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Multiple Movement Representations in the Human Brain: An Event-Related fMRI Study
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Abstract

Neurovascular correlates of response preparation have been investigated in human neuroimaging studies. However, conventional neuroimaging cannot distinguish, within the same trial, between areas involved in response selection and/or response execution and areas specifically involved in response preparation. The specific contribution of parietal and frontal areas to motor preparation has been explored in electrophysiological studies in monkey. However, the associative nature of sensorimotor tasks calls for the additional contributions of other cortical regions. In this article, we have investigated the functional anatomy of movement representations in the context of an associative visuomotor task with instructed delays. Neural correlates of movement representations have been assessed by isolating preparatory activity that is independent from the performance of an actual motor act, or from the presence of a response’s target. Movement instruction (specified by visual cues) and motor performance (specified by an auditory cue) were separated by a variable delay period. We have used whole-brain event-related fMRI to measure human brain activity during the performance of such a task. We have focused our analysis on specific preparatory activity, defined as a sustained response over variable delay periods between a transient visual instruction cue and a brief motor response, temporally independent from the transient events. Behavioral and electrophysiological controls ensured that preparatory activity was not contaminated by overt motor responses or working memory processes. We report suggestive evidence for multiple movement representations in the human brain. Specific sustained activity in preparation for an action was found not only in parieto-frontal regions but also in extrastriate areas and in the posterior portion of the superior temporal sulcus. We suggest that goal-directed preparatory activity relies on both visuomotor and visuoperceptual areas. These findings point to a functional–anatomical basis for the integration of perceptual and executive processes.

INTRODUCTION

Sustained patterns of neural discharge can be elicited by the transient presentation of an instruction cue (IC) and by the expectation of a motor response in the near future. Under these circumstances, it is possible to isolate neural activity that is temporally independent from the performance of an actual motor act, or from the presence of a response’s target (Fuster, 1973). Such preparatory activity has been considered a neural correlate of the cognitive representation of movement (Jeanne rod, 1997), since it opens a window into internal states of an agent that are not tied to a particular sensory or effector system (Markman & Dietrich, 2000).

This article investigates the functional anatomy of movement representations in the context of an associative visuomotor task with instructed delays. This class of visuomotor transformations is not constrained in spatial or temporal frameworks (Wise & Murray, 2000). Therefore, this particular category of stimulus–response relationships is likely to rely on integrative and dynamic processes occurring over a distributed cerebral network.

Brain imaging is well suited to address the spatially distributed nature of visuomotor transformations (Krams, Rushworth, Deiber, Frackowiak, & Passingham, 1998; Deiber, Ibanez, Sadato, & Hallett, 1996; Stephan et al., 1995; Kawashima, Roland, & O’Sullivan, 1994). However, previous studies on motor preparation and movement representation have usually relied on the assumption of pure insertion of cognitive processes (Friston et al., 1996; Steinberg, 1969). In the present context, this assumption implies that preparing to move does not affect the selection and execution stages of the sensorimotor transformation. This assumption has been shown to be invalid, at the level of both the single unit (Crammond & Kalaska, 2000) and the neuronal population (Zarahn, Aguirre, & D’Esposito, 1999). Other imaging studies have directly assessed delay-related activity, but with constant
temporal gaps between stimulus presentation and behavioral response (D’Esposito, Ballard, Zarahn, & Aguirre, 2000; Rowe, Toni, Josephs, Frackowiak, & Passingham, 2000; Chawla, Rees, & Friston, 1999; Postle & D’Esposito, 1999; Courtney, Petit, Maisog, Ungerleider, & Haxby, 1998; Petit, Courtney, Ungerleider, & Haxby, 1998). This approach is appropriate for studying working memory or sustained attentional processes, where a long delay is necessary to establish the cognitive set at the basis of the phenomena under investigation. However, in a motor context, delay unpredictability is more important than delay itself. When IC and TC are separated by a variable delay period (DP), the transformation of a stimulus into a motor response can be partitioned into temporally distinct components, since the subject needs to be ready to respond at any time but the timing of the response cannot be predicted (Moody & Wise, 2000; Klemmer, 1957). Under these circumstances, selection of the appropriate movement is likely to occur at the presentation of the IC. In contrast, the implementation of the executive motor commands can occur only after the trigger presentation. Accordingly, the goal of the movement is likely to be held during the DP (Moody & Wise, 2000; Bastian, Riehle, Erlhagen, & Schoner, 1998; Requin, Brener, & Ring, 1991). Therefore, specific preparatory activity (i.e., dissociable from transient stimulus-locked responses and robust to the assumption of pure insertion of cognitive processes) is not related to the enactment of a movement, but rather to its representation (Jeannerod, 1997) and it is likely to reflect higher cognitive aspects of the motor planning process (Wise, di Pellegrino, & Boussaoud, 1996).

