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§ 1. Introduction.

The subject is part of the so-called non-archimedean (or ultrametric) analysis. Roughly speaking, one may say that this is the analysis that one obtains when replacing in the "classical" analysis $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ by a non-archimedean valued field $K$.

A non-archimedean valued field is a (commutative) field $K$, together with a map $| | : K \to \mathbb{R}$ (the valuation) satisfying

- $|a| \geq 0$, $|a| = 0$ iff $a = 0$
- $|ab| = |a| |b|
- $|a+b| \leq \max(|a|,|b|)$ (the strong triangle inequality)

for all $a, b \in K$.

We have the following remarks.

(1) Apart from $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, every complete valued field is non-archimedean.

(2) If $K$ is a non-archimedean valued field and if $L \supset K$ is an overfield of $K$ then the valuation on $K$ can be extended to a non-archimedean valuation on $L$.

(3) If $K$ is a (non-archimedean) valued field then its completion $\hat{K}$ (with respect to the metric $(x,y) \mapsto |x-y|$) can, in a natural...
way, be given the structure of a non-archimedean valued field.

In the sequel we exclude the so-called trivial valuation given by

\[ |x|' = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\
1 & \text{if } x \neq 0.
\end{cases} \]

The non-archimedean analysis has several branches, similar to the classical analysis. Thus we have non-archimedean functional analysis, harmonic analysis, theory of analytic functions in one or several variables, etc.

In this talk we consider a more elementary subject, namely infinitesimal calculus in \( K \). More specifically, we want to see what remains of the so-called Fundamental Theorem of Calculus (in \( \mathbb{R} \)) that states that the operations of differentiation and integration are in some sense each others inverses.

§ 2. Differentiation in \( K \). Let \( X \subset K \) be a subset without isolated points. A function \( f : X \to K \) is called differentiable if for all \( a \in X \)

\[ f'(a) := \lim_{x \to a} \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{x - a} \]

exists. The proof of the well known rules (sum-, product-, chain-rule) can formally be taken over from the classical theory. Thus, a rational function is differentiable if it has no poles on \( X \). An analytic function \( x + \sum a_n x^n \) is differentiable on \( \{x : |x| < (\lim \sqrt[n]{|a_n|})^{-1}\} \).

Deviations from the classical theory appear when we look at the functions whose derivative vanishes everywhere. For example,
let $\varepsilon > 0$, $a \in K$. Then $B(a, \varepsilon) := \{x \in K : |x-a| < \varepsilon\}$ is an open-
and-closed subset of $K$, hence $\xi_{B(a, \varepsilon)}$, defined by

$$
\xi_{B(a, \varepsilon)}(x) :=
\begin{cases}
1 & \text{if } x \in B(a, \varepsilon) \\
0 & \text{elsewhere}
\end{cases}
$$

is differentiable and $\xi_{B(a, \varepsilon)}' = 0$.

Locally constant functions all have derivative zero. On the other
hand they form a uniformly dense subset of $C(X)$, the space of all
continuous functions: $X \to K$.

Even worse: let $\mathbb{Q}_p$ the field of the $p$-adic numbers and let
$\mathbb{Z}_p := \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x| \leq 1\}$. Then the function $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p$ defined by

$$
f(\sum_{n=0}^{n=p} a_n p^n) = \sum_{n=0}^{n=p} a_n p^{2n} \quad (\sum_{n=0}^{n=p} a_n p^n \in \mathbb{Z}_p)
$$

satisfies $|f(x) - f(y)| = |x-y|^2$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. So $f' = 0$ but $f$
is injective, hence not locally constant.

The above example shows also that a Mean Value Theorem is necessa­
rily absent in our theory.

Notice that the difficulties encountered above also appear when we
study differentiability of functions $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$, where $D \subseteq [0,1]$ is the Cantor set. So it is the domain of $f$ that is responsible
for the troubles rather than its range.

§ 3. Continuously differentiable functions.

If we follow naively the path of the classical analysis and define

$$
C^1(X) := \{f : X \to K, f \text{ is differentiable, } f' \text{ is continuous}\}
$$

then we run up against difficulties.

First of all, one can prove that $C^1(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ (with the norm
\[ f \mapsto \max(|f|_\infty, |f'|_\infty) \] is not a Banach space. In fact one shows that for every pair of continuous functions \( f, g : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p \) there exists a sequence \( f_1, f_2, \ldots \) in \( C^1(\mathbb{Z}_p) \) for which both \( f_n \to f \) and \( f'_n \to g \) uniformly.

What is worse, we have no local invertibility theorem for such \( C^1 \)-functions.

In fact, let \( f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p \) be defined by

\[
f(x) = \begin{cases} x^{-p^n} & \text{if } |x-p^n| < p^{-2n} \\ x & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})
\]

Then \( f'(x) = 1 \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{Z}_p \). But \( f(p^n) = f(p^{-2n}) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), so \( f \) is not even locally injective at 0.

Therefore we are led to define:

Let \( f : X \to K \). Put

\[
\Phi f(x,y) := \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x-y} \quad (x, y \in X, x \neq y).
\]

We say that \( f \in C^1(X) \) if \( \Phi f \) can continuously be extended to a function \( \bar{\Phi} f : X \times X \to K \).

Then \( BC^1(X) := \{ f \in C^1(X) : f \text{ and } \Phi f \text{ are bounded} \} \) is a Banach space under \( f \mapsto ||f||_1 := \max(||f||_\infty, ||\Phi f||_\infty) \).

