Abstract. For a closed subspace $D$ of $\ell^\infty$ over a non-archimedean valued base field we study in this paper the property 1. There exists a continuous linear projection $P$ from $\ell^\infty$ onto $D$ with $\|P\| \leq 1$ ($D$ is orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty$) as related to the properties 2,3,4 below.

2. For every continuous linear functional $f \in D'$ there exists a continuous linear extension $\tilde{f} \in (\ell^\infty)'$ with $\|\tilde{f}\| = \|f\|$ ($D$ has the Hahn-Banach property in $\ell^\infty$).

3. The canonical quotient map $\pi_E : E \to E/D$ is strict, i.e. for each $z \in E/D$ there exists $x \in E$ with $\pi_E(x) = z$ and $\|x\| = \|z\|$ ($D$ is strict in $\ell^\infty$).

4. $D$ is weakly closed in $\ell^\infty$.

Also, certain duality arguments allow us to obtain several descriptions of the orthocomplemented subspaces of $c_0$. In particular it is shown (Theorem 4.3) that, if $K$ is not spherically complete, a closed hyperplane $H$ in $c_0$ having the Hahn-Banach property in $c_0$ is orthocomplemented.

1. PRELIMINARIES. Throughout $K$ is a non-archimedean valued field that is complete with respect to the metric induced by the non-trivial valuation $| \cdot |$. Also, $(E, \| \cdot \|)$ will be a (non-archimedean) Banach space over $K$.

For a Banach space $F$ over $K$ and a continuous linear map $T$ from $E$ into $F$, the kernel of $T$ is the set

$$\text{Ker } T = \{ x \in E : Tx = 0 \}.$$ 

Also, the norm of $T$ is given by

$$\|T\| = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|} : x \in E \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$ 

When there exists a linear isometry from $E$ onto $F$ we say that $E$ and $F$ are isometrically isomorphic and we write $E \simeq F$.
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The dual space $E'$ of $E$ consisting of all the continuous linear maps from $E$ to $K$ is again a Banach space. We set

$$J_E(x)(x') = x'(x) \quad (x \in E, x' \in E').$$

$E$ is called reflexive if $J_E$ is an isometry from $E$ onto $E''$.

For a closed subspace $D$ of $E$ we say that

a) $D$ has the HB-property (resp. $HB^+$-property) in $E$ if for every $f \in D'$ (resp. for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for every $f \in D'$) there exists a continuous linear map $\tilde{f} \in E'$ extending $f$ such that $\|\tilde{f}\| = \|f\|$ (resp. $\|\tilde{f}\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|f\|$).

b) $D$ is strict in $E$ if the quotient map $\pi_E : E \to E/D$ is strict (i.e. for every $z \in E/D$ there exists an $x \in E$ for which $\pi_E(x) = z$ and $\|x\| = \|z\|$).

c) $D$ is orthocomplemented in $E$ if there exists a closed subspace $G$ of $E$ such that $D \cap G = \{0\}$, $E = D + G$ and

$$\|x + y\| = \max(\|x\|, \|y\|) \quad (x \in D, y \in G)$$

(such a $G$ is called an orthogonal complement of $D$ in $E$).

It is not difficult to prove the following Propositions which include some elementary (but useful) descriptions for the orthocomplemented and the strict subspaces of an arbitrary Banach space.

**Proposition 1.1.** For a closed subspace $D$ of $E$ the following are equivalent.

i) $D$ is orthocomplemented in $E$.

ii) There exists a continuous linear isometry $\varphi : E/D \to E$ such that $\pi_E \circ \varphi$ is the identity on $E/D$.

iii) There exists a continuous linear projection $P$ from $E$ onto $D$ with $\|P\| = 1$ (This $P$ is called an orthoprojection from $E$ onto $D$).

**Proposition 1.2.** For a closed subspace $D$ of $E$ the following properties are equivalent:

i) $D$ is strict in $E$.

ii) There exists a (non-necessarily linear) map $\varphi : E/D \to E$ such that $\|\varphi(x)\| = \|x\|$ for all $x \in E/D$ and $\pi_E \circ \varphi$ is the identity on $E/D$.

iii) For each $x \in E$, $D$ is orthocomplemented in $D + Kx$.

Clearly, $D$ is orthocomplemented in $E \Rightarrow D$ has the HB-property and $D$ is strict in $E$.

If $E'$ separates the points of $E$ then $D$ is orthocomplemented in $E \Rightarrow D$ is weakly closed in $E$.

Most of what we are about to do concerns converses of the above implications when $E = \ell^\infty$ or $c_0$. Firstly we consider (co)finite-dimensional subspaces (sections 3,4) and
later on arbitrary closed subspaces of $\ell^\infty$ and $c_0$ (section 5). We assume that $K$ is not spherically complete, since if $K$ is spherically complete every closed subspace of $E$ is weakly closed and has the HB-property in $E$ ([3], Theorems 4.2, 4.7) and also every finite-dimensional subspace of $E$ is orthocomplemented ([7], Lemma 4.35). The basic machinery to our purpose is included in section 2.

The following problem arises in a natural way in this paper (see Problem 4 in section 5):

**Problem.** Suppose $K$ is not spherically complete. Let $D$ be a weakly closed subspace of $\ell^\infty$ such that $D$ is strict and has the HB-property in $\ell^\infty$. Does it follow that $D$ is orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty$?

In fact we do not know the answer of this problem for any infinite-dimensional Banach space $E$ (instead of $\ell^\infty$) over a non-spherically complete field $K$.

However, if $K$ is spherically complete, the situation is completely different. Indeed, suppose that $|K| = [0, \infty)$. By a standard construction we can make a strict quotient map $\pi : c_0(I) \to \ell^\infty$ if $I$ has adequate cardinal. Now, $D = \text{Ker } \pi$ is a weakly closed subspace which is strict and has the HB-property in $c_0(I)$. If $D$ were orthocomplemented then $\ell^\infty$ would be isometrically isomorphic to a closed subspace of $c_0(I)$ and so $\ell^\infty$ has an orthogonal base: a contradiction ([7], Corollary 5.18).