Here we exploit a particular application of whole-brain, event-related fMRI that has proved effective in dissociating between transient responses time-locked to sensory or motor events in the context of a visuo-motor associative task (Toni, Schluter, Josephs, Friston, & Passingham, 1999). We focus on the neural correlates of “specific preparatory activity” in humans during such a task, in order to gain insights into the functional anatomy of movement representations. Preparatory activity has been defined as sustained responses over variable DPs between a transient visual IC and a brief motor response. The specificity of preparatory activity has been ensured by taking into account and removing the contribution of transient events to the overall response. Compared with the study by Toni et al. (1999), a wider range of instructed delays and a refined set of basis functions have allowed us to define each task component as an independent partition of our statistical model. Here we provide suggestive evidence that parts of the ventral visual stream contribute to the preparatory activity preceding a motor response.

RESULTS

Task Performance

The extensive training ensured a steady-state performance during the scanning. Subjects’ performance was almost free of errors. The mean error rate across subjects was 1.4 ± 2.4%. The response time (RT), averaged over each session for each subject (Figure 2A), did not show any consistent trend as a function
of trial number, neither for the group nor for each individual subject. Four subjects did not show any significant effect of trial number, one subject showed a trend to increase \( \text{RT} = 13.3 \times \text{Session} + 295; F(1,6) = 11.87; p < .05 \), and another subject showed a decrease \( \text{RT} = -28.1 \times \text{Session} + 638; F(1,6) = 14.67; p < .05 \).

The unpredictable timing of the TC avoided response anticipation and effectively triggered motor preparation. The RT, averaged over each delay for each subject, showed no significant trend as a function of the delay, either for the group or for each individual subject (Figure 2B).

EMG measurements (Figure 2C) confirmed that the subject performed the task according to the instructions, providing an overt response only after the presentation of the trigger cue (TC). There were significant differences in EMG amplitude \( F(2,4) = 29.54; p < .05 \) and variability \( F(2,4) = 9.03; p < .05 \) between different epochs of task performance. EMG activity recorded at the time of response execution (Response: \( 1.34 \pm 0.43 \mu V \) [mean amplitude \( \pm SD \)]; \( 87.13 \pm 59.64 \mu V \) [mean variability \( \pm SD \)] was higher and more variable (Tukey’s post hoc test, \( p < .05 \)) than EMG activity recorded during the other task epochs (baseline: \( 0.24 \pm \))
0.10 μV; 24 ± 24; delay: 0.25 ± 0.10 μV; 25 ± 24 μV). Conversely, no significant differences emerged between baseline and delay epochs.

Eye position measurements (Figure 2D) confirmed that task performance did not affect the pattern of gaze displacements across experimental epochs. In particular, there were no differential tonic shifts of the gaze or differential numbers of saccades between epochs (baseline: 1.7, −0.5 ± 0.9, 2.2° [mean coordinate, mean y coordinate ± SDx, SDy]; 5.3, 2.5 ± 1.2, 1.5° [mean x variability, mean y variability ± SDx, SDy]; delay: 0.7, −0.9 ± 0.6, 1.3°; 3.1, 2.1 ± 1.3, 1.2°; response: 1.6, −0.8 ± 0.4, 1.3°; 3.2, 2.0 ± 1.2, 0.8°).

Statistical Parametric Maps

The following section describes the SPM{F}s associated with each of the three behavioral components of the task (IC, DP, TC). These SPM{F}s have been obtained from the group analyses and compared with the SPM{F}s of single-subject analyses. The list of significant activations is presented in Tables 1, 2, and 3 and is illustrated in Figure 3. We also report the number of subjects showing maxima that fell within a sphere centered on the maxima obtained from the group analyses, with a radius equal to the FWHM of the relative SPM{F}. This measure (labeled as “occurrence” in Tables 1–3) provides an index of the reproducibility of the activations across subjects.

Activity time-locked to the presentation of the visual IC (Figure 3A–B; Table 1) was observed in the occipital, parietal, and posterior temporal cortices, as well as in the anterior portion of the left premotor cortex. The IC evoked responses bilaterally in the calcarine fissure, lunate sulcus, occipito-parietal fissure and along the intraparietal sulcus. There was also IC-related activity in the left superior parietal lobule and in the precentral gyrus. The responses obtained in the group analysis were consistent with those observed in each single subject (Table 1). The activations elicited around the calcarine fissure fall within a variability map of Brodmann’s area (BA) 17 in the human brain (Amunts, Malikovic, Mohlberg, Schormann, & Zilles, 2000).

Sustained activity occurring during the DP between the IC and the TC (Figure 3C–D; Table 2) was found in the extrastriate, parietal, and premotor cortices. The DP evoked responses in the superior occipital sulcus, superior temporal sulcus (STS), superior occipito-parietal fissure, along the intraparietal sulcus, and in the convexity of the superior parietal lobule, as well as in the precentral gyrus and in the anterior cingulate sulcus. These activities were also observed in single-subject analyses (Table 2), although with a smaller degree of consistency than for sensory- or movement-related responses.

