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The Microtubule-Associated Protein Doublecortin-Like Regulates the Transport of the Glucocorticoid Receptor in Neuronal Progenitor Cells
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In neuronal cells, activated glucocorticoid receptor (GR) translocates to the nucleus guided by the cytoskeleton. However, the detailed mechanisms underlying GR translocation remain unclear. Using gain and loss of function studies, we report here for the first time that the microtubule-associated protein doublecortin-like (DCL) controls GR translocation to the nucleus. DCL overexpression in COS-1 cells, neuroblastoma cells, and rat hippocampus organotypic slice cultures impaired GR translocation and decreased GR-dependent transcriptional activity, measured by a specific reporter gene assay, in COS-1 cells. Moreover, DCL and GR directly interact on microtubule bundles formed by DCL overexpression. A C-terminal truncated DCL with conserved microtubule-bundling activity did not influence GR translocation. In N1E-115 mouse neuroblastoma cells and neuronal progenitor cells in rat hippocampus organotypic slice cultures, laser-scanning confocal microscopy showed colabeling of endogenously expressed DCL and GR. In these systems, RNA-interference-mediated DCL knockdown hampered GR translocation. Thus, we conclude that DCL expression is tightly regulated to adequately control GR transport. Because DCL is primarily expressed in neuronal progenitor cells, our results introduce this microtubule-associated protein as a new modulator of GR signaling in this cell type and suggest the existence of cell-specific mechanisms regulating GR translocation to the nucleus. (Molecular Endocrinology 22: 248–262, 2008)

In the hippocampus, adrenal corticosteroids exert profound effects on neurogenesis, cognitive processes, and adaptation to stress. These actions are mediated by two related receptor molecules, the mineralocorticoid receptor and the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) (1). In the cytosol, the GR is part of a protein heterocomplex designated transportosome, consisting of chaperone proteins such as heat shock protein 90 (hsp90) (2), immunophilins, and dynein (3). Upon glucocorticoid (GC) binding, the transportosome complex remodels and translocates the activated GR to the nucleus using microtubule tracks (4). However, beyond the involvement of heat shock proteins, immunophilins, and dynein, little is known about cell type-specific factors regulating GR translocation. In the hippocampus, quiescent neuronal progenitor cells (NPCs) are GR positive (5). Exposure of these NPCs to the GR agonist dexamethasone (DEX) inhibits their proliferation (6). However, how GR signaling is regulated in NPCs is not yet completely understood.

Doublecortin-like (DCL), a splice variant of the DCLK gene, is expressed in NPCs with high specificity (7–9). Products of the DCLK gene are involved in mitotic spindle formation, accurate cell cycle progression, and stability of cellular processes in NPCs (8, 9). Moreover, DCL may regulate microtubule-based vesicle transport (10), and in vitro data have shown direct protein-protein interaction between dynein and products of the DCLK gene (8). DCL is a microtubule-associated protein (MAP) that exhibits high sequence identity with doublecortin (DCX), which plays a crucial role in neuronal migration by interacting with tubulin and other proteins, including dynein (9, 11). Exogenous DCL overexpression in cell lines induces a marked microtubule bundling (8, 9).

Based on previous evidence demonstrating that GR translocates to the nucleus on microtubule tracks in a dynein-dependent manner (12), we speculated that...
DCL is involved in GR translocation to the nucleus. To test this hypothesis, we over-expressed DCL with a previously characterized N-terminal yellow fluorescent protein-tagged GR (YFP-GR) (13) in COS-1 cells and in neuroblastoma cells and NPCs in rat hippocampus organotypic slice (OTS) cultures, both endogenously expressing GR. Similar systems have been extensively used to study GR translocation to the nucleus (14, 15). In addition, we used short hairpin RNA-mediated RNA interference to down-regulate DCL expression in N1E-115 mouse neuroblastoma cells and in OTS cultures from early postnatal rat hippocampus. Our results reveal a function for DCL in GR translocation to the nucleus and therefore, for the first time, provide evidence supporting the involvement of cell type-specific MAPs, particularly from the doublecortin domain-containing family, in regulation of GR signaling.

RESULTS

DCL Overexpression Impairs GR Translocation to the Nucleus in COS-1 Cells

To study DCL interaction with GR, YFP-GR was cotransfected with DCL in COS-1 cells. As neither GR (13) nor DCL (9) is endogenously expressed in COS-1 cells, they provide an excellent system with which to study DCL-GR interactions. Cells were cotransfected with YFP-GR and the empty pcDNA 3.1 vector as experimental control. Transfected cells were exposed to 1 × 10⁻⁷ M DEX or the GR antagonist RU486, both known to induce nuclear translocation of the receptor (16).

