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ABSTRACT

Background: Recently, the Dutch Association of Gastroenterology and Hepatology issued new guidelines for the treatment of chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV). These guidelines reflect the current standard of care. Before these guidelines were published and implemented we (1) studied the current clinical care of HCV patients among Dutch physicians, and (2) identified areas for future refinement in the current treatment.

Methods: We conducted a non-targeted survey among Dutch medical specialists in Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Internal Medicine who actively treat HCV patients. The questionnaire contained items about facility, duration and dosing of treatment, and side effect management using clinical vignettes followed by short questions.

Results: We received 49 questionnaires from treating HCV specialists. The majority (65%) of respondents treat HCV patients during regular outpatient clinics, while 35% treat these patients in a separate setting dedicated to the care of HCV patients. The majority of physicians follow the stipulated dosage regimens of pegylated interferon (88%) and ribavirin (83%). A minority (13%) exceed the advised dosage of ribavirin. Side effects such as neutropenia are mostly managed by decreasing the interferon dosage (42%). Some 35% of physicians reduce ribavirin if haemoglobin levels drop below 5.4 mmol/l, and 41% initiate erythropoietin treatment.

Conclusion: Dutch clinical practice reflects the recently issued HCV guidelines. An important area of refinement in treatment of HCV is the management of side effects.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) is one of the most common chronic viral infections throughout the world and is a primary cause of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. Treatment of HCV has improved steadily during the last decade, and with the current standard of care, that is treatment with pegylated interferon and ribavirin, sustained virological response (SVR) rates of 50% among genotypes 1 and 4, and 80% among genotypes 2 and 3 have become possible.

The selection of patients who benefit most from therapy and close monitoring of hepatitis C treatment are crucial components of hepatitis C therapy. These aspects, and the wealth of clinical data that stem from a large number of clinical trials, have led to the formulation of international guidelines. Given the intensive treatment schedule with side effects, long treatment duration and high costs of therapy, it is important that physicians follow evidence-based guidelines in order to improve patient outcome and give the best care available. Recently, the first Dutch national guideline ‘Treatment of chronic hepatitis C virus infection’ was formulated by the Dutch Association of Gastroenterologists and Hepatologists. This guideline for HCV mono-infection provides recommendations on diagnostic evaluation, choice of the (initial) antiviral treatment and the follow-up during and after antiviral therapy (table 1, figure 1).

Although clinical trials have demonstrated the benefit of combination therapy in patients with chronic HCV, how this translates into actual benefit in practice that can be attributed to knowledge of and adherence to guidelines is unclear. The primary objective of this study was to evaluate current clinical practice among Dutch physicians who actively see and treat chronic HCV patients. A secondary objective was to identify dimensions of the guideline that potentially benefit from refinement or adjustment.
METHODS

We conducted a non-targeted survey among Dutch medical specialists in Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Internal Medicine. These physicians were selected from membership directories of the Dutch Society of Hepatology and the Infectious Disease Society of the Netherlands and were sent a postal questionnaire; physicians who actively treated HCV patients were asked to return the questionnaire. The postal questionnaire included four important issues: the first part discussed the setting of the treatment: a regular outpatient clinic or a separate setting dedicated to the care of HCV patients. The second and third part of the survey focused on peginterferon and ribavirin treatment for HCV patients: detailed questions using clinical vignettes were included about the treatment duration and dosage of the drugs, respectively. The last part focused on side effects, their consequences, and management in terms of dose reduction or treatment of possible side effects.

The questionnaire consisted of clinical vignettes followed by a mix of short multiple-choice and open-ended questions. For example: ‘A male HCV genotype 1 patient starts the combination therapy (peginterferon 180 µg/week and weight-based ribavirin daily); at the start of the treatment the haemoglobin (Hb) level is 8.0 mmol/l. After eight weeks the patient’s Hb has decreased to 5.4 mmol/l. What to do? 1) Continue combination therapy. 2) Stop ribavirin (whether or not temporarily). 3) Reduce ribavirin to 50%. 4) Give erythropoietin. 5) Give blood transfusion.’

