The NuMoon experiment: first results
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The NuMoon project uses the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope to search for short radio pulses from the Moon. These pulses are created when an ultra high energy cosmic ray or neutrino initiates a particle cascade inside the Moon’s regolith. The cascade has a negative charge excess and moves faster than the local speed of light, which causes coherent Cherenkov radiation to be emitted. With 100 hours of data, a limit on the neutrino flux can be set that is an order of magnitude better than the current one (based on FORTE). We present an analysis of the first 10 hours of data.

1 Introduction

Above the Greisen-Zatsepin-Kuzmin (GZK) energy of $6 \cdot 10^{19}$ eV, cosmic rays (CRs) can interact with the cosmic microwave background photons, losing energy and producing pions when traversing distances of the order of 10 Mpc\textsuperscript{1,2}. Recent results of the Pierre Auger Observatory have confirmed a steepening in the cosmic ray spectrum at the GZK energy\textsuperscript{3}. The pions will produce ultrahigh energy (UHE) neutrinos through weak decay. Observing these neutrinos is of great scientific interest as their arrival direction points back to sources at distances larger than 10 Mpc, in contrast to charged particles that are deflected in (extra-)galactic magnetic fields. In addition, while cosmic rays from faraway sources pile up at the GZK energy, information about the cosmic ray spectrum at the source is conserved in the GZK neutrino flux. Other possible sources of UHE neutrinos are decaying supermassive particles, such as magnetic monopoles or topological defects. This class of models is referred to as top-down (TD) models (see for example Staney\textsuperscript{4} for a review).

Because of their small interaction cross section and low flux, the detection of cosmic neutrinos calls for extremely large detectors. Assuming the Waxman-Bahcall flux\textsuperscript{5}, even at GeV energies the flux is not higher than a few tens of neutrinos per km$^2$ per year. Kilometer-scale detectors are not easily built but can be found in nature. For example, interaction of neutrinos in ice or water can be detected by the Cherenkov light produced by the lepton track or cascade. The now half finished IceCube detector\textsuperscript{6} will cover a km$^3$ volume of South Pole ice with PMTs,
while Antares\textsuperscript{7} and its successor KM3NET\textsuperscript{8} exploit the same technique in the Mediterranean sea. Even larger volumes can be covered by observing large detector masses from a distance. The ANITA balloon mission\textsuperscript{9} monitors an area of a million km\textsuperscript{2} of South Pole ice from an altitude of \(\sim 37\) km and the FORTE satellite\textsuperscript{10} can pick up radio signals coming from the Greenland ice mass. Alternatively, cosmic ray experiments like the Pierre Auger Observatory can possibly distinguish cosmic ray induced air showers from neutrino induced cascades at very high declinations where the atmosphere is thickest and only neutrinos can interact close to the detector.

For an even larger detector volume one can turn to the Moon. The negative charge excess of a particle shower inside a dense medium will cause the emission of coherent Cherenkov radiation in a process known as the Askaryan effect\textsuperscript{11}. This emission mechanism has been experimentally verified at accelerators\textsuperscript{12,13} and extensive calculations have been performed to quantify the effect\textsuperscript{14,15}. The idea to observe this type of emission from the Moon with radio telescopes was first proposed by Dagkesamanskii and Zheleznyk\textsuperscript{16} and the first experimental endeavours in this direction were carried out with the Parkes telescope\textsuperscript{17} and at Goldstone (GLUE)\textsuperscript{18}.

The NuMoon project uses the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT) to watch for the same flashes but at lower frequency, which has the distinct advantage that radio pulses have a much higher chance of reaching the observer, which will be explained in the next section.

## 2 Theory

UHE neutrinos or CRs interact below the lunar surface. About 20\% of the neutrino energy is converted into a hadronic shower, while the other 80\% is carried off by the lepton, which will not produce any observable radio emission. Muons will not produce enough charge density, while electromagnetic showers become elongated at high energies due to the Landau-Pomeranchuk-Migdal effect\textsuperscript{19}. For these showers the angular spread of the radio emission around the Cherenkov angle becomes very small, severely lowering the chance of detection.

The lateral size of the cascade is of the order of 10 cm so the radio emission is coherent up to \(\sim 3\) GHz. Former experiments, like GLUE, have observed at high frequencies (2.2 GHz) where the emission is strongest. For lower frequencies, however, the angular spread of the emission around the Cherenkov angle increases. For emission at the Cherenkov angle only showers hitting the rim of the Moon under such an angle that the emission will not be internally reflected at the Moon surface will be observable. With a larger angular spread in the emission a wider range of geometries is allowed (see Fig. 1) and a larger part of the lunar surface can be used. When the wavelength is of the order of the shower length, several meters, the emission becomes nearly isotropic and pulses can be expected to come from the whole Moon\textsuperscript{20}. In our experiment we exploit this optimal frequency range around 150 MHz.
The intensity of the radio emission from a hadronic shower with energy $E_s$ in the lunar regolith can be parameterized as