Observation of pcDNA 3.1-DCL and YFP-GR coexpressing cells showed a clear retention of YFP-GR on the microtubule bundles induced by DCL expression, as compared with control cells (Fig. 1, A and B). DEX and RU486 induced YFP-GR translocation to the nucleus with a calculated τ₁/₂ of 10.7 ± 1.5 min and 8.7 ± 0.5 min, respectively at 37°C (Fig. 1C). This effect was readily observed as soon as 10 min after DEX treatment (Fig. 1C). A complete YFP-GR translocation was reached after 30 min and lasted for at least 1 h in the presence of the ligand in control cells (Fig. 1C). Coexpression of pcDNA 3.1-DCL induced a significant decrease in the maximal amount of ligand-induced YFP-GR translocation to the nucleus 30 min after ligand application (41.3 ± 5.4% and 43.6 ± 3.1% decrease, DEX and RU486, respectively; n = 3, P < 0.05) (Fig. 1C). The half-lives of the translocated fractions induced by DEX and RU486 were not significantly different from those observed in control cells (10.99 ± 2.8 min DEX, 10.32 ± 1.7 RU486 vs. and 8.75 ± 3.2 min DEX, 7.78 ± 3.8 RU486, respectively; n = 3) (Fig. 1C).

Consistent with these observations, coexpression of pcDNA 3.1-DCL with YFP-GR induced a significant reduction in the maximal GR-dependent transcriptional activity induced by DEX treatment (38.25 ± 3.5% decrease; n = 3, P < 0.05), without affecting its pEC₅₀ value (8.3 ± 0.3 vs. 8.6 ± 0.2, with and without pcDNA 3.1-DCL, respectively; n = 3) as measured by a dual luciferase GR-reporter gene assay (Fig. 1D). This last observation suggests that the presence of DCL did not affect GR binding affinity for DEX. DEX-induced GR-dependent transcriptional activity was specifically blocked by incubation with 1 × 10⁻⁷ M RU486, whereas vehicle-treated cells showed no effect (data not shown).

These results were confirmed by cotransfection of YFP-GR with a DCL C-terminal fusion protein to the red fluorescent protein DsRed2 (DCL-DsRed2) and time-lapse confocal microscopy on live cells, showing a clear retention of YFP-GR on the DCL-DsRed2-induced microtubule bundles after 1 × 10⁻⁷ M DEX application (supplemental video 1 published as supplemental data on The Endocrine Society’s Journals Online web site at http://mend.endojournals.org).

Interestingly, in a significant proportion of cells expressing DCL, YFP-GR formed globose hotspots of protein accumulation on the microtubule bundles after DEX treatment (Fig. 1B). Laser scanning confocal microscopy showed that DCL-DsRed2 and YFP-GR were colocalized on microtubule bundles formed by DCL in vehicle-treated cells (Fig. 2A). The hotspots of protein accumulation induced by DEX treatment (30 min, 1 × 10⁻⁷ m) displayed a high degree of YFP-GR colocalization with DCL-DsRed2 (Fig. 2B). Formation of similar globose accumulations on microtubule tracks containing activated GR has been previously described associated with treatment with the hsp90 inhibitor geldanamycin (17).

The C-terminal serine/proline-rich domain of DCX, which shares high amino acid identity with DCL (9), is involved in protein-protein interactions (18). Consistent with this idea we mapped the DCL domain involved in regulating GR translocation to the C-terminal serine/proline-rich domain of DCL. Cotransfection of COS-1 cells with YFP-GR and a DsRed2-tagged C-terminal truncation of DCL, lacking the complete serine/proline-rich domain (T285DCL-DsRed2), induced microtubule bundling similar to full-length DCL but had no effect on YFP-GR translocation to the nucleus (Fig. 2, C and D).

Taken together, these results suggest that expression of DCL in COS-1 cells induced obstructions on microtubule tracks that interfere with GR transport to the nucleus.

To test for the specificity of these observations, we performed a number of experimental controls (supplementary Fig. 1). These experiments demonstrated that: 1) the effect of DCL on YFP-GR translocation could not be explained simply by a physical hindrance induced by microtubule bundling; 2) DCL expression does not interfere with GR coupling to hsp90; 3) the effects of DCL on YFP-GR translocation are not a consequence of a general cytoskeleton disarrangement. Therefore, the effects of DCL on GR translocation seem to be the result of a specific mechanism, most likely involving protein-protein interactions.
through the C-terminal serine/proline-rich domain of DCL.