Activity time-locked to the presentation of the acoustic TC and to the subsequent movement (Figure 3E–F; Table 3) is evident in the temporal, anterior parietal, and motor cortices. The TC evoked responses bilaterally in the transverse gyrus and the perisylvian temporal cortex, contralaterally in the left superior temporal gyrus, superior parietal lobule, postcentral and precentral gyri. These responses were highly consistent across subjects (Table 3). The stereotactic coordinates of the activations elicited around the

### Table 1. Coordinates of Local Maxima Associated with the Instruction Cue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomical Region</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>F Value</th>
<th>Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcarine fissure</td>
<td>6, −74, 18</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−6, −70, 18</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunate sulcus</td>
<td>36, −92, 20</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>4/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipito-temporal fissure</td>
<td>−48, −60, −24</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal sulcus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior segment</td>
<td>50, −42, 22</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62, −42, 28</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>4/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipito-parietal fissure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rostral bank</td>
<td>10, −74, 46</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20, −72, 26</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraparietal sulcus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior third</td>
<td>−26, −56, 62</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36, −54, 54</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle third</td>
<td>−30, −68, 52</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40, −50, 44</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior third</td>
<td>−18, −70, 52</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior parietal lobule</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal convexity</td>
<td>−16, −48, 74</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−12, −58, 70</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precentral gyrus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior bank</td>
<td>−26, −2, 54</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−48, 4, 40</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal convexity</td>
<td>−38, −14, 56</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−26, −20, 68</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial convexity</td>
<td>−4, 0, 52</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−14, 8, 70</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>3/6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coordinates of local maxima for specific event-related activations. The voxels presented here are significantly (p < .05 corrected for multiple comparisons) associated with the IC only. The components of the fMRI signal associated with the other task components have been removed as covariates of no-interest (see Methods). “Occurrence” refers to the number of subjects showing maxima that fell within a sphere centered on the local maximum obtained from the group analysis, with a radius equal to the FWHM (7.9, 8.0, 7.1) of the relative SPM{F}. Coordinates of local maxima for specific event-related activations. The voxels presented here are significantly (p < .05 corrected for multiple comparisons) associated with the IC only. The components of the fMRI signal associated with the other task components have been removed as covariates of no-interest (see Methods). “Occurrence” refers to the number of subjects showing maxima that fell within a sphere centered on the local maximum obtained from the group analysis, with a radius equal to the FWHM (7.9, 8.0, 7.1) of the relative SPM{F}. Coordinates of local maxima for specific event-related activations. The voxels presented here are significantly (p < .05 corrected for multiple comparisons) associated with the IC only. The components of the fMRI signal associated with the other task components have been removed as covariates of no-interest (see Methods). “Occurrence” refers to the number of subjects showing maxima that fell within a sphere centered on the local maximum obtained from the group analysis, with a radius equal to the FWHM (7.9, 8.0, 7.1) of the relative SPM{F}.
Evoked Hemodynamic Responses (EHRs)

This section characterizes the EHRs of some relevant areas to each of the three behavioral components of the task (IC, DP, TC). The EHRs have been plotted as estimated from Analysis 1 (activity time-locked to the IC), Analysis 2 (activity time-locked to the DP), and Analysis 3 (activity time-locked to the TC).

Table 2. Coordinates of Local Maxima Associated with the Delay Period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomical Region</th>
<th>Stereotactic Coordinates</th>
<th>F Value</th>
<th>Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superior occipital sulcus</td>
<td>−4, −74, 48</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>4/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30, −92, 24</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>2/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal sulcus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior segment</td>
<td>62, −40, 24</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral convexity</td>
<td>68, −26, 8</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>4/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipito-parietal fissure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rostral bank</td>
<td>8, −74, 48</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>3/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior parietal lobule</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal sulcus</td>
<td>16, −40, 60</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal convexity</td>
<td>−18, −46, 74</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>4/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraparietal sulcus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior third</td>
<td>−26, −56, 62</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−52, −34, 56</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle third</td>
<td>−30, −62, 46</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−38, −50 58</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior third</td>
<td>−8, −76, 56</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>3/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−18, −70, 52</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal operculum</td>
<td>44, −8, 12</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>2/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precentral gyrus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior bank</td>
<td>−38, −14, 64</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal convexity</td>
<td>−26, −22, 72</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−42, −8, 54</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral convexity</td>
<td>64, −2, 8</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>2/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−48, 4, 42</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior bank</td>
<td>44, −12, 62</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>3/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50, −24, 58</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>3/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cingulate sulcus</td>
<td>0, −8, 48</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>3/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−6, 2, 46</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coordinates of local maxima for specific event-related activations. Voxels significantly associated with the DP only. Other conventions as in Table 1.