For some other unexplained concepts and notations that we will use in the sequel, we refer to [3] and [7].

2. GENERAL FACTS

In this section we include some general results which will be useful in the rest of the paper.

First, we are going to see (Propositions 2.1 - 2.7) that strictness and the HB-property behave sometimes as "opposites" of one another.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $D$ be a closed subspace of $E$.

i) If $D$ is strict in $E$ and $E/D \cong c_0(I; s)$ for some set $I$ and $s : I \to (0, +\infty)$, then $D$ is orthocomplemented in $E$.

ii) If $D$ has the HB-property in $E$ and $D \cong \ell^\infty(I; s)$ for some set $I$ and some $s : I \to (0, +\infty)$, then $D$ is orthocomplemented in $E$ (compare Theorem 1.2 of [5]).

**Proof.**

i) Let $\{u_i : i \in I\}$ be an orthogonal base of $E/D$. By strictness, there exists $\{z_i : i \in I\} \subset E$ such that $\pi_E(z_i) = u_i$ and $\|z_i\| = \|u_i\|$ for all $i \in I$. A standard argument shows that $\varphi : E/D \to E$ given by the formula $\varphi$
\[\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i z_i\] is a linear isometry for which \(\pi_E \circ \varphi\) is the identity on \(E/D\). Hence, \(D\) is orthocomplemented.

ii) For each \(i \in I\) the coordinate function \(f_i \in D'\) given by \(f_i(x) = x_i (x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in \ell^\infty(I, s))\) has norm \(s(i)^{-1}\). By the HB-property, \(f_i\) extends to an \(\tilde{f}_i \in E'\) with \(\|\tilde{f}_i\| = s(i)^{-1}\). Then, \(P : E \to D; x \to (\tilde{f}_i(x))_{i \in I}\) is an orthoprojection from \(E\) onto \(D\).

As a special case we obtain

**Corollary 2.2.** If \(D\) is a closed hyperplane (resp. a one-dimensional subspace) in \(E\), then \(D\) is strict (resp. \(D\) has the HB-property) in \(E\) iff \(D\) is orthocomplemented in \(E\).

**Remarks 2.3.**

1.- Observe that if \(D\) is a closed hyperplane of \(E\), there is an \(f \in E' - \{0\}\) such that \(D = \text{Ker } f\). Then, \(D\) is orthocomplemented iff \(\|f\| = \max \left\{ \|f(x)\| : x \in E \backslash \{0\} \right\}\).

In fact, if \(a \in E\) one can easily see that \(Ka\) is an orthogonal complement of \(D\) iff \(\|f\| = \|f(a)\|/\|a\|\).

2.- If \(K\) is spherically complete the finite (co)dimensional version of the above Corollary 2.2 holds.

Indeed, observe that if \(\dim E/D < \infty\), then \(E/D\) has an orthogonal base ([7], Lemma 5.5). Also, every finite-dimensional subspace of \(E\) is orthocomplemented ([7], Lemma 4.35).

3.- But, for non-spherically complete fields \(K\) the generalization in Remark 2 does not hold. In fact, let \(\pi : c_0 \to K_2^2\) be a strict surjection ([6], 2.3, Remark 1). Then, \(\text{Ker } \pi\) is a strict two-codimensional subspace of \(c_0\) that cannot be orthocomplemented since \(K_2^2\) has no orthogonal base ([7], p.68).

On the other hand, the adjoint of \(\pi\) is an isometry \(\pi' : (K_2^2)' \to \ell^\infty\) and by construction \(\text{Im } \pi'\) has the HB-property in \(\ell^\infty\). But it will follow from Theorem 3.3 that it is not orthocomplemented in \(\ell^\infty\).

However we do have the following related statement.

**Proposition 2.4.**

i) If \(D\) is a closed subspace of \(E\) of finite codimension and if all hyperplanes \(H\) containing \(D\) are strict (orthocomplemented) in \(E\), then \(D\) is orthocomplemented in \(E\).

ii) If \(D\) is a finite-dimensional subspace of \(E\) and if every one-dimensional subspace of \(D\) has the HB-property (is orthocomplemented) in \(E\), then \(D\) is orthocomplemented in \(E\).
Proof.

i) For a proof by induction with respect to the codimension of $D$ it suffices to show that, for closed subspaces $D_1, D_2$ of finite codimension, containing $D$ from

\[
D_1 \subset D_2, \dim D_2/D_1 = 1 \text{ and } D_2 \text{ is orthocomplemented in } E,
\]

it follows that $D_1$ is orthocomplemented in $E$.

To see that, let $P$ be an orthoprojection from $E$ onto $D_2$. Then, $\dim \ker P = \text{codim } D_1 - 1$ and so $D_1 + \ker P$ is a closed hyperplane of $E$. There is an orthoprojection $Q$ from $E$ onto $D_1 + \ker P$. Hence, $PQ$ is an orthoprojection from $E$ onto $D_1$.

ii) Almost identical to the proof of Lemma 4.35,iii) of [7].

The next two Propositions stress the duality between strictness and the HB-property.

**Proposition 2.5.** For a closed subspace $D$ of $E$ and its polar $D^0$ we have

i) If $D$ is orthocomplemented in $E$, then $D^0$ is orthocomplemented in $E'$.

ii) If $D$ has the HB-property in $E$, then $D^0$ is strict in $E'$.

iii) If $D$ is strict in $E$ and $E/D$ is reflexive, then $D^0$ has the HB-property in $E'$.

**Proof.**

i) If $S$ is an orthogonal complement of $D$ in $E$, then $S^0$ is an orthogonal complement of $D^0$ in $E'$.

ii) If $i : D \hookrightarrow E$ is the canonical inclusion then its adjoint $i' : E' \to D'$ is a strict map. Hence, its kernel, $D^0$, is strict in $E'$.

iii) The quotient map $\pi_E : E \to E/D$ has an isometrical adjoint $\pi'_E : (E/D)' \to E'$ for which $\pi'_E((E/D)') = D^0$. Hence, to show that $D^0$ has the HB-property in $E'$ it suffices to prove that for any $\varphi \in (E/D)'$ there exists a $\hat{\varphi} \in E''$ such $\|\hat{\varphi}\| = \|\varphi\|$ and $\hat{\varphi} \circ \pi'_E = \varphi$. By the reflexivity of $E/D$, there is a $z \in E/D$ such that $\varphi = J_{E/D}(z)$ and $\|z\| = \|\varphi\|$. Also, by strictness there is an $x \in E$ with $\pi_E(x) = z$ and $\|x\| = \|z\|$. Then, $\hat{\varphi} = J_E(x)$ satisfies the required conditions.