Further, if \( f \in C^1(X) \), \( f'(a) \neq 0 \) for some \( a \in X \), then \( f \) has a \( C^1 \)-inverse, locally at \( a \).

**Theorem.** Differentiation is a continuous surjection \( BC^1(X) \overset{D}{\to} BC(X) \).

(here \( BC(X) \) is the space of all bounded continuous functions with the supremum norm)

\[ \S \ 4. \ "Integration". \]

Next, we want to define an "indefinite integral" \( \mathcal{P} : BC(X) \to BC^1(X) \)
(an analogue of \((Pf)(x) := \int_0^X f(t) dt\) for real functions) such that \(DP\) is the identity on \(BC(X)\).

A natural try is first to find an analogue of the Lebesgue measure in \(K\). But this turns out to be a dead end road. For example if \(K = \mathbb{Q}_p\) there does not exist a nonzero translation invariant bounded additive \(\varphi_p\)-valued function \(m\) defined on the compact open subsets of \(\mathbb{Z}_p\). (By translation invariance
\[
|m(p^n\mathbb{Z}_p)| = p^n|m(\mathbb{Z}_p)| \to \infty \text{ if } m(\mathbb{Z}_p) \neq 0.
\]
For similar reasons it goes wrong for every local field \(K\).

Following the ideas of Dieudonné, Treiber, we define for
\[f \in BC(X)\]
\[
(Pf)(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(x_n)(x_{n+1} - x_n) \quad (x \in X)
\]
Here the \(x_n\) are defined as follows. For each \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) the equivalence relation \(\sim\) defined by \(x \sim y\) if \(|x-y| < \frac{1}{n}\) yields a partition of \(X\) into balls. Choose a center in each ball and let \(R_n\) be the set of these centers.

For each \(x \in X\) and \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), \(x_n\) is defined by \(x_n \in R_n\), \(|x_n - x| < \frac{1}{n}\).

\[\text{Theorem. (A NON-ARCHIMEDEAN FORM OF THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM).}\]

\(P\) is a linear isometry of \(BC(X)\) into \(BC^1(X)\). \(DP\) is the identity on \(BC(X)\), whereas \(PD\) is a projection of \(BC^1(X)\) onto a complement of \(\{f \in BC^1(X) : f' = 0\}\).

\[\text{§ 5. Generalizations of the Fundamental Theorem.}\]

We may ask whether there exists some form of the Fundamental Theorem for functions belonging to spaces, larger than \(BC(X), BC^1(X)\)
respectively. (For example, compare the classical theorem on $L^1$-functions versus absolutely continuous functions).

We have the following striking fact that has no counterpart in classical analysis. We say that $g : X \to K$ is of the first class of Baire if there exists a sequence $g_1, g_2, \ldots$ of continuous functions $X \to K$ such that $\lim g_n = g$ pointwise.

**THEOREM.** (a) Let $f : X \to K$ be differentiable. Then $f'$ is of the first class of Baire.

(b) Let $g : X \to K$ be of the first class of Baire. Then $g$ has an antiderivative.

Let $B^1(X)$ be the Banach space consisting of all bounded functions $X \to K$ of the first class of Baire with respect to the supremum norm. Let $BD(X)$ be the Banach space of all differentiable $f : X \to K$ for which both $f$ and $\Phi f$ are bounded, with respect to the norm $f + \|f\|_\infty + \|\Phi f\|_\infty$. Then we have

**THEOREM.** Differentiation is a quotient map $BD(X) \overset{D}{\to} B^1(X)$.

If $K$ has discrete valuation then there exists a continuous linear $P : B^1(X) \to BD(X)$ for which $DP$ is the identity on $B^1(X)$.

**Notes.**

1. The construction of the above $P$ is awful and, contrary to § 4, $P$ does not resemble an indefinite integral in any way.

2. If the valuation of $K$ is dense the existence of such a $P$ is still an open question.
§ 6. **Restriction of the Fundamental Theorem.**

In classical analysis, we have that if $f \in C^n$ then
\[ x \mapsto \int_0^x f(t) \, dt \text{ is in } C^{n+1}. \]
In our situation we define for $f : X \to K$:

$f \in C^2(X)$ if the function $\Phi_2 f$, defined by

\[ \Phi_2 f(x,y,z) = (x,y,z) \in X, \ x \neq y, y \neq z, x \neq z \]

can continuously be extended to $\Phi_2 f : X^3 \to K$. Similarly, we define

$C^3(X), C^4(X), \ldots$. Let $C^\infty(X) := \cap_{n=1}^\infty C^n(X)$.

The map $P$, defined in § 4, does not always map $C^1$-functions into $C^2$-functions. But we have (notations as in § 4)

**THEOREM.** Let the characteristic of $K$ be unequal to 2. Then the map $P_2$ defined via

\[ (P_2 f)(x) := \sum_{n} (x_{n+1} - x_n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n} (x_{n+1} - x_n)^2 \quad (x \in X) \]

maps $C^1(X)$ into $C^2(X)$ and $(P f)' = f$ for all $f \in C^1(X)$.

Similarly, one can define antiderivation maps $P_n : C^{n-1}(X) \to C^n(X)$ (in case the characteristic of $K$ is unequal to 2, 3, $\ldots$, $n$).

**OPEN QUESTION.** Let $K$ have characteristic 0. Does every $f \in C^\infty(X)$ have a $C^\infty$-antiderivative?
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