Search for dark photons from supersymmetric hidden valleys

We search for a new light gauge boson, a dark photon, with the D0 experiment. In the model we consider, supersymmetric partners are pair produced and cascade to lightest neutralinos that can decay into the hidden sector state plus either a photon or a dark photon. The dark photon decays through its mixing with a photon into fermion pairs. We therefore investigate a previously unexplored final state that contains a photon, two spatially close leptons, and large missing transverse energy. We do not observe any evidence for dark photons and set a limit on their production.

Hidden valley models [1] introduce a new hidden sector, which is very weakly coupled to the standard model (SM) particles, and therefore can easily escape detection. An important subset of hidden valley models also contain supersymmetry (SUSY), a fundamental symmetry between fermions and bosons postulating the existence...
of SUSY partners. At colliders, in the case of $R$-parity conservation [2], superpartners are produced in pairs and decay to the SM particles and the lightest superpartner (LSP). The LSP is a stable, weakly interacting particle, and can not be detected in collider detectors.

Recently, these models were called upon to explain the results of several cosmic ray detection experiments [3, 4]. Taken together with other experiments, including new results from Fermi/LAT [5], there is evidence of an excess of high energy positrons and no excessive production of anti-protons or photons. The excess can be attributed [6] to the dark matter particles annihilating into pairs of new light gauge bosons, dark photons, which are force carriers in the hidden sector. The dark photon mass can not be much larger than 1 GeV to give rise to Sommerfeld enhancement [7] of the dark matter annihilation cross section, and not to decay into neutral pions and/or baryons. The masses of the hidden sector states are also around 1 GeV, with mass splitting around MeV, thus providing a possible explanation of the DAMA [8] signal through "inelastic Dark Matter" scenarios. Dark photons decay through mixing with photons into SM fermions with branching fractions that can be calculated from the measurements [9] of $R = \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})/\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$, and strongly depend on the dark photon mass. For dark photon masses ($m_{\gamma_D}$) below the dimuon threshold of $\approx 200$ MeV, only decays into electrons are possible. For $m_{\gamma_D} \approx 0.5$ GeV the decay rates into electrons and muons are approximately 40% each. The lowest value of the leptonic branching (3.7%) occurs if the dark photon mass is accidentally equal to that of the $\phi$ meson.

In this Letter we will follow the phenomenological scenario developed in [10]. A diagram of a possible process at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider is shown in Figure 1. Gauginos are pair produced and decay into SM particles and the lightest neutral gaugino (neutralino, $\chi_1^0$), which in turn decays with comparable branching ratios into either a hidden sector darkino $\tilde{X}$ (which is the LSP), and a photon, or into darkino and a dark photon ($\gamma_D$). Hadronic dark photon decays are overwhelmed by SM jet backgrounds. Thus, we only consider dark photon decays into isolated electron or muon pairs. Both darkinos escape detection and result in large missing transverse energy ($E_T$). The branching fraction of the neutralino into the dark photon, $B = Br(\chi_1^0 \rightarrow \gamma_D \tilde{X})$, is a free parameter of the model. If it is small, the decays into a photon dominate, and signature is the same as of GMSB SUSY [11] with the neutralino as next-to-lightest superpartner (NLSP). Larger values of $B$ give rise to events where one of the two neutralinos decays into a dark photon, resulting in a final state with one photon, two spatially close (and therefore not satisfying traditional isolation requirements) leptons and large $E_T$.

This Letter describes a search for this, so far unexplored, final state in $pp$ collisions at a center of mass energy of 1.96 TeV recorded by the D0 detector [12] at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider. As is described below, our search is optimized for low dark photon masses, $m_{\gamma_D} < 2.5$ GeV. Note that it is also sensitive to the case where the neutralino decays into a hidden state $\tilde{Y}$ with somewhat higher mass than the dark photon. The $\tilde{Y}$ may cascade down to the darkino through other hidden states which may be long-lived and can result in the emission of highly collimated low energy SM particles, some of which could be leptons. Most of the energy of the $\tilde{Y}$ will stay in the hidden sector and therefore the high $E_T$ should not be substantially reduced. This analysis is also sensitive to another possible scenario, proposed in [13], in which a light axion that decays into muon pairs takes the place of the dark photon in the decays described above.