Now, we consider the converse of Proposition 2.5.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let $D$ be a closed subspace of $E$.

i) Let $D^0$ be orthocomplemented (resp. $D^0$ have the HB-property in $E$). If in addition $E$ is reflexive and $D$ is weakly closed then $D$ is orthocomplemented (resp. $D$ is strict) in $E$.

ii) If $D^0$ is strict in $E'$ and $D$ has the HB$^+$-property in $E$, then $D$ has the HB-property in $E$.  
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Proof.
i) By the previous Proposition the bipolar of $D$, $D^{00}$, is orthocomplemented (strict) in $E''$. By reflexivity and weak closedness $D$ is orthocomplemented (strict) in $E$.

ii) Let $i' : E' \to D'$ be the adjoint map of the canonical inclusion $i : D \to E$ and let $\rho : D' \to E'/D^0$ the natural map making the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
E' & \xrightarrow{i'} & D' \\
\pi_{E'} \downarrow & \nearrow \rho \\
E'/D^0 & \\
\end{array}
$$

commute. It follows easily from the HB+-property of $D$ that $\rho$ is an isometrical isomorphism. Now, $\pi_{E'}$ is strict. Hence, so is $i'$, i.e. $D$ has the HB-property.

Although in the above results the HB-property and strictness seem dual properties, sometimes they have similar behaviour. This is the case in the next few propositions.

Observe that if $D$ is a closed subspace of $E$ and $S$ is a closed subspace of $D$, then we have in a natural way the following commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
D & \xrightarrow{i_1} & E \\
\downarrow \pi_D & & \downarrow \pi_E \\
D/S & \xrightarrow{i_2} & E/S \\
\end{array}
$$

where $i_1$, $\pi_E$, $\pi_D$ are the obvious maps and $i_2$ makes the diagram commute.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let $D$ be a closed subspace of $E$ and let $S$ be a closed subspace of $D$. If $D$ is strict (resp. has the HB-property, is orthocomplemented) in $E$, then $i_2(D/S)$ is strict (resp. has the HB-property, is orthocomplemented) in $E/S$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $D$ is strict. Let $x \in E$. There is a $d \in D$ such that

$$
\|x - i_1(d)\| \leq \|x - i_1(d')\| \quad (d' \in D).
$$

Now, for all $s' \in S$, $d' \in D$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\pi_E(x) - i_2\pi_D(d)\| &= \|\pi_E(x) - \pi_E(i_1(d))\| \\
&\leq \|x - i_1(d)\| \leq \|x - i_1(d') - s'\|
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, $\|\pi_E(x) - i_2\pi_D(d)\| \leq \|\pi_E(x) - i_2\pi_D(d')\|$ for all $d' \in D$ and we see that the distance of $\pi_E(x)$ to $i_2(D/S)$ is attained, which means that $i_2(D/S)$ is strict in $E/S$. 
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Now, assume that \( D \) has the HB-property and let \( f \in (D/S)' \). Then \( f \circ \pi_D \in D' \) so by assumption there is a \( g \in E' \) such that \( \|g\| = \|f \circ \pi_D\| = \|f\| \) and \( g \circ i_1 = f \circ \pi_D \). Since \( S \subseteq \text{Ker} \ g \) there is a unique \( \tilde{f} \in (E/S)' \) such that \( \tilde{f} \circ \pi_E = g \) (see the diagram).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
D \\ \pi_D \downarrow \\
\downarrow{i_1} E \\
\pi_E \\
\downarrow{\pi_D} D/S \\
\downarrow{i_2} E/S
\end{array}
\]

One verifies without pain that then also \( \tilde{f} \circ i_2 = f \) and that \( \|\tilde{f}\| = \|f\| \).

Finally, suppose that \( D \) is orthocomplemented and let \( P : E \to D \) be an orthoprojection from \( E \) onto \( D \). Since \( S \subseteq \text{Ker}(\pi_D \circ P) \), there is a unique continuous linear map \( Q : E/S \to D/S \) such that \( Q \circ \pi_E = \pi_D \circ P \) and \( \|Q\| \leq 1 \). Also, \( Q \circ i_2 \pi_D(x) = \pi_D(x) \) for all \( x \in D \). So, since \( \pi_D \) is surjective, we conclude that \( Q \circ i_2 \) is the identity on \( D/S \), which implies that \( i_2(D/S) \) is orthocomplemented in \( E/S \).

A partial converse of Proposition 2.7 is the following.

**Proposition 2.8.** Let \( D \) be a closed subspace of \( E \). If for each closed subspace \( S \) of \( D \) with \( \dim D/S = 1 \) we have that \( i_2(D/S) \) has the HB-property in \( E/S \), then \( D \) has the HB-property in \( E \).

**Proof.** Let \( f \in D' \setminus \{0\} \) and let \( S = \text{Ker} \ f \). Then \( f = \rho_1 \circ \pi_D \) where \( \rho_1 : D/S \to K \) is a similarity (i.e. there exists a nonzero real number \( c \) such that \( |\rho_1(z)| = c\|z\| \) for all \( z \in D/S \)). By assumption and Corollary 2.2, there is an orthoprojection \( \rho_2 : E/S \to D/S \) such that \( \rho_2 \circ i_2 \) is the identity on \( D/S \). Now set \( \tilde{f} = \rho_1 \cdot \rho_2 \circ \pi_E \). Then, \( \|\tilde{f}\| = \|f\| \) and \( \tilde{f} \circ i_1 = f \), and we are done.

