The association of betaine, homocysteine and related metabolites with cognitive function in Dutch elderly people
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The importance of the one-carbon metabolites, choline and homocysteine, to brain function is well known. However, the associations between the one-carbon metabolites choline, betaine, methionine and dimethylglycine with cognition in elderly are unclear. We therefore examined the associations of these metabolites with cognition in a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Individuals (n 195) were randomized to receive daily oral capsules with either 1000 μg cobalamin (vitamin B12), or 1000 μg cobalamin plus 400 μg folic acid, or placebo for 24 weeks. Concentrations of homocysteine, methionine, choline, betaine and dimethylglycine were assessed before and after 12 and 24 weeks of treatment. Cognitive function, including domains of attention, construction, sensomotor speed, memory and executive function, was assessed before and after 24 weeks of treatment. At baseline, elevated plasma homocysteine was associated with lower performance of attention, construction, sensomotor speed and executive function. In addition, betaine was positively associated with better performance of construction, sensomotor speed and executive function, whereas elevated concentrations of methionine were positively associated with sensomotor speed. Daily combined supplementation with cobalamin plus folic acid decreased total homocysteine concentrations by 36 %, and increased betaine concentrations by 38 %. Participants with the largest increases in betaine concentrations showed a borderline significant (P=0·07) higher memory performance compared to those without it. Although this trial observed associations of homocysteine and betaine with cognitive domains prior to supplementation, decreased concentrations of homocysteine were not related to improved cognitive performance. There was a tendency of participants with the largest increases in betaine concentrations to show the greatest improvement in memory function.
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Elucidation of the risk factors for cognitive decline is required to prevent and possibly reverse age-related cognitive impairment in elderly people. In this respect, the one-carbon metabolism is of interest because plasma concentrations of homocysteine and related B-vitamins have been associated with cognitive impairment1–6. Moreover, choline plays an important role in normal brain development, in particular for memory and learning7.

The metabolites of the one-carbon metabolism are closely interrelated8,9. Homocysteine is located at a critical metabolic branch point with ramification to methyl- and sulphur group metabolism (Fig. 1). Homocysteine is formed from the essential amino acid methionine. Methionine is activated by its conversion to S-adenosylmethionine, and S-adenosylmethionine is required for methylation of many acceptor substrates, such as DNA, RNA, lipids, proteins, phosphatidylethanolamine, creatine, myelin basic protein and neurotransmitters10.

Methylation of, for example, phosphatidylethanolamine to form phosphatidylcholine, is important to maintain myelin sheaths of nerve tissue and thereby for central nervous system structure and function11. Homocysteine is remethylated to methionine by the cobalamin (vitamin B12)-dependent enzyme, methionine synthase, which uses 5-methyltetrahydrofolate as a methyl donor. Deficiencies of folate and cobalamin impair this conversion and result in increased homocysteine concentrations12. In a few tissues, predominantly the liver and kidneys, homocysteine remethylation is also catalysed by the enzyme betaine-homocysteine methyltransferase. Methionine and dimethylglycine (DMG) are the products of this reaction. The methyl donor, betaine, is formed from choline, which also is a precursor for the neurotransmitter acetylcholine12. Conceivably, impaired one-carbon metabolism may be associated with cognitive impairment by affecting neurotransmitter metabolism and central nervous system function.

Abbreviations: DMG, dimethylglycine; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; tHcy, total homocysteine.
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Following the epidemiological associations found between homocysteine, cobalamin and folate with cognitive performance, recent intervention trials investigated the efficacy of homocysteine lowering with B-vitamin supplementation on cognitive function in elderly people. However, the associations of plasma concentrations of choline, betaine, DMG and methionine with cognitive performance have not been explored yet. A recently conducted efficacy trial, investigating the efficacy of oral cobalamin with or without folic acid supplementation on cognitive function, provided such an opportunity. In addition, we assessed whether supplementation with cobalamin and folic acid altered plasma concentrations of choline, betaine and DMG, and consequently, whether alterations in these metabolites were associated with improvements in cognitive function.