To further characterize DCL interaction with the GR, we performed biochemical assays to study protein interaction. HeLa cells, which endogenously express the GR but not DCL (Fig. 3A), were transfected with pcDNA3.1-DCL. GR and DCL coimmunoprecipitated from transfected cells when the specific GR antibody was used (Fig. 3B).

Next, we studied the intracellular location of the observed GR-DCL interaction by Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) followed by pixel-by-pixel analysis of the FRET images using EGFP/EYFP as donor/acceptor pair (see Materials and Methods and supplemental Fig. 2). Previous to FRET experiments, YFP-GR and EGFP-DCL were cotransfected in COS-1 cells and controlled by Western blot (Fig. 3C). As shown in Fig. 2A, EGFP-DCL expression induced microtubule bundling and a marked GR localization on these bundles, demonstrating that colocalization is independent of the nature or the location of the fluorescent tags. Quantification revealed a significant increase in FRET intensity in YFP-GR/EGFP-DCL cotransfected cells, as compared with YFP-GR/empty pEGFP-C1 vector cotransfected control cells (Fig. 3D). A detailed analysis of the FRET images revealed that the specific interaction between EYFP-GR and EGFP-DCL takes place mainly on the microtubule bundles induced by DCL expression (Fig. 3, E and F). Moreover, EGFP alone neither affected localization of YFP-GR nor interacted with YFP-GR (Fig. 3F).

**DCL Overexpression Impairs GR Translocation in N1E-115 Neuroblastoma Cells**

To verify that the observed effects of DCL expression on GR translocation are not due to other factors expressed in COS-1 cells, we used the N1E-115 mouse...
neuroblastoma cell line, which endogenously expresses GR and DCL (9, 19). We used dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO)-differentiated N1E-115 cells (20), the long neurites of which facilitated visualization and permitted study of the role of DCL in GR transport to the nucleus.

The GR transportosome includes, among other proteins, cytoplasmatic dynein, the motor protein responsible for its retrograde transport to the nucleus (12). Therefore, we used laser-scanning confocal microscopy analysis of DMSO-treated N1E-115 cells to study possible DCL, GR, and dynein colocalization. As previously shown (9) we found native DCL largely colocalized with tubulin and strongly present and distributed along neurites of differentiated cells, suggesting a function in these structures (Fig. 4A). In neurites, DCL colocalized with GR (Fig. 4B) and dynein intermediate chain (Fig. 4C).

DCL-DsRed2 overexpression resulted in a cellular phenotype characterized by microtubule bundling, cell flattening, and changes in cells morphology (Fig. 4, D–G). The endogenous GR accumulated on the microtubule bundles induced by DCL overexpression. Treatment of the cells with 1 × 10^{-7} M DEX (30 min) did not result in visible changes in GR localization, with GR still strongly colocalized to DCL on microtubule bundles, as compared with vehicle-treated cells (Fig. 4, D and E).

Conversely, in control cells transfected with empty DsRed2 (pDsRed2-N1 vector), treatment with 1 × 10^{-7} M DEX (30 min) resulted in GR depletion from neurites and accumulation in the nuclear and perinuclear area (Fig. 4, F and G).

**DCL Knockdown Affects GR Translocation in N1E-115 Neuroblastoma Cells**

Next, we studied the effect of DCL knockdown on GR translocation in N1E-115 cells, a system with native stoichiometry. We used pS-DCL, a previously described pSUPER-based plasmid encoding a perfect match short hairpin RNA against DCL, and its mismatched control pS-DCLm for successive experiments (9).

pS-DCL down-regulated, in a dose-dependent manner, the expression of endogenous DCL at the mRNA level as measured by qPCR (Fig. 5A) and at the protein level as measured by Western blot (Fig. 5B). This dose dependency suggested that increasing the expression levels of DCL could counteract the effects of pS-DCL. Effectively, overexpression of DCL-DsRed2 (1 μg/1 × 10^{-6} cells) restored, albeit only partially, DCL expression levels (Fig. 5B). pS-DCLm did not have significant effects on DCL expression (Fig. 5, A and B). pS-DCL did not influence the expression of α-tubulin (Fig. 5B) or β-actin (data not shown), suggesting that its effects were specific for DCL.

For GR translocation studies, cells were cotransfected with pEBFP-C1 as transfection control (data not shown) and pS-DCL or pS-DCLm for successive experiments (9).
cells were exposed to $1 \times 10^{-7}$ DEX or vehicle for 30 min at 37°C and immediately fixed.