$$F(\theta, \nu, E_s) = 3.86 \cdot 10^4 \exp^{-Z^2} \left( \frac{\sin \theta}{\sin \theta_c} \right)^2 \left( \frac{E_s}{10^{20} \text{eV}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{d_{\text{moon}}}{d} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu_0(1 + (\nu/\nu_0)^{1.44})} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\Delta \nu}{100 \text{MHz}} \right) \text{Jy} \quad (1)$$

with:

$$Z = (\cos \theta - 1/n) \left( \frac{n}{\sqrt{n^2 - 1}} \right) \left( \frac{180}{\pi \Delta_c} \right) \quad (2)$$

where $\Delta \nu$ is the bandwidth, $\nu$ the central frequency and $\nu_0 = 2.5$ GHz. The average Earth-Moon distance $d_{\text{moon}} = 3.844 \cdot 10^8$ m, $d$ is the distance to the observer. The Cherenkov angle is given by $\cos \theta_c = 1/n$, where $n$ is the index of refraction and $\theta$ is the angle under which radiation is emitted relative to the direction of shower propagation. The spread of radiation around the Cherenkov angle is given by:

$$\Delta_c = 4.32 \sqrt{\frac{1}{\nu [\text{GHz}]} \left( \frac{L(10^{20} \text{eV})}{L(E_s)} \right)} \quad (3)$$

where $L$ is the shower length depending on primary energy.

The regolith is the top layer of the Moon and consists of dust and small rocks. The properties of this layer are known from samples brought from the Moon by the Apollo missions. The average index of refraction is $n = 1.8$ and the attenuation length is found to be $\lambda_c = (9/\nu [\text{GHz}])$ m for radio waves. The thickness of the regolith is known to vary over the lunar surface. At some depth there is a (probably smooth) transition to solid rock, for which the density is about twice that of the regolith. In Scholten et al. the effects of pure rock and regolith are simulated and found to give very similar detection limits for low frequencies.

As the radiation leaves the Moon it refracts on the surface, so surface irregularities may play a role in the detectability. James et al. argue that small scale irregularities may increase detectability while large scale structures will reduce the chance on detection of CR showers, because they develop directly underneath the surface and tend to have geometries less favourable for detection. These effects become less important for low frequencies and large angular spread of the emission.

### 3 Experimental setup

The Westerbork Radio Synthesis Telescope (WSRT) is an array telescope consisting of 14 parabolic telescopes of 25 m on a 2.7 km east-west line. The NuMoon experiment uses the Low Frequency Front Ends (LFFEs) which cover the frequency range 115–180 MHz. Each LFFE records full polarization data. For our observations we use the Pulsar Machine II backend, which can record a maximum bandwidth of 160 MHz sampled as 8 subbands of 20 MHz each. We use two beams of 4 bands each, centered around 123, 137, 151, and 165 MHz. The two beams are aimed at different sides of the Moon, both covering about one third of the lunar surface, in order to enlarge the effective apperture and create the possibility of an anti-coincidence trigger. A real lunar Cherenkov pulse should only be visible in one of the two beams. Because of overlap in the subbands the total bandwidth per beam is 65 MHz.

For each subband, the time series data is recorded at several storage nodes with a sampling frequency of 40 MHz.
Figure 2: Left: Typical frequency spectrum of 10 seconds of data before RFI reduction. The x-axis shows arbitrary units, corresponding to a bandwidth of 20 MHz centered around 165 MHz. Right: Typical results of RFI reduction. The number of counts per amplitude is plotted for the raw data (blue) and the data after RFI reduction (red). Notice that the raw data has a limited dynamic range. The black line is a Gaussian fit to the data after RFI reduction.

4 Data analysis

In the first phase of the data reduction a search for pulses is done to select about 1% of the data, which will be permanently stored. The procedure involves the following steps:

- The raw data is read in blocks of 20 000 timebins. A Fourier transform of these blocks is made to produce a frequency spectrum in which narrow-band radio frequency interference (RFI) can be identified. For this background reduction 200 spectra are averaged and fitted. RFI lines with a value above 1.5 times the fit to the average spectrum are suppressed. The left panel in Fig. 2 shows a typical frequency spectrum of 10 seconds of data before RFI removal. This particular spectrum is for the band centered around 165 MHz. The right panel shows the amplitude distribution of the data before (blue) and after (red) RFI removal. Notice that the raw data has a limited dynamic range. The black line is a Gaussian fit to the data after RFI removal.

- Pulses from the Moon are dispersed in the Earth’s ionosphere. A dedispersion is performed, based on the total electron content (TEC) of the ionosphere and afterwards the data is transformed back to the time domain.

- We expect our pulses to have a width smaller than the binsize (25 ns). Generally, such a pulse will spread over a few timebins, depending on its phase. Errors in the TEC value used for dedispersion will further broaden the pulse. We define the value $P5$ as the power integrated over 5 timebins and 2 polarizations, normalized to the average value of this integration:

$$P5 = \frac{\sum_{\text{5 bins}} P_x + P_y}{\left\langle \sum_{\text{5 bins}} P_x + P_y \right\rangle}$$

where the averaging is done over 20 000 timebins.

