Sperm quality before treatment in patients with early stage Hodgkin's lymphoma enrolled in EORTC-GELA Lymphoma Group trials
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Background
Although widely recommended, cryopreservation of sperm is sometimes not performed for patients with Hodgkin’s lymphoma because of presumed poor sperm quality related to the disease. We investigated sperm quality and factors determining it in untreated patients with early stage Hodgkin’s lymphoma.

Design and Methods
Of 2362 males who participated in EORTC H6-H9 trials, 474 (20%) had data available. Sperm quality was defined according to World Health Organization guidelines. Determining factors were studied by logistic regression analysis.

Results
The median sperm concentration was 40×10^6/mL (range, 0-345×10^6/mL) and the median motility 50% (range, 0-90%). Sperm quality was good (concentration ≥20×10^6/mL and motility ≥50%), intermediate (concentration ≥5×10^6/mL but <20×10^6/mL) and poor (concentration <5×10^6/mL but >0) in 41%, 49% and 7% of patients, respectively. Three percent of the patients were azoospermic. No relation was found between sperm quality and age or clinical stage of the Hodgkin’s lymphoma, but B-symptoms and elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate predicted poor sperm quality. The odds ratios for the association of poor sperm quality with the variables examined were: presence of B-symptoms, 2.77 (95% CI, 1.50-5.12; \( p = 0.001 \)); erythrocyte sedimentation rate of 50 mm/h or greater, 2.35 (95% CI, 1.24-4.43; \( p = 0.009 \)); fever, 3.22 (95% CI, 1.41-7.33; \( p = 0.005 \)), and night sweats, 3.78 (95% CI, 1.97-7.26; \( p < 0.001 \)). There was no relation between sperm quality and pre-treatment follicle stimulating hormone level.

Conclusions
In this large study of males with Hodgkin’s lymphoma, 90% had good or intermediate sperm quality. Three percent were azoospermic. There was an association between sperm quality and the presence or absence of B-symptoms, in particular fever and night sweats. With modern fertilization techniques, in most patients with early-stage Hodgkin’s lymphoma sperm quality before treatment is good enough for future fatherhood.
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Introduction

Because Hodgkin’s lymphoma is often diagnosed in patients in young adulthood whose cure rate is between 80 and 90%, preservation of fertility and semen cryopreservation are important. Not only chemotherapy, but also the disease process itself appears to influence spermatogenesis. Data from patients with Hodgkin’s lymphoma hint that before treatment such patients have lower sperm counts and reduced sperm motility compared to healthy subjects, but data from large series of patients are lacking; the largest series studied thus far comprised 212 patients. The cause of the diminishment in semen quality is not completely understood and has been attributed to fever, poor performance, psychological factors or even immune-mediated mechanisms.

For many years gonadal toxicity has been studied in trials by the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Lymphoma Group and the Groupe d’Étude des Lymphomes de l’Adulte (GELA). This gave us the opportunity to study the spermatogenesis of a large cohort of male patients with early-stage Hodgkin’s lymphoma prior to treatment. We compared the quality of sperm in untreated patients with Hodgkin’s lymphoma to that in various general populations. Secondly, we studied factors influencing sperm quality and finally we analyzed the relation between pre-treatment follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) concentration and sperm quality.

Design and Methods

Patients

All male patients with early stage classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma included in the EORTC-GELA intergroup trials H6, H7, H8 and H9 (1982-2004) were analyzed. Patients were aged 15 to 70 years and presented with histologically proven, previously untreated, clinical stage I or II supradiaphragmatic Hodgkin’s lymphoma with World Health Organization (WHO) performance status grade 0-2. Patients with concomitant or previous malignancies were excluded as were patients with serious comorbidity. Between August 1982 and May 2004, 2362 men were enrolled and registered in the EORTC-GELA database. The studies were carried out in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration. Protocols and informed consent were approved by local ethical committees. Data from sperm quality examinations conducted prior to treatment were available for 474 men (20%). Of these 474 men, 35 participated in the H6, 77 in the H7, 181 in the H8 and 181 in the H9 trial. For 205 out of the 474 men, FSH values before treatment were available.

