Stage-matched nutrition guidance for patients at elevated risk for cardiovascular disease: A randomized intervention study in family practice
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**OBJECTIVE**
To examine stage-matched nutrition counseling by family physicians and its effect on dietary intake, anthropometry, and serum lipid levels in patients at elevated risk for cardiovascular disease.

**METHODS**
In this controlled trial, patients randomized to intervention practices received nutrition information following the Stages-of-Change Model, and patients randomized to control practices received usual care.

**RESULTS**
At both 6 and 12 months after baseline, total fat intake and saturated fat intake declined significantly more in the intervention group than in the control group: -5.7% and -2.6% of energy, respectively, at 6 months, and -3.6% and -1.7% of energy, respectively, at 12 months. For energy intake, body weight, and BMI, there were significant differences between groups only at 6 months: -0.8 megajoules (MJ), -0.7 kg, and -0.3 kg/m², respectively. None of the serum lipid values changed significantly between groups at 12 months.

**CONCLUSIONS**
Nutritional counseling based on stages of change led to reductions in dietary fat intake and weight loss in the short term. However, we found no corresponding changes in serum lipid concentrations.
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Evidence available on dietary applications studied in cross-sectional and not stage-matched intervention studies is sufficiently encouraging to warrant the inclusion of Trantheoretical Model constructs in prospective studies. As far as we know, only 2 stage-matched dietary intervention studies have been published. Both studies were carried out in primary care settings and both studies showed that tailored nutrition information is effective in dietary fat

Cholesterol-lowering diet therapy is an important part of cardiovascular disease prevention. Family physicians (FPs) are uniquely positioned to provide nutrition information to persons at risk because of their expertise as perceived by consumers, and because they reach into nearly all segments of the population. It is important to build nutrition guidance into a model that enables change, and, considering the number of contacts between FP and patient, that is linked to the FP’s continuity of care over time. FPs can raise patient consciousness about dietary behavior, motivate patients to change their behavior, and, when appropriate, refer patients to a dietician or patient associations.

The Transtheoretical Model of Behavior Change is increasingly being used to examine health behavior change. According to this model, people are assigned to 1 of the following 5 stages on the basis of their behavior and current intention for future action: (i) precontemplation, not even considering changing one’s behavior; (ii) contemplation, thinking about it; (iii) preparation, making definite plans to change; (iv) action, initiating behavior change; and (v) maintenance, maintaining desired behaviors.

Family physicians can select patients for nutrition counseling by a dietician by using a simple questionnaire based on the Stages-of-Change Model.

With dietary intervention, a decline in fat intake was sustained at 1 year in a population with a high percentage of poorly educated subjects.

We found no changes in serum lipids after 1 year of dietary intervention.
reduction. However, in these studies the intervention was not managed by the FP. We think the FP is the most appropriate person to manage such intervention in the family practice. Therefore, we conducted a controlled dietary intervention, based on the Stages-of-Change Model and managed by the FP with selective referral to a dietician. We examined the effects of dietary counseling on changes in dietary intake, anthropometry, and serum lipid levels in patients at elevated cardiovascular risk.

**METHODS**

**Participants and design**

In this randomized controlled trial, men and women at elevated risk for cardiovascular disease were recruited from the 9 family practices joining the Nijmegen Monitoring Project, the research network of the Department of Family Medicine, University Medical Centre St. Radboud. Selection and flow of participants are described in Figure 1. Seventy-one patients were initially included in the intervention group and 72 patients in the control group (Table 1). Consequently, we had enough power (0.90) to detect a difference in change between groups for total fat intake of 3% of energy and 11.6 mg% of serum total cholesterol.

After selection and recruitment of participants, the family practices were randomly divided into intervention (4) and control (5) practices. The practices, and not the patients, were the units of randomization, to avoid contamination of the information between intervention and control groups. Patients in the control practices received usual care. Each patient in the intervention arm received nutrition information according to his or her stage of change. All participants signed an informed consent form before entering the study. The Medical Ethical Committee of the Department of Human Nutrition and Epidemiology, Wageningen University, approved the study protocol. The study lasted from August 1998 until April 2000.