- A peak search is carried out, where we use a trigger condition of a $P5$ value of 2.5 in all four frequency bands. A time difference between the peaks in the different frequency bands is allowed (and expected) due to ionospheric dispersion.
• For each trigger the data blocks in which the pulses are found are stored for postprocessing. This analysis is done for the two beams separately, but when a pulse is found in one of the beams, the raw data is stored for both beams and all subbands. In order to reproduce the RFI line suppression the values of the suppressed frequencies are also stored.

In the second phase of processing further cuts are applied to the data. Pulses of a width exceeding 8 bins are rejected, as well as pulses that are part of a series of consequent pulses.

5 Results

Presently, 10 hours and 40 minutes of single beam data have been accumulated. Fig. 3 shows the distribution of $P5$ values (summed over the 4 subbands) with no cuts applied (black line), a cut on pulse width (red line) and a cut that in addition suppressed pulses that are part of a pulse train (green line). For pure Gaussian noise the number of expected triggers is around $10^5$ in total and of the order of unity at $P5 \approx 14$. The number of triggers after a cut on width and repetition is 87000.

The highest value surviving this cut is $P5 = 25$ or $P5 = 6.25$ per frequency subband. For the WSRT the system noise at low frequencies is $F_{noise} = 600$ Jy per polarization channel, so $P5 = 1$ corresponds to 6000 Jy, giving a detection threshold of $\sim 38$ kJy. Fig. 4 shows the limit on the neutrino flux that is obtained with the current 10 hours of data based on this detection threshold, a central frequency of 140 MHz, a bandwidth of 65 MHz, and a coverage of one third of the Moon (corresponding to one beam). Also shown is the limit that can be achieved with a 100 hour observation period. The current limits in the UHE region are established by ANITA\textsuperscript{9} and FORTE\textsuperscript{10}. In the bottom of Fig. 4 two model predictions are plotted: the Waxman-Bahcall limit\textsuperscript{5} and a top-down model\textsuperscript{25} for exotic particles of mass $M_X = 10^{24}$ eV.
6 Discussion

We observe at a frequency window that offers an optimal sensitivity to lunar pulses. At the same time, for these low frequencies the effects of uncertainties concerning the lunar surface and interior are small. Because of the large spread in emission angle, we expect no systematic effect from surface irregularities. The detection efficiency is also largely independent from details in the structure of the (sub-)regolith\textsuperscript{20}.

A possible complication is the limited dynamic range of the WSRT data. The individual dishes give a 2-bit signal, so the total dynamic range is $3N + 1$ for $N$ dishes. When there is a lot of RFI background, as much as 90\% of the total received power can be in narrow RFI lines. After background reduction the dynamic range is suppressed and detection of pulses may become impossible. Another effect of the limited dynamic range is that large pulses will be cut off to the maximum allowed value, potentially lowering the signal-to-noise. Here, the atmospheric dispersion contributes positively by spreading the power over more timebins.

Currently we are simulating the detection efficiency for various radio backgrounds and atmospheric conditions. The error on the TEC value, used for dedispersion, is also taken into account.

7 Outlook

With about 100 hours of data and the results of aforementioned simulations, we will be able to put a limit on the UHE neutrino flux which is about an order of magnitude lower than the current FORTE limit (assuming no detections). This limit would rule out a subset of TD models (see Fig. 4).

The next phase in the NuMoon experiment will be to use Lofar, the Low Frequency Array, that is under construction in the Netherlands. Lofar is a network of low frequency omnidirectional radio antennas communicating over a fiber optics network. It will feature two types of antennas operating at different frequencies: the Low Band (LB) antennas cover a band of 30–80 MHz while the High Band (HB) antennas cover the regime 110–240 MHz. The latter will
be used for the NuMoon observations. LOFAR is organized in 35 stations each containing 48 LB and 96 HB antennas. Half of the stations are located inside the 2 km×2 km core with a total collecting area of ~0.05 km². Multiple beams can be formed to cover the surface of the Moon, resulting in a sensitivity that is about 25 times better than the WSRT.

The LOFAR stations communicate via a central processor (CEP). At the CEP a real-time trigger system can be implemented combining the incoming data from the different stations. Locally, the data stream of all antennas is buffered on Transient Buffer Boards (TBB) for ~1 s. After a trigger has been found the system can read out the TTBs and store the complete time series data of all antennas for off-line analysis.

Fig. 5 shows the sensitivity that will be achieved with 30 days of observation time with LOFAR for UHE cosmic rays (left panel) and neutrinos (right panel) 26.

Other lunar Cherenkov observations will be carried out at the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) 23 consisting of six 22 m dishes. The array is currently undergoing an upgrade to be able to measure with a bandwidth of 2 GHz. The upgrade is projected to be finished in 2009.

Eventually, the best sensitivity will be achieved with the Square Kilometer Array 27 (SKA), planned to be completed in 2020. The Australian SKA Pathfinder (ASKAP) is expected to be operational around 2011. A study of the sensitivity of ATCA, ASKAP and SKA can be found in James et al. 23 (note that the LOFAR sensitivity in their plots is exaggerated). In Fig. 5 the expected sensitivity of SKA is plotted for observations in the low frequency band (70–200 MHz) and the middle frequency band (200–300 MHz).
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