Table 3. Coordinates of Local Maxima Associated with the Trigger Cue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomical Region</th>
<th>Stereotactic Coordinates</th>
<th>F Value</th>
<th>Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transverse gyrus</td>
<td>66, −14, 4</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−56, −14, 8</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal gyrus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial bank</td>
<td>−42, −28, 6</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior parietal lobule</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal convexity</td>
<td>−18, −32, 76</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>4/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcentral gyrus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior bank</td>
<td>−46, −20, 56</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−50, −30, 38</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precentral gyrus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal convexity</td>
<td>−26, −22, 66</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior bank</td>
<td>−58, −12, 22</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>−36, −18, 68</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>48, 24, −12</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal operculum</td>
<td>−46, −36, 26</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coordinates of local maxima for specific event-related activations. Voxels significantly associated with the TC. Other conventions as in Table 1.

transverse gyrus fall on the border of human BA 21 (Rademacher et al., 2001).
the IC and the TC, but not to the DP (Tables 1 and 3). The IC-related activity increases shortly after the presentation of the visual IC, followed by a prolonged postpeak undershoot. The TC-related signal also shows a well-timed response to the movement, followed by a slow return to baseline.

**EHRs Associated with Sustained Activity**

Figure 5A shows the EHRs measured in a local maximum in the right STS. There are significant hemodynamic responses to the IC and the DP, but not to the TC (Tables 1 and 2). The DP-related activity shows a slow and gradual increase from the presentation of the IC until the mean occurrence of the TC, followed by an equally slow and gradual decrease towards baseline. The IC-related signal shows an unusual pattern, with a sustained response appearing just after the IC presentation, followed by a slow drift towards baseline.

Figure 5B illustrates the EHRs for a local maximum in the dorsal bank of the left intraparietal sulcus.
This area shows hemodynamic responses to all three behavioral events of the task, although only the IC- and DP-related activities were above statistical significance. The DP-related activity raises above baseline just after the peak of the IC-related response and it shows a sustained signal for most of the DP. The onset of the TC-related response shows a substantial temporal offset that is absent in the IC-related response. This result closely resembles that obtained in a previous study from the same anatomical region, in a different group of subjects (Toni et al., 1999).

Figure 5C presents the EHRs for a local maximum in the caudal bank of the left superior precentral sulcus, at the convergence with the superior frontal sulcus. This area shows hemodynamic responses to all three behavioral events, although only the DP- and TC-related activities were above statistical significance. The DP-related activity increases above baseline just after the presentation of the IC. However, the signal increases at a lower rate than the IC-related hemodynamic response and it shows a prolonged sustained phase that extends until the end of the trial.
**EHRs Associated with the TC**

Figure 6A shows the EHRs for a local maximum in the transverse gyrus of the right temporal lobe. In this area, there was a significant hemodynamic response to the TC, but not to the IC or the DP (Tables 1–3). The TC-related activity sharply increases in synchrony with the occurrence of the acoustic TC. The EHR for this maximum shows a conspicuous postpeak undershoot, differing in this respect from other EHRs.

Figure 6B illustrates the EHRs for a local maximum in the dorsal convexity of the superior parietal lobule, just posterior to the postcentral sulcus. This area shows a significant hemodynamic response to the TC, but not to the IC or the DP (Tables 1–3). The TC-related activity increases relatively slowly, in synchrony with the occurrence of the motor response, and it is followed by a postpeak undershoot. This response can be compared with the EHR of Figure 4B. Although both EHRs arise from the same anatomical region, they
reveal drastically different properties, both in terms of event specificity and response time course. The short delay of the TC-related EHR obtained in this area provides evidence that the delayed responses detected in other parietal maxima are not a generalized phenomenon or a sampling artifact.

Figure 6C displays the EHRs for a local maximum in the opercular convexity of the inferior frontal gyrus, just posterior to the precentral sulcus. This area shows a significant hemodynamic response to the TC, but not to the IC or the DP (Tables 1–3). The TC-related activity sharply increases in synchrony with the occurrence of the acoustic TC and the subsequent motor response, and is followed by a slow drift towards baseline. This is reminiscent of the pattern observed for the IC-related response in Figure 5A. Note that the IC-related response, although below significance, is not entirely absent.

DISCUSSION

In this experiment, we have imaged a distributed network underlying movement preparation in the context
of an associative visuomotor task with instructed delays. Our results suggest that, apart from the established contribution of the dorsal visuomotor stream (Milner & Goodale, 1995), portions of the ventral visual stream also take part in the goal-related activity that precedes a motor response. These results raise the possibility that preparing an action involves multiple cerebral representations, centered not only on parieto-frontal circuitry (Snyder, Batista, & Andersen, 1997; Riehle, Kornblum, & Requin, 1994), but also in ventral occipito-temporal regions.

