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Abstract. We explore the evolution in power of black holes of all masses, and their associated jets, within the scheme of an accretion rate-dependent state transition. Below a critical value of the accretion rate all systems are assumed to undergo a transition to a state where the dominant accretion mode is optically thin and radiatively inefficient. In these significantly sub-Eddington systems, the spectral energy distribution is predicted to be dominated by non-thermal emission from a relativistic jet whereas near-Eddington black holes will be dominated instead by emission from the accretion disk. Reasonable candidates for such a sub-Eddington state include X-ray binaries in the hard and quiescent states, the Galactic Center (Sgr A*), LINERs, FR I radio galaxies, and a large fraction of BL Lac objects. Standard jet physics predicts non-linear scaling between the optically thick (radio) and optically thin (optical or X-ray) emission of these systems, which has been confirmed recently in X-ray binaries. We show that this scaling relation is also a function of black hole mass and only slightly of the relativistic Doppler factor. Taking the scaling into account we show that indeed hard and quiescent state X-ray binaries, LINERs, FR I radio galaxies, and BL Lacs can be unified and fall on a common radio/X-ray correlation. This suggests that jet domination is an important stage in the luminosity evolution of accreting black hole systems.
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1. Introduction

Accreting black holes are thought to be the engines powering most of the emission from active galactic nuclei (AGN) and some X-ray binaries (XRBs). Associated relativistic jets also contribute significantly to the overall spectrum, over a wide range of wavelengths. The current accretion paradigm is based on the early success of standard, optically thick accretion disk models (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973) which correctly predicted the soft X-ray emission in stellar mass black holes (XRBs) and the “big blue bump” in quasars (Sanders et al. 1980; Sun & Malkan 1989) and other AGN by scaling mass and accretion rate. Scaling laws for the radio emission of jet cores and lobes have also been developed (Falcke & Biermann 1995; Kaiser & Alexander 1997; Heinz 2002) and successfully applied to XRBs and AGN (Falcke, Malkan, & Biermann 1995; Falcke & Biermann 1996; Falcke & Biermann 1999).

The most important parameters of accreting black holes are probably the mass and the accretion rate, both of which can vary over many orders of magnitude. Additional parameters which likely impact the observable characteristics of black holes are the spin and the inclination angle of their spin axes. Inclination-based unified schemes of AGN merge apparently different objects based on the angle between the spin axis and the line of sight (see e.g., Antonucci 1993; Urry & Padovani 1995). The success of this scheme supports the evidence for angle-dependent obscuration and relativistic beaming.

However, the exact effect that changes in the accretion rate have on the appearance of their associated black hole systems is a matter of ongoing debate. A good understanding of this is crucial for modeling the cosmic evolution of black holes and for disentangling the different source classes.
A number of recent results suggest that the transition from a high accretion rate black hole to a low accretion rate one is not smooth, but rather accompanied by a “phase transition”. In the low-power phase, the optically thick disk emission is either dominated by emission from an optically thin corona, completely reduced to a radiatively inefficient inflow, or is truncated and an optically thin inner radiatively inefficient flow exists closer to the compact object (see Pontanen 1998 for a review of the various models). For XRBs Esin, McClintock, & Narayan (1997) estimate that this transition occurs once the accretion rate for a black hole of mass $M_*$ drops to less than a critical value ($\sim 10\%$ of the Eddington accretion rate, $M_{\text{Edd}} \approx 2 \times (M_*/10^6 M_\odot) M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ for $M_{\text{Edd}} = L_{\text{Edd}}/0.1 c^2$). More recent work suggests that this transition could already occur around 2% $L_{\text{Edd}}$ (Maccarone 2003), and that there is a hysteresis in the critical accretion rate value depending on which direction the transition is going along (Maccarone & Coppi 2003). Regardless of the exact details, a crucial point for this paper is a phase-transition as a function of black hole mass and accretion power.