Data for this analysis correspond to an integrated luminosity of 4.1 fb$^{-1}$ after application of data quality and trigger requirements. Events must satisfy a set of high transverse energy ($E_T$), single electromagnetic (EM) cluster triggers which are fully efficient for photons with $E_T > 30$ GeV.

EM clusters are selected from calorimeter clusters, built using the simple cone algorithm of radius $R = \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2} = 0.4$ [14], by requiring that the fraction of the energy deposited in the EM section of the calorimeter, $EM_{\text{frac}}$, is above 95% and the calorimeter isolation variable $I = [E_{\text{tot}}(0.4) - E_{EM}(0.2)]/E_{EM}(0.2)$ is less than 0.2, where $E_{\text{tot}}(0.4)$ is the total energy in a cone of radius $R = 0.4$, corrected for the underlying event contribution, and $E_{EM}(0.2)$ is the EM energy in a cone of radius $R = 0.2$, which is taken to be the EM cluster energy.

Photon candidates are selected from central calorimeter ($|\eta| < 1.1$) EM clusters which have (i) $EM_{\text{frac}} > 97\%$, (ii) $I < 0.07$, (iii) a shower shape consistent with that of a photon, and (iv) the scalar sum of the transverse energy of 1.96 TeV recorded by the D0 detector [12] at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider.
momenta \((p_T)\) of all tracks originating from the primary vertex in an annulus \(0.05 < R < 0.4\) around the cluster less than 2 GeV. Additionally, we require that photon candidates are not spatially matched to activity in the tracker. The tracker activity can either be a reconstructed charged particle’s track or a density of hits in the silicon microstrip and central fiber trackers consistent with a track. The EM clusters that do not have matched activity in the tracker, but fail other photon selection criteria, are dominated by jets that have fragmented into neutral pions, and are referred to as fake photons.

We search for dark photons in events with at least one photon with \(E_T > 30\) GeV and \(E_T > 20\) GeV \((E_T\) is computed using all calorimeter cells and corrected for EM and jet energy scales). Dark photon candidates are formed by selecting pairs of oppositely charged spatially close \((R < 0.2)\) tracks that originate from the same point \(|\Delta z| < 2\) cm along the beam line. The leading (trailing) track \(p_T\) is required to exceed 10 (5) GeV. We then require the scalar sum of \(p_T\) of all tracks excluding the pair in a cone of radius 0.4 centered on the pair momentum direction to be less than 2 GeV. To reduce the QCD background we require that each track must have its azimuthal angle not aligned with a photon, \(0.4 < \Delta \phi_{\gamma,\text{track}} < 2.74\). In rare cases, when there is more than one such pair in the event, we select the one with the highest trailing track \(p_T\).

For a dark photon decaying into a pair of electrons, the calorimeter depositions overlap, so we require that the dark photon candidate matches an EM cluster with \(E_T > 10\) GeV, \(EM_{\text{frac}} > 97\%\), and \(I < 0.1\). For the dimuon decay mode, we require that at least one of the tracks is matched to a reconstructed muon, and the energy deposited in the calorimeter in the annulus \(0.1 < R < 0.4\) is below 3 GeV.

Dark photons would manifest themselves as a narrow peak in the lepton pair invariant mass distribution. We use a Monte Carlo simulation to characterize the mass resolution, as well as the efficiency to reconstruct the events. SUSYHIT [15] is used to calculate masses and decay probabilities for the GMSB SUSY [16] model, known as Snowmass Slope SPS 8, and produce the Les Houches Accord [17] card files. These files are modified to introduce neutralino decays to a dark photon. Events with one of the two neutralinos decaying into a dark photon and the other decaying into a photon are generated with PYTHIA [18] using CTEQ6L1 parton distributions [19] and are passed through the full GEANT-based [20] detector simulation and the same reconstruction chain as the data. Following [21], the leading order (LO) signal cross sections calculated by PYTHIA are scaled to match the next-to-leading order (NLO) prediction using \(k\)-factor values extracted from [22]. The event kinematics depends on the mass of the dark photon and the masses of superpartners, resulting in slight variations in mass resolution and selection efficiency. Typical values are 5% and 12%, respectively.

There are three types of SM processes that contribute to our data sample:

**B1** QCD events with real or fake photons and mismeasured \(E_T\). These contain jets or photon conversions faking the dark photon.

