Introduction.

In the sequel, $K$ is a non-archimedean, non-trivially valued field, that is complete under the metric induced by the valuation. The residue class field of $K$ is denoted by $k$. $X$ will always be a closed, non-empty subset of $K$ without isolated points (except in 2.2, if you want).

Since $K$ admits no ordering in the usual sense it is not possible to define monotone functions $X \rightarrow K$ just by taking over the classical definitions. Thus, our procedure will be to try and find statements for functions $R \rightarrow R$ equivalent to monotony, and formulated in terms that are translatable to $K$. This way we will obtain several definitions of "$f : X \rightarrow K$ is monotone", that are, although not equivalent, closely related.

The connections between these various definitions and the properties of the non-archimedean monotone functions can be put together to form a little theory which is interesting in its own right, but of which the relations to the other parts of $p$-adic analysis are yet not very tight.

1. Monotone functions.

For a function $f : R \rightarrow R$ the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) $f$ is monotone (in the non-strict sense),

(b) If $C \subseteq R$ is convex then $f^{-1}(C)$ is convex,

(c) If $x$ is between $y$, $z$ then $f(x)$ is between $f(y)$ and $f(z)$.

Also, the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) $f$ is strictly monotone,

(b) $f$ is injective. If $C \subseteq R$ is convex then $f(C)$ is relatively convex in $f(R)$,

(c) If $f(x)$ is between $f(y)$ and $f(z)$ then $x$ is between $y$ and $z$.

Let $x, y \in K$. Then the smallest ball that contains $x$, $y$ is denoted by $[x, y]$. $z \in K$ is between $x$ and $y$ if $z \in [x, y]$. (If $z \notin [x, y]$, we...
call \( x, y \) at the same side of \( z \)). A subset \( C \subseteq K \) is called convex if \( x, y \in C, \ z \in [x, y] \) implies \( z \in C \). Each convex subset of \( K \) can be written in at least one of the following forms
\[
\{x : |x - a| < r\}, \ \{x : |x - a| \leq r\}
\]
for some \( a \in K, \ r \in (0, \infty) \).

Let \( Z \subseteq Y \subseteq K \). Then \( Z \) is called convex in \( Y \) if \( Z = C \cap Y \), where \( C \) is convex.

With all these definitions we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** - Let \( f : X \to K \). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. If \( x, y, z \in X \), \( x \) is between \( y \) and \( z \) then \( f(x) \) is between \( f(y) \) and \( f(z) \),
2. If \( C \subseteq K \) is convex, then \( f^{-1}(C) \) is convex in \( X \).

We denote the collection of those \( f : X \to K \) satisfying (1) or (2) by \( M_b(X) \), i.e. \( f \in M_b(X) \) if, and only if, for each \( x, y, z \in X \),
\[
|x - y| \leq |y - z| \text{ implies } |f(x) - f(y)| \leq |f(y) - f(z)|.
\]

Isometries are in \( M_b \) (viz. exp), but also non trivial locally constant functions (e.g., choose a center in each ball of radius \( r > 0 \), and let \( f \) be the map assigning to \( x \in X \) the center of the ball of radius \( r \) to which \( x \) belongs. Then \( f \in M_b(X) \)).

**Theorem 1.2.** - Let \( f : X \to K \). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1'. If \( x, y, z \in X \), \( f(x) \) is between \( f(y) \) and \( f(z) \) then \( x \) is between \( y \) and \( z \),
2'. If \( C \subseteq X \) is convex in \( X \) then \( f(C) \) is convex in \( f(X) \). \( f \) is injective.

We denote the collection of those \( f : X \to K \) satisfying (1') or (2') by \( M_s(X) \), i.e. \( f \in M_s(X) \) if, and only if, for each \( x, y, z \in X \),
\[
|x - y| < |y - z| \text{ implies } |f(x) - f(y)| < |f(y) - f(z)|.
\]

The classical situations suggests the question as to whether \( M_s(X) \subseteq M_b(X) \) and also whether \( f \in M_b(X) \), \( f \) injective implies \( f \in M_s(X) \). In general, both statements are false, but we do have the following:

**Theorem 1.3.** - \( f \in M_s(X) \) implies \( f^{-1} \in M_b(f(X)) \). \( f \in M_b(X) \), \( f \) injective implies \( f^{-1} \in M_s(f(X)) \). If \( k \) is finite and \( X \) is convex, then an injective \( M_b \)-function is in \( M_s(X) \).
So we are led to define \( M_{ba}(X) := M_b(X) \cap M_s(X) \) as being the more or less natural translation of "the space of the strictly monotone functions".

The following theorem concerns continuity of monotone functions. For a function \( f : X \to K \), we define its oscillation function, \( \omega_f \), in the usual way:

\[
\omega_f(a) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \{|f(x) - f(y)| : |x - a| \leq \frac{1}{n}, |y - a| \leq \frac{1}{n} \}
\]

Let \( g \in M_b(X) \). If \( Y \subseteq X \) is spherically complete, then so is \( g(Y) \).