Subjects and methods

Participants

Elderly men and women aged 70 years or older were screened for participation in a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial that studied the efficacy of oral cobalamin supplementation on cognitive performance. Individuals were included when they had mild cobalamin deficiency, defined as serum cobalamin concentrations between 100 and 300 pmol/l in combination with plasma methylmalonic acid concentrations >0.32 mmol/l and serum creatinine concentration ≤120 μmol/l to exclude severe impairment of renal function. Other exclusion criteria were history of cobalamin deficiency, use of cobalamin (>50 μg/d) or folic acid (>200 μg/d) supplementation or injections, surgery or diseases of the stomach or small intestine, anaemia, life-threatening diseases, severe hearing or visual problems, and severe cognitive impairment, which was defined by a score <19 points on the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE). An additional sample of individuals with adequate cobalamin status and no severe cognitive impairment (n=40) was enrolled for cross-sectional data analysis. Figure 2 presents the recruitment procedure, study design and flow of participants. The Medical Ethical Committee of Wageningen University approved the study protocol. Daily boards and client councils gave their consent for those individuals living in an institution, and written informed consent from all participants was obtained before the start of the study.

Study design of the intervention trial

Individuals who were included in the intervention trial started with a 2-week placebo run-in period prior to randomization. Within this period, individuals were excluded from further participation if compliance (intake of capsules) was <90%, or if they scored <19 points on the MMSE. Eligible participants were randomized to receive 24 weeks of treatment in a parallel group design with daily oral doses of 1000 μg cobalamin, a combination of 1000 μg cobalamin and 400 μg folic acid, or a placebo capsule. Randomization was stratified according to methylmalonic acid concentration at the screening visit (below and above 0.45 mmol/l), age (below and above 80 years), sex and MMSE (below and above 24 points).

Blood collection and biochemical analyses

A blood sample was collected at the screening and baseline visit and after 12 and 24 weeks of supplementation. A blood
Assessment of cognitive function

Six trained and registered neuropsychologists performed cognitive testing of the participants during a 1.5–2 h session during the run-in period and at week 24 of intervention. The cognitive test battery consisted of tests that have been shown sensitive to the effects of B-vitamin treatment and ageing in previous studies. Individuals were screened by the MMSE, and those with an MMSE score < 19 points (maximum 30 points) were excluded because of severe cognitive impairment. Table 1 lists the order of assessment and description of the tests, including its corresponding cognitive domain and neuropsychological focus. All these single tests were clustered into domains of attention, construction, sensomotor speed, memory and executive function. The domains of attention and construction were assessed by a single cognitive test, while the other domains were assessed by multiple tests. All crude test scores were transformed to z-scores by: z-score = (individual result – mean result of study population)/standard deviation of study population at baseline). The multiple tests for the domains of sensomotor speed, memory and executive function were clustered to provide compound z-scores to reduce the effects of chance findings and to simplify interpretation of the cognitive data:

\[ \text{Attention} = \frac{Z_{\text{Digit Span Forward}} + Z_{\text{Stroop (part3/part2)}} + Z_{\text{Similarities (WAIS)}} + Z_{\text{Stroop (part3/part2)}}}{3}; \]
\[ \text{Construction} = Z_{\text{Raven}}; \]
\[ \text{Sensomotor speed} = \frac{-Z_{\text{Motor Planning (2)}} + -Z_{\text{Finger Tapping}} + -Z_{\text{Digit Span (part A)}}}{6}; \]
\[ \text{Memory} = \frac{Z_{\text{Digit Span Forward}} + Z_{\text{Finger Tapping}} + Z_{\text{Digit Span Backward}}}{6}; \]
\[ \text{Executive function} = \frac{-Z_{\text{Motor Planning (3)}} + -Z_{\text{Trail Making (partA)}} + -Z_{\text{Trail Making (partC/partA)}} + Z_{\text{Similarities (WAIS)}} + Z_{\text{Raven}} + Z_{\text{Stroop (part3/part2)}} + Z_{\text{Word Fluency (Letter)}}}{7}. \]

Tests that were clustered for each cognitive domain were highly correlated (P values ranged from 0.0001 to 0.04 for all tests). Some participants were unable to complete all tests because of performance difficulties, e.g. tiredness. Compound z-scores were calculated when data for at least two, four and five tests for the domains of sensomotor speed, memory and executive function, respectively, were available. The compound z-scores served as 'internal' z-scores from the preferred method for cognitive testing. The advantages of clustered scores are (1) the reduction of measurement errors by possible floor and ceiling effects from difficult and easy tests, respectively; (2) to be able to account for missing data; (3) data reduction and thereby reducing chance findings; and finally (4) a better sensitivity to measure cognitive changes.

