only failed to reduce the rate of thromboembolic events but increased the rate of death from any cause.

We conclude that newer anticoagulants must undergo more stringent evaluation as evidence emerges that they not only fail to achieve their primary purpose but also may increase the risk of complications.
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TO THE EDITOR: Park and colleagues did not find a beneficial effect when dual antiplatelet therapy was continued beyond 12 months in patients with drug-eluting stents. Although they describe several limitations of their study, an important limitation is missing, especially if we consider that the participants were Korean. A recent study showed that up to 51% of the Korean population (as compared with 24.5% of whites) have specific alleles on CYP2C19 that are associated with poor metabolism of some drugs. Indeed, several studies have shown increased resistance to clopidogrel and worse outcomes in patients with poor metabolism of clopidogrel prescribed for acute coronary syndromes and after elective stent procedures.

In the study described by Park and colleagues, no information is given on the distribution of the CYP2C19 polymorphism among the participants. Therefore, it is unclear whether the negative results are due to clopidogrel resistance in the studied population or to lack of efficacy beyond 12 months of treatment. We believe that genetic variation is an additional reason to be conservative with the extrapolation of these findings to other parts of the world.
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TO THE EDITOR: The article by Park and colleagues is an important contribution to further defining the optimal antiplatelet therapy in patients receiving drug-eluting stents. Currently available data, on which present guidelines are based, were collected mostly in North America and Western Europe. The article by Park and colleagues adds important information about a large Asian population.

Marked lifestyle differences and possible genetic heterogeneity translate into a relatively low prevalence and incidence of coronary artery disease in relation to cerebrovascular disease in Asia, as compared with Western countries. This may be the reason why the study by Park and coworkers was not sufficiently powered with regard to the primary and secondary end points. Substantial evidence indicates that Asian patients tend to require lower doses of anticoagulation therapy — including warfarin, tissue plasminogen activators, and even antiplatelet treatment — than do Western patients.

These differences show that guidelines developed in Western countries cannot easily be transferred globally. The reverse restriction also applies: the transfer of the conclusions drawn by Park and colleagues in Asian patients to non-Asian populations necessitates considerable caution.
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