**Proof (sketch).** If \( f \in M_b(X) \cup M_s(X) \), then:

\[
|x - y| < |y - z| \quad \text{implies} \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq |f(y) - f(z)|.
\]

So \( f \) is locally bounded, and (ii) follows. Of (i), only the \( \leq \) part is interesting. Choose \( z \neq a \). If \( |x - a| < |z - a| \), then

\[
|f(x) - f(a)| \leq |f(z) - f(a)| \quad \text{whence} \quad \omega_f(a) \leq |f(z) - f(a)|.
\]

Let \( \lim x_n = a \) (\( x_n \neq a \) for all \( n \)) and \( \lim f(x_n) = \alpha \). Let \( \lim y_n = a \). It suffices to show that \( \lim f(y_n) = \alpha \). Indeed, let \( \epsilon > 0 \), and choose \( k \) such that \( |f(x_k) - \alpha| < \epsilon \). Then \( |y_n - a| < |x_k - a| \) for large \( n \), so

\[
|y_n - x_m| < |x_k - x_m|
\]

for large \( n \) depending on \( m \). Hence \( |f(y_n) - f(x_n)| \leq |f(x_k) - f(x_m)| \), so

\[
(m \to \infty) \quad |f(y_n) - f(x_n)| \leq |f(x_k) - f(x_m)| < \epsilon,
\]

and we have (iii). The rest of the proof is straightforward.

**Corollary 1.5.** Let \( f : X \to K \) be in \( M_b(X) \cup M_s(X) \).

(i) If \( K \) is a local field, then \( f \) is continuous,

(ii) If \( |K| \) is discrete, then \( f \in M_s(X) \Rightarrow f \) is a homeomorphism \( X \to f(X) \), and \( f \in M_b(X) \Rightarrow f \) is a closed map.

(iii) The graph of \( f \) is closed in \( K^2 \).

(iv) If \( f(X) \) has no isolated points, then \( f \) is continuous.
An $M_b$-function may be everywhere discontinuous on $K$ (even when $|K|$ is discrete).

**Theorem 1.6.** - Let $B$ be the unit ball of $K$,

(i) If $K$ is a local field and $f \in M_b(B) \cup M_s(B)$, then $f$ has bounded difference quotients (i.e. there is $C > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C|x - y|$ for all $x \in B$). If, in addition, $f(B)$ is convex, then $f$ is a similarity (i.e., a scalar multiple of an isometry).

(ii) If $K$ has discrete valuation and $f \in M_s(B)$ is bounded, then $f$ has bounded difference quotients. If $f \in M_{bs}(B)$ and if $f(B)$ is convex, then $f$ is a similarity.

2. Monotone Functions Having a Type.

In this section, we want to translate the usual classification of (strictly) monotone functions $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ into two types: the increasing and the decreasing functions. The equivalence relation in $\mathbb{R}_+: x \sim y$ if $x$ and $y$ are at the same side of 0, yields $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(0, \infty)$ as equivalence classes. The relation $\sim$ is compatible with the canonical group homomorphism $\mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*/\mathbb{R}^+$, the latter group being $\{1, -1\}$. $\pi(x)$ (usually called $\text{sgn}(x)$) assigns +1 to every positive element and -1 to every negative element. A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is strictly monotone if there exists $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^*/\mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*/\mathbb{R}^+$ such that for all $x \neq y$

$$\pi(f(x) - f(y)) = \sigma(\pi(x - y)).$$

If $\sigma$ is the identity then $f$ is called increasing; if $\sigma(1) = -1$, $\sigma(-1) = 1$, $f$ is called decreasing. Other maps $\sigma: [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ cannot occur (i.e., there is no $f$ such that, for all $x \neq y$,

$$\pi(f(x) - f(y)) = \sigma(\pi(x - y)).$$

This rather weird description of real monotone functions can be used in the non-archimedean case.

For $x, y \in K^*$ define $x \sim y$ if $x, y$ are at the same side of 0. This means: $0 \notin [x, y]$, or $|x - y| > |y|$, or $|xy^{-1} - 1| < 1$. Thus $x \sim y$ if, and only if, $xy^{-1} \in K^+$ where

$$K^+ := \{x \in K; \ |1 - x| < 1\}.$$

We call the elements of $K^+$ the positive element of $K$.

The relation $\sim$ is compatible with the canonical homomorphism of (multiplicative) groups

$$\pi: K^* \rightarrow K^*/K^+ =: \Sigma.$$

We call $\Sigma$ the group of signs and $\pi(x)$ the sign of an element $x \in K^*$ ( $x$ is
positive if, and only if, \( n(x) = 1 \).

