Subcellular Dynamics of Somatostatin Receptor Subtype 1 in the Rat Arcuate Nucleus: Receptor Localization and Synaptic Connectivity Vary in Parallel with the Ultradian Rhythm of Growth Hormone Secretion
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Growth hormone (GH) secretion in male rats exhibits a 3.3 h ultradian rhythm generated by the reciprocal interaction of GH-releasing hormone (GHRH) and somatostatin (SRIF). SRIF receptor subtypes sst1 and sst2 are highly expressed in GHRH neurons of the hypothalamic arcuate nucleus (ARC). We previously demonstrated an ultradian oscillation in binding of SRIF analogs to the ARC in relation to GH peaks and troughs. Here we tested the hypothesis that these ultradian changes in SRIF binding are due to differential plasma membrane targeting of sst1 receptors in ARC neurons using immunocytochemistry and electron microscopy. We found that 87% of sst1-positive ARC neurons also synthesized GHRH. Subcellularly, 80% of sst1 receptors were located intracellularly and 20% at the plasma membrane regardless of GH status. However, whereas 30% of the cell-surface sst1 receptors were located perisynaptically or subsynaptically following exposure to high GH secretion, this fraction was increased to 42% following a GH trough period (p = 0.05). Furthermore, the relative abundance of symmetric and asymmetric synapses on sst1-positive dendrites also varied significantly, depending on the GH cycle, from approximately equal numbers following GH troughs to 70:30 in favor of symmetric, i.e., inhibitory, inputs after GH peaks (p < 0.02). These findings suggest that postsynaptic localization of sst1 receptors and synaptic connectivity in the ARC undergo pronounced remodeling in parallel with the GH rhythm. Such synaptic plasticity may be an important mechanism by which sst1 mediates SRIF’s cyclical effects on ARC GHRH neurons to generate the ultradian rhythm of GH secretion.
exogenously applied agonists in neurons in which it previously localized to the somatodendritic plasma membrane (Dournaud et al., 1998; Csaba et al., 2001; Csaba et al., 2007). However, little is known about mobilization and regulation of sst₁ in hypothalamic neurons in vivo.

In the present study, we hypothesized that the increase in SRIF binding to the ARC during peaks in GH secretion may be due, at least in part, to an increase in sst₁ receptors at the cell surface of GHRH neurons. Moreover, mounting evidence indicates that ARC neurons may respond to changing physiological status of the organism with changes in synaptic connectivity (Parducz et al., 2003). Therefore, we further hypothesized that changes in SRIF receptor targeting in the ARC may be accompanied by variations in the synaptic connectivity of the nucleus. To test these hypotheses, we examined, by electron microscopic immunohistochemistry, the cellular and subcellular localization of sst₁ receptors in the ARC of male rats at times associated with peaks and troughs of the GH secretion cycle and studied the synaptic contacts of sst₁-positive ARC neurons at the same time points.

Materials and Methods

Animals and experimental procedures. Adult male Sprague Dawley rats (200–250 g; Charles River Canada) were individually housed in an isolated room under a 12 h light, 12 h dark cycle (lights on: 6:00 A.M.) at 22 ± 1°C and constant air humidity. Purina rat chow (Ralston Purina) and tap water were available ad libitum. Chronic intravenous cannulae were implanted in the external jugular vein under sodium pentobarbital anesthesia (50 mg/kg, i.p.) using a previously described technique (Tannenbaum and Martin, 1976). After surgery, the rats were directly placed in isolation test chambers with ad libitum access to water and food available ad libitum until body weight returned to preoperative levels (usually within 5–7 d; n = 6 animals).

