Limits on a muon flux from neutralino annihilations in the Sun with the IceCube 22-string detector
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A search for muon neutrinos from neutralino annihilations in the Sun has been performed with the IceCube 22-string neutrino detector using data collected in 104.3 days of live-time in 2007. No excess over the expected atmospheric background has been observed. Upper limits have been obtained on the annihilation rate of captured neutralinos in the Sun and converted to limits on the WIMP-proton cross-sections for WIMP masses in the range 250 - 5000 GeV. These results are the most stringent limits to date on neutralino annihilation in the Sun.

PACS numbers: 95.35.+d, 98.70.Sa, 96.50.S-, 96.50.Vg

Non-baryonic cold dark matter in the form of weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs) is one of the most promising solutions to the dark matter problem [1]. The minimal supersymmetric extension of the Standard Model (MSSM) provides a natural WIMP candidate in the lightest neutralino $\chi^0_1$ [2]. This particle is weakly interacting only and, assuming R-parity conservation, is stable and can therefore survive today as a relic from the Big Bang. A wide range of neutralino masses, $m_{\chi^0_1}$, from 46 GeV [3] to a few tens of TeV [4] is compatible with observations and accelerator-based measurements. Within these bounds it is possible to construct models where the neutralino provides the needed relic dark matter density.

Relic neutralinos in the galactic halo may become gravitationally trapped in the Sun and accumulate in its center, where they can annihilate each other, producing standard model particles. These may decay, creating neutrinos which can escape and reach the Earth. The search presented here aims at detecting neutralino annihilations indirectly by observing an excess of such high energy neutrinos from the Sun. Limits on the neutrino flux from the Sun have previously been reported by BAKSAN [5], MACRO [6], Super-Kamiokande [7], and AMANDA [8].

The IceCube detector [9] records Cherenkov light in the ice from relativistic charged particles created in neutrino interactions. In 2007 the detector consisted of an array of 22 vertical strings with 60 Digital Optical Modules (DOMs) each, deployed in the clear Antarctic ice at the South Pole at depths between 1450 m and 2450 m below the ice surface. The vertical spacing between DOMs is 17 m and the horizontal distance between strings is 125 m. Each DOM consists of a pressurized glass sphere containing a 25 cm photomultiplier tube (PMT) and a digitizer board. The PMT waveforms are stored when containing a 25 cm photomultiplier tube (PMT) and a digitizer board. The PMT waveforms are stored when nearest or next-to-nearest DOMs fire within 1 $\mu$s. The reconstructed first photon arrival times are used to determine the muon direction.

The background in the search for neutrinos from the Sun comes from air showers created by cosmic ray interactions in the atmosphere. The showers cause downwards going atmospheric muon events, triggering at several hundred Hz, and atmospheric muon neutrino events, triggering at a few mHz. When the Sun is below the horizon, the neutrino signal can be distinguished from...
FIG. 1: The product $Q_1 \times Q_2$ of the output values of the two SVMs for the experimental data, a simulated signal ($m_{\chi^0} = 1000$ GeV, hard spectrum) and the background. The background has been scaled to match the data rate and it is shown divided into three components: atmospheric neutrinos and single and coincident atmospheric muons.

The dataset used in this analysis consists of $\sim 4.8 \times 10^9$ triggering events taken while the Sun was below the horizon, corresponding to 104.3 days of livetime between June 1st and September 23rd, 2007. The events were processed through several filters to reduce the content of atmospheric muon events and to enrich the dataset in muon-neutrino events. The analysis was performed in a blind manner such that the azimuth of the Sun was not looked at until the selection cuts were finalized.

Events were first required to have at least ten hit DOMs, and the zenith angle of the line-fit [10] first-guess reconstructed track was required to be larger than 70°. Selected events were subjected to Log-Likelihood (LLH) fitting of muon tracks [10], which uses the probability distribution of the photon arrival times. Cuts were then placed on the zenith angle of this reconstruction ($90° < \theta_{\text{LLH}} < 120°$) and the width of the likelihood optimum ($\sigma_{\text{LLH}} < 10°$), to select upwards going events of good quality. Very loose cuts were placed on several kinematic quantities to remove a small number of outlying events. The final background reduction was then done using Support Vector Machines (SVMs) [11], multi-variate learning machines used to classify events as signal-like or background-like. Twelve event observables, that correlated modestly with one another (correlation coefficient $|c| < 0.5$), were used to train two SVMs with six input observables each. The use of two SVMs allowed minimal correlation ($|c| < 0.3$) between the six observables for each SVM. Training was done with simulated signal events, and a set of real data, not used in the analysis, was taken as background. The observables describe the quality of the track reconstructions and the geometry and the time evolution of the hit pattern, most notably through the opening angle between the line-fit and the LLH tracks, $\sigma_{\text{LLH}}$, the mean minimal distance between the LLH track and the hit DOMs, and the number of hit strings. The SVM input distributions for data and simulated backgrounds were generally in good agreement.