**Measurements**

Specially trained practice assistants measured anthropometry data, and presented patients with a self-administered questionnaire on demographics, medical history, food frequency, and a stages-of-change algorithm at baseline, 6 months, and 12 months. Blood samples were taken at baseline and at 12 months.

**Physical assessment.** Anthropometry consisted of body weight to the nearest 0.5 kg, height, and waist and hip circumferences to the nearest 0.5 cm. Patients wore no shoes and only light clothing when weighed. Fasting blood samples were taken twice per measurement period at a 1-week interval, with the patient in the sitting position. The samples were stored at -80°C. Lipids were analyzed enzymatically for total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and triglycerides with the Cobas Intergra 700 (Roche Diagnostics, Switzerland), at the laboratory of the Canisius Wilhelmina Hospital (Nijmegen, The Netherlands). The coefficient of variation within runs was 2.3% for total cholesterol, 1.6% for HDL cholesterol, and 1.8% for triglycerides. The LDL cholesterol level was calculated using the equation of Friedewald et al.

**Questionnaires.** The questionnaire asked for demographic data, family history of heart disease, smoking status, physical activity, drug use, and diet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1</th>
<th>Baseline characteristics of patients in the intervention and control groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>58.5±7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disorder (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus II</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/cohabiting</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of heart disease (%)</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not smoking</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light smoker</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy smoker</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise, more than 20 minutes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No exercise</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 3 times a week</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 times a week</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 3 times a week</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Two-sided P values for differences in baseline characteristics between intervention and control groups.
3First-degree relatives younger than 60 years.
4Light smoker: 0-10 cigarettes a day, or smoking pipe or cigars. Heavy smoker: > 10 cigarettes a day.
Studies have shown that a healthy diet can significantly reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease (CAD). A recent study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of a dietary intervention for patients with elevated CAD risk. The study population consisted of patients from 9 general practices of the Nijmegen Monitoring Project, totaling 46,500 patients. The inclusion criteria were: type 2 diabetes mellitus or hypertension, age 40–70 years, no manifest cardiovascular disease, not under treatment of a cardiologist, and last measured serum total cholesterol ≥ 239.4 mg/dL, or unknown. A total of 416 patients met these criteria and were included in the study.

Further selection in family practice included dietary intake of total fat ≥ 37% or saturated fat ≥ 12%, expressed as percent of energy intake, and mean serum total cholesterol ≥ 239.4 mg/dL based on 2 measurements with 1-week interval. The study was randomized into 4 practices (n=71) for the intervention group and 5 practices (n=72) for the control group. The intervention group received nutrition counseling based on stages of change, while the control group received usual care.

At follow-up, changes in smoking status, physical activity, and drug use were assessed. Patients in the control group were asked if they had visited a dietician during the study period. The intake of energy, total fat, fatty acids, and cholesterol during the preceding 4 weeks was assessed by asking patients to fill out a food frequency questionnaire that included 104 food items. The questionnaire was validated and recently revised according to the Dutch National Food Survey 1992. Dieticians carried out nutrient calculations with a computerized version of the Dutch food composition tables and

history at baseline. At follow-up we checked for changes in smoking status, physical activity, and drug use. Patients in the control group were asked if they had visited a dietician during the study period. The intake of energy, total fat, fatty acids, and cholesterol during the preceding 4 weeks was assessed
phoned patients in cases of inconsistency. Stages of change for reduction of fat intake were assessed with a 4-item algorithm based on measures used in previous studies23,24 in combination with the results of the food frequency questionnaire. According to the algorithm, participants were judged to be in precontemplation if they did not consider their diet to be low in fat, they were not in the process of cutting down on fat, and they had no intention of reducing their fat consumption. Participants were considered to be in contemplation if they intended to decrease their fat intake within 6 months but not within 30 days, and to be in preparation when they intended to decrease their fat intake within 30 days. Participants who reported they were currently trying to eat less fat were classified as in action, and participants who reported they had been eating less fat for at least 6 months were classified in maintenance. If participants in maintenance consumed ≥37% total fat or ≥12% saturated fat expressed as percent of energy intake, they were reclassified in precontemplation.