Collection and analysis of data

Data on demographic and Hodgkin’s lymphoma-related variables were collected according to the EORTC-GELA study protocols. For each patient, demographic data, stage of the disease (I or II), presence of systemic (B-) symptoms, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and smoking habits were recorded. Smoking was rege

stered for patients in the H6, H8 and H9 trials and each patient was defined as a current smoker (yes or no, H6 trial), or as never smoker, ever smoker who had stopped smoking more than 10 years previously, ever smoker who had stopped smoking less than 10 years ago, current smoker for less than 10 years and current smoker for more than 10 years (H8 and H9 trials). For the current analysis, however, patients were re-coded as current or not current smokers. B-symptoms were defined as the presence of at least one of the following: weight loss of more than 10% of ideal body weight within the preceding 6 months, recurrent drenching night sweats during the preceding months and unexplained, persistent or recurrent fever exceeding 38°C for 8 days or more. For the H6 trial, B-symptoms were not further specified; for the H7, H8 and H9 trials a specification into the symptoms mentioned above was provided. Pre-treatment FSH concentration was also measured in some, but not in all, patients. Whether or not FSH was measured depended on the recruiting hospitals’ participation in toxicity analyses and was unrelated to patients’ characteristics; hence, there was no selection bias involved. FSH values were dichotomized (normal or elevated) according to the normal values given by the laboratories performing the analyses. Because normal values for FSH are different in each laboratory, FSH levels were normalized to render values comparable to one another by dividing FSH by the individual upper normal value provided.

Sperm quality examinations

Sperm analyses were carried out at the laboratories of the hospitals in which patients were treated. Patients were included between August 1982 and May 2004. During that period, no inter-laboratory quality control systems were available. Sperm analysis was performed before the semen was frozen. Sperm quality was defined according to WHO guidelines and divided into three categories: good quality if the sperm concentration was 20×10⁶/mL or greater and motility 50% or greater; poor quality if the sperm concentration was less than 5×10⁶/mL; and intermediate quality for all other concentrations except the complete absence of spermatozoa in the ejaculate, which was classified as azoospermia.

Statistics

The aim of the study was to analyze factors influencing sperm quality in untreated patients with Hodgkin’s lymphoma, and to analyze the relationship between FSH and sperm quality and sperm concentration. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals (95% CI) of the proportions of patients within sperm quality categories were calculated assuming a binomial distribution. An analysis of factors influencing sperm quality was performed using the Fisher’s exact test for categorical data or Kruskal-Wallis test for age and ESR. Logistic regression models (univariate and multivariate analyses) were constructed to assess the impact of independent co-variables on the sperm quality (good sperm quality and intermediate sperm quality grouped together versus poor sperm quality including azoospermia). Factors examined were: patient’s age at measurement (both as a continuu-
ous variable and divided into three groups ≤20 years versus 21-40 years versus >40 years), clinical stage (I versus II), B-symptoms (absent versus present), fever (absent versus present), weight loss (absent versus present), night sweats (absent versus present), ESR (<50 mm/h versus ≥50 mm/h) and smoking status (non-current smokers versus current smokers).

A Kruskal-Wallis test was used to analyze the relation between FSH and sperm quality. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient was used to assess the correlation between FSH and sperm concentration. All statistical tests were two-sided, and a \( p \) value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. SAS statistical software (release 9.1 TS Level 1M3; SAS Institute, Cary, NC) was used to analyze data. Data were selected from files stored at the Clinical Research Unit, Centre François Baclesse, Caen, France and were updated on January 1, 2006.