We have previously suggested that the contribution of jets and outflows on the spectral energy distribution (SED) of black holes can be significant in supermassive as well as stellar mass black holes (Falcke & Markoff 2000; Markoff, Falcke, & Fender 2001; Fender 2001; Yuan, Markoff, & Falcke 2002) and that the jet contribution may in fact dominate the disk emission in a JDAF – a jet-dominated accretion flow. Jets are inherently broad-band, since they remain self-similar over many orders of magnitude in spatial scale and produce non-thermal particle distributions ranging over many orders of magnitude in energy. For this reason they should always be considered as potential contributors at every wavelength. This concept of jet domination has now been empirically demonstrated for XRBs, where below $L_{\text{Edd}} \approx 10^{-4}$ the kinetic energy output through radiatively inefficient jets (assumed to radiate only radio through IR) dominates the radiative output of the optically thin or thick disk (assumed to solely account for the X-ray emission; Fender, Gallo, & Jonker 2003). If the jet contributes to the X-rays as well, the jet domination may hold at even higher absolute luminosities.

The importance of jets to the emission of low-power accreting black holes may hold the key to understanding the relationship between stellar and galactic sized systems. In the next section (Sect. 2) we suggest how this concept can be used to provide a unified picture for AGN as a function of mass and power for a range of sources that may be operating at sub-Eddington accretion rates. This directly leads to a prediction of radio/optical/X-ray scaling which we test on data from several sources in Sect. 3.

2. Low-Power Unification

2.1. A Scheme for Sub-Eddington Black Holes

Our proposed scheme is based on three assumptions:

I) The accretion flow and disk form a coupled jet-disk system, with jet and disk always being present in some form (“jet-disk symbiosis”, see Falcke & Biermann 1995).

II) Below a certain critical accretion rate, $M_\odot \approx x \times M_{\text{Edd}}$ ($x \approx 0.01 - 0.1$), the inner part of the accretion flow becomes radiatively inefficient (e.g., Esin, McClintock, & Narayan 1997).

III) Below $M_\odot$, or for face-on orientation (relativistic beaming), the jet emission dominates the emission from the accretion flow (e.g., Yuan, Markoff, & Falcke 2002).

In short, the postulate is that near-Eddington black holes are disk-dominated and distinctly sub-Eddington black holes are jet-dominated.

Can we classify many of the various accreting systems we know of in terms of this scheme, based on observational evidence? Let us first consider X-ray binaries where time scales are short enough that individual sources can appear in a number of different states. The two most pronounced states are the high (soft) state, with a soft power-law spectrum dominated by a thermal “bump”, and the low (hard) state characterized by a dominant hard power-law and weak-to-absent thermal spectrum (e.g., Nowak 1995). The former is commonly interpreted as multi-color black-body emission from a standard thin disk, while the latter is commonly attributed to an optically thin accretion flow or corona. However, Markoff, Falcke, & Fender (2001) have suggested that the hard power law could also be attributed to synchrotron emission from the jet in these systems. This is strengthened by the finding of a tight non-linear correlation between radio and X-ray luminosity in GX 339–4 (Corbel et al. 2003) and other X-ray binaries in the low state (Gallo, Fender, & Pooley 2003), which exactly fits the non-linear predictions of the jet model (e.g., Markoff et al. 2003, for GX 339–4). This correlation extends down into the quiescent state, which is therefore now interpreted as an extremely low luminosity hard state. It has also been argued that some of the ultra-luminous X-ray sources in nearby galaxies could be the beamed equivalents of the well-known Galactic XRBs (“microblazars”; Mirabel & Rodríguez 1999; Körding, Falcke, & Markoff 2002).

For AGN, the situation is more complicated since a large number of source classes exist. When considering higher luminosity sources with strong disk signatures, the supermassive black hole equivalents to soft state XRBs are FR II radio galaxies, radio-loud quasars, and blazars (with emission lines) among the radio loud objects. Within the standard “unified scheme” these are mainly related through different inclination angles. On the radio quiet side, Seyfert galaxies, radio-quiet quasars, and perhaps radio-intermediate quasars (Miller, Rawlings, & Saunders 1993; Falcke, Sherwood, & Patnaik 1996) are the other analogs
for high state XRBs. All of these AGN varieties show direct or indirect evidence for a soft ultraviolet bump that can be readily understood as emission from a standard accretion disk (Sun & Malkan 1989). This emission also provides ample photons to produce the strong emission line regions seen in the optical spectra.