A total of 64 questionnaires were received. Although explicitly indicated, 15 responding specialists were not actively treating HCV patients and were excluded from further analysis. Forty-nine questionnaires were suitable for analysis.

RESULTS

A total of 64 questionnaires were received. Although explicitly indicated, 15 responding specialists were not actively treating HCV patients and were excluded from further analysis. Forty-nine questionnaires were suitable for analysis.

Setting

The majority (65%) of respondents treat HCV patients during regular outpatient clinics, while 35% treat patients in a separate setting dedicated to the care of HCV patients.

Viral load, genotype and length of treatment

Week 12 and 24 measurements of viral load are important to judge treatment outcome. When asked, 67% of physicians would treat HCV genotype 1 patients with a detectable HCV viral load at week 12 and an undetectable HCV viral load at 24 weeks for a total of 48 weeks (table 1), while 13 of the 49 respondents (27%) choose longer treatment (72 weeks). This is an area of controversy since the guideline indicates 48 weeks, but recent evidence suggests that prolonged treatment up to 72 weeks is beneficial in these cases. The guideline recommends treating HCV genotype 3 patients for 24 weeks. Some 70% of respondents treat HCV genotype 3 patients with significant cirrhosis for 24 weeks, while a minority (22%) will treat for 48 weeks. For HCV genotype 3 patients who had a negative viral load at week 4, the guideline recommends treating for 12 to 16 weeks. Thirty-seven percent of the respondents would treat for this period. The remainder choose a longer treatment duration than currently advised in the guideline.

Table 1. Main recommendations for treatment and management of chronic HCV patients?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dutch guideline*</th>
<th>% followed guideline*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dosage</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV genotype 1 and 4</td>
<td>Peg-IFN α-2a 180 µg/week + weight-based RBV/day or Peg-IFN α-2b 15 µg/kg/week + weight-based RBV/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV genotype 2 and 3</td>
<td>Peg-IFN α-2a 180 µg/week + 800 mg RBV/day or Peg-IFN α-2b 15 µg/kg/week + weight-based RBV/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV genotype 1 and 4</td>
<td>48 weeks of treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exception: &lt;600,000 viral load (IU/ml) at baseline with RVR: 24 weeks of treatment</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV genotype 2 and 3</td>
<td>24 weeks of treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exception: with RVR: 12-16 weeks of treatment</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Side effects</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
<td>ANC &lt;0.75 x 10^9/l: PEG-IFN dose to 75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANC &lt;0.375 x 10^9/l: PEG-IFN dose to 50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaemia</td>
<td>Hb &lt;5.0 mmol/l: give erythropoietin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hb &lt;4.0 mmol/l: decrease dose RBV to 800 mg/day and give erythropoietin and blood transfusion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HCV = hepatitis C virus; Peg-IFN = peginterferon; RBV = ribavirin; RVR = rapid viral response; ANC = absolute neutrophil count; Hb = haemoglobin. *% physicians who treat according to the Dutch guideline.
Figure 1. Flowchart for the treatment of patients with chronic hepatitis C mono-infection according to the recently issued Dutch guideline.

A) HCV genotype 1

Week 4
- HCV RNA negative  → **RVR**: if baseline HCV RNA <6000,000 IU/ml, therapy can be stopped after 24 weeks
- HCV RNA positive  → Determine HCV RNA at week 12

Week 12
- HCV RNA negative  → **EVR**: 48 weeks treatment
- HCV RNA <2 log$_{10}$ IU/ml (c/ml) decline  → **No EVR**: stop
- HCV RNA ≥2 log$_{10}$ IU/ml (c/ml) decline and HCV RNA ≥50 log$_{10}$ IU/ml  → **EVR**: determine HCV RNA at week 24

Week 24
- HCV RNA positive  → **Stop**
- HCV RNA negative  → Continue treatment until week 48

B) HCV genotype 4

Week 4
- HCV RNA negative  → **RVR**: stop treatment after 24 weeks
- HCV RNA positive  → Determine HCV RNA at week 12