**Results**

Data from 474 male patients with early stage Hodgkin's lymphoma and a median age of 26 years (range, 15-57 years) were available (Table 1). The patients came from France (57%), the Netherlands (31%), Belgium (7%), and the remaining 5% from Slovenia, Switzerland, Portugal, Italy, Poland, the United Kingdom and Croatia. Seventy percent had stage II disease and 31% had B-symptoms. The median sperm concentration was \( 40 \times 10^6/\text{mL} \) (range, \( 0-345 \times 10^6/\text{mL} \)). The median motility was 50% (range, 0-90%). WHO-defined sperm quality was good, intermediate and poor (concentration \( \geq 20 \times 10^6/\text{mL} \) and motility \( \geq 50\% \) for good, concentration \( >5 \times 10^6/\text{mL} \) for intermediate, and concentration \( <5 \times 10^6/\text{mL} \) but \( \geq 0 \) for poor) in 41% (95% CI, 37-46%), 49% (95% CI, 45-54%) and 7% (95% CI, 4-9%) of patients, respectively, whereas 3% (95% CI, 2-5%) of the patients were azoospermic.

For 77 (16%) of the patients, measurements of sperm quality after treatment were also available. Interestingly, in two patients who were azoospermic before treatment we observed a complete recovery to normal sperm quality after treatment (one patient at 18 months after treatment, the other at 38 months after treatment). The first patient was treated with six cycles of MOPP/ABV hybrid (mechlorethamine, vincristine, procarbazine, prednisone, doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine) chemotherapy and involved-field radiotherapy, the second with six cycles of EBVP (epirubicin, bleomycin,

### Table 1. Patients’ characteristics in relation to sperm quality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sperm quality N (%)</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Intermediate</th>
<th>Poor plus azoospermia*</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Azoospermia</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>( p ) value *</th>
<th>OR (95% CI; ( p ) value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median age,</strong> yrs (range)</td>
<td>27 (15-51)</td>
<td>26 (15-57)</td>
<td>26 (16-45)</td>
<td>27 (16-45)</td>
<td>25 (16-40)</td>
<td>26 (15-57)</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical stage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I (%)</td>
<td>61 (31)</td>
<td>71 (30)</td>
<td>9 (20)</td>
<td>6 (20)</td>
<td>3 (20)</td>
<td>141 (30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II (%)</td>
<td>133 (69)</td>
<td>162 (70)</td>
<td>36 (80)</td>
<td>24 (80)</td>
<td>12 (80)</td>
<td>331 (70)</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B-symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td>149 (76)</td>
<td>158 (68)</td>
<td>22 (48)</td>
<td>15 (50)</td>
<td>7 (44)</td>
<td>329 (69)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (%)</td>
<td>46 (24)</td>
<td>75 (32)</td>
<td>24 (52)</td>
<td>15 (50)</td>
<td>9 (56)</td>
<td>145 (31)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.77 (1.50-5.12; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specification available</strong></td>
<td>181</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>439</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fever</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td>173 (96)</td>
<td>193 (88)</td>
<td>33 (79)</td>
<td>24 (83)</td>
<td>9 (69)</td>
<td>399 (91)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>3.22 (1.41-7.33; 0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (%)</td>
<td>8 (4)**</td>
<td>23 (11)</td>
<td>9 (21)</td>
<td>5 (17)</td>
<td>4 (31)</td>
<td>40 (9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight loss</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td>169 (93)</td>
<td>181 (84)</td>
<td>33 (79)</td>
<td>25 (86)</td>
<td>8 (62)</td>
<td>383 (87)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (%)</td>
<td>12 (7)</td>
<td>35 (16)</td>
<td>9 (21)</td>
<td>4 (14)</td>
<td>5 (38)</td>
<td>56 (13)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Night sweats</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td>146 (81)</td>
<td>168 (78)</td>
<td>21 (50)</td>
<td>15 (52)</td>
<td>6 (46)</td>
<td>335 (76)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.78 (1.97-7.26; &lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (%)</td>
<td>35 (19)</td>
<td>48 (22)</td>
<td>21 (50)</td>
<td>14 (48)</td>
<td>7 (54)</td>
<td>104 (24)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median ESR, mm/h</strong></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR &lt;50 mm/h (%)</td>
<td>155 (81)</td>
<td>174 (76)</td>
<td>28 (61)</td>
<td>19 (63)</td>
<td>9 (56)</td>
<td>357 (76)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>2.30 (1.22-4.34; 0.010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR ≥50 mm/h (%)</td>
<td>36 (19)</td>
<td>56 (24)</td>
<td>18 (39)</td>
<td>11 (37)</td>
<td>7 (44)</td>
<td>110 (24)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current smoker</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td>87 (68)</td>
<td>111 (66)</td>
<td>12 (50)</td>
<td>10 (56)</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>210 (65)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (%)</td>
<td>41 (32)</td>
<td>58 (34)</td>
<td>12 (50)</td>
<td>8 (44)</td>
<td>4 (67)</td>
<td>111 (35)</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For statistics, azoospermia was grouped within the category poor; age was analyzed both as a continuous variable and divided into three groups: up to and including 20 years, 21 to 40 years and over 40 years of age; **patients could suffer from more than one B symptom; OR: univariate odds ratio for poor sperm quality; CI 95%: confidence interval; ns: not statistically significant; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate.
vinblastine, prednisone) chemotherapy and involved-field radiotherapy.