On the other hand, several low-power AGN classes seem to lack evidence of a blue bump and strong emission lines, and are therefore candidates for equivalents to the hard state XRBs. These are FR I radio galaxies, BL Lacs and LINERs. The Galactic Center (Sgr A*; see Melia & Falcke 2001) could also be in this category, but with its faint and soft spectrum it is not clear what state in XRBs it would correspond to. However, the almost-daily flares in Sgr A* (Baganoff et al. 2001) have a hard spectrum, so it may therefore occasionally achieve a state analogous to the hard state in XRBs.

In terms of radio power, FR I radio galaxies form a smooth continuum with FR II radio galaxies, but are comparatively underluminous in emission lines and lack a big blue bump (Falcke, Gopal-Krishna, & Biermann 1995; Zirbel & Baum 1995). While FR I sources do seem to have optical cores, their fluxes scale tightly with their radio flux (Chiaberge, Capetti, & Celotti 1999). This has been used to argue for a synchrotron nature of these optical cores rather than a thermal origin in the accretion disk.

Interestingly, within the standard unified scheme FR I radio galaxies are coupled to BL Lac objects which are thought to be their relativistically beamed versions. BL Lacs – by definition – lack emission lines and there is no population intermediate in inclination angle between FR I and BL Lacs which does show a blue bump or evidence for a standard optically thick accretion disk.

Similarly, for low-luminosity AGN and LINERs, Ho (1999) argues that their SED precludes the presence of a blue-bump and of a standard accretion disk. On the other hand, radio observations of LINERs show a strong jet presence (Falcke et al. 2000; Nagar, Wilson, & Falcke 2001) and fits to individual objects indicate that the higher wavelengths may also be dominated by jet emission (Yuan et al. 2002). Some of these LINERs are in big elliptical galaxies and may be the lower-luminosity continuation of FR I radio galaxies, while others sit in spiral galaxies and may be somewhere in between Seyferts and our own Galactic Center in terms of power.

Hence BL Lacs, FR Is, and LINERs are good candidates for sub-Eddington and jet-dominated AGN. Although this conclusion is already widely accepted for BL Lacs because of beaming arguments, and the case for FR Is is strengthening, the proposal for LINERs remains highly debated.

A sketch of the proposed scheme is shown in Fig. 1. Note that this is naturally very rough. In a number of cases the dividing lines between individual classes may be blurred. Also, in jet-dominated sources there may still be a sizeable disk contribution and vice versa. In addition, as is commonly known, inclination effects play an important role in unified schemes. For radio loud quasars, for example, a small inclination to the line-of-sight (i.e., in a blazar) can lead to a significant jet contribution despite the fact that here we classify these sources as intrinsically disk-dominated. This is in contrast to BL Lacs objects, which we consider as intrinsically jet-dominated in addition to being beamed (with FR I radio galaxies as the parent population). This may have some analogy for XRBs, where some Ultraluminous X-ray sources might be affected by beaming as well (Körding, Falcke, & Markoff 2002). In general the selection of BL Lacs requires significant care (Landt, Padovani, & Giommi 2002; Marcha et al. 1996) and the application of the scheme is not always straightforward without good understanding of source properties and selection effects.

2.2. Consequences and Tests of our Proposed Unification Scheme

With such a scheme at hand, one wonders what the consequences are and how they can be tested. First of all, if indeed black hole engines make a qualitative transition with accretion power, a number of AGN diagnostics have to be considered with even greater care. One example is the ratio between radio and optical flux that is commonly used as a radio-loudness parameter (Kellermann et al. 1989; Falcke, Sherwood, & Patnaik 1996). In most interpretations it is supposed to represent the relative prominence...
which reveals an optically thin power-law (see Fig. 2). The flat spectrum is the sum of self-absorbed components along the jet, where higher frequencies correspond to smaller regions closer to the black hole. The power law results from optically thin emission from a power-law distribution of electrons at the smallest scale in the jet where particle acceleration exists. One can then roughly approximate the jet spectrum by a broken power law normalized to a monochromatic luminosity (energy per time and frequency) $L_t$ at $\nu_t$.

$$L_R = L_t \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu_t} \right)^{\alpha_R} \quad \text{for} \; \nu \ll \nu_t, \quad (1)$$

$$L_X = L_t \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu_X} \right)^{\alpha_X} \quad \text{for} \; \nu \gg \nu_t, \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha_R \simeq 0.15$ and $\alpha_X \simeq -0.6$ are the typical optically thick (radio) and optically thin (optical and X-ray) spectral indices (e.g., Markoff et al. 2003). This spectrum can be mirrored to higher energies by inverse Compton processes, leading, for example, to the characteristic 'camel’s back' SED of BL Lacs in a $vL_v$ representation.