**Remark 2.9.** Putting together Propositions 2.7 and 2.8 we derive that a closed subspace \( D \) of \( E \) has the HB-property in \( E \) iff for every closed hyperplane \( S \) of \( D \), \( i_2(D/S) \) has the HB-property in \( E/S \). (Compare with Theorem 2.3 of [1]).

Observe that if \( S, D \) are closed subspaces of \( E \) with \( S \subseteq D \), then the formula

\[
\pi_{E/D}(\pi_1(x)) = \pi_2 \circ \pi_E(x) \quad (x \in E)
\]

defines an isometrical isomorphism \( \pi_{E/D} : E/D \to (E/S)/(D/S) \) making the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
D \\ \pi_D \downarrow \\
\downarrow{i_1} E \\
\pi_E \\
\downarrow{\pi_D} D/S \\
\downarrow{i_2} E/S
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

(\( I \))
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Proposition 2.10 Let $S \subset D$ be closed subspaces of $E$. If $S$ is strict (resp. has the HB-property, is orthocomplemented) in $E$ and $D/S$ is strict (resp. has the HB-property, is orthocomplemented) in $E/S$, then $D$ is strict (resp. has the HB-property, is orthocomplemented) in $E$.

Proof.

a) Strictness: Let $z \in E/D$. Then, in the diagram (I), $\pi_{E/D}(z)$ admits a $y \in E/S$ such that $\pi_2(y) = \pi_{E/D}(z)$ and $\|y\| = \|\pi_{E/D}(z)\| = \|z\|$. Also, there is an $z \in E$ with $\pi_E(x) = y$ and $\|x\| = \|y\|$. Then, $\pi_1(x) = z$ and $\|x\| = \|y\| = \|z\|$. Hence, $D$ is strict in $E$.

b) HB-property: Let $f \in D'$ and let $g \in E'$ be such that the restrictions $g|S$ and $f|S$ coincide and $\|g\| = \|f|S\|$. Now consider $h = f - g|D \in D'$. Since $h = 0$ on $S$ there is a $h_1 \in (D/S)'$ with $h = h_1 \circ \pi_D$ and $\|h_1\| = \|h\|$. By assumption $h_1$ extends to a $h_2 \in (E/S)'$ (i.e. $h_2 \circ i_2 = h_1$) with $\|h_2\| = \|h_1\|$ (see the diagram).

Now set $j = h_2 \circ \pi_E$. We have that $\|j\| \leq \|f\|$ and $j \circ i_1 = h$. Then, $\tilde{f} = j + g$ is a continuous linear extension of $f$ with $\|\tilde{f}\| = \|f\|$ and we are done.

c) Orthocomplementation: By using diagram (I), there is by assumption a $\rho_2 : (E/S)/(D/S) \rightarrow E/S$ such that $\pi_2 \circ \rho_2$ is the identity and also a $\rho_1 : E/S \rightarrow E$ such that $\pi_E \circ \rho_1$ is the identity, $\rho_1$ and $\rho_2$ being linear isometries. Now define $\tau : E/D \rightarrow E$ by $\tau = \rho_1 \circ \rho_2 \circ \pi_{E/D}$. We have that $\tau$ is a linear isometry for which $\pi_{E/D} \circ (\pi_1 \circ \tau) = \pi_{E/D}$, and so $\pi_1 \circ \tau$ is the identity.

3. Finite-(co)dimensional orthocomplemented subspaces of $\ell^\infty$

As we have already announced in the Preliminaries,

FROM NOW ON IN THIS PAPER (EXCEPT IN 3.2) WE ASSUME THAT $K$ IS NOT SPHERICALLY COMPLETE.
The results given in §2 can be applied now to obtain several descriptions of the finite-(co)dimensional subspaces of \( \ell^\infty \) that have an orthogonal complement.

For subspaces of finite codimension the situation is satisfactory.

**Proposition 3.1.** Every closed finite-codimensional subspace of \( \ell^\infty \) is orthocomplemented.

**Proof.** By reflexivity the map \( D \to D^0 \) is a bijection between the set of all finite-dimensional subspaces of \( c_0 \) and the set of all finite-codimensional subspaces of \( \ell^\infty \). Since every finite-dimensional subspace of \( c_0 \) is orthocomplemented, we can apply Propositions 2.5 and 2.6 to derive our conclusion.

**Remark 3.2.** If \( K \) is spherically complete the conclusion above no longer holds.

Indeed, suppose that the valuation on \( K \) is dense. Let \( X \) be a maximal orthogonal subset of \( \ell^\infty \) and let \( H \) be a closed hyperplane of \( \ell^\infty \) containing \( X \). Then \( H \) is not orthocomplemented in \( \ell^\infty \).

The pictures changes when we consider finite-dimensional subspaces of \( \ell^\infty \).

**Theorem 3.3.** For a finite-dimensional subspace \( D \) of \( \ell^\infty \), the following properties are equivalent.

i) \( D \) is orthocomplemented in \( \ell^\infty \).

ii) Every one-dimensional subspace of \( D \) is orthocomplemented (has the H.B-property) in \( \ell^\infty \).

iii) For each \( x = (x_n) \in D \), \( \max_n |x_n| \) exists.

**Proof.** i) \( \Rightarrow \) ii): By Proposition 2.5, there exists an orthogonal complement \( S \) of \( D^0 \) in \( c_0 \). Then, \( D \cong S \) in a natural way, and since \( S \) is finite-dimensional, there is an \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( D \cong K^n \). So, every one-dimensional subspace of \( D \) is orthocomplemented in \( D \) (and hence in \( \ell^\infty \), by i)).

ii) \( \Rightarrow \) i): It follows from Proposition 2.4 ii).

ii) \( \Leftrightarrow \) iii): Let \( f \in c_0^* \). By Propositions 2.5 and 2.6 we have that \( Kf \) is orthocomplemented in \( c_0^* \) iff \( \ker f \) is orthocomplemented in \( \ell^\infty \), and this happens iff \( \|f\| = \max \{|f(x)| : \|x\| \leq 1\} \) (Remark 2.4.1). So, we conclude that \( Kf \) is orthocomplemented in \( c_0^* \) iff \( \|f\| = \max |f(e_n)| \) (where \( e_1, e_2, \ldots \) is the canonical base of \( c_0 \)). This is precisely ii) \( \Leftrightarrow \) iii) (Recall that \( c_0^* \cong \ell^\infty \), [7]. Exercise 3.9.i))

For one-dimensional subspaces we prove the following curious Theorem, which will be useful in the sequel.