On the day of the experiment, blood samples were withdrawn every 15 min from unanesthetized, free-moving rats starting at 9:00 A.M. until either 11:00 A.M. or 1:00 P.M., when they were anesthetized with an intravenous injection of sodium pentobarbital (35 mg/kg). The times of 11:00 A.M. and 1:00 P.M. were chosen because we previously established that they correspond to typical peak and trough periods of GH secretion, respectively, in male rats maintained under the above photoperiodic conditions (Tannenbaum and Martin, 1976; Tannenbaum and Ling, 1984). One animal each was fixed for electron microscopy by transarctic perfusion (details below) at either 11:00 A.M. or 1:00 P.M. so that in each experiment a pair of animals fixed at these times was compared with each other. All blood samples were immediately centrifuged, and the plasma was separated and stored at −20°C for subsequent GH assay. To avoid hemodynamic disturbance, the red blood cells were resuspended in normal saline and returned to the animal after removal of the next blood sample.

Additional rats from the same source were housed under the same conditions but not cannulated. They were fixed in the morning (between 9:00 and 10:00 A.M.) by perfusion with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB) for immunohistochemical detection of sst₁ and vesicular neurotransmitter transporters and for colocalization studies of sst₁ with the vesicular transmitter transporters (n = 3) or GHRH (n = 3) by immunofluorescence. No blood samples were withdrawn from these animals. All animal procedures were performed in compliance with the guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care and the McGill University Animal Care Committee.

GH assay. Plasma GH concentrations were measured in duplicate by double-antibody RIA using materials supplied by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases Hormone Distribution Program. The averaged plasma GH values are reported in terms of the rat reference preparation (rGH RP-2). The standard curve was linear between 0.62 and 160.0 ng/ml; the least detectable concentration of plasma GH under the conditions used was 1.2 ng/ml. All samples with values of >160.0 ng/ml were reasayed at dilutions ranging from 1:2 to 1:10. The intra-assay and interassay coefficients of variation were 7.7% and 10.7% respectively, for duplicate samples of pooled plasma containing a mean concentration of 60.7 ng/ml.

Double immunofluorescence. Adult male Sprague Dawley rats (200–250 g) were anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine (80/10 mg/kg, i.p.) and perfused transcardially with a freshly prepared solution of 4% PFA in 0.1 M PB, pH 7.4. Brains were rapidly removed, cryoprotected overnight at 4°C in 0.1 M PB containing 30% sucrose, and frozen for 1 min in isopentane at −40°C.

Coronal sections (30 μm thick) were cut on a freezing microtome throughout the rostrocaudal extent of the hypothalamus from the preoptic area to the mammillary region and collected in 0.1 M PB. For immunofluorescence double labeling of sst₁, with vesicular neurotransmitter receptors, sections were washed twice with 0.1 M Trizma buffer-saline, then PBS, pH 7.4, and preincubated for 30 min at room temperature (RT) in a blocking solution containing 3% normal goat serum (NGS) in PBS. Subsequently, they were incubated overnight at 4°C in a mixture of rabbit anti-sst₁ and either guinea pig anti-vesicular glutamate transporter subtype 2 (VGluT2) or mouse anti-vesicular GABA transporter protein (VGAT) appropriately diluted in PBS containing 0.05% Triton X-100 and 0.5% NGS (for sources and dilutions, see Table 1). Following rinsing with PBS, bound primary antibodies were visualized by incubation in a mixture of Alexa 546 goat anti-rabbit IgG, detecting the anti-sst₁, and either Alexa 488 goat anti-guinea pig IgG or Alexa 488 goat anti-mouse IgG for the detection of anti-VGluT2 or VGAT, respectively (all diluted 1:800 in PBS, respectively; all purchased from Invitrogen). After final rinsing the sections were mounted onto chrome-alum coated slides using Aquapolymount (Polysciences). For GHRH/sst₁ double labeling (for antibody provenance and dilutions, see Table 1), a sequential staining procedure was adopted and NGS was replaced with normal donkey serum in the immunostaining protocol. Briefly, sections were first incubated overnight at 4°C with sheep anti-GHRH. The following day, they were rinsed, incubated for 45 min at RT with Cy2 donkey anti-sheep IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch) diluted 1:200 in PBS and subsequently incubated overnight at 4°C with rabbit anti-sst₁. The following day, they were rinsed, incubated for 45 min at RT with Alexa 594 donkey anti-rabbit IgG (Invitrogen), rinsed again, and mounted onto object slides using Aquapolymount. Two-color confocal z-stacks were acquired on a Zeiss LSM 510 in channel mode (Zeiss Canada). Three-dimensional processing was performed using Volocity release 4.0 (Improvision). The resulting images were adjusted for brightness and contrast using Photoshop CS2, and final composites were created in MS Powerpoint 2003.