Statistical methods

The average concentrations of the biochemical parameters at the screening and randomization visits were calculated for each individual, and defined as ‘baseline’ concentrations. Differences in concentrations of blood parameters at baseline and follow-up were assessed with a two-factor repeated measures ANOVA (two measurements × three treatment groups) that included the time × treatment interaction. Tukey post hoc tests were used to assess differences between the intervention groups.

Data on cognitive function were presented as the neuropsychological domains of attention, construction, sensomotor speed, memory and executive function. The domains of attention and construction were assessed by a single cognitive test, while the other domains were assessed by multiple tests. All crude test scores were transformed to z-scores by: z-score = ((individual result – mean result of study population at baseline)/standard deviation of study population at baseline). Multiple tests for the domains of sensomotor speed, memory and executive function were clustered to provide compound z-scores to reduce the effects of chance findings and to simplify interpretation of the cognitive data:

- Attention = \frac{Z_{\text{Digit Span Forward}} + Z_{\text{Stroop (part3/part2)}} + Z_{\text{Similarities (WAIS)}} + Z_{\text{Stroop (part3/part2)}}}{3};
- Construction = Z_{\text{Raven}};
- Sensomotor speed = \frac{-Z_{\text{Motor Planning (2)}} + -Z_{\text{Finger Tapping}} + -Z_{\text{Digit Span (part A)}}}{6};
- Memory = \frac{Z_{\text{Digit Span Forward}} + Z_{\text{Finger Tapping}} + Z_{\text{Digit Span Backward}}}{6};
- Executive function = \frac{-Z_{\text{Motor Planning (3)}} + -Z_{\text{Trail Making (partA)}} + -Z_{\text{Trail Making (partC/partA)}} + Z_{\text{Similarities (WAIS)}} + Z_{\text{Raven}} + Z_{\text{Stroop (part3/part2)}} + Z_{\text{Word Fluency (Letter)}}}{7}.

Tests that were clustered for each cognitive domain were highly correlated (P values ranged from 0.0001 to 0.04 for all tests). Some participants were unable to complete all tests because of performance difficulties, e.g. tiredness. Compound z-scores were calculated when data for at least two, four and five tests for the domains of sensomotor speed, memory and executive function, respectively, were available. The compound z-scores served as 'internal' z-scores from the preferred method for cognitive testing. The advantages of clustered scores are (1) the reduction of measurement errors by possible floor and ceiling effects from difficult and easy tests, respectively; (2) to be able to account for missing data; (3) data reduction and thereby reducing chance findings; and finally (4) a better sensitivity to measure cognitive changes.

Data on cognitive function were presented as the neuropsychological domains of attention, construction, sensomotor speed, memory and executive function. The domains of attention and construction were assessed by a single cognitive test, while the other domains were assessed by multiple tests. All crude test scores were transformed to z-scores by: z-score = ((individual result – mean result of study population at baseline)/standard deviation of study population at baseline). Multiple tests for the domains of sensomotor speed, memory and executive function were clustered to provide compound z-scores to reduce the effects of chance findings and to simplify interpretation of the cognitive data:

- Attention = \frac{Z_{\text{Digit Span Forward}} + Z_{\text{Stroop (part3/part2)}} + Z_{\text{Similarities (WAIS)}} + Z_{\text{Stroop (part3/part2)}}}{3};
- Construction = Z_{\text{Raven}};
- Sensomotor speed = \frac{-Z_{\text{Motor Planning (2)}} + -Z_{\text{Finger Tapping}} + -Z_{\text{Digit Span (part A)}}}{6};
- Memory = \frac{Z_{\text{Digit Span Forward}} + Z_{\text{Finger Tapping}} + Z_{\text{Digit Span Backward}}}{6};
- Executive function = \frac{-Z_{\text{Motor Planning (3)}} + -Z_{\text{Trail Making (partA)}} + -Z_{\text{Trail Making (partC/partA)}} + Z_{\text{Similarities (WAIS)}} + Z_{\text{Raven}} + Z_{\text{Stroop (part3/part2)}} + Z_{\text{Word Fluency (Letter)}}}{7}.