**B2** \(W \to e/\mu \nu\) plus a real or fake photon. The dark photon is faked by an accidental overlap of a high \(p_T\) track with the lepton.

**B3** \(W \to \tau \nu \to 3h^\pm \nu\) plus real or fake photon. One of the particles from \(\tau\) lepton decays is lost or very soft, and the remaining \(\tau\) decay products fake the dark photon.

We study dark photon candidate mass distributions in three control samples where we do not expect dark photons to appear. The \(QCD_{\gamma}\) control sample is selected by reversing the \(E_T\) cut. The \(QCD_{\text{jet}}\) sample is selected by using the same criteria as the \(QCD_{\gamma}\) sample, but requiring a fake photon instead of a photon. Finally, the \(QCD_W\) sample requires a fake photon and \(E_T > 20\) GeV. All three have contributions from \(B1\), although the relative fraction of multijets, single photon production, and diphoton production varies among the three control samples. Backgrounds \(B2\) and \(B3\), however, can only significantly contribute to the \(QCD_W\) sample. We observe no difference between the dark photon candidate mass distributions in the three control samples. We therefore conclude that the background to dark photon production is dominated by \(B1\), and use the average shape of the dark photon candidates mass distributions in all control samples as our background model.

The dark photon candidate invariant mass distributions in the signal sample are shown in Figure 2 separately for the electron and muon channels, together with the expected contribution from dark photons with a mass of 1.4 GeV.

We see no evidence of a dark photon signal and proceed to set limits on its production. To set limits we use the standard D0 likelihood fitter [23] that incorporates a log-likelihood ratio (LLR) statistic method [24]. The value of \(CL_s\) is defined as \(CL_s = CL_{s+b}/CL_b\), where \(CL_{s+b}\) and \(CL_b\) are the confidence levels for the signal plus background hypothesis and the background-only (null) hypothesis, respectively. These confidence levels are evaluated by integrating the corresponding \(LLR\) distribution populated by simulating outcomes via Poisson statistics. Systematic uncertainties are treated as uncertainties on the expected number of signal and background events, not the outcomes of the limit calculations. This approach ensures that the uncertainties and their correlations are propagated to the outcome with their proper weights. The limit is set by simultaneously fitting dilepton invariant mass distributions in data for the muon and
electron channels to the signal and background predictions for each signal point, defined by the dark photon and the lightest chargino masses. For each dark photon mass the background is normalized outside of the expected signal region. The systematic uncertainty on the signal reconstruction efficiency (25%) is dominated by the uncertainty to reconstruct the two spatially close tracks from the dark photon decays (20%). The latter was cross-checked with data using tau decays and converted photons in radiative $Z \rightarrow \mu\nu\gamma$ decays. We also took into account the uncertainty on the total integrated luminosity (6.1%) and the effect of varying the dark photon mass resolution by 10%.

We interpret the cross section limits as limits on the lightest chargino mass as a function of the dark photon mass and the neutralino branching fraction. For $B = 0.5$ the excluded region of chargino and dark photon masses is shown in Figure 3. In Figure 4 we display the chargino mass limit as a function of $B$ for three representative dark photon masses: 0.2 GeV (only the electron channel is open), 0.782 GeV (low branching fraction into leptons due to $\omega$ and $\rho$ mesons), and 1.5 GeV. Our previous limit on the GMSB SUSY in the diphoton final state [21] is directly applicable to the model considered in this Letter, although it does not probe the dark photon mass. The corresponding exclusion contours are shown in Figures 3 and 4.

To summarize, we search for a previously unexplored final state consisting of a photon, two spatially close leptons from hypothetical dark photon decays and large missing energy. We find no evidence for such events, and set limits on their production in a benchmark model [10]. For dark photon masses of 0.2, 0.782, and 1.5 GeV we exclude chargino masses of 230, 142, and 200 GeV, respectively.
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[14] The D0 detector utilizes a right-handed coordinate system with the z-axis pointing in the direction of the proton beam and the y-axis pointing upwards. The azimuthal angle \( \phi \) is defined in the xy plane measured from the x-axis. The pseudorapidity is defined as \( \eta = -\ln[\tan(\theta/2)] \), where \( \theta = \arctan(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}/z) \).