Laser-scanning confocal microscopy demonstrated a large amount of GR still retained in neurites of pS-DCL transfected cells after DEX incubation (Fig. 5C). In comparison, upon DEX treatment GR was significantly depleted from neurites and accumulated in the nucleus and perinuclear area in pS-DCLm-transfected cells (Fig. 5D). Neurites seemed structurally not affected by DCL down-regulation as revealed by α-tubulin staining (Fig. 5, C and D).

In pS-DCL transfected cells, after DEX treatment colocalization of GR to tubulin in neurites was significantly higher than in pS-DCLm-transfected cells (Fig. 5E, colocalization scores 0.55 ± 0.09 vs. 0.08 ± 0.06, respectively; P < 0.05, 100 cells) or mock (pSuper) transfected cells (Fig. 5E, colocalization scores 0.55 ± 0.09 vs. 0.05 ± 0.05, respectively; P < 0.05, 100 cells).

Similarly, DEX-induced GR translocation to the nucleus was significantly reduced as compared with cells transfected with pS-DCLm (73.4 ± 18.6%; 100 cells per condition; P < 0.05; Fig. 5F). The transcriptional activity of the endogenous GR was increased in a dose-dependent manner by DEX ($\text{pEC}_{50} = 8.01 ± 0.73; n = 4$), as measured by a specific GR reporter gene assay. This DEX-induced increase in GR transcriptional activity was dose-dependently blocked in a dose-dependent manner by RU486 ($\text{pEC}_{50} = 8.20 ± 0.36; n = 4$), providing a pharmacologically well-characterized system with which to study GR-dependent transcriptional activity. Transfection with pS-DCL significantly decreased DEX-stimulated GR-dependent transcriptional activity as compared with pS-DCLm-transfected cells (53.9 ± 7.72%; n = 3; P < 0.05; Fig. 5G).

Mitochondria labeled with MitoTracker Red CMXR (Invitrogen BV, Breda, The Netherlands) were evenly
Distributed along neurites and present in the soma and neurite extremities in pS-DCL and in pS-DCLm-transfected N1E-115 cells (supplemental Fig. 3). These observations suggest that DCL down-regulation does not significantly influence mitochondria transport, which has been shown to be affected by other MAPs involved in microtubule-dependent transport (21).

DCL Overexpression Impairs GR Translocation in NPCs of Early Postnatal Rat Hippocampal Organotypic Slices

DCLK is one of the most enriched MAPs in NPCs present in the developing brain (8), a cell type in which the GR controls cell proliferation (6). To study a possible role of DCL in GR transport to the nucleus in NPCs, we used OTS cultures. In the present work we focused on the hilus of the developing dentate gyrus formation, where most NPCs are localized, generating neurons that migrate to form the granular layer (22).

OTSs were transduced with the modified lentiviral vector LV-DCL-DsRed2 to induce DCL overexpression. Four days after transduction (day in vitro 5, DIV5), slices were processed and GR translocation was measured in DCL-DsRed2-expressing hilar cells showing a phenotype with bundled microtubules (Fig. 6).

DCL overexpression in these cells induced a marked colocalization of GR to the microtubule bundles induced by DCL-DsRed2 expression. Interestingly, treatment with $1 \times 10^{-7}$ M DEX for 30 min did not induce significant changes in GR localization, with GR retained on DCL-DsRed2-containing bundles (Fig. 6A), as observed in vehicle-treated cells (Fig. 6B). Conversely, in OTSs transduced with the LV-DsRed2 lentiviral vector, treatment with $1 \times 10^{-7}$ M DEX for 30 min induced a significant decrease in GR localization to DsRed2 and accumulation in the cells soma and nuclear areas, whereas GR was diffusely expressed in vehicle-treated DsRed2-expressing cells (Fig. 6, C and D).

To further characterize these observations we quantified DCL-DsRed2 or DsRed2/GR colocalization in processes of DCL-DsRed2” or DsRed2 cells, respectively, by using the Overlap Coefficient R method (see Materials and Methods).

Colocalized pixels were represented in a black and white bitmap using ImageJ (Fig. 6E). In line with our DCL overexpression data from COS-1 and N1E-115...
cells, we noted retention of the GR on DCL-DsRed2-containing bundles after DEX treatment, as compared with vehicle-treated cells (Fig. 6F). A significantly increased colocalization score in LV-DCL-DsRed2-treated slices, as compared with LV-DsRed2-treated slices (0.52 ± 0.15 vs. 0.1 ± 0.12, respectively; 43 processes counted in three independent DEX-treated slices each).