Stereological analysis of GHRH/sst₁ double-labeled cell populations in the ARC. For unbiased estimates of the GHRH- and sst₁- positive cell populations and the proportion of double-positive cells, sections were analyzed by widefield fluorescence microscopy on a Nikon Eclipse E800 microscope (Nikon Instruments) equipped with an xyc/yz-motorized stage, a color CCD camera (Optronics, Microfire 599808), and appropriate filter sets for Cy2 (excitation: bandpass 480/40 nm; dichroic mirror: long-pass 505 nm; emission: bandpass 535/50 nm) and Alexa 594 (excitation: bandpass 560/55 nm; dichroic mirror: long-pass 595 nm; emission: 645/75 nm).

GHRH- and sst₁-positive neurons were mapped and counted using the Optical Fractionator probe of StereoInvestigator (version 8.0, MicroBrightField) for systematic random sampling. Images were acquired and

---

Table 1. Primary antibodies and their dilutions in various applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary antibody (source)</th>
<th>Dilutions</th>
<th>Immunohistochemistry</th>
<th>Immunofluorescence</th>
<th>Immuno-EM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-sst₁ (custom [Stroh et al., 2006])</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep anti-GHRH (custom [Tannenbaum et al., 1990])</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea pig anti-VGluT2 (Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents)</td>
<td>1:5000</td>
<td>1:5000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-VGAT (Synaptic Systems)</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>1:200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
counts were performed using a 100× oil-immersion objective (numerical aperture 1.4). Counts were made through levels separated by 180 μm throughout the rostrocaudal extent of the ARC from three individual brains. On each section, the outline of the ARC on both sides of the third ventricle was drawn to define two regions of interest (ROIs) per section, which were both analyzed. For the first series of sections, counts were performed using a counting frame size of 25 × 25 μm and a variable grid size to yield five counting frames per ROI. Based on the results from this pilot study, the final counting frame size was adapted to 50 × 50 μm, and the grid size was fixed at 170 × 90 μm throughout the analysis, which yielded 5–10 sampling sites per ROI and assured a suitable sample size. The average mounted section thickness ranged from 16 to 26 μm across section series, and disector depth ranged from 14 to 24 μm. Cells were counted as their top came into focus beneath the top of the disector. The precision of the population estimates was verified using Gundersen’s coefficient of error (CE, m = 1) (Gundersen et al., 1999). The CEs obtained from three brains ranged from 0.06 to 0.07 for the total number of sst1-positive cells, from 0.05 to 0.06 for the total number of GHRH-positive neurons and from 0.06 to 0.08 for sst1/GHRH dually positive cells. Means and SEs were calculated using Graph Pad Prism 4.03 (GraphPad Software).

Electron microscopy. Sections were processed for electron microscopic immunostaining using a preembedding procedure as described previously (Dournaud et al., 1998; Boudin et al., 2000; Stroh et al., 2006). Adult male Sprague Dawley rats (250–325 g) were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (35 mg/kg, i.v.) and peri-
dawley rats (250–325 g) were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (35 mg/kg, i.v.) and per-
dawley rats (250–325 g) were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (35 mg/kg, i.v.) and per-

Figure 1. Ultradian rhythmicity of GH secretion. Individual representative plasma GH profiles of two pairs of animals killed at 11:00 A.M. (A) or 1:00 P.M. (B), i.e., after a predicted trough or peak period in plasma GH concentration (arrows).