After completing the study, all patients filled in an evaluation questionnaire. Patients in the control group were also asked about which nutrition information they had received during the last year.

**Intervention**

The intervention consisted of nutrition counseling based on stages of change, directed by the FP with selective referral to a dietician. FPs were supported by a protocol that included Prochaska’s8 processes of

### TABLE 2

Baseline measures and changes after 6 months and 12 months in dietary intake and anthropology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>At Baseline</th>
<th>At 6 months</th>
<th>At 12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary intake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(per day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total energy (MJ/d)</td>
<td>9.1 ± 2.7</td>
<td>9.6 ± 2.6</td>
<td>-1.4 ± 1.9†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat (% of energy)</td>
<td>42.1 ± 6.3</td>
<td>42.6 ± 5.2</td>
<td>-7.9 ± 6.5†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated fat (% of energy)</td>
<td>15.2 ± 2.6</td>
<td>15.5 ± 2.3</td>
<td>-3.4 ± 2.7†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monounsaturated fat (% of energy)</td>
<td>14.6 ± 3.3</td>
<td>14.9 ± 2.6</td>
<td>-3.4 ± 3.3†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsaturated fat (% of energy)</td>
<td>9.4 ± 3.0</td>
<td>9.3 ± 3.0</td>
<td>-1.0 ± 3.1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mg)</td>
<td>239.1 ± 91.5</td>
<td>254.8 ± 90.8</td>
<td>-62.0 ± 68.9†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Anthropometry        |             |             |              |         |
|                      |             |             |              |         |
| Body weight (kg)     | 79.2 ± 14.9 | 80.3 ± 12.0 | -1.3 ± 1.8†  | -0.6 ± 1.9† 0.63 0.01 0.02 0.06 |
| Body Mass Index (kg/m²) | 28.1 ± 4.3 | 29.2 ± 4.8 | -0.5 ± 0.6†  | -0.2 ± 0.7† 0.15 0.01 0.03 0.08 |
| Waist circumference (cm)     | 94.3 ± 12.1 | 97.7 ± 10.3 | -1.6 ± 4.9†  | -1.7 ± 5.2† 0.08 0.43 0.61 0.86 |
| Waist-hip circumference ratio | 0.89 ± 0.07 | 0.90 ± 0.09 | -0.0 ± 0.04  | -0.01 ± 0.05† 0.36 0.13 0.15 0.15 |

1 Joule=0.24 cal
*Two-sided P values for differences in baseline measures between intervention and control group.
**One-sided P value for difference in change from baseline between intervention and control group.
***P value with multilevel analysis
†Significant difference in changes after 6 and 12 months compared to baseline within group (one-sided P value<0.05).
**Intervention scheme with clarification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Precontemplation stage</th>
<th>Contemplation stage</th>
<th>Action* stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stage of Change classification at baseline measurements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>First FP consultation</strong></td>
<td>Information Package A+B</td>
<td>Information Package A+C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Second FP consultation</strong></td>
<td>Intervention stops</td>
<td>Information Package C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Third FP consultation</strong></td>
<td>Intervention stops</td>
<td>Referral to dietician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dietician consultations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Organization of consultations**
FP consultations: number: 1-3; duration: ± 10 minutes; time in-between: 2 weeks. The intervention stops if no progress is made to the next stage or if the patient relapse to former stage.
Dietician consultations: number: 3; duration: first consultation 30-40 minutes, second and third consultations 10-15 minutes; time in-between: 2 and 8 weeks.

**Classification of stages of change for reduction of dietary fat intake**
Before the first FP consultation: an algorithm in combination with the results of fat intake.
At the beginning of the second and third FP consultation: with the help of questions according to the FP manual.