DCL Knockdown Affects GR Translocation in NPCs of Early Postnatal Rat Hippocampal Organotypic Slices

To induce DCL knockdown, OTSs were transduced with modified lentiviral vectors to deliver DCL short hairpin RNA (LV-DCL) and its mismatch control (LV-DCLm). Four days after transduction with LV-DCLm (DIV5), DCL expression was detected in 87 ± 9% of the EGFP-positive cells phenotypically resembling quiescent NPCs in the adult subgranular zone (23). However, in OTSs transduced with LV-DCL, 44 ± 6% of the EGFP-positive cells were DCL negative, suggesting an effective, although partial, DCL knockdown (Fig. 7, A and B).

After incubation in culture medium containing DEX (1 × 10⁻⁷ M, 90 min), GR immunoreactivity was significantly retained in the processes of LV-DCL-transduced EGFP+ cells compared with LV-DCLm-transduced cells (Fig. 7, C and D), indicating that DCL knockdown in NPCs results in impairment of DEX-stimulated GR translocation. Control LV-DCLm-transduced cells, treated with vehicle in otherwise identical experimental conditions, showed GR abundantly present in cellular processes of EGFP+ cells, discarding a nonspecific induction of GR transport to the nucleus by transduction with LV-DCLm (Fig. 7D).

EGFP/GR colocalization analyses in processes of EGFP+ cells revealed retention of the GR on cellular processes after DEX treatment (Fig. 7, E and F), ob-
served as a significant increase in colocalization score in LV-DCL-treated slices, as compared with LV-DCLm-treated ones (0.42 ± 0.09 vs. 0.1 ± 0.07, respectively; 56 processes counted in three independent DEX-treated slices each). For neural progenitor characterization and morphology, we used markers proposed for the adult hippocampus (23). According to this classification, we focused our studies on cells with nestin/glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) processes present in the early postnatal hilus (Fig. 8A). Staining with a DCL antibody revealed a large extent of colabeling with nestin (Fig. 8B) and, to a lesser extent, with GFAP (Fig. 8C). A large proportion of the nestin-positive processes showed colocalization with DCL (74 ± 7% of a total 266 processes counted in three independent slices) (Fig. 8, B and D). A significantly smaller proportion of the GFAP+ processes showed colocalization with DCL (26 ± 9%, of a total 248 processes counted in three independent slices) (Fig. 8, C and D). These results indicated that DCL is expressed in most nestin+/GFAP+ NPCs, which represented 44 ± 8% of the nestin-positive cellular processes of a total of 210 counted in three independent OTS cultures (Fig. 8, A and D).
To determine whether DCL was the main DCLK isoform expressed in NPCs, we stained with a CPG16 antibody, recognizing calmodulin (CAM) kinase homology domain-containing DCLK isoforms.

Laser-scanning confocal microscopy of DCL-positive cells in the hilus of the dentate gyrus (DIV5) revealed no colocalization with the weak nuclear CPG16 signal detected in NPCs (Fig. 8E), whereas the CPG16 antibody specifically labeled NeuN-positive cells in DIV21 OTSs (data not shown). These observations confirmed that CAM kinase homology domain-containing DCLK isoforms are mainly not expressed in NPCs (24, 25). In line with published data, Nestin+/GFAP+ NPCs were also negative for DCX (data not shown) (23).

**Fig. 7.** DCL Down-Regulation Affects GR Translocation in Rat Hippocampal Organotypic Slice Cultures

A, DCL (red) expression in LV-DCLm (EGFP, green)-transduced slices. Inset, a group of EGFP-positive cells with complete (arrow) or partial (arrowhead) knockdown and their different phenotype. C, DEX insensitivity in LV-DCL-transduced slices. Inset, GR (red) retention in processes of EGFP-positive (green) cells (arrow). D, DEX-induced GR translocation in LV-DCLm-transduced slices. Inset, Nuclear accumulation of GR (red) in EGFP-positive (green) cells (arrow). Nuclei are stained in blue (Hoechst); vehicle-treated cells are shown as negative control on left panels. E, Grayscale bitmaps from panels C (top) and D (bottom) showing GR/EGFP colocalized pixels. F, GR/EGFP colocalization scores in cellular processes in LV-DCL- or LV-DCLm-transduced slices. Cellular processes were selected as areas of interest for score calculations.* $P < 0.05$. Scale bars, 20 μm.
Fig. 8. Characterization of DCL-Expressing Cells in the Hilar Region of DIV5 Rat Hippocampal Organotypic Slice Cultures