Data analysis. For analysis of the subcellular distribution of silver-enhanced gold particles, sections (~100 sections in total, collected from two blocks per animal) were randomly scanned, and each field exhibiting gold particles was photographed at an original magnification of 5000–10,000×. Additional sections from tissue incubated in the absence of primary antibodies were also analyzed in each animal to assess nonspecific background labeling. Negatives from electron microscopic photomicrographs were scanned at 1200 dpi resolution on an AGFA Du-
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area anteriorly and the mammillary bodies posteriorly), counterstained with uranyl acetate/lead nitrate, and examined with a JEOL 100CX transmission electron microscope.

For analysis of the subcellular distribution of silver-enhanced gold particles, sections (~100 sections in total, collected from two blocks per animal) were randomly scanned, and each field exhibiting gold particles was photographed at an original magnification of 5000–10,000×. Additional sections from tissue incubated in the absence of primary antibodies were also analyzed in each animal to assess nonspecific background labeling. Negatives from electron microscopic photomicrographs were scanned at 1200 dpi resolution on an AGFA Du-
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with previous findings (Stroh et al., 2006), numerous sst1-immunopositive neuronal cell bodies were distributed throughout the ARC (supplemental Fig. 1 A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). These sst1-positive neurons were particularly densely concentrated in the ventral aspect of the nucleus, where GHRH-producing cells have been reported to be localized (Sasaki et al., 1994; Romero and Phelps, 1997; Tannenbaum et al., 1998). In addition, faint labeling of elongated and punctate structures, reminiscent of dendrites and terminals or cut dendritic profiles, was evident in between the cell bodies (supplemental Fig. 1 A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material).

The ARC is a highly regulated hypothalamic area and receives dense synaptic input. To elucidate the neurochemical identity of synaptic terminals in this region, we incubated parallel sections of mediobasal hypothalamus with antibodies recognizing VGluT2 and VGAT, respectively. In agreement with previous reports (Hrabovsky et al., 2005; Kiss et al., 2006), single labeling for VGluT2 revealed dense VGluT2-positive punctate staining, likely excitatory terminals, throughout the ARC (supplemental Fig. 1 B, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Incubation of parallel sections with an antibody recognizing VGAT demonstrated that the ARC also exhibits densely packed VGAT-immunopositive punctate structures, presumably inhibitory synaptic terminals (supplemental Fig. 1 C, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). In fact, VGAT immunoreactivity was so dense that the ARC stood out strikingly from the surrounding hypothalamus (supplemental Fig. 1 C, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). High-magnification micrographs show that both vesicular neurotransmitter transporter antibodies labeled bead-like structures that tended to encircle what appeared to be neuronal cell bodies in the ARC (supplemental Fig. 1 B,C, insets, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material).

Double immunofluorescence for sst1 and either VGluT2 or VGAT analyzed by confocal microscopy revealed that sst1-positive neurons in the ventral ARC appear to be contacted both by numerous VGAT-positive, putatively GABAergic (Fig. 2 A–C) and abundant VGluT2-positive, likely glutamatergic, terminals (Fig. 2 D–F).

**Immunofluorescence colocalization of sst1 and GHRH within ARC neurons**

To show that sst1-positive neurons are not only located in the area of the ARC corresponding to the location of GHRH neurons but are also immunoreactive for the neurohormone we double stained sections of mediobasal hypothalamus using our rabbit sst1 antiserum and a sheep antiserum against rat GHRH [GRF(1–29)NH₂], also previously developed and characterized by us (Tannenbaum et al., 1990). In keeping with our immunohistochemical results, we found sst1-positive neurons throughout the ventral ARC (Fig. 3 A), although the labeling was less intense than immunoperoxidase. In addition, moderate numbers of GHRH-immunopositive neurons were distributed in the same area as the

**Results**

**GH secretion profiles**

Figure 1, A and B, illustrates the spontaneous GH secretory profiles of two representative pairs of animals, killed either at the end of a GH trough period (Fig. 1 A) or following a GH secretory episode (Fig. 1 B). The typical pulsatile pattern of GH release was observed with high-amplitude GH peaks occurring at the predicted time. The mean AUC for the 2 h period before the animals were killed was more than threefold lower in animals killed following a GH trough period than that observed in animals killed at the end of a GH secretory episode (mean 2 h GH AUC: 45.0 ± 25.8 vs 142.5 ± 4.3 ng/ml × h; p < 0.02). Thus, both the GH profiles (Fig. 1) and the corresponding AUC data demonstrate that animals killed at 11:00 A.M. were exposed to a prolonged period of low plasma GH levels before they were killed, whereas animals killed at 1:00 P.M. had completed a full GH secretory episode and were exposed to plasma GH levels as high as 200 ng/ml before they were killed.

