Measurement of the WW Production Cross Section with Dilepton Final States in $p\bar{p}$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV and Limits on Anomalous Trilinear Gauge Couplings

We provide the most precise measurement of the WW production cross section in pp collisions to date at a center of mass energy of 1.96 TeV, and set limits on the associated trilinear gauge couplings. The WW → ℓνℓ′ν′ (ℓ, ℓ′ = e, μ) decay channels are analyzed in 1 fb⁻¹ of data collected by the D0 detector at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider. The measured cross section is $σ(pp → WW) = 11.5 ± 2.1(\text{stat} + \text{syst}) ± 0.7(\text{lumi})$ pb. One- and two-dimensional 95% C.L. limits on trilinear gauge couplings are provided.
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The non-Abelian gauge group structure of the electroweak sector of the standard model (SM) predicts specific interactions between the γ, W, and Z bosons. Two vertices, WWγ and WWZ, provide important contributions to the p̅p → WW production cross section. Understanding this process is imperative because it is an irreducible background to the most sensitive discovery channel for the Higgs boson at the Tevatron, H → WW. A detailed study...
of WW production also probes the triple gauge-boson couplings (TGCs), which are sensitive to low-energy manifestations of new physics from a higher mass scale, and is sensitive to the production and decay of new particles, such as the Higgs boson [1]. Studying WW production at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider provides an opportunity to explore constituent center of mass energies ($\sqrt{s}$) higher than that available at the CERN $e^+e^-$ Collider (LEP) [2], since SM WW production at the Tevatron has an average $\sqrt{s}_\text{cm} = 245$ GeV and a 57% probability for $\sqrt{s}_\text{cm} > 208$ GeV [1]. The Tevatron experiments have been active in studying the WW cross section and TGCs in the past [3–5]. In this Letter we present the most precise measurement of the WW production cross section in $p\bar{p}$ collisions to date and updated limits on anomalous WW$\gamma$ and WWZ couplings.

We examine WW production via the process $p\bar{p}\rightarrow W^+W^- \rightarrow e^+\nu e^-\bar{\nu}$ ($\ell$, $\ell' = e, \mu$; allowing for $W \rightarrow \tau\nu \rightarrow \ell + n\nu$ decays) and use charged lepton transverse momentum ($p_T$) distributions to study the TGCs. The decay of two W bosons into electrons or muons results in a pair of isolated, high-$p_T$, oppositely charged leptons and a large amount of missing transverse energy ($\not\!{E}_T$) due to the escaping neutrinos. This analysis uses $p\bar{p}$ collisions at a center of mass energy of 1.96 TeV, as recorded by the D0 detector [6] at the Tevatron. A combination of single-electron ($ee$ and $e\mu$ channels) or single-muon ($\mu\mu$ channel) triggers were used to collect the data, which correspond to integrated luminosities of 1104 (ee), 1072 (e$\mu$), and 1002 ($\mu\mu$) pb$^{-1}$ [7].

Electrons are identified in the calorimeter by their electromagnetic showers, which must occur within $|\eta| < 1.1$ or $1.5 < |\eta| < 3.0$ [8]. In the $ee$ channel, at least one electron must satisfy $|\eta| < 1.1$. Electron candidates must be spatially matched to a track from the central tracking system, isolated from other energetic particles, and have a shape consistent with that of an electromagnetic shower. Electron candidates must also satisfy a tight requirement on a multivariate electron discriminant which takes into account track quality, shower shape, calorimeter and track isolation, and $E/p$, where $E$ is the calorimeter cluster energy and $p$ is the track momentum. The $p_T$ measurement of an electron is based on calorimeter energy information and track position.

Muons are reconstructed within $|\eta| < 2.0$, must be spatially matched to a track from the central tracking system, and are required to have matched sets of wire and scintillator hits before and after the muon toroid. The detector support structure limits the muon system coverage in the region $|\eta| < 1.1$ and $4.25 < \phi < 5.15$ [8]; in this region a single set of matched wire and scintillator hits is required. Additionally, muons must be isolated such that the $p_T$ sum of other tracks in a cone $R = \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2} < 0.5$ is $< 2.5$ GeV and calorimeter energy within 0.1 $< R < 0.4$ is $< 2.5$ GeV.