A, Colocalization of GFAP (red) and nestin (green). B, Colocalization of DCL (red) and nestin (green). C, Colocalization of DCL (red) and GFAP (green). D, Proportions of cellular processes positive for nestin, GFAP, and/or DCL. Cellular processes were selected as areas of interest for score calculations. E, Characterization of DCLK isoforms expressed. Inset, weak signal observed for kinase domain-containing isoforms (CPG16, green) and lack of colocalization to the DCL (red) in processes. F, Colocalization of GR (green) and DCL (red). Inset, distribution of GR in cellular processes in vehicle-treated slices. G, DEX-induced GR translocation. Inset, decrease in GR (green) localization in cellular processes (DCL, red) as compared with F. H, Grayscale bitmaps from panels F (top) and G (bottom) and the corresponding GR/DCL colocalization scores in cellular processes. *, P < 0.05. Scale bars, 20 μm in A, B, C, F, and G; 32 μm in E.
In line with recent reports describing the expression of GR in NPCs (5, 6), we found that the vast majority of DCL-positive NPCs were GR positive (82.7 ± 9.5% from a total of 278 cells counted in three independent OTSs; Fig. 6F). Incubation in culture medium containing DEX (1 × 10⁻⁷ M, 90 min) induced a significant GR translocation from the cellular processes to the nuclear and perinuclear area in these cells (Fig. 8, F and G), leading to a marked decrease in colocalization of GR to DCL in cellular processes (Fig. 8H).

As a whole, our results in OTSs suggest that DCL is involved in GR translocation in NPCs.

DISCUSSION

In the present work, we have demonstrated that the MAP DCL is involved in GR translocation to the nucleus. Cytoplasmic GR is known to be part of large protein heterocomplexes containing hsp90, immunophilins, and motor proteins of the dynein family, which, upon ligand binding, transport activated GR to the nucleus using the microtubule cytoskeleton as a guiding scaffold (4). The data presented here show, for the first time, that a cell type-specific MAP, DCL, is an important regulator of this transport system that retrogradely conveys activated GR to the nucleus in NPCs.

The role of the cytoskeleton and the associated chaperone machinery designated transportosome in GR translocation to the nucleus has remained controversial (26, 27). Nevertheless, the hindrance induced by the cytoskeleton-disrupting drug colchicine on GR signaling is primarily attributable to perturbation of the microtubule network (28). In vivo, MAPs control microtubule dynamics in cellular processes in which microtubules act as tracks for cellular motors and to generate force (29). Furthermore, a new role for MAPs in regulating motor-based transport in neurons has been recently proposed (30). Based on our present data, we postulate that MAPs such as DCL are involved in GR transport and provide the actual cell type-specific control signals required for transport regulation.

In line with this concept, it has been suggested that hippocampal cells may have unique transporting mechanisms for accumulating GR in the nucleus (26), a notion that is supported by the role of DCL in GR translocation in NPCs. Indeed, differences in expression of MAPs and other cytoskeletal proteins in the hippocampus have suggested region-specific functions of the neuronal cytoskeleton (31).

Nevertheless, from the present set of data we cannot exclude the possibility that the observed effects on GR transport are a consequence of DCL down-regulation on other cellular processes, e.g., cell differentiation. In fact, our hypothesis that DCL is involved in GR retrograde transport accommodates such a possibility, given the well-described changes in expression of diverse components of the cytoskeleton that take place during neuronal differentiation, including isoforms of the DCLK gene (7, 25). Thus, although our observations altogether demonstrated a direct DCL-GR interaction, the precise mechanism by which DCL affects GR translocation remains to be fully characterized.

In our experiments DCL overexpression induced impairment of GR translocation to the nucleus, whereas overexpression of a C-terminal truncated DCL did not affect GR translocation. These observations point to an involvement of the serine/proline-rich C-terminal domain of DCL in interactions with the GR. Alternatively, taking into account c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK)-mediated phosphorylation of DCX in its C-terminal domain (10) and JNK association with the GR (32), interactions with members of the JNK signaling cascade may be involved in DCL effects on GR translocation. Importantly, the C-terminal domain of DCX and the corresponding homology domain of DCLK have been linked through direct protein-protein interactions with protein transport or receptor recycling within migrating neurons (18, 33). In line with such a model, studies have shown that DCX binding to microtubules leaves its C-terminal domain accessible for interaction with other proteins (34). Based on the high homology between DCX and DCL (9), it is likely that similar features are present in DCL, but further experiments are required to accurately identify direct interaction partner(s).