No relation was found between sperm quality and age, clinical stage (I or II) or smoking. However, the presence of B-symptoms and elevated ESR were linked with poor sperm quality (p<0.001 and p=0.005). Each of the three single B-symptoms (fever, weight loss and night sweats) separately also had a statistically significant negative effect on sperm quality. By univariate logistic regression, however, weight loss did not yield a statistically significant result whereas the association of fever and night sweats with poor sperm quality remained statistically significant (Table 1). We performed multivariate logistic regression analysis with B-symptoms and ESR greater than 50 mm/h as independent factors, resulting in B-symptoms as the only remaining explaining variable (odds ratio (OR) 2.64, 95% CI 1.54-4.54; p=0.003). Including fever, night sweats and ESR greater than 50 mm/h in the model showed that night sweats was the only remaining explaining variable (OR 3.78, 95% CI 2.19-6.54; p<0.001).

Of the 474 patients, 205 (43%) had pre-treatment data on FSH available. The relation between FSH and sperm concentration is plotted in Figure 1. Pre-treatment FSH was elevated in only ten (5%) of the patients, of whom four had a normal sperm concentration (≥20×10⁶/mL), four had a sperm concentration between 5×10⁶/mL and 20×10⁶/mL and two were azoospermic. The medians of normalized FSH values were not statistically different for the three sperm quality categories (p=0.528). Spearman’s correlation for FSH and sperm concentration was -0.139 (p=0.047).

Discussion

This study involved a very large group of male patients whose sperm quality was analyzed before the start of treatment for Hodgkin’s lymphoma. It appeared that, with modern fertilization techniques, fatherhood could be guaranteed for 97% of patients.

Sperm quality was not analyzed in all patients. This was mainly dependent on the local hospitals and coordinating physicians, and not on the patients’ characteristics. To render data from a wide time span and different hospitals comparable, only data on sperm concentration and progressive motility were analyzed. Sperm morphology assessment was considered more subjective and thus less easily comparable between laboratories than simple cell counts.

Ninety percent of patients with early stage Hodgkin’s lymphoma had WHO-defined good or intermediate sperm quality at disease presentation, whereas 7% of patients had poor sperm quality and 3% of patients were azoospermic. The median sperm concentration was 40×10⁶/mL and the median sperm motility was 50%. These values are seemingly normal, but probably slightly below those in general population controls, although data from such populations are limited. In fact, most data on sperm quality in the general population have been collected from selected groups of fertile men volunteering as sperm donors or undergoing vasectomy procedures. Moreover, sperm quality differs regionally and is considered to be time-dependent, having diminished over time during the last decades in developed countries. This last fact might be important as sperm analysis took place between 1982 and 2004. Finally, since sperm concentration and motility are not normally distributed, median values were used in the present study instead of mean values frequently used by others, hampering easy numerical comparisons.