In the following sections, we discuss the behavioral and neural correlates of preparatory activity isolated in this experiment, and their relationship with stimulus-and response-related activities. Finally, we interpret these findings in the context of integration between perceptual and executive processes.

Behavioral Performance

This experiment concerns motor preparation in the context of arbitrary visuomotor associations. The experimental task required speeded performance of precued responses following the presentation of an auditory TC. The error-free performance indicates that the information on the movement to be performed was carried over the DP interposed between the IC and the TC. It might be argued that the process of carrying an item over a short delay might represent a basic form of working memory, independently from the nature of the item, the presence of distractors, the need to update the memory content, or the manipulations to be performed on such item. However, temporary storage of sensory information for prospective behavior (“working memory”; Fuster, 1997; Baddeley, 1992) and motor preparation are usually seen as complementary, rather than functionally overlapping, processes (Constantinidis, Franowicz, & Goldman-Rakic, 2001; Quintana & Fuster, 1999; Goldman-Rakic, 1998; Rushworth, Nixon, Eccott, & Passingham, 1997).

The absence of effects of delay on the mean RT (Figure 2B) suggests that the motor preparation process was homogenous across the whole range of DPs. It might be argued that such delay-independent performance (Figure 2B) is a floor-effect reflecting the minimal load of the task. However, we have previously shown that holding in memory sensory items (the visual ICs) induces a delay-dependent performance, whereas preparing to move is independent from the length of the delay (Toni, Thoenissen, Zilles, & Niedeggen, 2001). While the generality of those results needs to be assessed, they suffice to infer that, under the present circumstances, the responses measured during the DP are likely to reflect motor preparatory activity rather than working memory processes. However, the present study cannot exclude that other incidental cognitive processes, although unrelated to task performance, might have occurred in individual brain regions and might have contributed to the delay-related activities. As suggested by one reviewer, selective attention to the upcoming acoustic TC or idle imaging of the visual stimuli might have played a role in the sustained activities observed in posterior regions. Further experiments are under way to assess the significance of these potential confounds. However, we would like to emphasize that the TC was an abrupt, isolated sensory transient event, with an intensity well above the attenuated background noise of the MR scanner and a different spectral distribution. Under these conditions, transient stimulus-driven attentional capture is likely to dominate over goal-directed sustained attention, in particular, over posterior areas (Schubo, Meinecke, & Schroger, 2001; Egeth & Yantis, 1997).

Finally, motor preparatory activity might have been affected by overt movements. Subjects’ responses required the displacement of a button press, and the task was performed in free vision. However, electrophysiological and behavioral controls allowed us to exclude that delay-related activity was contaminated by overt finger or eye movements.

Fronto-Parietal Interactions

Figure 3A–B reveals a distributed system activated by the brief presentation of a visual stimulus instructing subjects to simply flex a finger. Activity temporally associated with the IC spreads from the primary visual cortex towards the ventral extrastriate areas and, dorsally, towards the posterior parietal and premotor areas. A similar fronto-parietal network was also responsive during the DP interposed between the IC and the TC (Figures 3C–D, 5B–C). These sustained activities are specific since the transient components of the response (i.e., IC- or TC-related activities) have been taken into account and removed. Therefore, these neurovascular activities reflect the selection and the preparation of the movement independently from sensory afference and motor output, even though triggered by an IC and in expectation of a movement in the near future.

It might be argued that the sustained activities detailed in Figure 5 are related to the expectation of the auditory TC. Clearly, fMRI studies cannot distinguish between the expectation of a sensory event and the preparation of a movement on the basis of the neurons’ discriminatory abilities for stimuli or responses (Snyder et al., 1997; Kalaska & Crammond, 1995). However, the subthreshold TC-related activities found at the parietal and occipito-temporal sites suggest that the sustained activity of these regions are not primarily related to a sensory event like the auditory TC (Figure 5A–B). Finally, although the premotor cluster showed both DP- and TC-related activities (Figure 5C), it is parsimonious to interpret this pattern of activity in terms of preparation and execution of a motor response, rather
than in terms of expectation of an auditory event. Nevertheless, the sustained activity evoked in different cerebral regions might reflect different combinations of preparatory processes with other cognitive phenomena. For instance, motor planning might dominate premotor signals, while encoding potential targets of movement might be the main drive behind parietal responses (Toni, Thoenissen, & Zilles, 2002; Kalaska & Crammond, 1995).