The $\not\!{E}_T$ is determined based on the calorimeter energy deposition distribution with respect to the interaction vertex. It is corrected for the electromagnetic or jet energy scale, as appropriate, and the $p_T$ of muons.

Signal acceptances and background processes are studied with a detailed Monte Carlo (MC) simulation based on PYTHIA [9] in conjunction with the CTEQ6L1 [10] parton distribution functions, with detector simulation carried out by GEANT [11]. The Z boson $p_T$ spectrum in $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \ell\ell$ MC events is adjusted to match data [12].

For each final state, we require the highest $p_T$ (leading) lepton to have $p_T > 25$ GeV, the trailing lepton to have $p_T > 15$ GeV, and the leptons to be of opposite charge. Both charged leptons are required to originate from the same vertex. The leptons must also have a minimum separation in $\eta$-$\phi$ space of $R_{ee} > 0.8$ in the $ee$ channel or $R_{e\mu}/p_T > 0.5$ in the $e\mu$ and $\mu\mu$ channels, in order to prevent overlap of the lepton isolation cones.

Background contributions to WW production from $W +$ jets and multijet production are estimated from the data. Those from $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \ell\ell, t\bar{t}$, $WZ, W\gamma$, and ZZ are estimated from the MC simulation.

After the initial event selection, the dominant background in each channel is $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \ell\ell$ ($\ell = e, \mu, \tau$). Much of this background is removed by requiring $p_T > 45$ (ee), 20 (e$\mu$), or 35 ($\mu\mu$) GeV. For the $ee$ channel, we require $p_T > 50$ GeV if $|M_Z - m_{ee}| < 6$ GeV to further reduce the $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \ell\ell$ background. In events containing muons, a requirement on the azimuthal separation ($\Delta \phi$) between the leptons is more effective at reducing the $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \ell\ell$ background than an invariant mass requirement, since the momentum resolution for high $p_T$ muons is poorer than the calorimeter energy resolution for electrons. The $e\mu$ channel additionally requires $p_T > 40$ (instead of 20) GeV if $\Delta \phi_{e\mu} > 2.8$, and the $\mu\mu$ channel requires $\Delta \phi_{\mu\mu} < 2.45$.

Mismeasurement of the muon momentum can lead to spurious $\not\!{E}_T$ which is collinear with the muon direction. Especially in the $\mu\mu$ channel, mismeasurement of the muon momentum can allow Z boson events to satisfy the $\not\!{E}_T$ requirement. To suppress these events in the $\mu\mu$ channel, we require that the track for each muon candidate include at least one silicon microstrip tracker hit, for better momentum resolution, and that the azimuthal angle between each muon and the direction of the $\not\!{E}_T$ satisfies $|\cos(\Delta \phi_{e\mu})| < 0.98$.

A second background is $t\bar{t}$ production followed by the leptonic decay of $W$ bosons. This background can be suppressed by requiring $q_T = |p_TT + \not\!{p}_T + \not\!{E}_T| < 20$ (ee), 25 (e$\mu$), or 16 ($\mu\mu$) GeV. This quantity is the $p_T$ of the WW system and is expected to be small for signal events. However, for $t\bar{t}$ production and other background processes, $q_T$ can be large, so this variable is a powerful discriminator against these backgrounds.
The Wy process is a background for only the ee and eμ channels, since the probability for a photon to be misidentified as a muon is negligible. We determine the probability that a photon is misidentified as an electron with photons from Z/γ → eeγ decays and use it to correct the MC-based prediction of the Wy background. The W + jets background, in which a jet is misidentified as an electron or muon, is determined from the data by selecting dilepton samples with loose and tight lepton requirements and setting up a system of linear equations to solve for the W + jet backgrounds after all event selection cuts, similar to the multijet background estimation performed in [13]. The multijet background contains jets that are misidentified as the two lepton candidates. It is represented by a data sample where the reconstructed leptons fail the lepton quality requirements. This sample is normalized with a factor determined at preselection using like-charged lepton events. It is assumed that misidentified jets result in randomly assigned charge signs.