**Content of information packages**
A. Feedback on baseline values (dietary intake, serum lipids, anthropometry).
B. Focus on consciousness raising of dietary behavior. Disadvantages mentioned by the patient for eating less fat are discussed and advantages are emphasized by the FP. Education material: test of fat consumption to fill in at home to determine which products make an important contribution to the (saturated) fat intake.
C. Focus on motivation to change dietary behavior. Education material: short food variation list, in which alternatives low in fat and/or high in unsaturated fat are given for products high in saturated fat, to give the patient insight in possible dietary changes.
D. Focus on practical implications to change dietary behavior. Counseling to reduce saturated fat intake and to increase unsaturated fat intake. Advise on reduction of energy and total fat intake to patients high in body weight. For all advice, Dutch dietary guidelines are taken into account. First consultation: living and nutritional habits are asked to enable nutrition advice tailored to the patient's individual situation. This is worked out together with the patient in the form of an example of menus for a whole day. Education materials: brochure about a better fat use and an extensive food variation list. Second consultation: discussion of progress and barriers. Third consultation: discussion of results of second measurements, barriers and expectations.

*Action stage comprises original preparation and action stages.
change. Preparation and action stages were considered 1 stage (action stage) in this study, given the required nutrition education. Figure 2 summarizes the intervention procedure: 1) counseling aimed at raising consciousness about dietary behavior in the precontemplation stage, 2) motivation to change dietary behavior in the contemplation stage, and 3) if a patient decided to change (action stage), information about practical aspects of dietary change and discussion of referral to a dietician. The intervention was conducted by the patient’s own FP. All patients were referred to the same dietician. Protocols for the FPs and the dietician had been developed and tested prior to the study and were discussed by FPs and the dietician in pre-study group sessions.

**Data analyses**
Differences between groups at baseline and follow-up were tested with unpaired t-tests for continuous variables and with chi-square tests for categorized variables. If the number of observations within 1 cell was less than 5, a Fisher’s exact test was used instead of a chi-square test. Differences within subjects were tested with a paired t-test. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant. Because of clustering of patients within practices, a multilevel analysis was also carried out (level 1 patient, level 2 practice). All analyses were performed on the basis of intention to treat. SAS version 6.12 was used for the statistical analyses (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

**RESULTS**

**Study population**
The study sample was predominantly female (73%), poorly educated (68%), with an average age of 58 years (see Table 1 for definitions of educational level). Of the cardiovascular risk factors, hypertension was present in 92% of participants, type 2 diabetes mellitus in 6%, both disorders in 2%, and a family history of heart disease in 25%. No significant differences were found between the intervention group (n=71) and the control group (n=72) (Table 1). Table 2 demonstrates that the 2 study groups also showed comparable baseline measures according to dietary intake, anthropometry, and serum lipid levels. The mean BMI of the total group of subjects was 28.7 kg/m²; 83% had a BMI higher than 25 and the majority had high total cholesterol and dietary fat intake.

**Intervention-related measures**
At baseline, 51% of the patients in the intervention group were classified in the precontemplation stage, 24% in the contemplation stage, and 25% in the action stage. They had consulted their FP once (n=53) or twice (n=18) before they were referred to the study dietician (n=60). Eleven patients were not referred to the dietician because they did not reach the action stage. All of the referred patients but one received 3 consultations with the dietician. In the control group, 24% of the patients discussed nutrition issues with their FP, 57% read nutrition brochures related to cardiovascular topics, and 1% (7) were referred to a dietician.

**Changes at follow-up measurements**
After 6 months (Table 2) total energy intake was reduced by 1.4 and 0.6 MJ in the intervention and control groups, respectively; total fat intake by 7.9% and 2.2% of total energy, and saturated fat intake by 3.4% and 0.8% of total energy. The reductions were significantly larger in the intervention group, except for unsaturated fat. This was also reflected in risk factors: body weight and BMI declined significantly more in the intervention group (1.5 kg body weight) than in the control group (0.6 kg body weight). We found no significant differences between groups for waist circumference and waist-hip ratio.