DCL down-regulation resulted in a significant impairment of GR translocation to the nucleus along with retention of GR in neurites and a significant reduction in GR-dependent transcriptional activity in mouse neuroblastoma cells. This seemingly contradictory observation is in line with reports showing that both overexpression and down-regulation of DCLK have similar biological effects (8), suggesting that a proper stoichiometry is central to function of cytoskeleton regulators from the family of doublecortin domain-containing MAPs (29). One possible explanation could be that overexpression of DCL generates protein accumulations on microtubules that may congest motor protein-dependent cargo movement as reported for other MAPs (30), whereas DCL knockdown depletes microtubules of a protein possibly involved in motor protein-dependent cargo movement.

Upon DCL down-regulation, mitochondria positioning was not affected, in line with observations showing that DCX does not block kinesin-dependent transport in neurons (29). Nevertheless, axonal transport of synaptic vesicles is severely compromised in DCX/DCLK knockout mice (11), indicating specific roles for proteins of this family in microtubule-based cargo transport. Moreover, DC-domain containing proteins have been linked to protein transport or receptor recycling within migrating neurons (18). Data presented herein provide, for the first time, evidence supporting such functions in NPCs.

In systems with endogenous expression, such as mouse neuroblastoma cells and NPCs, DCL is neces-
sary for adequate translocation of activated GR to the nucleus. Comparable findings have been reported for GR transportosome-associated immunophenils (3). Additionally, we and others have identified the DCLK gene and components of the GR transportosome such as hsp90, dynein, and several immunophenils to be GC-responsive genes (3, 35, 36). Accordingly, expression of different MAPs is altered by GC (37), and exposure to high GC levels induces marked down-regulation of MAPs in the developing baboon (38) and sheep (39) brain. Thus, GR may control the expression of regulators of its intracellular transport (3). Differential regulation of the expression of components of the GR transportosome could add to a hitherto underestimated cell type-specific control of GR translocation. As we show that the amount of GR translocated to the nucleus directly influences GC-responsive element-dependent transcription, DCL may be involved in regulating GC actions, particularly in NPCs where activated GR controls cell proliferation and neurogenesis (5, 6).

Because neuronal differentiation of NPCs in the adult dentate gyrus mimics that of embryonic NPCs (40), our results may be of relevance for understanding the effects of stress and GC on adult neurogenesis as well. Suggestively, the DCLK gene has been recently implicated in neurogenesis and neuronal migration (8, 9, 11). The molecular tools to manipulate DCL expression described herein open up the possibility to test DCL functions in physiologically relevant models of GC-regulated neurogenesis.

In conclusion, we report, for the first time, the involvement of the cell type-specific MAP DCL in the translocation of activated GR to the nucleus, which has important implications for the effects that circulating GC have on NPCs. The specific expression of DCL in NPCs (9) and its involvement in GR translocation suggest a specialized system by which NPCs react toward GC stimulation. The existence of a broader MAP-dependent, cell-specific control of GR translocation could help to explain cell type specificity of GC actions on neuronal cells, particularly concerning neurogenesis and adaptation to stress in the hippocampus. Our current efforts are directed to further characterize this mechanism.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Vectors, Antibodies, and Reagents

YFP-GR was supplied by Dr. Cidlowski (National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health); YFP-angiogenin was supplied by Dr. Xu (Zhejiang University School of Medicine, China). DCL-DsRed2 was generated by excising DCL cDNA from pcDNA 3.1-DCL (9) using Xhol/BamHI and subcloning it into pDsRed2-N1 vector (Invitrogen BV). The DCL C-terminal truncation T285DCL-DSRed2 was generated from DCL-DSRed2 by PCR. EGFP-DCL was generated by excising DCL cDNA from pcDNA 3.1-DCL using Xhol/BamHI and subcloning it into pEGFP-C1 vector (Invitro-
Laboratories, Veenendaal, The Netherlands), and real-time QPCR was performed using the Platinum SyBR Green QPCR kit (Invitrogen BV) on a LightCycler System (Roche Applied Science, Venlo, The Netherlands), as previously described (46).

**Protein Extraction and Western Blotting**

Protein extraction, SDS-PAGE, and Western blotting were performed as previously described (9). All blots were repeated at least three times, and one representative image is shown. Relative optical densities were analyzed using ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD) on images obtained from three independent blots.