The leptonic decay of WZ and ZZ events can mimic the WW signal when one or more of the charged leptons is not reconstructed and instead contributes to E_T. The ZZ → ℓνℓν process is suppressed by the |MZ − mee| or ∆φℓℓ cut.

For each channel, the exact selection requirements on E_T, q_T, and |MZ − mee| or ∆φℓℓ are chosen by performing a grid search on signal MC and expected background, minimizing the combined statistical and systematic uncertainty on the expected cross section measurement. The final lepton p_T distributions are shown in Fig. 1 [14].

The overall detection efficiency for signal events is determined using MC with full detector, trigger, and reconstruction simulation and is 7.18% (ee), 13.43% (eμ), and 5.34% (μμ) for WW → ℓνℓ′ν (ℓ, ℓ′ = e, μ) decays and 2.24% (ee), 4.36% (eμ), and 1.30% (μμ) for WW → τνℓν/τνℓ′ν → ℓν + nℓ decays. The numbers of estimated signal and background events and the number of observed events for each channel after the final event selection are summarized in Table I. The observed events are statistically consistent with the SM expectation in each channel. Assuming the W boson and τ branching ratios from [15], the observations in data correspond to σ(p̅p → WW) = 10.6 ± 4.6(stat) ± 1.9(syst) ± 0.7(lumi) pb in the ee channel, 10.8 ± 2.2 ± 1.1 ± 0.7 pb in the eμ channel, and 16.9 ± 5.7 ± 1.4 ± 1.0 pb in the μμ channel. The dominant sources of systematic uncertainty for each channel are the statistics associated with the estimation of the W+jet contribution in the ee channel, the photon misidentification probability used to estimate the Wy contribution in the eμ channel, and the MC statistics for backgrounds in the μμ channel [14].

The cross section measurements in the individual channels are combined using the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE) method [16] yielding: σ(p̅p → WW) = 11.5 ± 2.1(stat + syst) ± 0.7(lumi) pb. The standard model calculation of the WW production cross section at the Tevatron center of mass energy is 12.0 ± 0.7 pb [17].

The TGCs that govern WW production can be parameterized by a general Lorentz-invariant Lagrangian with 14 independent complex coupling parameters, seven each for the WWγ and WWZ vertices [1]. Limits on the anomalous couplings are often obtained by taking the parameters to be real, enforcing electromagnetic gauge invariance, and assuming charge conjugation and parity invariance, reducing the number of independent couplings to five: gZγ, κZ, κγ, λZ, and λγ (using notation from [1]). In the SM, gZγ = κZ = κγ = 1 and λZ = λγ = 0. The couplings that are nonzero in the SM are often expressed in terms of their deviation from the SM values, e.g., ΔgZγ = gZγ − 1. Enforcing SU(2)_L ⊗ U(1)_Y symmetry introduces two relationships between the remaining parameters: κZ = gZγ − (κγ − 1)tan^2θ_W and λZ = λγ, reducing the number of free parameters to three [18]. Alternatively, enforcing equality between the WWγ and WWZ vertices (WWγ = WWZ) such that κγ = κZ, λγ = λZ, and gZγ = 1 reduces the number of free parameters to two.
TABLE I. Numbers of signal and background events expected and number of events observed after the final event selection in each channel. Negligible contributions are not shown. Uncertainties include contributions from statistics and lepton selection efficiencies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>ee</th>
<th>eμ</th>
<th>μμ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z/γ* → ee/μμ</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.20</td>
<td>2.52 ± 0.56</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z/γ* → ττ</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.05</td>
<td>3.67 ± 0.46</td>
<td>⋯</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tt</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.10</td>
<td>3.79 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WZ</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wγ</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.16</td>
<td>5.21 ± 2.97</td>
<td>⋯</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W + jet</td>
<td>6.09 ± 1.72</td>
<td>7.50 ± 1.83</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multijet</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.13</td>
<td>⋯</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW → ℓℓ′</td>
<td>10.98 ± 0.59</td>
<td>39.25 ± 0.81</td>
<td>7.18 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW → ℓτ/ττ → ℓℓ′</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.20</td>
<td>5.18 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total expected</td>
<td>23.46 ± 1.90</td>
<td>68.64 ± 3.88</td>
<td>10.79 ± 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One effect of introducing anomalous coupling parameters into the SM Lagrangian is an enhancement of the cross section for the q̅q → Z/γ* → W+W− process, which leads to unphysically large cross sections at high energy. Therefore, the anomalous couplings must vanish as the partonic center of mass energy √s → ∞. This is achieved by introducing a dipole form factor for an arbitrary coupling α (gZ, κ, or λγ): α(δ) = α0/(1 + δ)/2, where the form factor scale Λ is set by new physics, and limits are set in terms of α0. Unitarity constraints provide an upper limit for each coupling that is dependent on the choice of Λ. For this analysis we use Λ = 2 TeV, the approximate center of mass energy of the Tevatron.