If \( K \) is a local field, we can make a group embedding \( \rho : \Sigma \hookrightarrow K^* \) such that \( \pi \circ \rho \) is the identity on \( \Sigma \). For example, if \( K = \mathbb{Q}_p \), \( \delta \) is a primitive \((p-1)\)th root of unity, then
\[
\pi\left(\sum_{n \geq k} a_n p^n\right) = a_k p^k \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ a_k \neq 0)
\]
(Here \( a_n \in \{0, 1, \delta, \ldots, \delta^{p-2}\} \) for each \( n \)).

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( \sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma \) be any map. A function \( f : X \rightarrow K \) is monotone of type \( \sigma \) if, for all \( x, y \in X \),
\[
\pi(f(x) - f(y)) = \sigma(\pi(x - y))
\]
(i. e., if \( x - y \in \alpha \in \Sigma \) then \( f(x) - f(y) \in \sigma(\alpha) \)).

We call \( f \) of type \( \beta \in \Sigma \) if \( f \) is of type \( \sigma \) where \( \sigma \) is the multiplication with \( \beta \), i. e.,
\[
\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \in \beta \quad (x, y \in X, \ x \neq y).
\]
We call \( f \) increasing if \( f \) is of type \( \sigma \) where \( \sigma \) is the identity, i. e.,
\[
\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \text{ is positive} \quad (x \neq y).
\]

Clearly, if \( f \) is of type \( \beta \), and if \( b \in \beta \), then \( b^{-1} f \) is increasing. First, we look at increasing functions, then we discuss more general types \( \sigma \).

Notice that increasing functions are isometries hence are in \( M_{\beta \sigma}(X) \). If \( f \) is increasing then \( f(x) = x + h(x) \), where \( |h(x) - h(y)| < |x - y| \quad (x, y \in X, \ x \neq y) \). Such \( h \) we call pseudo-contractions.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \( X \) be an ultrametric space. Then the following are equivalent

1. \( X \) is spherically complete,
2. Each pseudocontraction \( X \rightarrow X \) has a (unique) fixed point.

**Proof (sketch).** \( (\alpha) \rightarrow (\beta) \). Let \( \sigma : X \rightarrow X \) be a pseudocontraction. A convex set \( C \subset X \) is called invariant if \( \sigma(C) = C \). It is easily proved that the invariant convex subsets of \( X \) form a nest. Let \( C_0 \) be the smallest invariant convex set. If \( a \in C_0 \) and \( \sigma(a) \neq a \) then
\[
B_{0} := \{x \in X ; \ d(x, \sigma(a)) < d(a, \sigma(a))\}
\]
is invariant, convex, and does not contain \( a \). Hence \( \sigma(a) = a \) for all \( a \in C_0 \), and \( C_0 \) is a singleton. \( (\beta) \rightarrow (\alpha) \). If \( B_1 \not\subset B_2 \not\subset \ldots \) are balls in \( X \) with \( \cap B_n = \emptyset \) then choose \( x_n \in B_n \setminus B_{n+1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \). The map \( \sigma : X \rightarrow X \) defined by
\[
\sigma(x) = x_{n+1} \quad (x \in B_n \setminus B_{n+1})
\]
is a pseudocontraction without a fixed point.
COROLLARY 2.3. - Let $X$ be convex, let $K$ be spherically complete, and let $f : X \rightarrow K$ be increasing. Then $f(X)$ is convex. If $f(X) \subset X$, then $f$ is surjective.

Proof. - Let $f(X) \subset X$. Choose $a \in X$. Then $x \mapsto -f(x) + x + a$ is a pseudocontraction mapping $X$ into $X$, hence has a fixed point. So $f(x) = a$ for some $x \in X$.

If $K$ is not spherically complete, we have always increasing $f : K \rightarrow K$ that are not surjective. (Let $h : K \rightarrow K$ be a pseudocontraction without a fixed point. Let $f(x) = x - h(x)$ $(x \in K)$, then $0 \notin f'$. The inverse $f^{-1} : f(K) \rightarrow K$ can, of course, not be extended to an increasing function $K \rightarrow K$.

THEOREM 2.4. - Let $K$ be spherically complete, and let $f : X \rightarrow K$ be increasing. Then $f$ can be extended to an increasing function $K \rightarrow K$.

Proof. - By Zorn's Lemma, it suffices to extend $f$ to an increasing function on $X \cup \{a\}$, where $a \notin X$. We are done if we can find $a \in K$ such that, for all $x \in X$,

$$\left| \frac{a - f(x)}{a - x} - 1 \right| < 1$$

i.e. $a \in B_{f(x)-(a-x)}(a-x^{-})$ for all $x \in X$. These balls form a nest.

Let us call a function $f : X \rightarrow K$ positive if $f(X) \subset K^+$.

THEOREM 2.5.