**Theorem 3.4.** A one-dimensional subspace of \( \ell^\infty \) is strict iff it is orthocomplemented.
Proof. Clearly the orthocomplementation property implies strictness (see the Preliminaries).

Now suppose that \( D = Kx \) (\( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots) \in \ell^\infty, x \neq 0 \)). If \( D \) is not orthocomplemented then \( |x_n| < \|x\| \) for all \( n \) (Theorem 3.3). We are going to prove that there exists a \( y \in \ell^\infty \) such that the linear hull \([x, y]\) of \( \{x, y\} \) has no orthogonal base and by Proposition 1.2 we are done.

Let \( K = B_0 \) and let \( B_1 \supset B_2 \supset \ldots \) be bounded discs in \( K \) whose intersection is empty. For each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) let \( r_n = \text{diam } B_n \) (the diameter of \( B_n \)). Define a function \( \varphi : K \to [0, +\infty) \) by the formula

\[
\varphi(\lambda) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \text{dist}(\lambda, B_n) \quad (\lambda \in K).
\]

Then \( \inf \{\varphi(\lambda) : \lambda \in K\} = d \), where \( d = \lim_{n \to \infty} r_n > 0 \), but \( d \) is not attained (observe that \( d \neq r_n \) for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \)). We shall construct \( c_1, c_2, \ldots \in K \) such that

\[
\|y - \lambda x\| = \varphi(\lambda)\|x\| \quad (\lambda \in K)
\]

with \( y := (c_1x_1, c_2x_2, \ldots) \) (Then, \( \text{dist}(y, Kx) \) is not attained and it follows easily that \([x, y]\) has no orthogonal base).

Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). If \( x_n = 0 \) we set \( c_n = 0 \). Now let \( x_n \neq 0 \). Then, we may choose a \( k(n) \in \mathbb{N} \) for which

\[
r_{k(n)} \leq \frac{\|x\|d}{|x_n|} \quad (II)
\]

and take \( c_n \in B_{k(n)} \setminus B_{k(n)+1} \).

Now let \( \lambda \in K \). First we prove that \( \|y - \lambda x\| \leq \varphi(\lambda)\|x\| \), i.e. that, for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[
|c_n - \lambda| |x_n| \leq \varphi(\lambda)\|x\|.
\]

This is obvious when \( x_n = 0 \), so let \( x_n \neq 0 \). There is a unique \( m \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\} \) such that \( \lambda \in B_m \setminus B_{m+1} \). We distinguish two cases.

a) \( m \geq k(n) \). Then \( c_n \in B_{k(n)} \) and \( \lambda \in B_m \subset B_{k(n)} \). Hence, by (II) we obtain

\[
|c_n - \lambda| |x_n| \leq r_{k(n)}|x_n| \leq \|x\|\varphi(\lambda).
\]

b) \( m < k(n) \). Then \( c_n \in B_{k(n)} \subset B_{m+1} \) while \( \lambda \notin B_{m+1} \) so that \( |c_n - \lambda| = \varphi(\lambda) \) and

\[
|c_n - \lambda| |x_n| = \varphi(\lambda)|x_n| \leq \varphi(\lambda)\|x\|.
\]

To finish, we prove that \( \|y - \lambda x\| \geq \varphi(\lambda)\|x\| \). Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Without loss we can assume \( \varepsilon < r_m - d \). From our assumption on \( x \) it follows that \( J := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|x\|d < |x_n|(d + \varepsilon)\} \) is infinite. If \( n \in J \), then by (II)

\[
r_{k(n)} < d + \varepsilon < r_m
\]
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so that \( k(n) > m \). Thus we are in case b) of above, so \( |c_n - \lambda| |x_n| > \frac{4}{2 + \varepsilon} \varphi(\lambda) \|x\| \) and we are done.

**Remark 3.5.** Taking into account Corollary 2.2 and Theorem 3.4, for a one-dimensional subspace \( D \) of \( \ell^\infty \) one verifies

- \( D \) is orthocomplemented \( \iff \) \( D \) is strict \( \iff \) \( D \) has the HB-property.

We know that the implication

- \( D \) has the HB-property \( \Rightarrow \) \( D \) is orthocomplemented

does not hold for every finite-dimensional subspace \( D \) of \( \ell^\infty \). Next we will see (Corollary 3.7) that the implication

- \( D \) is strict \( \Rightarrow \) \( D \) has the HB-property

holds for every finite-dimensional (in fact for every weakly closed subspace) \( D \) of \( \ell^\infty \).

This will be a consequence of the following result.

**Theorem 3.6.** (Compare Theorem 2.3 of [5]). Let \( M \) be a closed subspace of \( \ell^\infty \). The following are equivalent.

i) \( M \) is weakly closed in \( \ell^\infty \).

ii) \( \ell^\infty / M \cong K^n \) for some \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) or \( \ell^\infty / M \cong \ell^\infty \).

iii) \( \ell^\infty / M \) is reflexive.

iv) For every (for some) closed subspace \( S \) of \( M \) with \( \dim M / S = 1 \), \( S \) is weakly closed in \( \ell^\infty \).