Tests that were clustered for each cognitive domain were highly correlated (P values ranged from 0.0001 to 0.04 for all tests). Some participants were unable to complete all tests because of performance difficulties, e.g. tiredness. Compound z-scores were calculated when data for at least two, four and five tests for the domains of sensomotor speed, memory and executive function, respectively, were available. The compound z-scores served as 'internal' z-scores from the preferred method for cognitive testing. The advantages of clustered scores are (1) the reduction of measurement errors by possible floor and ceiling effects from difficult and easy tests, respectively; (2) to be able to account for missing data; (3) data reduction and thereby reducing chance findings; and finally (4) a better sensitivity to measure cognitive changes.

S. J. P. M. Eussen et al.

Fig. 2. Recruitment procedure, study design and flow of Dutch elderly participants.
Table 1. Description of neuropsychological test battery with corresponding domain and neuropsychological focus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task and reference*</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Neuropsychological focus</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Baseline score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MMSE³⁴</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Global cognitive function</td>
<td>Screening tool. Exclusion from further participation if score &lt; 19 points at first visit</td>
<td>27 ± 3 points (max. 30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finger Tapping, computerized³⁵</td>
<td>Sensomotoric speed</td>
<td>Simple sensomotor speed</td>
<td>Press a single button as often as possible within 30 s</td>
<td>442 ± 247 ms to press a button</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Planning_2, computerized³⁵</td>
<td>Sensomotoric speed</td>
<td>Simple visuomotor reaction</td>
<td>Press a lit button out of three buttons as quickly as possible</td>
<td>665 ± 344 ms to press a button</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Planning_3, computerized³⁵</td>
<td>Executive function</td>
<td>Complex visuomotor reaction</td>
<td>Inhibit automatic reaction in pressing a button immediately adjacent to a lit button as quickly as possible</td>
<td>1015 ± 503 ms to press a button</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure of Rey – copy³⁶</td>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>Visuoconstruction</td>
<td>Copy the complex figure of Rey from an example</td>
<td>28 ± 8 points (max. 36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure of Rey – immediate recall³⁶</td>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>Visual immediate memory</td>
<td>Draw the complex figure of Rey without the example immediately after the copy</td>
<td>10 ± 7 points (max. 36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Word Learning – immediate recall³⁷</td>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>Verbal immediate memory</td>
<td>Read fifteen words five times and recall words in between reading</td>
<td>30 ± 11 correct words recalled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail Making A³⁸</td>
<td>Sensomotoric speed</td>
<td>Visuomotor speed</td>
<td>Connect randomly placed numbers with a line as fast as possible</td>
<td>77 ± 43 s to complete task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail Making B³⁸</td>
<td>Executive function</td>
<td>Concept shifting</td>
<td>Connect randomly placed numbers and letters alternated with a line as fast as possible</td>
<td>207 ± 141 s to complete task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit Span Forward³⁹</td>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>Repeat a string of digits in original order</td>
<td>7.5 ± 1.7 (max. 16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit Span Backward³⁹</td>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>Working memory</td>
<td>Repeat a string of digits in reverse order</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.7 (max. 14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raven³⁵</td>
<td>Executive function</td>
<td>Visual reasoning</td>
<td>Choose a design that fits into a matrix</td>
<td>15.4 ± 3.9 (max. 24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop⁴¹,⁴²</td>
<td>Executive function</td>
<td>Interference</td>
<td>Name colour of the ink while inhibiting the automatic response of reading rather than the word (part 3).</td>
<td>Part 1: 0.61 ± 0.21 false answers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure of Rey – delayed recall³⁶</td>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>Visual delayed memory</td>
<td>Draw the complex figure of Rey without the example 30 min after seeing the copy</td>
<td>9.7 ± 6.9 (max. 36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Word Learning – delayed recall³⁷</td>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>Verbal delayed memory</td>
<td>Recall the words of the fifteen word learning test</td>
<td>4.8 ± 3.5 (max. 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Word Learning – recognition³⁷</td>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>Consolidation</td>
<td>Recognize the original fifteen words, of thirty words read</td>
<td>25.8 ± 3.9 (max. 30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similarities (WAIS)³⁴</td>
<td>Executive function</td>
<td>Verbal reasoning</td>
<td>Mention similarities between five pairs of nouns</td>
<td>4.9 ± 2.9 (max. 12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal Fluency, letter⁴⁴</td>
<td>Executive function</td>
<td>Word generation</td>
<td>List as many nouns beginning with letter P (0 weeks) or G (24 weeks) as possible in 2 min</td>
<td>15.4 ± 6.9 nouns listed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal Fluency, animal⁴⁴</td>
<td>Executive function</td>
<td>Word generation</td>
<td>List as many animals as possible in 1 min</td>
<td>17.5 ± 5.7 animals listed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDS⁴⁵</td>
<td>Emotional status</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Self-rating scale for depression</td>
<td>2.9 ± 2.9 (max. 15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination.
* Ordered by assessment.
which z-scores at baseline and 24 weeks by study treatment were derived.