There are only few data concerning a non-selected population, i.e. a population without proven fertility, against which to compare those of the patients in our study. The only two completely random samples came from Denmark, but the sperm quality of men in this country is reputed to be among the lowest in Europe. It appeared that the median concentration and motility of sperm from our study population were in line with those of sperm from non-selected, young Danish men, either undergoing an examination for military service or being Danish trade union members without children or known fertility problems.

Nowadays, patients with intermediate or poor quality sperm are still eligible to enter sperm cryopreservation programs with a view to subsequent in vitro fertilization or intracytoplasmatic sperm injection. The only serious problem for future fertility encountered in our study cohort was constituted by the 3% of cases with azoospermia, since this obviously excludes cryopreservation. The incidence of azoospermia in the general population is not known, but is often assumed to be 2%, mainly based on a study conducted on forensic evidence from 519 rape cases.

Compared to previous studies in patients with Hodgkin’s lymphoma, our data showed better sperm quality than in some analyses, but worse quality than in others. Our study was, however, based on data from by far the largest series studied up date; this offered not only a more reliable estimation of sperm quality but

Figure 1. Sperm quality and FSH level before treatment. Sperm quality was divided into three categories: good quality if concentration of spermatozoa was ≥20×10⁶/mL and motility ≥50%; poor quality if concentration of spermatozoa was <5×10⁶/mL; intermediate quality for all other concentrations, except azoospermia. First vertical reference line: sperm concentration: 5×10⁶/mL. Second vertical reference line: sperm concentration: 20×10⁶/mL. Horizontal reference line: FSH: upper normal value; values above this line indicate elevated FSH and values below this line indicate non-elevated FSH. Two outliers are present; norm. FSH: normalized FSH.
also the opportunity to study factors influencing sperm quality. Neither of the two groups that tried to analyze the influence of B-symptoms and fever on sperm quality before treatment found a significant difference between patients with or without these manifestations, most probably due to limited sample sizes (92 and 94 patients, respectively). Our data show that poor sperm quality is strongly associated with the presence of B-symptoms, in particular fever and night sweats, which might in part be related to the well-known adverse effect of elevated scrotal temperature on spermatogenesis.8 Given that patients with fever nearly always had night sweats as well, it was not possible to determine which of the individual B-symptoms had the strongest impact. Another limitation of our study is that only of the individual B-symptoms had the strongest impact. Another limitation of our study is that only two other studies previously showed that advanced-stage disease has a greater negative effect than early-stage disease on semen quality.

Previously we observed that elevated FSH levels correlate well with poor spermatogenesis after treatment, but in our present study this relationship apparently did not exist before treatment. This is confirmed by the scarce observations in the literature and means that whereas semen quality after cancer therapy is poor due to damage to the gonadal structures, there must be another reason to explain diminished sperm quality before treatment. Poor sperm quality in cancer patients is assumed to be related to hypothalamic dysfunction caused by the systemic illness, catabolic state and malnutrition. In addition, there is a postulated effect of both circulating cytokines and an imbalance of subpopulations of T lymphocytes, which may be involved in spermatogenesis. Here, we have shown that fever, if present, contributes as well in patients with Hodgkin’s lymphoma. The fact that sperm quality is threatened by two completely different mechanisms before and after treatment means that even in patients with very poor sperm quality before treatment, fertility after treatment could be excellent if cancer therapy (for instance ABVD (doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine) chemotherapy) is not gonadotoxic. Our finding of two patients who were azoospermic before treatment but had normal sperm quality after treatment is in agreement with this theory. Thus, it is still worthwhile selecting non-gonadotoxic therapy for men with Hodgkin’s lymphoma, even if they are infertile before treatment.