**Proof.** The implications ii) \( \Rightarrow \) iii) and iii) \( \Rightarrow \) i) are obvious.

i)\( \Rightarrow \)ii): For a closed subspace \( D \) of \( c_0 \) the adjoint of the inclusion map \( D \rightarrow c_0 \) is a quotient map, so \( D' \cong c_0 / D^0 \). By applying this for \( D := M^0 \) and by using \( M^{00} = M \) we obtain \( (M^0)' \cong c_0 / M^{00} \cong \ell^\infty / M \). Since \( M^0 \) is a closed subspace of \( c_0 \), we have that \( M^0 \cong K^n \) for some \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) (and so \( \ell^\infty / M \cong K^n \)) or \( M^0 \cong c_0 \) (and so \( \ell^\infty / M \cong \ell^\infty \)).

i)\( \Rightarrow \)iv): If \( S \) is a closed subspace of \( M \) with \( \dim M / S = 1 \), then \( S \) is weakly closed in \( M \). By (c)\( \Rightarrow \) (h) in Theorem 2.3 of [5], it follows that \( S \) is also weakly closed in \( \ell^\infty \).

iv)\( \Rightarrow \)i): Let \( S \) be a closed subspace of \( M \) as in iv). Since \( (\ell^\infty / S)' \) separates the points of \( \ell^\infty / S \) and \( \dim M / S = 1 \), we have that \( ((\ell^\infty / S) / (M / S))' \) separates also the points of \( (\ell^\infty / S) / (M / S) \) which is isometrically isomorphic to \( \ell^\infty / M \) (see diagram (I)). Hence, \( M \) is weakly closed in \( \ell^\infty \).

**Corollary 3.7.** If \( D \) is a weakly closed subspace of \( \ell^\infty \) and \( D \) is strict in \( \ell^\infty \), then \( D \) has the HB-property in \( \ell^\infty \).

**Proof.** Let \( S \) be a closed subspace of \( D \) with \( \dim D / S = 1 \). It suffices to prove that \( i_2(D / S) \) has the HB-property in \( \ell^\infty / S \) (Proposition 2.8).
By strictness and Proposition 2.7, \( i_2(D/S) \) is a one-dimensional and strict sub-
space of \( \ell^\infty/S \). But \( \ell^\infty/S \cong K^n \) for some \( n \) or \( \ell^\infty/S \cong \ell^\infty \) (Theorem 3.6). Now, the conclusion follows by Theorem 3.4.

**Remark 3.8.** Looking at Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.7 the following question arises
in a natural way.

**Problem 1.** Is every finite-dimensional and strict subspace of \( \ell^\infty \) orthocomplemented
in \( \ell^\infty \)?

Observe that this problem is equivalent to each one of the following questions.

**Problem 2.** Let \( D \) be a finite-dimensional strict subspace of \( \ell^\infty \). Is there any one-
dimensional subspace \( K \perp (x \in D \setminus \{0\}) \) of \( D \) that is strict (orthocomplemented) in \( \ell^\infty \),
i.e. \( \|x\| = \max_n |x_n| \)?

**Problem 3.** Let \( D \) be a finite-dimensional strict subspace of \( \ell^\infty \), \( \dim D \geq 2 \). Is there
any closed subspace \( G \) of \( D \) with \( 0 \subsetneq G \subsetneq D \) such that \( G \) is strict (orthocomplemented) in \( \ell^\infty \)?

Indeed, it follows by Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 that if Problem 1 has an affirmative
answer then so has Problem 2. Also, it is obvious to pass from Problem 2 to Problem 3.
Finally, suppose that Problem 3 has an affirmative answer. We prove by induction that
Problem 1 has also an affirmative answer. Let \( D \) be a \( n \)-dimensional strict subspace of \( \ell^\infty \). We may assume that \( n \geq 2 \) (Theorem 3.4). Let \( 0 \subsetneq G \subsetneq D \) be such that \( G \) is strict
(and hence orthocomplemented, by the induction hypothesis) in \( \ell^\infty \). Since \( D/G \) is strict
in \( \ell^\infty/G \) (Proposition 2.7) and \( \ell^\infty/G \cong \ell^\infty \) (Theorem 3.6) it follows by the induction hypothesis that \( D/G \) is orthocomplemented in \( \ell^\infty/G \). Now the orthocomplementation of \( D \) follows from Proposition 2.10.

## 4. **FINITE-(CO)DIMENSIONAL ORTHOCOMPLEMENTED
SUBSPACES OF \( c_0 \)**

It is well known that every finite-dimensional subspace of \( c_0 \) is orthocomplemented
(see [7]).

We now translate the results we have found in the above section about orthocomple-
mented finite-dimensional subspaces of \( \ell^\infty \) into statements about finite-codimensional
subspaces of \( c_0 \). The next lemma, which is a direct consequence of Propositions 2.5 and
2.6, contains the key to do that.
Lemma 4.1. Let $D$ be a closed subspace of $c_0$ (resp. a weakly closed subspace of $\ell^\infty$). Then,

$$
D \begin{cases}
\text{is orthocomplemented} \\
\text{is strict} \\
\text{has the HB-property}
\end{cases} \text{ in } c_0 \text{ (resp. in } \ell^\infty)$$

$$
D^0 \begin{cases}
\text{is orthocomplemented} \\
\text{has the HB-property} \\
\text{is strict}
\end{cases} \text{ in } \ell^\infty \text{ (resp. in } c_0),
$$

(observe that every weakly closed subspace of $\ell^\infty$ has the HB$^+$-property, [5], Theorem 2.3).

Theorem 3.3 admits the following "dual":

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $S$ be a closed subspace of $c_0$ with finite codimension. Then the following properties are equivalent

i) $S$ is orthocomplemented in $c_0$.

ii) Every hyperplane containing $S$ is orthocomplemented (strict) in $c_0$.

iii) If $f \in c_0$ and $f = 0$ on $S$, then $\|f\| = \max_n |f(e_n)|$ (where $e_1, e_2, \ldots$ is the canonical base of $c_0$).

Analogously, Theorem 3.4 converts into the following result for closed hyperplanes of $c_0$.

**Theorem 4.3.** A closed hyperplane in $c_0$ has the HB-property in $c_0$ iff it is orthocomplemented in $c_0$.

In the same line, from Corollary 3.7 we deduce

**Corollary 4.4.** Every closed subspace of $c_0$ with the HB-property in $c_0$, is strict in $c_0$.

Finally, Problems 1-3 of the previous section give rise to the following equivalent questions.

Let $S$ be a closed subspace of $c_0$ that has finite codimension and the HB-property in $c_0$.