Scaling laws for this type of jet spectrum as a function of jet power $Q_j$ and mass have been described by Falcke & Biermann (1995) and Markoff et al. (2003). The main assumptions are that the jet expands freely (conical shape), maintains an (arbitrary but fixed) equipartition factor, and the distance of the first particle acceleration zone, $z_{acc}$, scales linearly with mass, e.g., is always around some hundred to thousand $R_g$. $R_g = GM/c^2$ is the gravitational radius of the black hole.

As described in Falcke & Biermann (1995) and Markoff et al. (2003), it follows from simple analytic theory that $L_t \propto Q_j^{17/12}$ and $\nu_t \propto Q_j^{2/3}M^{-1}$ and hence

$$L_R \propto Q_j^{17/12} M^{\alpha_R} \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu_R} \right)^{\alpha_R} \quad \text{for} \; \nu \ll \nu_t, \quad (3)$$

$$L_X \propto Q_j^{17/12} M^{\alpha_X} \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu_X} \right)^{\alpha_X} \quad \text{for} \; \nu \gg \nu_t, \quad (4)$$

where $\nu_R$ and $\nu_X$ are two fixed reference frequencies. If we combine these equations we find the expected radio/X-ray correlation

$$L_X \propto L_R^m M^{\alpha_X - m \alpha_R} \quad (5)$$

where

$$m = \frac{17}{12} - \frac{2}{3} \alpha_X - \frac{2}{3} \alpha_R. \quad (6)$$

Thus, to correct for the different masses of the objects we define an equivalent optically thin (e.g., X-ray) luminosity

$$L_X' = L_X \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu_X} \right)^{\alpha_X} \left( \frac{M}{6M_\odot} \right)^{m \alpha_R - \alpha_X} \quad \text{for} \; \nu \gg \nu_t. \quad (7)$$

For the examples of $\alpha_R \simeq 0.15$ and $\alpha_X \simeq -0.6$ we get $m \simeq 1.38$ and the mass correction factor is predicted to go with $M^{0.81}$.  

Fig. 2. A schematic jet spectrum and its theoretically expected scaling with mass and accretion rate. The spectrum has a flat-to-inverted, optically thick part below a turn-over frequency $\nu_t$ and a steep optically thin spectrum above. For most sources the flat-to-inverted part of the spectrum will be in the radio/infrared while the steep part will be in the optical and X-rays. A change in the absolute accretion rate will shift the spectrum along a diagonal line from the bottom left to the top right. A change in mass will shift the spectrum horizontally only. Lowering mass and accretion rate (e.g., by keeping the accretion rate at a constant fraction of the Eddington accretion rate) will shift the spectrum towards the bottom right, where stellar mass black holes are found.

3. The X-ray/Radio Correlations

3.1. The Predicted Scaling

Here we want to concentrate on the AGN core itself, leaving out the extended emission. In the simplest picture (Blandford & Königl 1979; Falcke & Biermann 1995), the jet spectrum can be naturally described by a flat-to-inverted radio spectrum up to a turn-over frequency $\nu_t$,
For relativistic steady jets, there will also be a dependency on the Doppler factor $D^{2-\alpha}$ (Lind & Blandford 1985). However, since as a first-order approximation the monochromatic luminosity at both frequencies is beamed by the same amount, the correlation between $L_R$ and $L_X$ will only go as $L_R/L_X \propto D^{\nu_X - \alpha_X}$, i.e. less than linear for typical values. If there is a significant velocity gradient along the jet, radio and X-rays could be beamed by different amounts and the effect would become stronger.

Further parameters may affect the correlation. For example, source-to-source variations in the equipartition factors or the turn-over frequency $\nu_t$ caused by different locations of the first acceleration zone $z_{acc}$ can lead to different X-ray/radio ratios. However, since we have no good theoretical understanding of such plasma parameters we have to accept this uncertainty as a major source of scatter.