Baseline information of the present study population with mild cobalamin deficiency combined with an additional group of elderly with adequate cobalamin status enabled us to explore associations between the one-carbon metabolites and cognitive performance by means of partial correlation coefficients which were corrected for age and education.

Per protocol analyses were performed, including only the 162 participants (84%) who completed the trial. Changes in cognitive performance associated with alterations of metabolite concentration were calculated by subtracting z-scores at the end of the intervention study by the z-scores at baseline. The potential effects of changes in metabolites on cognitive function within each domain within and across tertile categories in biochemical changes were studied by a two-factor repeated measures analyses (two measurements × three tertile categories) for each cognitive domain that included the time × tertile category interaction term. These analyses were performed with mixed models (SAS PROC MIXED procedure23), an extension from the linear regression model that includes random effects. Possible inter-investigator bias of the six neuropsychologists was entered as random effects. Statistical analyses were conducted using SAS statistical software version 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Characteristics of participants

Cross-sectional analyses have been performed for 202 elderly with mild cobalamin deficiency and forty elderly with adequate cobalamin status. The mean age of these participants was 81 (sd 6) years, 74% of the participants were females, and 40% of the participants lived in a care facility home. The mean score on the MMSE was 27 (sd 3) points which indicates that most of the population had intact cognitive function or only mild impairment.

The demographic, lifestyle and co-morbidity characteristics of participants are presented in Table 2. Although there were some differences with respect to lifestyle, medical history and mental status between those with mild cobalamin deficiency and those with adequate cobalamin status, there were no differences between those with mild cobalamin deficiency and the total population used for cross-sectional analyses (Table 2). Of the individuals with mild cobalamin deficiency who started with the run-in period (n 202), seven showed second thoughts, resulting in 195 participants who underwent random assignment. Two of these 195 participants dropped out during the run-in period, which left data for 193 participants who started supplementation (Fig. 2).

Table 2. Characteristics of older participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Mild cobalamin deficiency (n 202; intervention study)*</th>
<th>Adequate cobalamin status (n 40)</th>
<th>Total population cross sectional analyses (n 242)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (sd) years†</td>
<td>82 ± 5</td>
<td>79 ± 6</td>
<td>81 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male, n (%‡)</td>
<td>50 (25)</td>
<td>13 (33)</td>
<td>63 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living, institutionalized, n (%)‡</td>
<td>90 (45)</td>
<td>6 (15)</td>
<td>96 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level, n [%]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low‡</td>
<td>80 (40)</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td>84 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>92 (45)</td>
<td>17 (43)</td>
<td>109 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High‡</td>
<td>30 (15)</td>
<td>19 (47)</td>
<td>49 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifestyle n [%]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-Smokers‡</td>
<td>63 (31)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>66 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers</td>
<td>18 (9)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>20 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social drinking, n (%‡)</td>
<td>85 (42)</td>
<td>8 (20)</td>
<td>93 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetarian, n [%‡]</td>
<td>10 (5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>10 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivitamin use, n (%)‡</td>
<td>39 (19)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>42 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction, n [%]‡</td>
<td>30 (15)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>30 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke, n [%‡]</td>
<td>10 (5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>10 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient ischaemic attack, n [%]‡</td>
<td>3 (19)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>4 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina pectoris, n [%]‡</td>
<td>34 (17)</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td>38 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, n [%]</td>
<td>19 (9)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>22 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, n [%]‡</td>
<td>56 (27)</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td>60 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2 antagonist/proton pump inhibitors, n [%]‡</td>
<td>49 (24)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>50 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE, mean (sd) points‡</td>
<td>26.6 ± 3.2</td>
<td>28.9 ± 1.5</td>
<td>27.0 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19–24 points (cognitive impairment), n [%]‡</td>
<td>37 (18)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>38 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDS, mean (sd) points</td>
<td>2.9 ± 2.6</td>
<td>3.2 ± 4.1</td>
<td>3.0 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 5 points (depression), n [%]‡</td>
<td>42 (21)</td>
<td>5 (13)</td>
<td>47 (19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination.
* No significant differences between the three treatment groups were observed (one-way ANOVA for continuous variables and χ² analyses for categorical variables): P > 0.05.
† Values are means and standard deviations.
‡ Values were significantly different from those of the adequate cobalamin group (unpaired t-test for continuous variables and χ² analyses for categorical variables): †P < 0.05.
Blood indices and cognitive function before supplementation