**Immunohistochemistry for sst1, VGluT2, and VGAT in the ARC**

To establish the pattern of distribution of sst1 immunoreactivity in the ARC, we incubated frontal sections of rat mediobasal hypothalamus with our N-terminal sst1 antiserum, which has been extensively characterized (Stroh et al., 2006). In agreement
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Coronal sections through the rat arcuate nucleus double immunostained for sst1 and VGAT (A–C) or VGluT2 (D–F). Note the dense innervation of arcuate sst1-positive neurons both with VGAT-positive terminals (C, arrows) and terminals positive for VGluT2 (F, arrows). Scale bar: (in F) A–C, 15 μm; D–F, 10 μm.
sst₁-positive neurons (Fig. 3B). Almost all of the sst₁-positive cells were also stained for GHRH (Fig. 3A, C, arrowheads). Conversely, a subpopulation of GHRH-positive cells did not colocalize the sst₁ protein (Fig. 3B, C, arrows). We used unbiased stereological sampling (Optical Fractionator probe) to provide a quantitative estimate of the sst₁- and GHRH-positive neuronal populations as well as the number of sst₁/GHRH dually positive cells in the rat ARC. In three individual brains, we found mean numbers ± SEM of 10,322 ± 2152 sst₁-positive, 12,183 ± 2580 GHRH-positive, and 9007 ± 2413 sst₁/GHRH double-positive neurons in the ARC. These results indicate that ~87% of ARC neurons expressing the sst₁ protein also synthesize GHRH and 74% of GHRH neurons have the sst₁ receptor.

Subcellular dynamics in the arcuate nucleus in parallel with pulsatile GH secretion
As in previous studies in the ARC (Stroh et al., 2006), silver-enhanced gold grains indicative of immunoreactive sst₁ receptors were concentrated over profiles of neuronal cell bodies and dendrites in the ventral ARC (Fig. 4A). In total, 1092 silver/gold particles were analyzed (after peak: 837; after trough: 255). Whereas many of these silver particles were located at the plasma membrane (Fig. 4A, arrows), the majority was associated with intracellular structures such as Golgi stacks (Fig. 4A, arrowheads) and numerous vesicular organelles. Quantitative analysis revealed that 80% of the silver/gold particles were intracellular and 20% located at the plasma membrane (Fig. 4B) (n = 3 independent experiments). This ratio was extremely stable throughout the GH secretion cycle; it was completely independent of whether the animal had been killed at the end of a GH trough or a GH peak (Fig. 4B).

A strikingly large proportion of the plasma membrane-associated sst₁ receptors were located next to or right on the postsynaptic zone of both symmetric and asymmetric terminals abutting the sst₁-positive dendritic profiles (Fig. 5A–D). In contrast to the general subcellular distribution of sst₁, this association with synaptic terminals proved to be highly dynamic in parallel with the ultradian rhythm of GH secretion. Thus, 29.9% of plasma membrane-associated sst₁ receptors were located at postsynaptic specializations (total: 50 of 167) following GH secretion peak periods, whereas 42.0% (total: 21 of 50) were located at such sites at the end of GH troughs (p = 0.05). Independently of the animals’ GH status sst₁ showed a preference for symmetric over asymmetric contacts (82% vs 18% after peak compared with 76% vs 24% after trough; p < 0.005) (Fig. 6). Whereas sst₁ receptors at symmetric synapses were all perisynaptic following a trough in GH secretion, 15% were located subsynaptically subsequent to a GH secretory period (p = 0.05) (Figs. 5A, B, 6). In addition, perisynaptic