The scaling also only holds as long as a non-thermal power law is produced in the optically thin regime and $\alpha_X$ remains roughly constant. This may not always be the case for sources that approach quiescence, such as the Galactic Center in its non-flaring state.

At least for an individual X-ray binary, Markoff et al. (2003) showed that this scaling with accretion rate can exactly reproduce the tight non-linear radio/X-ray scaling of the X-ray binary GX 339−4. Such a scaling has now been found to be fairly representative for low-luminosity XRBs (Gallo, Fender, & Pooley 2003). In the case of GX 339−4, the mass term and Doppler factor were not included in the formula, since only one source was considered. This is fine for XRBs, where the jet power and accretion rate in one object changes over many orders of magnitude within months and years. For AGN such changes take too long to be discovered in individual objects and hence statistical samples have to be used to cover a large range in instantaneous jet powers and accretion rates. In this case the mass becomes an important factor for the thermal and non-thermal spectrum.

An important additional point concerns which wavelength to use in such comparative studies of different source types and black hole masses. In the scaling law, we have been mainly comparing the optically thick flux (mainly radio) to the optically thin flux (mainly X-rays). But, it is important to know which wavelength belongs to which branch of the jet SED in a certain type of source. In essentially all sources the compact radio emission is safely on the optically thick branch of the jet core spectrum, however, since the turnover frequency scales inversely with mass, the useful wavelength range over which one can probe the optically thin branch of the SED may vary from one type of source to another. We know, for example, that in BL Lacs at least the optical part of the SED belongs to the synchrotron branch. In some cases this extends all the way into the X-rays in other cases, however, X-rays may already be affected by the inverse Compton components of the SED. Hence, optical flux measurements are a much safer region for BL Lacs (and FR I radio galaxies for that matter) to probe the optically thin part of the SED (which we still parameterize by $\nu_X$ and $L_X$). On the contrary, X-ray binaries may have a very high turn-over frequency, so that the optical flux may still be on the optically thick branch (as discussed in Markoff, Falcke, & Fender 2001).

Here, X-ray fluxes are the better choice, even though also here inverse Compton might contribute. Whatever one chooses, a proper comparison requires one to normalize the optically thin and optically thick fluxes to common reference frequencies. This is done in Eq. (7). Since we here use an X-ray frequency as the common reference frequency for the normalized optically thin flux, we stay with the term radio/X-ray correlation in the following, even though it could for a number of sources equally well be a radio/optical correlation.

### 3.2. The Samples

To test finally our hypothesis that the radio/X-ray correlation can be traced from XRBs through LINERs, FR Is, to BL Lac objects, we use a number of different samples from the literature where mass estimates, radio and X-ray or optical fluxes have been published. For certain types of sources (e.g., LLAGN) we are naturally limited by the small number of well-defined samples that have been observed with the new generation of X-ray telescopes.

For the XRBs we include the above mentioned multiple epochs of GX 339−4 (Corbel et al. 2003). We scaled the 8.6 GHz radio flux to 5 GHz, assuming $\alpha_R = 0.15$. Hynes et al. (2003) give a mass for GX 339−4 around $6M_\odot$. A distance of 4 kpc has been used to derive the luminosity (Zdziarski et al. 1998). We note that other methods may give somewhat different distances (e.g., Maccarone 2003) and that the mass is a strict lower limit. Nevertheless, the correlation for GX 339−4 seems to be representative for a large number of XRBs in the hard state (Gallo, Fender, & Pooley 2003).

As the lowest luminosity supermassive black hole, we included Sgr A*. The 5 GHz radio flux was taken from the average spectrum in Melia & Falcke (2001). The X-ray luminosity in the quiet and the flaring state were taken from (Baganoff et al. 2001), which we scaled with the given photon indices to a 3-9 keV luminosity. The black hole mass is taken to be $3 \times 10^6M_\odot$ (Schödel et al. 2002) and the distance of 8 kpc has been used.