**Immunoprecipitation Experiments**

Human cervical carcinoma (HeLa) cells were transfected using Superfect following manufacturer’s instructions (QIAGEN Benelux B.V., Venlo, The Netherlands). Transfected cells were lysed as described above, and immunoprecipitation from the cell lysates and Western blot detection were done with the ExactaCruz F kit, following manufacturer’s instructions (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The GR antibody (H300, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was used as immunoprecipitating antibody. Rabbit nonimmune IgG was used as negative control for the immunoprecipitation step (SC-2027, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Both GR and DCL antibodies were used for Western blot detection.

**FRET Experiments**

All imaging experiments were performed on a Digital Eclipse C1si Spectral Laser Confocal System (Nikon, Badhoevedorp, The Netherlands) equipped with Diode, multilense Argon, and DPSS lasers (Melles Griot) attached to a TE2000E-PFS inverted microscope equipped with Perfect Focusing System (Nikon). Expression levels of donor (EGFP) and acceptor (EYFP) proteins were controlled by Western blot. Previous to FRET experiments, cotransfected cells were fixed as described above. The EGFP-EYFP donor-acceptor pair was chosen based on its increased sensitivity for FRET (47, 48). Therefore, spectral imaging and linear unmixing for FRET analysis by sensitized emission were done essentially as described by Zimmermann et al. (49), with some modifications. Emission spectra of EGFP and EYFP were acquired from fixed cells expressing only one of the fluorescent fusion proteins with excitation wavelength of 457 and 488 nm, previously used to excite EGFP as a FRET donor (47, 49). From these spectra (supplemental Fig. 2) and available spectra for EYFP (http://probes.invitrogen.com/resources/spectraviewer), EGFP was excited by using the 457-nm and EYFP was excited by using the 514 Ar laser lines, respectively. Fluorescence emission was collected in spectral windows spanning from 470 to 500 and from 530 to 600 nm for EGFP and EYFP, respectively. Calibration of the FRET conditions was performed using an EYFP-EGFP fusion protein (47). Laser power and detector settings were determined from cells expressing only EGFP-DCL or EYFP-GR by calculating intensity ratios in the appropriate settings (50) (IFRET/IDonor and IFRET/IAcceptor, respectively; supplemental Fig. 2).

FRET intensities were then corrected for spectral bleed-through and normalized (NFRET) for expression levels (50).

**Reporter Gene Assays**

GR-dependent transcriptional activity was measured in transfected COS-1 cells and N1E-115 cells by using a Dual Luciferase (Promega Corp., Madison, WI)-based GC response element reporter gene assay as previously described (44). Cells were cotransfected with indicated constructs and, 48 h after transfection, were treated overnight with DEX. Results are expressed as mean GR transcriptional activity ± SEM of three independent experiments performed in triplicate.

**Immunofluorescence and Laser-Scanning Confocal Microscopy**

Double/triple immunofluorescence and laser-scanning confocal microscopy in COS-1 cells, N1E-115 cells, and free-floating tissue slices was done as previously described (9). Analysis of intracellular localization was done with ImageJ and expressed as a nuclear/cytosolic intensity ratio guided by Hoechst 3342 stain as described previously (51). Expression of markers and localization were done counting at least 50 cells in the hilar region per slice. Fluorescent cell counting was performed using ImageJ. Quantification of EGFP/GR colocalization in processes of EGFP+ NPCs was done with ImageJ (JACoP plugin). Images were transformed to 8 bits, and a colocalization score representing the Overlap Coefficient R (52) was calculated. For experiments in N1E-115 cells, colocalization with tubulin was used.

**Curve Fitting and Statistical Analyses**

Dose-response and kinetic curve fitting and data analysis were carried out by nonlinear regression using Prism 4.0 and statistical analyses with InStat 3.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA). For dose-response curves, a sigmoidal dose-response equation \[ Y = \text{Bottom} + \left( \frac{\text{Top} - \text{Bottom}}{1 + \left( \frac{\text{EC}_{50}}{X} \right)^\beta} \right) \] was used, from which half-times and Ymax were estimated. For kinetic curves a one-phase exponential association equation \[ Y = Y_{\text{max}}(1 - \exp(-KX)) \] was used, from which half-times and Ymax were estimated. Unpaired t test (two groups) or one-way ANOVA test (three or more groups) was applied as previously described (53) and a P value < 0.05 was considered significant in all cases.

More detailed descriptions of the materials and methods used in this study are provided as supplemental data.
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