Figure 3. Coronal sections through the rat mediobasal hypothalamus at the level of the ARC. Sections were double stained for sst₁ (A) and GHRH (B). Note that numerous ARC GHRH neurons are immunopositive for sst₁ (A, C; arrowheads). sst₁-negative GHRH cells are indicated by arrows in B and the merged image (C). The images represent a confocal 2-stack of 12 optical sections, each 4.7 μm thick, which were projected onto one plane and corrected for brightness and contrast using Photoshop CS2. Scale bar, 30 μm.

Figure 4. Subcellular distribution of sst₁ in the arcuate nucleus. A, Electron micrograph of an sst₁-positive dendritic profile. While two silver particles, representing immunoreactive sst₁ receptors, are located at the plasma membrane (arrows), the bulk of immunoreactivity is found intracellularly (arrowheads), associated with vesicular organelles and, notably, the Golgi apparatus (G). Scale bar, 750 nm. B, Quantification of subcellular sst₁ distribution in animals killed following a GH peak (Post GH Peak) or trough (Post GH Trough), respectively. Data are expressed as percentages of the total number of receptors within each group. Eighty percent of immunoreactive sst₁ are intracellular and 20% located at the plasma membrane regardless of GH status. Data represent means ± SEM; n = 3 independent experiments. Absolute numbers of immunoreactive receptors are indicated within the columns.
In addition to the synaptic localization of sst₁ receptors in ARC neurons, synaptic connectivity of the nucleus itself also exhibited ultradian dynamics. Thus, the proportions of asymmetric and symmetric synaptic profiles (as measured by the length of presynaptic and postsynaptic specializations along the dendritic membrane) contacting the circumference of sst₁-positive profiles, indicative of excitatory and inhibitory innervation, respectively (Uchizono, 1965; Peters et al., 1991), were approximately equal following GH troughs. However, at the end of a GH secretory episode, 70% of the contacts were now symmetric, i.e., inhibitory, whereas the percentage of asymmetric synapses dropped to 30% (p < 0.02) (Fig. 7).

**Discussion**

In the present study, we demonstrate that somatodendritic sst₁, SRIF receptors are present in a subset of GHRH-immunopositive neurons of the rat ARC and exhibit an association with postsynaptic specializations that fluctuates in parallel with the ultradian cycle of GH secretion, providing a possible explanation for the ultradian oscillations of SRIF binding in the ARC previously reported (Tannenbaum et al., 1993). We also show that the relative abundance of excitatory and inhibitory inputs to sst₁-positive neurons in the ARC similarly varies in an ultradian manner dependent on the GH secretion cycle.

Early immunohistochemical studies in the rat found the sst₁ receptor protein restricted to the anterior periventricular nucleus and the median eminence (Helboe et al., 1998). Subsequent studies using different antibodies (Hervieu and Emson, 1998; Stroh et al., 2006; Kumar, 2007) revealed a much wider distribution of the receptor in the rat brain, including the ARC. The distribution of sst₁ protein demonstrated here conform to these reports and also to sst₁ mRNA distribution in the ARC (Pérez et al., 1994; Señaris et al., 1994; Beaudet et al., 1993).

We also showed through unbiased stereological estimation that almost 90% of sst₁-immunoreactive neurons labeled in the ventral ARC costained for GHRH, as revealed using a previously characterized GHRH antiserum (Tannenbaum et al., 1990). Conversely, 75% of immunolabeled GHRH neurons were sst₁ positive, confirming the notion that sst₁ may be a major player in the mediation of SRIF effects in the central regulation of GH secretion (Tannenbaum and Epelbaum, 1999; Lanneau et al., 2000).

Dual immunostaining using either antibodies recognizing VGluT2 (Fremeau et al., 2001) or antibodies against VGAT (McIntire et al., 1997; Chaudhry et al., 1998) revealed that sst₁-positive ARC neurons were in close proximity with numerous VGluT2- and VGAT-positive terminals. While these findings do not constitute proof of the presence of synaptic input, due to the resolution limit of light microscopy, they suggest a dense innervation of these ARC neurons by both excitatory and inhibitory terminals.

