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In $0.9 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ of $p \bar{p}$ collisions, the D0 Collaboration presented evidence for single top quark production in events with an isolated lepton, missing transverse momentum, and two to four jets. We examine these data to study the Lorentz structure of the $Wtb$ coupling. The standard model predicts a left-handed vector coupling at the $Wtb$ vertex. The most general lowest dimension, $\mathcal{CP}$-conserving Lagrangian admits right-handed vector and left- or right-handed tensor couplings as well. We find that the data prefer the left-handed vector coupling and set upper limits on the anomalous couplings. These are the first direct constraints on a general $Wtb$ interaction and the first direct limits on left- and right-handed tensor couplings.
Recently, we presented evidence for single top quark production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV [1] based on 0.9 fb$^{-1}$ of data collected using the D0 detector [2] at the Fermilab Tevatron collider. In this Letter, we report an extension of this analysis using the same data set and similar analysis tools to study the consistency of this excess with different hypotheses for the couplings involved in single top quark production. This is the first time such a test has been carried out.

The standard model (SM) has been extraordinarily successful in describing the data taken at the energies of present colliders. However, we know that the electroweak symmetry breaking sector of the SM gives rise to many unanswered questions, making a strong case for new physics beyond the SM. This new physics can manifest itself in processes that change the effective couplings of SM particles. The interactions between quarks and gauge bosons have been measured precisely at the CERN Large Electron Positron collider [3] except for the top quark, which was not kinematically accessible. The large mass of the top quark has prompted speculation that the top quark may have been measured precisely at the CERN Large Electron Positron collider [4].

At the Tevatron, the dominant modes of single top quark production are $s$-channel and $t$-channel production. We use the notation “$tb$” for the sum of the $s$-channel processes $tb$ and $\bar{t}b$ and “$tqbt$” for the sum of the $t$-channel processes $tqb$ and $\bar{t}\bar{q}b$. We assume that single top quark production proceeds exclusively through $W$ boson exchange. Therefore, extensions of the SM in which single top quarks are produced via flavor-changing neutral current interactions [5] or the exchange of new massive scalar [6] or vector bosons [7] are not considered here. We further assume that $|V_{td}|^2 + |V_{ts}|^2 \ll |V_{tb}|^2$, i.e., the $Wtb$ vertex dominates top quark production and decay [8]. Finally, we assume that the $Wtb$ vertex is CP-conserving.

The most general, lowest dimension, CP-conserving, Lagrangian for the $Wtb$ vertex is [9]

$$L = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} W^\mu_{\nu} b \gamma^\mu (f^L_{I} P_L + f^R_{I} P_R) t$$
$$- \frac{g}{\sqrt{2} M_W} \partial_\nu W^\mu_{\nu} b \sigma^{\mu\nu} (f^L_{2} P_L + f^R_{2} P_R) t + \text{H.c.},$$

where $M_W$ is the mass of $W$ boson, $P_L = (1 - \gamma_5)/2$ is the left-handed projection operator, and $P_R = (1 + \gamma_5)/2$ is the right-handed projection operator. In the SM the values of the form factors are $f^L_{I} = 1$ and $f^L_{2} = f^R_{I} = f^R_{2} = 0$. In this case the predicted cross section for single top quark production is $2.9 \pm 0.3$ pb [10].

The presence of anomalous couplings can change angular distributions and event kinematics as demonstrated by the $p_T$ spectrum of the charged lepton from the decay of the top quark in Fig. 1. Such differences can be used to distinguish these couplings [11,12]. The magnitude of the right-handed vector coupling and tensor couplings can be indirectly constrained by the measurement of the $b \to s\gamma$ branching fraction [13]. Direct constraints on the combination of several couplings can be obtained from the measurement of the $W$ boson helicity in top quark decays [14]. The predicted single top quark production cross sections for the $s$ and $t$ channels combined are $2.7 \pm 0.3$ pb if $f^R_{I} = 1$ and $10.4 \pm 1.4$ pb if $f^L_{I} = 1$ and $f^R_{I} = 1$, and the other couplings vanish [11]. In these scenarios the ratio of the $s$- and $t$-channel cross section is approximately 1:2 and 6:1, respectively.

Ideal constraints on the combination of several couplings can be obtained from the measurement of the $W$ boson helicity in top quark decays [14]. The predicted single top quark production cross sections for the $s$ and $t$ channels combined are $2.7 \pm 0.3$ pb if $f^R_{I} = 1$ and $10.4 \pm 1.4$ pb if $f^L_{I} = 1$ and $f^R_{I} = 1$, and the other couplings vanish [11]. In these scenarios the ratio of the $s$- and $t$-channel cross section is approximately 1:2 and 6:1, respectively.