**Temporo-Prefrontal Interactions**

The present study confirms and extends our previous findings regarding the involvement of ventral visual areas in the performance of visuomotor associative tasks (Toni &Passingham, 1999; Toni et al., 1999; Toni, Ramnani, Josephs, Ashburner, & Passingham, 2001). Neurovascular activity associated with the presentation of the visual IC was not limited to striate and peristriate areas (Table 1, Figure 3A–B), but it extended towards the occipito-temporal sulcus and the posterior segment of the STS (Table 1, Figures 4A, 5A). This latter region also showed sustained activity during motor preparation. Anatomically, much of the cortex in the caudal STS of the macaque has visual functions (Yaginuma, Osawa, Yamaguchi, & Iwai, 1993; Desimone & Ungerleider, 1986), and it receives convergent input from areas of both the dorsal and the ventral visual stream (Distler, Boussaoud, Desimone, & Ungerleider, 1993; Baizer, Ungerleider, & Desimone, 1991; Morel & Bullier, 1990). Our results suggest a functional role for this anatomical bridge between inferotemporal visuoperceptual areas and fronto-parietal visuomotor areas. Behavioral analyses have shown subtle perceptual effects on motor output in normals (Jackson & Shaw, 2000). These effects become particularly evident after lesions of the posterior parietal region (Jeannerod, Decety, & Michel, 1994) and in the absence of on-line access to the target of the action (Fischer, 2001; Gentilucci, Chiuffi, Departi, Saetti, & Toni, 1996). Here we have shown a possible functional— anatomical basis for the integration of perceptual and executive processes in the context of delayed performance of visuomotor associations.

This hypothesis does not contradict our previous suggestions on the involvement of the ventral prefrontal cortex in establishing the appropriate association between a particular sensory cue and an arbitrary motor response (Passingham, Toni, & Rushworth, 2000; Passingham & Toni, 2001; Toni &Passingham, 1999; Toni, Rushworth, &Passingham, 2001). Figure 6C not only confirms the involvement of a caudal portion of the inferior frontal gyrus in the control of finger movements (Ehrsson et al., 2000; Ehrsson, Fagergren, & Forssberg, 2001; Iacoboni et al., 1999; Krams et al., 1998), but it also reveals that IC-related responses are not completely absent in this region. On the basis of a related study (Toni, Ramnani, et al., 2001), it is tempting to speculate that the involvement of the ventral prefrontal cortex in the initial stages of the sensorimotor transformation might decrease in favor of other areas as the visuomotor associations become automatic.

**Sustained Activity in Sensory Areas**

It might appear surprising that specific preparatory activity was found in occipital visual areas (Table 2). However, a series of neuroimaging and electrophysiological studies have reported attentional (or contextual) modulation of activity in primary visual areas (Gilbert, Ito, Kapadia, & Westheimer, 2000; Kastner, Pinsk, De Weerd, Desimone, & Ungerleider, 1999; Kosslyn et al., 1999; Watanabe et al., 1998; Fuster, 1990). More specifically, the occipital area involved in the current study (30, −92, 24) has been implicated, in humans, in the perception of kinetic boundaries (Dupont et al., 1997; Van Oostende, Sunaert, Van Hecke, Marchal, & Orban, 1997) (34, −88, 0) and of biological motion (Grezes, Costes, & Decety, 1999) (24, −84, 28). Our results confirm that the visual cortex can show sustained responses even in the absence of visual stimulation. Furthermore, such contextual modulation can be observed not only during the expectation of visual stimulation (Kastner et al., 1999), but also during the expectation of a visually instructed movement. As suggested by one reviewer, it is possible to speculate that these posterior sustained activities might represent a way of anticipating the sensory consequences of an intended action. It remains to be seen if these extrastriate activities are necessary to the preparatory process.

**Conclusions**

We have exploited neuroimaging to gain access to human cerebral activity underlying cognitive representations of movement, independently from overt behavior. We have confirmed that in humans, as in other primates, portions of the parietal and premotor areas contribute to holding the goal of the movement during a DP, that is, they are involved in implementing a rule guiding behavior. Furthermore, we have provided suggestive evidence for the involvement of the ventral visuo-associative areas in movement representation. These results might indicate that visually instructed actions rely not only on visuomotor guidance and spatial reference frames (impinging on the frontoparietal circuitry), but also on representations stored in the occipito-temporal regions.

**METHODS**

**Experimental and Imaging Set-Up**

We studied 6 neurologically normal, right-handed, male volunteers (20–34 years of age) after obtaining informed
The subjects lay supine in the scanner. Head movements were minimized by an adjustable padded head-holder. Visual stimuli were projected onto a screen above the subjects' heads. The visual stimuli (white shapes on a black background, centrally presented) subtended an angle of about 20° on the retina. The acoustic stimuli (300 Hz tones) were presented binaurally via MRI-compatible piezoelectric headphones, which also protected the subjects from the scanner noise. Motor responses were monitored via a keypad with two buttons positioned on the subject's abdomen. Stimulus presentation and response collection were controlled by and synchronized with the scanner through a second computer.