In keeping with the results of our own previous studies (Stroh et al., 2006), the present electron microscopic observations show that, in neurons of the ARC, immunoreactive sst₁ receptors are

**Figure 5.** Temporal variation in the localization of sst₁ at synaptic active zones in relation to the GH cycle. A–D, High-magnification electron micrographs of the arcuate nucleus neuropil immunostained for sst₁ show that a high proportion of sst₁ receptors at the plasma membrane are located at or next to synaptic contacts (arrows). A, Examples of plasma membrane sst₁ receptors at the subsynaptic membrane of symmetric synapses (arrows) in ARC of animals killed at the end of a GH secretion peak. B, In some instances, sst₁-positive tubular membrane invaginations were observed in the perisynaptic zone of these synapses (arrowhead). C, D, Perisynaptic sst₁ receptors located lateral to the postsynaptic densities of asymmetric synapses. Scale bars: (in B) A, 180 nm; B, 100 nm; (in D) C, D, 250 nm.

**Figure 6.** Association of sst₁ with symmetric as compared with asymmetric synapses. Perisynaptic and synaptic sst₁ receptors were classified as being associated with a symmetric or an asymmetric synaptic contact following peak (Post Peak) or trough (Post Trough) periods in the GH secretion cycle. Data represent means ± SEM of data from three independent experiments (p < 0.005). The data are expressed as percentages of all receptors located in perisynaptic or subsynaptic positions following a period of high GH secretion (Post Peak) or a GH trough (Post Trough), respectively. A significant association of sst₁ receptors with symmetric synapses was observed (*p < 0.05 vs all other groups).

membrane invaginations containing immunoreactive sst₁ were observed at some symmetric synapses (Fig. 5B). In contrast, subsynaptic sst₁ receptors were found at asymmetric synapses following both GH trough and peak periods, but their percentage never exceeded 5% (Fig. 6).
predominantly localized intracellularly, in association with vesicular organelles (80% intracellular, 20% on the plasma membrane). Such a pattern of distribution has been described for several GPCRs. For instance, the closely related sst2A receptor subtype is mainly intracellular in brain areas receiving a dense SRIFergic innervation but more heavily surface bound in regions receiving a sparse SRIF innervation (Dournaud et al., 1998). It is therefore conceivable that, as postulated for sst2, the predominantly intracellular localization of sst1 observed here may be due to receptor internalization in response to the endogenous agonist since the ARC contains a sparse SRIF innervation (Dournaud et al., 1998). It is therefore conceivable that, as postulated for sst2, the predominantly intracellular localization of sst1 observed here may be due to receptor internalization in response to the endogenous agonist since the ARC contains a sparse SRIF innervation (Dournaud et al., 1998).

The ratio of membrane-associated over intracellular sst1 receptors was the same at both times of the ultradian GH cycle examined and conformed to that previously reported in animals of undetermined GH status (Stroh et al., 2006). However, in the present study, a redistribution of cell-surface sst1 receptors was observed between GH peak and trough periods. Whereas 30% of the plasma membrane-associated sst1 receptors occupied perisynaptic or subsynaptic positions following a period of high GH secretion, a significantly higher proportion (42%) was observed at such sites at the end of a GH trough period (low GH release). Although a similar perisynaptic localization has been reported for the metabotropic glutamate receptors mGlur1 and mGlur5 (Luján et al., 1997), this is the first report of an ultradian variation in a GPCR synaptic localization.

In the ARC, the bulk of synaptic sst1 receptors were observed at symmetric (i.e., inhibitory) synapses following GH peaks. The occasional observation of sst1-positive membrane invaginations in perisynaptic position with respect to these sst1-labeled symmetric synapses at the end of a GH peak may indicate increased sst1 internalization, subsequent to increased SRIF release, at these sites. These results suggest that sst1 may play an important role in regulating the inhibition of GH release by SRIF.