For the LINERS we included the Chandra sample of Terashima & Wilson (2003). They selected 14 objects with radio cores from the Low-Luminosity AGN (LLAGN) sample of Nagar et al. (2000), with a flat or inverted radio core ($\alpha_R \geq -0.3$). Nagar et al. (2000) selected their sources from the Ho, Filippenko, & Sargent (1995) sample (a magnitude limited sample) according to preliminary spectral classification as LINER or as transitional object. To compare the X-ray luminosity with GX 339−4, we scaled the 2-10 keV X-ray luminosity to a 3-9 keV luminosity assuming a power law index of $\alpha_X = -0.6$ for all objects.
For the FR Is, we took the radio and HST data given in Chiaberge, Capetti, & Celotti (1999) who selected their sample from the 3CR catalogue (Spinrad et al. 1985) which have been morphologically identified as FR I radio sources. The 33 sources form a complete, flux limited sample. The optical cores have been extrapolated to a corresponding X-ray luminosity using Eq. (4) under the assumption that the synchrotron power law has a spectral index of $\alpha_X = -0.6$. We did not use actual X-ray data, as the HST observations had higher resolution and within the jet model for FR I and BL Lacs some of these high-mass sources could have their synchrotron cut-off already above the X-ray band, such that X-rays could be dominated by synchrotron self-Compton.

For the BL Lacs we took X-ray (XBLs) and radio selected (RBLs) BL Lacs from Sambruna, Maraschi, & Urry (1996). These originate from two complete samples: the Einstein Observatory Extended Medium-Sensitivity Survey (EMSS) XBL sample (Morris et al. 1991) and the 1 Jy RBL sample (Stickel et al. 1991). Similar to FR Is we calculate the corresponding monochromatic X-ray luminosity from the optical data assuming $\alpha_X = -0.6$. Since BL Lacs are thought to be strongly affected by beaming, we corrected the radio and the equivalent X-ray luminosity for Doppler boosting, assuming an average Doppler factor of $D \approx 7$ (Ghisellini et al. 1993). As mentioned above, for the X-ray/radio correlation the Doppler factor largely cancels out and enters less than linearly. Of course, the position along the correlation will be affected more strongly. For all source populations other than the BL Lacs, we assume a Doppler factor around unity.

For all sources we calculated the radio luminosity from the 5 GHz flux density. The distances of the sources were derived from the redshift with $H_0 = 75\text{ km/s/Mpc}$. We selected from these samples all sources where we found black hole mass estimates in the literature or by using the bulge velocity and the bulge/black hole mass relation from Merritt & Ferrarese (2001). Central velocity dispersion values were taken from Prugniel et al. (1998) and its update in the ‘Hypercat’ database or from Woo & Urry (2002). The black hole masses and fluxes are tabulated in Table 1.

### 3.3. Results

In Figs. 3 to 6 we show the radio and X-ray luminosities of the sources discussed above with various correction factors applied. Figure 3 shows the uncorrected data, with only optical luminosities extrapolated to corresponding X-ray luminosities. Clearly, the AGN fall well below the extrapolation of the radio/X-ray correlation of X-ray binaries. In other terms: by simply increasing the accretion rate in an X-ray binary one will never obtain the SED of an AGN.

In Fig. 4 we have included in the correlation the analytically predicted mass scaling (Eq. 7) but not yet the correction of the Doppler factor for BL Lacs. Surprisingly, with this simple scaling, all the source populations seem to be scaled by just the right amount to fall more or less on the predicted scaling with power from the XRBs with a relatively low scatter. This means that in the parameter space of X-ray luminosity, radio luminosity, and black hole mass, sub-Eddington black holes form a fundamental plane. It also suggests, that the theoretically motivated and predicted scaling seems to hold for stellar mass as well as supermassive black holes. We point out that two of the outliers (NGC6500 and NGC1275) are known from high-resolution VLBI observations to have radio cores that are significantly affected by extended emission (Falcke et al. 2000; Walker et al. 2000) and hence appear too bright in the radio. The same may be true to some
Table 1. Sources used in this paper. Column 1 lists the names of the sources and column 2 gives the distance used to derive the luminosities from the fluxes. The black hole mass was calculated from the velocity dispersion relation Merritt & Ferrarese (2001). Column 4 and 5 give the measured radio and X-ray fluxes. For the LLAGN sample we only list the radio luminosity as directly taken from the original paper. The last two columns give the radio luminosity and the equivalent X-ray luminosity as described in Eq. (7). This luminosity has also been corrected for the different observed energy bands assuming a photon index of 1.6.
degree for FR Is in general, but should be negligible for BL Lacs.