(i) If $f : X \rightarrow K$ is increasing then $f'$ is positive,

(ii) If $g : X \rightarrow K$ is a positive Baire class one function, then $g$ has an increasing antiderivative,

(iii) If $g : X \rightarrow K$ is continuous and positive, then $g$ has a $C^1$-antiderivative,

(iv) If $f \in C^1(X)$ and $f'$ is positive then $f = j + h$ where $j$ is increasing, and $h$ is locally constant.

EXAMPLES.

1° The exponential function (defined on its natural convergence region) is increasing.

2° Let $f \in C(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, and let $e_0 = \xi_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$e_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x - n| < \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} (x \in \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Then $e_0, e_1, \ldots$ form an orthonormal base of $C(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, so there exist $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \ldots \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n e_n$, uniformly.
$f$ is increasing if, and only if, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|\lambda_n - \{n\}| < \{n\}$$

(where, if $n = a_0 + a_1 p + \ldots + a_k p^k$ ($a_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p - 1\}$ for each $i$, $a_k \neq 0$), then $\{n\}_1 = a_k p^{k-1}$).

In other words, $f = \sum \lambda_n \theta_n \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is increasing if, and only if, $\lambda_n/\{n\}$ is positive for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$. If the set theoretic sum $\alpha + \beta := \{x + y ; x \in \alpha, y \in \beta\}$ does not contain 0 then $\alpha + \beta \in \Sigma$, notation $\alpha \oplus \beta$. It follows that $\alpha \oplus \beta$ is defined if, and only if, $\alpha \neq -\beta$.

If $x, y \in \alpha \in \Sigma$ then $|x| = |y|$. This defines $|\alpha|$ in a natural way.

We have the following results.

**THEOREM 2.6.** Let $f : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be monotone of type $\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$,

(i) $\sigma(-\alpha) = -\sigma(\alpha)$,

(ii) If $\sigma(\alpha) \oplus \sigma(\beta)$ is defined then so is $\alpha \oplus \beta$ and $\sigma(\alpha \oplus \beta) = \sigma(\alpha) \oplus \sigma(\beta)$,

(iii) $|\alpha| < |\beta|$ implies $|\sigma(\alpha)| < |\sigma(\beta)|$,

(iv) If $|\beta| = 1$, $\beta$ contains an element of the prime field of $\mathbb{K}$ then $\sigma(\beta \alpha) = \beta \sigma(\alpha)$,

(v) $f \in \mathcal{N}_b(\mathbb{K})$,

(vi) $f$ is either nowhere continuous or uniformly continuous.

**THEOREM 2.7.** Let $f : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be monotone of type $\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$. Then the following conditions are equivalent,

(a) $\sigma$ is injective,

(b) $f \in \mathcal{N}_b(\mathbb{K})$,

(c) If for some $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$, $\alpha \oplus \beta$ is defined, then so is $\sigma(\alpha) \oplus \sigma(\beta)$,

(d) $|\sigma(\alpha)| < |\sigma(\beta)|$ implies $|\alpha| < |\beta|$ ($\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$).

**COROLLARY 2.8.** Let $k$ be a prime field, and let $f : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be monotone of type $\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$. Then $\sigma$ is injective.

(If $K = \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{-1})$, $p = 3 \mod 4$, we can find an example of an $f : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ monotone of type $\sigma$, where $\sigma$ is not injective).

**EXAMPLE 2.9.** Let $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$. Then

$\{\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma : \text{there is } f : \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p, f \text{ monotone of type } \sigma\}$
consists of all \( \sigma : \Sigma \to \Sigma \) of the form

\[
\delta^i \rho^n \to \delta^i \delta^n \rho \lambda(n)
\]

where \( s : \mathbb{Z} \to \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, p-2\} \) and \( \lambda : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \) is strictly increasing.

3. Functions of bounded variation.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( f : X \to K \) have bounded difference quotients. Then \( f \) is a linear combination of two increasing functions.

**Proof.** Choose \( \lambda \in K \),

\[
|\lambda| > \sup \{ \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{x - y} ; x \neq y \}.
\]

Then \( \lambda^{-1} f \) is a (pseudo-) contraction, so \( g(x) := -x + \lambda^{-1} f(x) \) \((x \in X)\) is increasing. If \( h(x) := x \) \((x \in X)\), then \( \lambda h + \lambda g = f \).

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \( X \) be the unit ball of a local field \( K \) and let \( f : X \to K \). Then the following are equivalent

(a) \( f \in \text{BA}(X) \) \((\text{i.e., } \sup \{ \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{x - y} ; x \neq y \} < \infty \}),

(b) \( f \) is a linear combination of two increasing functions,

(y) \( f \in [I_{b}(X)] \),

(6) \( f \in [I_{b}(X)] \).

**Proof.** Use 1.6.
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