Hypothalamic SRIF release into both the hypophyseal portal circulation and within the ARC is cyclical and exhibits a 3–4 h pattern of release (Plotzsky and Vale, 1985; Wagner et al., 1998). At the pituitary level, SRIF interacts with GHRH to regulate somatotropes whereas in the ARC it acts to inhibit GHRH-producing neurons. There is also evidence that within the ARC, GH can influence the expression of sst1 (but not sst2) receptors, presumably through SRIF release (Guo et al., 1996). It is therefore tempting to speculate that the cyclical release of SRIF in the ARC might regulate the subcellular localization of sst1 receptors in GHRH-producing neurons. Such a mechanism would be in agreement with in vitro studies showing that sst1 may be targeted from intracellular stores to the plasma membrane following SRIF stimulation in certain cell types (Ramírez et al., 2005).

In addition to plasticity in the distribution of cell-surface sst1 receptors, we observed structural plasticity within the ARC under the influence of the endogenous GH cycle. sst1-immunoreactive dendritic profiles received both numerous asymmetric (Gray type 1) synapses with prominent postsynaptic densities and symmetric (Gray type 2) synapses. However, whereas approximately equal proportions of symmetric and asymmetric synaptic inputs were observed following GH trough periods, this ratio is shifted to 70:30 in favor of symmetric, i.e., inhibitory, inputs after prolonged exposure to high circulating GH, suggesting that a rewiring of the synaptic connectivity has taken place during the GH peak period. This finding is consistent with reports of various forms of synaptic reorganization in the hypothalamus in response to exogenous signals and endogenous rhythms such as changes in water balance (Miyata et al., 1994; Stern and Armstrong, 1998) or in circulating levels of estradiol (García-Segura et al., 1986; Zsarnovszky et al., 2001; Parducz et al., 2003). Similarly, synaptic plasticity together with changes in the molecular machinery modulating synaptic efficacy may play a key role in the regulation of GH secretion at the level of the hypothalamus.

The present results, together with our previous work (Tannenbaum et al., 1993; Wagner et al., 1998), suggest that at the end of a GH peak there is an increase in inhibitory synaptic input concomitant with increased concentrations of extracellular SRIF, which are instrumental in terminating the burst of GH secretion and inducing the ensuing trough in the GH cycle. The increase in extracellular SRIF induces a lateral mobilization of perisynaptic sst1 receptors to the subsynaptic membrane as well as an increased internalization of sst1. As compensation, intracellular sst1 receptors are targeted to extrasynaptic plasma membrane sites and are eventually laterally mobilized to replenish the pool of perisynaptic/subsynaptic receptors during the following GH trough. Such a mechanism would explain our earlier observation that SRIF binding to the ARC is paradoxically highest during GH peaks (Tannenbaum et al., 1993) as external radioactive ligand has conceivably greater access to nonsynaptic receptors than to spatially restricted synaptic sites.

In conclusion, the results reported here suggest that ARC neurons actively regulate the postsynaptic localization of the sst1 receptor in conjunction with the ultradian rhythm of the GH secretion cycle. They also indicate that the synaptic connectivity of sst1-positive ARC neurons undergoes pronounced remodeling in parallel with the GH rhythm. Differential modulation of synaptic transmission mediated by sst1 and synaptic remodeling may thus be important mechanisms by which sst1 mediates cyclical SRIFergic effects on ARC GHRH neurons in generating the ultradian rhythmicity of GH secretion.
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Figure 7. Temporal variation in the relative proportions of asymmetric and symmetric synapses on sst1-positive dendritic profiles in the ARC in parallel with the GH rhythm. The proportion of symmetric and asymmetric synapses on sst1-positive dendritic profiles in the ARC was quantified on electron micrographs from animals fixed at the end of a trough (Post GH Trough) or peak (Post GH Peak) period of the ultradian GH cycle in male rats (p < 0.02 overall; p < 0.01 comparing asymmetric with symmetric synapses after GH peak, n = 3 independent experiments).