Ideally, we would like to set limits on all four couplings $f^L_{1}, f^L_{2}, f^R_{I},$ and $f^R_{2}$ simultaneously. This, however, requires more data than are currently available. We therefore look at two couplings at a time and assume that the other two are negligible. We consider three cases in which we allow the left-handed vector coupling $f^L_{I}$ and any one of the three nonstandard couplings to be nonzero. We refer to these as $(L_1, L_2), (L_1, R_1),$ and $(L_1, R_2)$.

We look for events in which the top quark decays to a $W$ boson and a $b$ quark, followed by the decay of the $W$ boson to an electron or a muon and a neutrino. To enhance the signal content of the selected data sample, one or two of the jets are required to be $b$ tagged, i.e., identified as originat-
We model the single top quark signal using the COMPHEP-SINGLETOP Monte Carlo event generator [16], and the anomalous $Wtb$ couplings are considered in both production and decay in the generated signal samples. The event kinematics for both the $s$ channel and the $t$ channel reproduce distributions from next-to-leading-order calculations [10]. The decay of the top quark and the resulting $W$ boson are carried out in the SINGLETOP generator in order to preserve the information about the spin of the particles. PYTHIA [17] is used to add the underlying event, initial, and final-state radiation and for hadronization. The top quark mass is set to 175 GeV, and the CTEQ6L1 parton distribution are simulated using the ALPGEN leading-order Monte Carlo event generator [19] interfaced to PYTHIA.

Background contributions from $W +$ jets and $t\bar{t}$ production are simulated using the ALPGEN leading-order Monte Carlo event generator [19] interfaced to PYTHIA. A parton-jet matching algorithm [20] is used to avoid double counting. The response of the D0 detector to the Monte Carlo events is simulated using GEANT [21]. The response of the D0 detector to the Monte Carlo events is simulated using GEANT [21].

Systematic uncertainties in the signal and background models are described in detail in Ref. [1]. The dominant contributions to the uncertainties in the background estimate come from: the normalization of the $t\bar{t}$ background (18%), which includes the top quark mass uncertainty; the normalization of the $W +$ jets and multijets backgrounds to data (17%–27%), which includes the uncertainty in the fraction of events with heavy flavor production; and the $b$-tagging efficiencies (12%–17% for double-tagged events). The uncertainties from the jet energy scale corrections (1%–20%) and the $b$ tagging probabilities affect both the shape and normalization of the simulated distributions. All other components contribute at the level of a few percent.

We use boosted decision trees [23,24] to discriminate between the single top quark signal and background. For training, we divide our data into only four independent analysis channels defined by lepton flavor and $b$ tag multiplicity. Each channel contains events with 2, 3, or 4 jets. For each of the three coupling scenarios, the signal samples consist of a sample of events generated with left-handed vector coupling set to one, i.e., with SM coupling, and a sample of events generated with the nonstandard coupling set to one and all other couplings set to zero. The background sample consists of events from all background sources in proportions according to the background model described above.

We use 50 variables in the training: the 49 variables that were used in Ref. [1] plus the lepton $p_T$ which helps distinguish the signals with different couplings, as can be

![FIG. 2 (color online). Boosted decision tree output distributions for data and sum of the SM signal and backgrounds for events with two jets and one $b$-tagged jet for (a) the $(L_1, L_2)$ scenario, (b) the $(L_1, R_1)$ scenario, and (c) the $(L_1, R_2)$ scenario. Superimposed are the distributions for the single top quark signals with different couplings normalized to 5 times the SM single top quark cross section.](221801-5)
we use a superposition of three signal samples: one with left-handed vector couplings, one with the left-handed tensor coupling only set to one, and one with both couplings set to one to take into account the effect of the interference. We then compute a likelihood as a product over all bins and channels. Here we use 12 channels defined by lepton flavor, b tag multiplicity, and jet multiplicity (2, 3, or 4). We assume Poisson distributions for the observed counts and flat non-negative prior probabilities for the signal cross sections. The prior for the combined signal acceptance and background yields is modeled with a multivariate Gaussian describing the effect of systematic uncertainties, including correlations.

A two-dimensional posterior probability density is computed as a function of \(|f_L|^2\) and \(|f_X|^2\), where \(f_X\) is any of the other three nonstandard couplings, in each channel. These probability distributions are shown in Fig. 3. We quote the values of the couplings that maximize the two-dimensional likelihood as our measurements. In all three scenarios we measure zero for the right-handed vector and left- and right-handed tensor couplings. We compute 95% C.L. upper limits on these couplings by integrating out the left-handed vector coupling to get a one-dimensional posterior probability density. The measured values are given in Table I. The data favor the left-handed vector hypothesis over the alternative hypotheses.

In summary, we have studied the excess observed in 0.9 fb\(^{-1}\) of D0 data in the search for single top quark production. We attribute this excess to single top quark production and study its consistency with different hypotheses for the structure of the Wtb coupling and find that the data prefer the left-handed vector coupling over the alternative hypotheses studied. These are the first direct constraints on a general Wtb interaction and the first direct limits on left- and right-handed tensor couplings.
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