Mean concentrations for methionine (25.1 μmol/l), choline (8.0 μmol/l), betaine (32.2 μmol/l) and DMG (3.7 μmol/l) in the total screened population (n 896) did not differ from those concentrations observed in the segment of participants involved in the intervention trial (n 193; P > 0.05 for all indices (unpaired t-test)). Partial correlation coefficients between the blood indices of the total screened population (n 896), which were corrected for age and sex, are presented in Table 3. Consistent with the inclusion criteria of mild cobalamin deficiency, participants of the intervention trial had lower cobalamin concentrations and higher tHcy concentrations compared to the total screened population (both P<0.0001).

Partial correlation coefficients, which were corrected for age and education, revealed inverse associations of tHcy concentrations with compound scores for the domains of attention, construction, sensomotor speed and executive function. Methionine concentrations were positively associated with the domain of sensomotor speed, whereas betaine concentrations were positively associated with the domains of construction, sensomotor speed and executive function (Table 3). Further adjustment of our analysis for emotional status and other co-morbidity factors did not affect these associations (data not shown). Moreover, the observed associations did not essentially differ between mildly cobalamin-deficient participants and those cobalamin-replete subjects (data not shown).

Changes in blood indices during intervention

Table 4 presents the concentrations of vitamins and the one-carbon metabolites at baseline and at 24 weeks of supplementation. Concentrations of all metabolites in the placebo group remained stable throughout the study period. The time × treatment interaction term was significant for cobalamin, tHcy, erythrocyte folate (P < 0.0001 for these indices) and betaine (P = 0.030), which indicates differences in effects between the intervention groups. The effects of treatment did not differ between the intervention groups (no significant interaction terms) for methionine, choline and DMG. Nevertheless, concentrations of methionine and choline increased significantly by 11 and 23%, respectively, after combined supplementation.

Cognitive performance and changes in blood indices

No differences in cognitive performance between intervention groups were observed at baseline. Since some participants were unable to complete all tests, data of 141, 158 and 151 participants were included for analyses on the domains of sensomotor speed, memory and executive function, respectively. There were no significant effects of increases in erythrocyte folate and cobalamin concentrations on cognitive performance, and this had been described elsewhere.

Table 5 presents mean changes in cognitive scores according to changes in tHcy, methionine, choline, betaine and DMG, which are categorized into tertiles. In the domain of memory, the time × tertile category interaction term was only significant for DMG (P = 0.04), and borderline significant for betaine (P = 0.07), which indicates differences in memory improvement between the tertiles. Participants with the largest increases in betaine concentrations (third tertile category; change in betaine concentrations > 6.88 μmol/l) showed the highest increase in memory performance compared to those in the first and second tertiles. For DMG, participants in the second and third tertiles had a better memory performance compared to those in the first tertile. With respect to the other cognitive domains, no effects within and between tertiles were observed. Further adjustment of our analysis for emotional status and other co-morbidity factors did not affect these associations (data not shown). With respect to emotional status, there were no significant changes in Geriatric Depression Scores between the intervention groups after 24 weeks of supplementation (P = 0.32).