A large Life Situation Questionnaire has been designed by the EORTC-GELA Lymphoma Group to further analyze fertility and fatherhood after therapy among patients with Hodgkin’s lymphoma. In conclusion, in most patients with early stage Hodgkin’s lymphoma, sperm quality before treatment is not a problematic issue and cryopreservation should be encouraged.
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Appendix

Patients were included by the following centers:

**France** (n=270). Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, (n=37) (P. Carde, C. Fermé); Hôpital Saint-Louis, Paris (n=21) (P. Bricc); CHU Nancy Hôpital Brabois, Vandœuvre-les-Nancy (n=18) (P. Léderlin); Centre François Baclesse, Caen (n=16) (A. Tanguay); Institut Bergonié, Bordeaux (n=13) (H. Egbbadi); CHU de Besançon Hôpital Jean Minjoz, Besançon (n=12) (L. Voilat); CHU Clémenceau, Caen (n=11) (O. Reman); Centre Hospitalier René Dubos, Pontoise (n=11) (Y.J.P. Kerneis); Centre Hospitalier de Chambéry, Chambéry (n=10) (M. Blanc); Hôpital Henri Mondor, Créteil (n=7) (M. Divine); Hôpital Saint-Antoine, Paris (n=7) (M. Aoudjhane); Centre Hospitalier Lyon Sud, Pierre-Bénite (n=7) (G. Salles); Centre Alexis Vautrin, Vandœuvre-les-Nancy (n=7) (T. Conroy); CHRU de Lille Hôpital Claude Huriez, Lille (n=6) (F. Morschauer); Hôpital Edouard Herriot, Lyon (n=6) (J. Troncy); Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon (n=5) (C. Sébastian); CHU Saint-Etienne Hôpital Nord, Saint-Etienne (n=5) (J. Jauret); Hôpital Bièvre, Le Kremlin-Bièvre (n=5) (R. Bouabdallah); Centre Hospitalier Régional de Metz-Thionville, Metz (n=4) (B. Christian); Centre Antoine Lacassagne, Nice (n=4) (A. Thyss); Centre René Huguenin, Saint-Cloud (n=4) (M. Janvier); Centre Médico-Chirurgical Foch, Suresnes (n=4) (E. Baumelou); Centre Hospitalier d’Annecy, Annecy (n=3) (C. Martin); Hôpital Gilles de Corbeil, Corbeil-Essonnes (n=3) (A. Devidas); CHU Dijon, Dijon (n=3) (R.O. Cisarova); Centre Georges-François Leclerc, Dijon (n=3) (F. Fargeot); CHU de Limoges Hôpital Dupuytren, Limoges (n=3) (D. Bordessoule); Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris (n=3) (J. Gabarre); Centre Hospitalier de Compiegne, Compiegne (n=2) (D. Zylberait); Centre Hospitalier de Dunkerque, Dunkerque (n=2) (M. Wetterwald); Clinique du Mail, Grenoble (n=2) (D. Assouline); CHU de Montpellier Saint-Eloi, Montpellier (n=2) (P. Quittet); Centre Val d’Aurelle Paul Marquand, Montpellier (n=2) (M. Fabbro); Institut Curie, Paris (n=2) (J. Dumont); Hôpital Necker, Paris (n=2) (R. Delarue); Centre Henri Becquerel, Rouen (n=2) (A. Diviné); Centre Hospitalier Lyon Sud, Pierre-Bénite (n=2) (A. Stamatoullas); Centre Hospitalier de Valence, Valence (n=2) (P-Y. Péaut); Centre d’Oncologie et de Radiothérapie de Chaumont-le-Bois, Chaumont (n=1) (G. Dupont); Hôpital Pasteur, Colmar (n=1) (B. Auduy); Hotel-Dieu du Creusot, Le Creusot (n=1) (Dr. Gabez); Centre Hospitalier Robert Boulon, Libourne (n=1) (K. Bouabdallah); CHU Hôpital l’Arche, Nice (n=1) (P. Heudier); Hôpital Cochin, Paris (n=1) (F. Dreyfus); Hotel-Dieu, Paris (n=1) (A. Delmer); Hôpital Lariboisière, Paris (n=1) (J-M. Zini); CHU de Bordeaux Hôpital Haut-Lévêque, Bordeaux (n=1) (S. Carde, C. Fermé).
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