Week 12
- HCV RNA negative  → **EVR**: 48 weeks treatment
- HCV RNA <2 log$_{10}$ IU/ml (c/ml) decline  → **No EVR**: Stop
- HCV RNA ≥2 log$_{10}$ IU/ml (c/ml) decline and HCV RNA ≥50 log$_{10}$ IU/ml  → **EVR**: determine HCV RNA at week 24

Week 24
- HCV RNA positive  → **Stop**
- HCV RNA negative  → Continue treatment until week 48

C) HCV genotype 2 and 3

Week 4
- HCV RNA negative  → Treatment can be stopped at week 12-16
- HCV RNA positive  → 24 weeks treatment
Respondents were also divided on treatment duration of genotype 1 patients with low viraemia (<600,000 IU/ml) at the start of treatment, and a negative viral load at week 4. While following the guideline, some 53% would treat for 24 weeks, and 45% would treat for 48 weeks.

Ribavirin
The recommended dosage of ribavirin for HCV genotype 1 patients is weight-based. Indeed, the majority of physicians treat an HCV genotype 1 patient with a weight of 104 kg with ribavirin on a weight-based schedule (83%). A minority (13%) consistently exceeds this dosage.

Peginterferon
The vignette on the peginterferon dosage in an HCV genotype 1 patient weighing 150 kg shows that 88% of the physicians administer the dosage exactly as stated in the guideline. Thus, peginterferon-α-2a, 180 µg/week, or weight-based peginterferon-alfa-2b, 1.5 µg/week. The remainder choose a higher dosage than currently advised in the guideline.

Side effect management
Neutropenia is a common event in HCV combination therapy and is usually attributed to the peginterferon component. Upon managing a patient with profound neutropenia (0.8 x 10^9/l), 35% would continue current treatment, 42% would reduce (halve) the prescribed dosage of peginterferon, and 15% stop peginterferon (figure 2). Anaemia is caused by ribavirin-induced haemolysis, and if asked to decide for a patient with haemoglobin <5.4 mmol, the majority of physicians (41%) would initiate erythropoietin treatment, while 35% of physicians would reduce the ribavirin. Twenty-five percent continue both pegylated interferon and ribavirin unchanged (figure 3).

Discussion
Our survey shows that most physicians treat patients with HCV in clinical practice according to this recently issued HCV guideline. Still there are some areas of controversy. Almost half of the physicians treat HCV genotype 1 and 3 patients with RVR longer than currently needed. In terms of financial aspects, occurrence of severe side effects and convenience for patients, shorter treatment duration with retained efficacy is desirable.

Some 13% of the physicians use higher ribavirin dosages than currently advised. Some studies show that a higher starting dose of ribavirin is associated with a lower relapse rate and higher rate of SVR.9-11 High-dose ribavirin is also associated with more frequent and serious side effects such as anaemia, which require erythropoietin in many cases.9-11 More studies are necessary to judge whether the benefits of a higher ribavirin dosage needed to achieve SVR outweigh the disadvantages of the side effects.12

Management of side effects has low evidential value and the current literature is mostly based on expert opinion. When a side effect, e.g. neutropenia or anaemia, occurs peginterferon and ribavirin are often reduced or stopped too soon,13 and this may hamper the achievement of SVR. On the other hand, if treatment of anaemia by erythropoietin is initiated too early, it leads to unnecessary expenses.9,14 Supporting evidence in order to issue guidelines is clearly needed. Along the same line, neutropenia due to peginterferon can be controlled by granulocyte colony stimulating factor, but it is unclear which cut-off point should be used for initiating therapy.
This study has some limitations. We did not ask for respondents’ demographics, professional background and/or hospital setting. It is unclear whether our findings are generalisable to all Dutch medical specialists in Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Internal Medicine. Altogether, data from our survey indicate that Dutch physicians treat their HCV patients as described in the recently issued HCV treatment guideline. There is a paucity of data that enables evidence-based management of side effects during HCV therapy.
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