Figure 5 demonstrates the effect of a Doppler factor correction. As discussed in the previous section, the X-ray/radio-ratio is rather insensitive to the Doppler factor and sources will mainly move along the correlation. In our case the BL Lacs are pushed from the upper end of the correlation into the regime where FR I radio galaxies lie. Given that BL Lacs are supposed to be the beamed population of FR I radio galaxies within the inclination-based unified scheme, this seems to be an appropriate correction and provides further support for that scheme.

Finally, in Fig. 6 we show the radio and X-ray luminosities, where the X-ray flux has been corrected by a constant factor $10^7$, thus ignoring the individual mass estimates. With this factor the radio/X-ray correlation can also be continued to AGN. Scaling by $10^7$ is identical to assuming a constant black hole mass of $3 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ for all objects. The black hole mass of FR I Radio Galaxies and BL Lac objects scatter around this value. LLAGN have an average mass of somewhat less than $10^9 M_\odot$, thus in comparison with Fig. 5, the LLAGN have higher X-ray fluxes. The Galactic black hole (Sgr A*) has a mass of only $3 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ so the X-ray flux is increased too much and the X-ray flare state – which may in fact contain the here crucial non-thermal power law – lies above the extrapolation.

A better distinction of the mass effects might be possible with the inclusion of more low mass AGN. Another conclusion is that, for example, a linear dependency of the X-ray/radio-ratio with mass would not be appropriate and over-correct the data.

4. Conclusion and Discussion

We have suggested that black holes operating at sub-Eddington accretion rates make a transition to a radiative inefficient state, where most of the emission is largely dominated by the non-thermal emission of a jet (“JDAFs”). In this picture the radiative output of sub-Eddington black holes is non-thermally dominated, while near-Eddington black holes are thermally dominated. This scheme allows one to unify the radiative properties of black holes over a large range of accretion powers. At sub-Eddington accretion rates, the scaling between radio and optical or X-ray cores is then predicted to follow the scaling laws outlined in Falcke & Biermann (1995) and Markoff et al. (2003). This requires taking the black hole mass into account.

Near-Eddington black holes are presumably found in quasars, luminous Seyfert galaxies, and soft-state X-ray binaries which are considered to be in the high state. As pointed out elsewhere (Pounds, Done, & Osborne 1995; Maccarone, Gallo, & Fender 2003) Narrow-Line Seyfert 1s may also be related to the very high state of X-Ray binaries.

On the other hand, candidates for sub-Eddington black holes are XRBs in the low-hard state, Sgr A*, LINERs, FR I radio galaxies, and BL Lac objects. In terms of beaming and inclination-based unified schemes, which we do not explicitly discuss but consider valid, it may be worth pointing out that ultra-luminous X-ray sources might be low-mass analogs to BL Lacs and blazars (Kording, Falcke, & Markoff 2002).

Using various samples of sub-Eddington black holes, we are able to show that all these different types of sources seem to fall near the predicted radio/X-ray correlation, if the scaling with black hole mass is taken into account.

The crucial underlying assumption is that all these latter sources are intrinsically jet-dominated and have essentially the same SED in common: a flat, optically thick radio spectrum and an optically thin power law beyond a turn-over frequency. Shape and scaling of the SED needed to explain the radio/X-ray correlation is just what one expects in a pure jet model and supports the notion of jet-dominated accretion flows (“JDAF”). On the other hand, some form of radiative inefficient accretion flows/corona is also clearly needed for this picture to work, since there is
always a need for a power and matter source for the outflow. It may be possible to adapt the scheme to a situation where the X-ray emission is dominated by emission from optically thin accretion flows, if their X-ray flux follows a similar non-linear scaling as predicted in the jet case.

An interesting corollary for jets is that, in order to obtain the scaling with mass, one has to assume that the region of the onset of particle acceleration in the jet – producing the optically thin power law – is always around a fixed location in mass-scaled units ($\sim 100 - 1000R_g$).

With the large range of black hole powers and masses discovered the proposed picture may warrant further investigation and detailed tests. If solidified and further evolved it may help to predict the luminosity evolution of black holes at various wavebands over many orders of magnitude. Interestingly, two other papers have recently appeared that come to very similar conclusion in terms of the expected scaling (Heinz & Sunyaev 2003) and its application to black holes of different masses (Merloni, Heinz, & Matteo 2003).
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