The leading order MC event generator by Hagiwara, Woodside, and Zeppenfeld [1] is used to predict the changes in WW production cross section and kinematics as coupling parameters are varied about their SM values. At each point on a grid in TGC parameter space, events are generated and passed through a parameterized simulation of the D0 detector that is tuned to data. To enhance the sensitivity to anomalous couplings, events are sorted by lepton pT into a two-dimensional histogram, using leading and trailing lepton pT values in the ee and μμ channels, and e and μ pT values in the eμ channel. For each bin in lepton pT space, the expected number of WW events produced is parameterized by a quadratic function in three-dimensional (Δκγ, λγ, ΔgY) space or two-dimensional (Δκ, λ) space, as appropriate for the TGC relationship scenario under study. In the three-dimensional case, coupling parameters are investigated in pairs, with the third parameter fixed to the SM value. A likelihood surface is generated by considering all channels simultaneously, integrating over the signal, background, and luminosity uncertainties with Gaussian distributions using the same methodology as that used in previous studies [5].

The one-dimensional 95% C.L. limits for Λ = 2 TeV are determined to be −0.54 < Δκγ < 0.83, −0.14 < λγ = λZ < 0.18, and −0.14 < ΔgY < 0.30 under the SU(2)L ⊗ U(1)y-conserving constraints, and −0.12 < Δκγ = ΔκZ < 0.35, with the same λ limits as above, under the WWγ = WWZ constraints. One- and two-dimensional 95% C.L. limits are shown in Fig. 2.

In summary, we have made the most precise measurement of WW production at a hadronic collider to date, σ(p̅p → WW) = 11.5 ± 2.1(stat + syst) ± 0.7(lumi) pb, using 1 fb−1 of data at the D0 experiment. This result is

![Figure 2](image-url)

FIG. 2. One and two-dimensional 95% C.L. limits when enforcing SU(2)L ⊗ U(1)y symmetry at Λ = 2 TeV, for (a) Δκγ vs λγ, (b) Δκγ vs ΔgY, and (c) λγ vs ΔgY, each when the third free coupling is set to its SM value; limits when enforcing the WWγ = WWZ constraints are shown in (d). The curve represents the two-dimensional 95% C.L. contour and the ticks along the axes represent the one-dimensional 95% C.L. limits. An asterisk (*) marks the point with the highest likelihood in the two-dimensional plane.
consistent with the SM prediction and previous Tevatron results \[3,17,19\]. The selected event kinematics are used to significantly improve previous limits on anomalous TGCs from WW production at the Tevatron, reducing the allowed 95% C.L. interval for \(\lambda_y = \lambda_2\) and \(\Delta\kappa_y = \Delta\kappa_2\) by nearly a factor of 2 \[5,20\].
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