**Problem I.** Is $S$ orthocomplemented in $c_0$?

**Problem II.** Is there any closed hyperplane $H$ in $c_0$ with $H \supset S$ such that $H$ has the HB-property (is orthocomplemented) in $c_0$?
Problem III. If $2 \leq \text{codim} \, S$, is there a closed subspace $T$ of $c_0$ with $S \nsubseteq T \nsubseteq c_0$ such that $T$ has the HB-property (is orthocomplemented) in $c_0$?

5. SOME CONSEQUENCES AND REMARKS

Next we shall apply the results proved in the previous sections to study orthocomplementation for arbitrary closed subspaces of $\ell^\infty$ and $c_0$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $D$ be a closed subspace of $\ell^\infty$. Then the following are equivalent.

i) $D$ is orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty$.

ii) $D \cong K^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $D \cong \ell^\infty$ and $D$ is strict (has the HB-property) in $\ell^\infty$.

iii) $D$ is weakly closed and strict (has the HB-property) in $\ell^\infty$ and $D'$ has an orthogonal base.

iv) $D$ is weakly closed and for every closed subspace $F$ of $D$ with $\dim D/F < \infty$, $D/F$ is orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty/F$.

v) $D$ is strict and there exists a closed subspace $F$ of $D$ with $\dim D/F = 1$ such that $F$ is orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty$.

vi) There exists a closed subspace $F$ of $D$ with $\dim D/F = 1$ such that $F$ is orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty$ and $D/F$ is orthocomplemented (strict) in $\ell^\infty/F$.

Proof. i)$\Rightarrow$ii): Clearly $D$ is strict and weakly closed. By Corollary 3.7, $D$ has the HB-property in $\ell^\infty$.

Also, $D'$ is isometrically isomorphic to a closed subspace of $c_0$ and so $D' \cong K^n$ (for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$) or $D' \cong c_0$. Since $D$ is reflexive ([5], Lemma 2.2) we derive that $D \cong K^n$ or $D \cong \ell^\infty$.

ii)$\Rightarrow$iii): Follows from Theorem 2.3 of [5] and Corollary 3.7.

iii)$\Rightarrow$i): By reflexivity of $D$ ([5], Lemma 2.2), $D \cong \ell^\infty(I; s)$ for some set $I$ and some $s : I \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$. Now, apply Proposition 2.1.

i)$\Rightarrow$iv): Follows from Proposition 2.7.

iv)$\Rightarrow$iii): By Proposition 2.8, $D$ has the HB-property in $\ell^\infty$.

On the other hand, since $D' \cong c_0/D^0$ is of countable type, it is enough to see that every finite-dimensional subspace $G$ of $c_0/D^0$ has an orthogonal base. Let $\pi_0 : c_0 \rightarrow c_0/D^0$ be the canonical surjection. There is a finite-dimensional subspace $M$ of $c_0$ with $\pi_0(M) = G$. Since $D^0 + M$ is weakly closed in $c_0$ ([7], Lemma 3.14 and [3], Theorem 4.7), there exists a weakly closed subspace $S$ of $\ell^\infty$ such that $D^0 + M = S^0$.

By assumption and Proposition 2.7 we conclude that $D^0$ is orthocomplemented in $S^0$ (observe that $(\ell^\infty/S)' \cong S^0$ and under this isometry $(D/S)^0$ maps onto $D^0$). Then, there is a closed subspace $M_1$ of $c_0$ which is an orthogonal complement of $D^0$ in $S^0$. In
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particular, \(D^0 + M = D^0 + M_1\). So, \(\pi_0(M_1) = G\). But \(M_1\), being a subspace of \(c_0\), has an orthogonal base. Hence, so has \(G\).

i)⇒v): Clearly \(D\) is strict in \(\ell^\infty\).

Now, let \(F\) be a closed subspace of \(D\) with \(\dim D/F = 1\). By i)⇒ii) and Proposition 3.1 it follows that \(F\) is orthocomplemented in \(D\) (and hence in \(\ell^\infty\)).

v)⇒vi): Let \(F\) be a closed subspace of \(D\) with \(\dim D/F = 1\). By strictness of \(D\) and Proposition 2.7 it follows that \(D/F\) is strict in \(\ell^\infty/F\). Since \(F\) is weakly closed in \(\ell^\infty\), we can apply Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.6 i)⇒ii) to conclude that \(D/F\) is orthocomplemented in \(\ell^\infty/F\).

vi)⇒i): Follows by Proposition 2.10.

Recall that an absolutely convex set \(A\) of a locally convex space over \(K\) is called:

a) \(c'\)-compact: if for each neighbourhood \(U\) of 0 there exists a finite set \(B \subset A\) such that \(A \subset U + \co B\) (where \(\co B\) is the absolutely convex hull of \(B\)).

b) \(KM\)-compactoid: if it is complete and there exists a compact set \(X \subset A\) such that \(A\) is the closed absolutely convex hull of \(X\) (for the general properties of such sets see [4]).

By using Proposition 2.3 of [2] and a proof similar to the one given for (d) ⇔ (i) in Theorem 2.3 of [5], it is not difficult to obtain the following.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let \(D\) be a closed subspace of \(\ell^\infty\). Then, properties i) - vi) of Theorem 5.1 are equivalent to

vii) \(D\) is strict (has the HB-property) in \(\ell^\infty\) and \(B_D = \{x \in D : \|x\| \leq 1\}\) is weakly \(KM\)-compactoid in \(\ell^\infty\).

viii) \(D\) is strict (has the HB-property) in \(\ell^\infty\) and \(B_D\) is weakly closed and weakly \(c'\)-compact in \(\ell^\infty\).

As in section 4, we can now dualize Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 to describe the orthocomplemented subspaces of \(c_0\).

Observe that as a direct consequence of Propositions 2.7 and 2.8, we have

**Lemma 5.3.** Let \(D\) be a weakly closed subspace of \(\ell^\infty\) and let \(F\) be a closed subspace of \(D\) with \(\dim D/F < \infty\) (so, \(F\) is weakly closed, Theorem 3.6). Then, \(D/F\) is orthocomplemented (resp. is strict, has the HB-property) in \(\ell^\infty/F\) iff \(D^0\) is orthocomplemented (resp. has the HB-property, is strict) in \(F^0\).

