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Disclosure: only a first step

We applaud CMAJ’s recent focus on the importance of disclosing conflicts of interest in medical education and research.1 However, disclosure is only a first step toward mitigating commercial influence, and too often it is viewed as sufficient.

For example, at the last meeting of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, hundreds of presenters disclosed affiliations with commercial supporters.2 However, attendees considered the sessions “free of commercial bias,” with a mean rating of 9.17/10 on this item; the result was celebrated as the product of a “strong focus on conflict of interest and disclosure issues.”3

Simply disclosing a conflict of interest is inadequate because relationships with industry are known to influence physicians’ professional behaviour,4 and the physician bias mediating the influence is largely unintentional.5 Furthermore, disclosures alone may provide false reassurance by giving the appearance that physician–industry relationships have been adequately managed. In fact, one study found that when a conflict of interest was disclosed, it had greater, not less, influence.6

We must move beyond mere disclosure of conflicts of interest toward developing additional regulatory mechanisms aimed at minimizing their pervasive influence. Like George Washington admitting that he chopped down his father’s cherry tree, our willingness to disclose conflicts of interest does not absolve us of further responsibility.

Elia Abi-Jaoude MSc MD
University Health Network, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ont.
Daniel A. Gorman MD
University of Toronto, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Ont.
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Advertising campaigns for cystic fibrosis

As adults with cystic fibrosis, we have benefited greatly from the work of the Canadian Cystic Fibrosis Foundation. Thanks to the foundation’s long-term investment in research and care, people with cystic fibrosis are living longer, healthier lives. However, there is still no cure — cystic fibrosis is the most common, fatal genetic disease affecting children and young adults in Canada. There remains an urgent need to support future programs to help us fight this devastating disease.

Unfortunately, in contrast to other major diseases, cystic fibrosis is not well-known or understood by Canadians. It is therefore vital that fundraising advertising campaigns present a powerful and real depiction of what it’s like to live with cystic fibrosis.

Before launching an advertising campaign, the foundation consults and receives input from the cystic fibrosis community, including adults and parents of children with the disease and health care professionals. As leading members of this community who approved the current campaign, we recognize that its hard-hitting message may affect some people negatively,1 and we try very hard to minimize this impact. Thanks to the foundation, its volunteer chapters and the cystic fibrosis clinics across Canada, our community receives thoughtful communications that prepare us for each advertising campaign and explain the purpose of the campaign’s message.

We strongly believe that the benefits of increasing awareness, and ultimately, support, for cystic fibrosis research and care will help all people with the disease and move us closer to a world where cystic fibrosis is no longer a progressive, life-shortening disease.

Nathan Fish
Ontario representative, Adult Cystic Fibrosis Committee, and Advertising Campaign Working Group member, Canadian Cystic Fibrosis Foundation, Brantford, Ont.

Karen Gliddon
Hamilton and Area Chapter President, and Adult Committee Chairperson, Executive Committee, Canadian Cystic Fibrosis Foundation, Brantford, Ont.
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Clinical guidelines may need ethical component

The AGREE collaboration has introduced a new research-based version of its instrument to assess the quality of clinical guidelines and the reporting of the underlying development process.1,2

This version maintains the same six quality-defining domains that underpinned the original AGREE approach: scope and purpose; stakeholder involvement; rigour of development; clarity of presentation; applicability; and editorial independence. By keeping these domains, the AGREE collaborators seem to imply that they do not consider an extension necessary.

Perhaps the guidelines (and tools) should explicitly help their users put the clinical guidance offered into practice in ethically competent ways. As acknowledged in the CanMEDS framework,3 health care professionals need robust ethical competence to provide patient care. This is not only relevant in dramatic life-or-death situations, but also in the increasingly complex reality of everyday practice, where potential issues are usually less striking but far more common and often no less important.4
It is premature to propose adding an ethical component to the AGREE domains. Instead, we advocate research to explore how the codes of ethics for different professions can be supplemented with treatment-specific materials, how the process of developing such materials can be systematized in the spirit of AGREE, and how such materials can be used to increase ethically competent attitudes and behaviour in everyday patient care.

W. Göttens-Jansen MSc MA
E. van Leeuwen PhD
IQ Healthcare, Section Ethics, Philosophy and History of Medicine, Radboud University, Nijmegen Medical Center
P.A.G.M. De Smet PhD
IQ Healthcare, Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Radboud University, Nijmegen Medical Center
Jan K. Buitelaar MD PhD
Donders Institute of Brain, Cognition and Behavior; Karakter Child and Adolescent Psychiatry University Center; Radboud University, Nijmegen Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
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Prostitution gender

Although much of the language in Shannon’s article is carefully gender neutral, the opening paragraph defines prostitution with reference to women only.1 Men working in the sex trade are also victims of assault and murder. The cloaking of this aspect of the sex trade along with other elements of gender stereotyping impedes our ability to recognize patterns of nonconsensual violence or sex in men.

Helen C. Cluett
Prince Edward Family Health Team; Quinte Health Care; Queen’s University, Wellington, Ont.
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Correction: Canada’s prostitution legislation

The article by Shannon refers to the upcoming Ontario Supreme Court decision.1 In fact, it is the Ontario Superior Court. CMAJ regrets any inconvenience this error may have caused.
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