Anatomical (i) MP-RAGE; TE/TR = 4.5/11.4 msec; voxel size = 0.9 × 0.9 × 1.2 mm; and (ii) Turbo Spin-Echo; TE/TR = 90/7000 msec; voxel size = 0.9 × 0.9 × 2.2 mm) and BOLD-sensitive functional images (T2*-weighted EPI; TE/TR = 66 msec/5.2 sec; FOV = 200 mm; and BOLD-sensitive functional images (T2*-weighted EPI; TE/TR = 66 msec/5.2 sec; FOV = 200 mm; voxel size = 3.1 × 3.1 × 3.3 mm; 30 slices; 960 images in eight consecutive sessions) were acquired using a VISION scanner operating at 1.5 T (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). These imaging parameters ensured full brain coverage, apart from the inferior part of the cerebellum.

On a separate occasion, three subjects underwent a further scanning session in order to assess skeletal- and oculomotor activities during task performance. Bipolar surface EMGs were recorded (1 kHz) from the flexor digitorum superficialis of the right forearm (band-pass filter 1–200 Hz, notch filter 50 Hz). Eye position was recorded (60 Hz) with an infrared video-oculographic system (http://www.a-s-l.com/; Gitelman, Parrish, LaBar, & Mesulam, 2000). In order to collect meaningful EMG data, the MR gradients were turned off during the EMG measurements.

**Experimental Timing**

The intertrial interval (ITI, 37.7 sec) was chosen so that successive trials started progressively later (1.3 sec, i.e., TR/4) in the scanning sequence. This mismatch between trial occurrence and volume acquisition allowed a characterization of the EHRs at a finer temporal resolution than the actual TR, while preserving coverage of the whole brain (Josephs, Turner, & Friston, 1997). The long ITI allowed the estimation of the whole time course of the EHR to each experimental event and not only the differential component of the responses to each event (Josephs & Henson, 1999).

The delays between the IC and the TC were selected from a uniform distribution of intervals (1.3–20.8 sec in steps of 1.3 sec). This range of delays allowed us to partition the EHR model into three independent components; one aligned with the IC, one aligned with the TC, and one extending over the DP. The pseudorandom variation in the DP between the IC and the TC ensured that the subjects could not anticipate the occurrence of the TC. The extensive range of delays ensured that the subjects were ready to respond at any time after the presentation of the IC.

**Image Analysis**

The data were analyzed with SPM97 (www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm; Friston, Holmes, Worsley, et al., 1995). After standard preprocessing procedures (Toni, Krainis, Turner, & Passingham, 1998; Toni et al., 1999), functional images smoothed with an isotropic Gaussian kernel of 4 mm were submitted to statistical analysis. Note that this spatial filter preserved the native anatomical resolution, emphasizing cerebral structures of comparable spatial extent (cortical mantle), but penalizing structures...
with a different spatial organization (basal ganglia, cerebellum; Hopfinger, Buchel, Holmes, & Friston, 2000).

One hundred and twenty-eight trials were analyzed for each subject. The EHRs to each of the 3 behavioral components of the task (IC, DP, TC) were modeled independently in the same model with different sets of temporal basis functions. The sustained EHRs (i.e., DP component) were modeled with a set of Fourier series temporal basis functions (up to the sixth harmonic), having the DP at each trial (plus a decay time of 6 sec) as the fundamental period. Furthermore, these temporal basis functions were smoothed at the extremes of the fundamental period. The assumption embodied by this model is that the neural activity occurring during the DP is sustained and the rise and fall of the hemodynamic response is smooth. DP-related activity is thus defined by a time interval, rather than by a specific time point. Fourier series temporal basis functions allowed us to characterize EHRs without specifying their exact form or timing, that is the phase and amplitude of the basis functions. We consider it important to use a very flexible model for this component of the response, since sustained responses represent cognitive processes of unknown timing and intensity that are not necessarily time-locked to a particular time point.

The transient EHRs (i.e., IC and TC components) were modeled with a set of gamma functions and their temporal derivative (Friston, Josephs, Rees, & Turner, 1998), time-locked to the occurrence of the IC and the TC. These temporal basis functions allowed unconstrained characterization of the transient responses while avoiding collinearity with the partition of the model representing the sustained responses. Residual correlations between these two partitions of the statistical model (representing transient and sustained components of the response) were removed by orthogonalizing the gamma functions with respect to the smoothed Fourier set.

The 3 partitions of the model, representing transient responses time-locked to the IC, the TC, or sustained responses during the DP, were considered alternately as effects of interest and no-interest (or confounds), in order to distinguish the EHRs associated with each behavioral component of the task. In Analysis 1, we tested for the presence of transient responses time-locked to the IC, having accounted for and removed the contribution of the DP and TC components. In Analysis 2, we tested for the presence of sustained responses occurring during the DP, having accounted for and removed the contribution of IC and TC components. Finally, in Analysis 3, we tested for the presence of transient responses time-locked to the TC, having accounted for and removed the contribution of the IC and DP components.