The reduced fat intake in the intervention group was maintained at 12 months, although the differences were smaller. Changes in energy intake and anthropometric values at this time no longer differed significantly with multilevel analysis. During the 12 months of the study, slight reductions were found for serum total cholesterol (intervention group: 2.3 mg/dL, controls: 6.2 mg/dL), LDL cholesterol (intervention group: 6.2 mg/dL, controls: 7.7 mg/dL), and triglycerides (intervention group: 0.8 mg/dL, controls: 3.1 mg/dL). HDL cholesterol increased slightly in both groups (3.9 mg/dL in the intervention group, 2.7 mg/dL in the control group). However, none of these differences were significant. There were no significant changes in smoking or physical activity (P values of chi-square tests per measurement moment were >0.85), and none of the patients was prescribed a cholesterol-lowering drug. The significance of the P values of the differences in variables between the first and last measurement moment did not change when multilevel analysis was performed, except for body weight (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**
Nutrition counseling by an FP based on the Stages-of-Change Model, with referral to a dietician in the action stage, successfully changed dietary behavior after 6 months in patients at elevated risk for cardiovascular disease. This success was accompanied by reductions in body weight. Differences in fat intake...
were sustained at 12 months, but this was not reflect-
ed in lower serum lipid concentrations. Initial reduc-
tions in energy intake and anthropometric values did
not persist after 1 year. Our findings are in line with
other dietary intervention studies in family practice
that report improved dietary habits but no significant
effect on objective cardiovascular risk factors such as
body weight and blood lipids.10-27 The uniqueness of
our study is that it is the first randomized con-
trolled trial in family practice based on the Stages-of-
Change Model in which nutrition counseling is man-
aged by the FP.

The reductions we found in total serum choles-
terol concentrations (0.9% in the intervention group
and 2.3% in the control group after 12 months) were
smaller than the 3%-6% suggested by a systematic
review of individualized nutrition counseling in free-
living subjects.28 The observed reduction in our study
is also less than predicted by the Keys equation.29 We
do not have a clear explanation for this. It is possi-
bile that patients in the intervention group gave more
socially desirable answers to the food frequency
questionnaire than patients in the control group, due
to the more extensive nutrition guidance in the inter-
vention group.

The dropout rate in our study was low: 91% of the
patients completed the trial, a notable strength of the
study. This may be due to the fact that the participat-
ing patients were recruited and treated by their
own FP, and may in part account for the small effect
size as we avoided the selective participation of
those patients who were most motivated for change.
The education level of the study sample was low
compared with the Dutch population at similar age,30
and this could also have resulted in smaller differ-
ences between intervention and control groups. In
addition, it has been found that CHD patients who
are obese and do not use lipid lowering drugs are
less likely to follow recommended cholesterol-low-
ering diets.31 However, all of these factors make our
study representative of the circumstances FPs can
expect when managing nutritional intervention in
routine care for patients at elevated risk for cardio-
vascular disease. Nutritional counseling on the basis
of the Transtheoretical Model of stages of change2 is
effective in the short term, but it is disappointing to
have to conclude that this effect appears to be tem-
porary, with eventual rebound to pre-intervention
status. No sustained effects on the target outcomes
such as body weight and serum lipids were found,
possibly due to the relatively short (1-year) observa-
tion period. Improved effectiveness might be
achieved with the development of patient protocols
and education materials that are better aimed at
poorly educated persons, and with more extensive
use of modern forms of communications to imple-
ment lasting changes.

Conclusions
Nutritional counseling based on stages of change
in patients at elevated risk for cardiovascular disease,
provided by an FP with referral to a dietician in the
action stage, led to reductions in dietary fat intake in
the short and long term and to weight loss in the
short term. In the absence of long-term effects on
serum cholesterol levels, the emphasis remains on
treating elevated lipids with drugs. However,
research on effective and inexpensive dietary inter-
ventions remains important because of promising
results for the short term and the important advan-
tages of such intervention. The emphasis for future
research should be testing new methods to maintain
dietary behavioral changes and to investigate dif-
ferences in susceptibility between individuals with
unhealthy lifestyles. The model based on stages of
change seems well suited for this sort of interven-
tion, and the experience of this study is that it can
easily be incorporated into the routines of family
practice at low cost. As such, it is a simple instru-
ment for selecting patients who are willing to
change their food habits. Further, we reached a high
percentage of poorly educated people, who are par-
ticularly vulnerable.32 We recommend examining
whether education materials need to be better
aimed at people with a low socio-economic status.
Long-term nutrition counseling is needed for main-
tenance and further improvements.
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