Three types of background were simulated: atmospheric muon events from single and coincident air showers were simulated using CORSIKA [12], and atmospheric $\nu_\mu$ events were simulated following the Bartol spectrum [13]. Solar-WIMP signals were simulated with WimpSim [14]. Two neutralino annihilation channels, $W^+W^-$ (hard channel) which produces a harder neutrino energy spectrum, and $b\bar{b}$ (soft channel) which gives rise to a softer neutrino energy spectrum, were simulated for five masses $m_{\chi^0} = 250, 500, 1000, 3000$, and $5000$ GeV. The neutrinos were propagated through the Sun and to the Earth with full flavour oscillation. Absorption in the Sun is important for neutrinos with energies above a few hundred GeV. A muon and a hadronic shower were generated in the ice near the detector. At the vertices the mean energy of simulated signal muons ranges from about $30$ GeV to about $150$ GeV depending on signal model, see Table I. For the hard channel $< E_\mu >$ decreases for $m_{\chi^0} > 3$ TeV owing to neutrino absorption in the Sun and secondary neutrino generation. The muon contribution from tau decay was evaluated to be insignificant and tau vertices were therefore neglected. Propagation of muons through the ice was simulated [15], and the Cherenkov light propagation from the muon to the DOMs was performed with [16], taking into account measured ice properties [17].

Fig. 1 shows the distributions of the product of the
two SVM output values, $Q_1 \times Q_2$. As can be seen in the figure the distribution of simulated background is in good agreement with data. The final event sample was selected by requiring $Q_1 \times Q_2 > 0.1$. This cut increased the signal : background ratio by a factor of 8.

Simulations predict that the final data sample of 6946 events has an atmospheric $\nu_\mu$ event content of 56%, and that the remainder consists of mis-reconstructed atmospheric muon events. The loose cuts maintain a large effective volume, defined as the detector volume with 100% selection efficiency, since the final signal determination was done on the basis of direction.

After calculating the Sun’s position, the observed number of events as a function of the angle to the Sun, $\Psi$, is compared to the atmospheric background expectation in Fig. 2. The angular distribution is consistent with the expected background and no excess of events from the Sun is observed.

Using likelihood-ratio hypothesis tests the observed $\Psi$ distribution is fitted with a sum of distributions of the simulated signal and the expected background. Here, the expected background is determined by using real data with randomized azimuth direction of the Sun. We then follow the unified Feldman-Cousins approach [18] to construct the confidence intervals on the number of signal events $\mu_s$. The upper 90% confidence limit ranges between $\mu_s = 6.4$ and $\mu_s = 8.5$ events depending on signal case, see Table I.

Simulation studies were used to estimate the systematic uncertainty on the signal effective volume $V_{\text{eff}}$. Uncertainties in the photon propagation in ice and absolute DOM efficiency dominate, contributing $\pm 17\%$ to $\pm 24\%$ depending on the signal model. The total systematic uncertainty on $V_{\text{eff}}$ ranges from $\pm 19\%$ for the highest $m_{\chi_1^0}$ to $\pm 26\%$ for the lowest $m_{\chi_1^0}$. Deviations in the event rate between data and background simulations are within the systematic uncertainty. These uncertainties are included in the results presented below.

From the upper limits on $\mu_s$ we calculate the limit on the neutrino to muon conversion rate $\Gamma_{\nu_\mu \rightarrow \mu} = \frac{\Gamma_{\nu_\mu}(\Psi)}{\nu_\mu(\Psi) \tau}$, for the livetime $\tau$. Using the signal simulation [14], we can convert this rate to a limit on the neutralino annihilation rate in the Sun, $\Gamma_{\chi_1^0}$, see Table I. Results from different experiments are commonly compared by calculating the limit on the muon flux above 1 GeV, $\Phi_{\mu}$, which is also shown in Table I together with the sensitivity, $\bar{\Phi}_{\mu}$, the median limit obtained from simulations with no signal. A downward fluctuation in the data close to the position of the Sun results in limits lower than the sensitivity. Within $\Psi < 3^\circ$, corresponding to the rightmost bin in Fig. 2, the fluctuation has a probability of 8.8%. In this bin we expect less than 0.4 background events from solar atmospheric neutrinos [19].