Table 3. Partial Spearman rank correlation coefficients between one-carbon metabolites and vitamins with one-carbon metabolites, and between one-carbon metabolites with compound cognitive domains in a Dutch elderly population (n 242) prior to supplementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>One-carbon metabolites</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Choline</th>
<th>Betaine</th>
<th>DMG</th>
<th>tHcy</th>
<th>Methionine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metabolites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choline</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>0.42**</td>
<td>0.40**</td>
<td>0.14**</td>
<td>0.24**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betaine</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>0.35**</td>
<td>-0.23**</td>
<td>0.21**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMG</td>
<td>896</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.24**</td>
<td>0.21**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tHcy</td>
<td>896</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalamin</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.09*</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.27**</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythrocyte folate</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>0.09*</td>
<td>0.24**</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.41**</td>
<td>0.13**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.12†</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.19††</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.18††</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensomotor speed</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.14††</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.26††</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive function</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.13††</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.14††</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DMG, dimethylglycine; tHcy, total homocysteine.

Partial correlation coefficients corrected for age and sex: *P < 0.05; **P < 0.0001.

Partial correlation coefficients corrected for age and education: †P < 0.10; †† < 0.05.
Table 4. Concentrations of vitamins and one-carbon metabolites at baseline and 24 weeks after supplementation with cobalamin (vitamin B₁₂), cobalamin + folic acid or placebo in a Dutch elderly population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cobalamin</th>
<th>Cobalamin + folic acid</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n Mean ± sd</td>
<td>n Mean ± sd</td>
<td>n Mean ± sd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homocysteine (μmol/l)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline†</td>
<td>52 15.6 ± 6.6</td>
<td>50 14.5 ± 4.4</td>
<td>55 15.8 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 weeks‡</td>
<td>52 12.9 ± 4.9</td>
<td>51 8.9 ± 2.4</td>
<td>54 16.1 ± 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine (μmol/l)†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>55 24.2 ± 4.0</td>
<td>50 24.5 ± 3.9</td>
<td>55 26.6 ± 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 weeks‡</td>
<td>54 25.5 ± 6.9</td>
<td>51 26.2 ± 5.5</td>
<td>54 26.2 ± 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choline (μmol/l)‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>52 8.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>50 7.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>55 8.8 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 weeks‡</td>
<td>52 9.0 ± 2.3</td>
<td>51 9.6 ± 2.4</td>
<td>54 9.0 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betaine (μmol/l)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline‡</td>
<td>52 32.9 ± 10.1</td>
<td>50 30.4 ± 6.4</td>
<td>55 33.4 ± 9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 weeks‡</td>
<td>52 34.6 ± 12.0</td>
<td>51 40.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>54 36.2 ± 12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMG (μmol/l)†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>52 4.2 ± 1.6</td>
<td>50 3.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>55 3.8 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 weeks‡</td>
<td>52 4.3 ± 2.2</td>
<td>51 3.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>54 3.9 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Treatment effects (changes from baseline within groups) significantly different between the three treatment groups, as indicated by a significant main effect of treatment interaction (ANOVA, P < 0.05).
† No significant differences between the three treatment groups (ANOVA with Tukey post hoc tests): P > 0.05.
‡ Significant differences between the three treatment groups (ANOVA with Tukey post hoc tests): P < 0.05. Mean values were significantly different from those of the baseline (ANOVA repeated-measures analysis with LSEMMANS): §P < 0.05.
§ Significant differences between the three treatment groups (ANOVA with Tukey post hoc tests) not significantly different from the three treatment groups, as indicated by a non-significant time × treatment interaction (ANOVA): P > 0.05.
Mean values were significantly different from those of the placebo (ANOVA with Tukey post hoc tests): £P < 0.05.
Mean values were significantly different from those of the cobalamin group (ANOVA with Tukey post hoc tests): **£P < 0.05.