Then, putting together Lemmas 4.1 and 5.3 we have that Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 convert into the following descriptions of the orthocomplemented subspaces of \(c_0\).

**Theorem 5.4.** For a closed subspace \(S\) of \(c_0\) the following properties are equivalent.
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i) \( S \) is orthocomplemented in \( c_0 \).

ii) \( c_0/S \cong \mathbb{K}^n \) for some \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) or \( c_0/S \cong c_0 \) and \( S \) has the HB-property (is strict) in \( c_0 \).

iii) \( S \) has the HB-property (is strict) in \( c_0 \) and \( c_0/S \) has an orthogonal base.

iv) \( S \) is orthocomplemented in any closed subspace \( T \) of \( c_0 \) with \( T \supset S \) and \( \dim T/S < \infty \).

v) \( S \) has the HB-property in \( c_0 \) and there exists a closed subspace \( T \) of \( c_0 \) with \( T \supset S \) and \( \dim T/S = 1 \) such that \( T \) is orthocomplemented in \( c_0 \).

vi) There exists a closed subspace \( T \) of \( c_0 \) with \( T \supset S \) and \( \dim T/S = 1 \) such that \( S \) is orthocomplemented in \( T \) and \( T \) is orthocomplemented in \( c_0 \).

vii) \( S \) has the HB-property (is strict) in \( c_0 \) and \( B_{(c_0/S)'} \) is weakly-\( \ast \) KM-compactoid in \( (c_0/S)' \).

viii) \( S \) has the HB-property (is strict) in \( c_0 \) and \( B_{(c_0/S)'} \) is weakly-\( \ast \) \( c' \)-compact in \( (c_0/S)' \).

**Remarks 5.5.**

1. There is a closed subspace \( D \) of \( \ell^\infty \) with \( D \cong \ell^\infty \) (and hence \( D \) is weakly closed [5], Theorem 2.3) such that \( D \) is not orthocomplemented in \( \ell^\infty \).

**Example:** Choose \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots \) in \( \mathbb{K} \) with \( 0 < |\lambda_1| < |\lambda_2| < \ldots \uparrow 1 \). There are \( z_1, z_2, \ldots \) in \( c_0 \) with \( |\lambda_1| \leq \|z_i\| < 1 \) for all \( i \) such that every \( x \in c_0 \) with \( \|x\| < 1 \) can be written as \( x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i z_i \) where \( |\mu_i| \leq 1 \) for all \( i \) and \( \mu_i \to 0 \). Now, the map \( T : c_0 \to c_0 \) given by \( T(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i| z_i \) is a continuous linear function mapping \( \{x \in c_0 : \|x\| \leq 1\} \) onto \( \{x \in c_0 : \|x\| < 1\} \) if \( x \in c_0 \) is such that \( \|Tx\| = 1 \), then \( \|x\| > 1 \). So \( T \) (and hence \( \text{Ker} \ T \)) is not strict. Thus, \( D = (\text{Ker} \ T)^0 \) satisfies the required conditions (Lemma 4.1).

2. There exists a closed subspace \( D \) of \( \ell^\infty \) such that \( D \cong \mathbb{K} \) (hence \( D \) is weakly closed) and such that \( D \) is not orthocomplemented in \( \ell^\infty \).

**Example:** We know (Remark 2.3.3) that there exists a linear isometry \( i \) from \( K^2_\mathbb{P} \) into \( \ell^\infty \) (Recall that \( K^2_\mathbb{P} \cong (K^2_\mathbb{P})' \)). Since \( K^2_\mathbb{P} \) does not contain non-trivially mutually orthogonal elements, we derive that every one-dimensional subspace \( D \) of \( K^2_\mathbb{P} \) satisfies our requirements.

3. There exists a closed subspace \( D \) of \( \ell^\infty \) with the HB-property in \( \ell^\infty \) such that \( D' \) has an orthogonal base but \( D \) is not orthocomplemented in \( \ell^\infty \).

**Example:** Take for \( D \) the closed subspace of \( \ell^\infty \) constructed in [7], 4.J (observe that since \( D \) is not reflexive, it is not orthocomplemented in \( \ell^\infty \)).

4. Looking at Theorem 5.1 and the above Remark the following question arises in a natural way.
Problem. Can we without harm remove the weak closedness of $D$ in property iii) (when $D$ is strict) or in property iv) of Theorem 5.1?

5. There is a weakly closed subspace $D$ of $\ell^\infty$ such that $D'$ has an orthogonal base but $D$ is not orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty$.

Example: Take $D = H^0$, where $H$ is a closed hyperplane of $c_0$ which is not orthocomplemented in $c_0$ and apply Lemma 4.1.

6. There is a finite-dimensional (and hence weakly closed) subspace $D$ of $\ell^\infty$ such that $D$ has the HB-property in $\ell^\infty$ but is not orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty$.

Example: See Remark 2.3.3.

7. Finally observe that Problems 1-3 appearing in Remark 3.8 are equivalent to

Problem 4. Let $D$ be a weakly closed subspace of $\ell^\infty$ such that $D$ is strict and has the HB-property in $\ell^\infty$. Does it follow that $D$ is orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty$?

Indeed, clearly if Problem 4 has an affirmative answer then so has Problem 1 (recall that every finite-dimensional and strict subspace of $\ell^\infty$ has the HB-property in $\ell^\infty$, Corollary 3.7).

Conversely, assume Problem 1 has an affirmative answer and let $D$ be a weakly closed subspace of $\ell^\infty$ such that $D$ is strict. Let $F$ be a closed subspace of $D$ with $\dim D/F < \infty$. By Theorem 5.1 i) $\iff$ iv) it is enough to prove that $D/F$ is orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty/F$. For that observe that it follows from Proposition 2.7 that $D/F$ is a one-dimensional and strict subspace of $\ell^\infty/F$. But $F$ is weakly closed in $\ell^\infty$ and so $\ell^\infty/F \simeq K^n$ (for some $n$) or $\ell^\infty/F \simeq \ell^\infty$ (Theorem 3.6). By assumption $D/F$ is orthocomplemented in $\ell^\infty/F$ and we are done.
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