The 90% confidence upper limit on $\Phi_{\mu}$ as a function of $m_{\chi_1^0}$ is shown in Fig. 3, compared to other limits [6, 7, 8], and MSSM model predictions [20]. In the plot, the shaded area represents neutralino models not disfavoured by the direct detection experiments CDMS [21] and XENON-10 [22], based on their limit on the spin-independent neutralino-proton cross-section.

The limits on the annihilation rate can be converted into limits on the spin-dependent, $\sigma_{SD}^P$, and spin-independent, $\sigma_{SI}^P$, neutralino-proton cross-sections, allowing a more direct comparison with the results of di-
In conclusion, we have presented the most stringent limits to date on neutralino annihilations in the Sun, improving on the 2001 AMANDA [8] limits by at least a factor of six for hard channels. We also present the most stringent limits on the spin-dependent WIMP-proton cross-section for neutralino masses above 250 GeV. The full IceCube detector with the DeepCore extension [26] is expected to test viable MSSM models down to 50 GeV.
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**TABLE I:** Upper limits on the number of signal events $\mu_s$, the conversion rate $\Gamma_{\nu\rightarrow e}$, the neutralino annihilation rate in the Sun $\Gamma_A$, the muon flux $\Phi_\mu$, and the neutralino-proton scattering cross-sections (spin-independent, $\sigma^SI$, and spin-dependent, $\sigma^SD$), at the 90% confidence level including systematic errors. The sensitivity $\Phi_\mu$ (see text) is shown for comparison. Also shown is the median angular error $\Theta$, the mean muon energy $<E_\mu>$, the effective volume $V_{eff}$, and the $\nu_\mu$ effective area $A_{eff}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m_\chi$ (GeV)</th>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>$\mu_s$</th>
<th>$\Gamma_{\nu\rightarrow e}$ (km$^{-3}$y$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Gamma_A$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Phi_\mu$ (km$^{-2}$y$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\sigma^SI$ (cm$^2$)</th>
<th>$\sigma^SD$ (cm$^2$)</th>
<th>$\Theta$ (&lt;E_\mu&gt;) (GeV)</th>
<th>$V_{eff}$ (km$^3$)</th>
<th>$A_{eff}$ (m$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>Hard</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3.2 - 10$^4$</td>
<td>6.0 - 10$^{21}$</td>
<td>8.8 - 10$^2$</td>
<td>1.6 - 10$^4$</td>
<td>3.7 - 10$^{-34}$</td>
<td>2.8 - 10$^{-40}$</td>
<td>3.2$^\circ$</td>
<td>68.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>Soft</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2.8 - 10$^4$</td>
<td>1.4 - 10$^{23}$</td>
<td>3.5 - 10$^2$</td>
<td>5.7 - 10$^4$</td>
<td>2.5 - 10$^{-34}$</td>
<td>2.6 - 10$^{-38}$</td>
<td>3.5$^\circ$</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Soft</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.8 - 10$^6$</td>
<td>3.0 - 10$^{24}$</td>
<td>1.3 - 10$^2$</td>
<td>2.4 - 10$^5$</td>
<td>1.8 - 10$^{-34}$</td>
<td>2.2 - 10$^{-38}$</td>
<td>3.2$^\circ$</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>Soft</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>3.5 - 10$^8$</td>
<td>1.1 - 10$^{22}$</td>
<td>7.9 - 10$^2$</td>
<td>1.3 - 10$^5$</td>
<td>5.3 - 10$^{-34}$</td>
<td>7.2 - 10$^{-38}$</td>
<td>3.1$^\circ$</td>
<td>55.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>Soft</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.8 - 10$^{3}$</td>
<td>8.3 - 10$^{21}$</td>
<td>6.7 - 10$^2$</td>
<td>1.1 - 10$^5$</td>
<td>1.1 - 10$^{-38}$</td>
<td>1.5 - 10$^{-40}$</td>
<td>3.1$^\circ$</td>
<td>59.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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