Table 5. Mean cognitive changes in z-scores by tertiles in changes of one-carbon metabolites due to cobalamin with or without folic acid supplementation in a Dutch elderly population (n = 195)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>thCy</th>
<th>Methionine</th>
<th>Choline</th>
<th>Betaine</th>
<th>DMG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean 95% CI</td>
<td>Mean 95% CI</td>
<td>Mean 95% CI</td>
<td>Mean 95% CI</td>
<td>Mean 95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attention</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertile 1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertile 2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertile 3</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P trend</td>
<td>0.8991</td>
<td>0.7358</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Construction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertile 1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertile 2</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertile 3</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P trend</td>
<td>0.8494</td>
<td>0.1738</td>
<td>0.5745</td>
<td>0.0669</td>
<td>0.0602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sensomotor speed</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertile 1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertile 2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertile 3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P trend</td>
<td>0.9569</td>
<td>0.4994</td>
<td>0.7232</td>
<td>0.0857</td>
<td>0.4233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Memory</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertile 1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertile 2</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertile 3</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P trend</td>
<td>0.11287</td>
<td>0.2767</td>
<td>0.3253</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.4522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Executive function</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertile 1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertile 2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertile 3</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P trend</td>
<td>0.2966</td>
<td>0.0950</td>
<td>0.2676</td>
<td>0.2518</td>
<td>0.9345</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DMG, dimethylglycine; thCy, total homocysteine.
* Means changes in z-scores with unlike superscript letters were significantly different (P < 0.05).
+ Means changes in z-scores with unlike superscript letters were significantly different (P < 0.05).
+ At baseline, mean compound z-scores were essentially 0 (so 1), as a result of standardizing and combining crude cognitive scores into standardized compound z-scores.
Analyses are adjusted for age, education and neuropsychologists. The cut-off points for tertile categories in changes of thCy were −3.95 and −0.7 μmol/l; for methionine they were −1.32 and 2.88 μmol/l; for choline they were −0.17 and 1.48 μmol/l; for betaine they were −1.43 and 6.88 μmol/l; and for DMG they were −0.33 and 0.41 μmol/l. P values indicate tests for trend across median changes for each tertile. Analyses are corrected for age, education and neuropsychologist.
Discussion

The present study showed that elevated plasma tHcy was associated with lower performance of attention, construction, sensomotor speed and executive function. In addition, betaine was positively associated with better performance of construction, sensomotor speed and executive function, whereas elevated concentrations of methionine were positively associated with sensomotor speed prior to supplementation. Daily oral supplementation of 1000 μg cobalamin with 400 μg folic acid for a period of 24 weeks decreased tHcy concentrations and increased betaine concentrations. There was a tendency for participants with the largest increases in betaine concentrations to show the greatest improvement in memory function.

To our knowledge, the current study is the first one that explores associations of cognitive function with choline, betaine and DMG in an elderly population. Previous animal and human studies indicate a strong interrelationship between the betaine-homocysteine methyltransferase and methionine synthase pathways. This is reflected in lower hepatic choline concentrations during folate deficiency and vice versa, inverse relations between betaine and homocysteine during folate deficiency, and increased betaine concentrations after folic acid supplementation. The latter findings are in line with results of the present trial.

Conceivably, diseases associated with high plasma tHcy concentrations may not only be linked to low concentrations of cobalamin and folate, but also with low concentrations of betaine and choline. So far, only one non-placebo-controlled pilot study in eight patients with Alzheimer’s disease have investigated the effect of oral betaine supplementation, and their results were negative. In contrast, the present trial showed that participants with the largest increases in betaine concentrations (third tertile category; change in betaine concentrations >6.88 μmol/l) showed the highest increase in memory performance compared to those in the first and second tertile categories. Given the fact that the changes in betaine and homocysteine concentrations were most pronounced in the group supplemented with cobalamin plus folate, and were related to the increase in erythrocyte folate concentrations (Table 3), it is possible that folate supplementation accounted for the observed cognitive changes. However, of the recently reported trials, only the FACIT trial has observed a beneficial effect of folate supplementation on memory function. The inconclusive results among the reported trials could possibly be explained by variations in study durations, sample sizes, characteristics of study participants, and blood collections. This research was supported by grant 2100.0067 from ZON-MW, the Hague, The Netherlands; grant 001-2002 from Kellogg’s Benelux, Zaventem, Belgium; grant QLKI-CT-2002-01 775 from the Foundation to Promote Research into Functional Cobalamin Deficiency and the European Union BIOMED Demonstration Project; and grant 2004-E2 from the Nutricia Health Foundation, Wageningen, The Netherlands.
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