Low-frequency drifts over time, residual head movement-related effects, changes in mean signal over the whole brain, and overall differences across runs were considered as effects of no-interest. Low-frequency changes in signal were modeled with a set of discrete cosine basis functions. The highest frequency modeled was twice the longest experimental period (two trials), that is, 75.4 sec; the lowest frequency modeled was a whole scanning run. Head movement-related effects were modeled using the first-, second-, and third-order polynomial expansions of the movement estimates obtained from the realignment procedure.

The statistical significance of the estimated EHRs was assessed using F statistics in the context of a multiple regression analysis. The null hypothesis was that the variance explained by the effects of interest was consistent with the residual error, once the variance explained by the effects of no-interest was removed. F ratios for each voxel in the image were computed. SPM(F)s were generated to indicate the spatial distribution of significant event-related activations associated with either IC (Analysis 1), DP (Analysis 2), or TC (Analysis 3). Gaussian field theory allowed us to make inferences corrected for the number of nonindependent comparisons (Friston, Holmes, Worsley, et al., 1995). The effective degrees of freedom of the error term took into account the temporal autocorrelation of the data (Friston, Holmes, & Poline, et al., 1995).

We report the results of single-subject analyses and of a fixed-effect group analysis. This approach allowed us to preserve the advantages of both single-subject analyses (precise identification of the anatomical location of the activation foci, evaluation of the consistency of the results across subjects) and of fixed-effect group analyses (high signal-to-noise ratio, concise overview of the activation patterns). The limitation of this approach is that the inferences are about the presence of an effect in these subjects during these scanning sessions and not about the average size of the effect in the population from which the subjects were drawn (Friston, Holmes, Price, Buchel, & Worsley, 1999; Friston, Holmes, & Worsley, 1999). In order to appropriately estimate intersubject variability and thus extend the inferences to the population, it would be necessary to collapse the data over replications within subjects. However, the analyses used in the present paper make use of multiple regressors to describe each event and they cannot be handled by the univariate statistics available in SPM97.

The statistical thresholds used in the single-subject analysis were \( F(48.0,591.7) > 2.56 \) (for Analyses 1 and 3), and \( F(56.0,591.7) > 2.43 \) (for Analysis 2), corresponding to \( p < .05 \) (corrected for multiple comparisons). The corrected F thresholds used in the group analyses were 1.77 and 1.61, respectively. These thresholds ensured a low incidence of Type I errors, at the expenses of decreased sensitivity (increased Type II errors). This implies that regions with robust preparatory activity (e.g., cerebellum, basal ganglia, cingulate motor areas, presupplementary motor area, SMA...
proper) might nevertheless have failed to reach our statistical threshold. Due to computational limitations (see www.mailbase.ac.uk/lists/spm/1998-12/0000.html for a discussion of this issue), the group analyses were performed on three of the six subjects. Note that this procedure is statistically conservative, since it decreases the subject pool, and hence, the degrees of freedom of the analyses.

Anatomical details of significant signal changes were obtained by superimposing the SPM(F)s on both the structural and the mean functional images of each subject. The atlas of Duvernoy, Cabanis, & Vannson (1991) was used to identify relevant anatomical landmarks. The time course of the EHRs is shown for some significant areas of activation. The signal estimated from each slice has been reordered according to its latency with respect to either IC or TC. Note that this allows one to take into account the delay occurring between the beginning of the acquisition of each volume and the actual time of acquisition of each slice.

Behavioral Analysis

The mean RT and the number of errors were measured. The RT data were linearly regressed over two different explanatory variables: trial number (Sessions 1–8) and length of the DP (1.3–20.8 sec in 20 steps of 1.3 sec). Each of these regressions was performed for the whole group \((n = 6)\) and for each subject individually. Analysis of regression assessed the significance of the slope \((p < .05)\).

EMG and eye position recordings were examined off-line. Means and standard deviations of 16 artifact-free trials were measured for each subject across three epochs (baseline: 3 sec immediately preceding the onset of the visual IC; delay: time interval between the onset of the visual IC and the onset of the auditory TC; response: 3 sec immediately following the onset of the auditory TC). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) assessed the significance of the experimental manipulation \((p < .05)\); one-way ANOVA for repeated measures with one factor [epoch] over three levels [baseline, delay, response]). Tukey’s \(t\) test was used for post hoc pairwise comparisons \((p < .05)\).
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Note

1. Note that the latter experiments have been analyzed with SPM95, whereas the current study used SPM97. Different default frames of stereotactic normalization (bounding boxes) are used in SPM95/97, differing mainly along the z-axis (SPM95: −28 to +72; SPM97: −50 to +85).
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