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ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to compare titania (TiO2)-coated, titania-silica (TiSi)-coated, and uncoated (cpTi) titanium fiber meshes as scaffolds for bone engineering. The scaffolds were loaded with bone marrow stromal cells and implanted subcutaneously in rats. Ectopic bone formation after 1, 4, and 12 weeks of implantation was evaluated using histology and histomorphometry. After 1 week of implantation, multiple patches of unorganized mineralizing tissue were seen in all implants. The amount of this bone-like tissue clearly increased from 1 to 4 weeks. Bone apposition occurred in direct contact with coated meshes, while a thin layer of unmineralized fibrous tissue was often observed surrounding cpTi mesh fibers. After 12 weeks, the structure of bone, with bone marrow–like tissue, was further matured and mesh fibers were embedded in lamellar bone. No statistical differences in the amount of mineralized bone were observed between scaffold types at any point of time. Only TiSi scaffolds showed further increase in bone area from 4 to 12 weeks (p < 0.01). A notable difference was that the sol-gel coatings resulted in enhanced initial bone contact and distribution of bone tissue, whereas uncoated implants showed bone formation mainly in the center of the scaffolds. In conclusion, TiO2-based sol-gel coatings may be used in tissue engineering to gain more uniform distribution of bone throughout titanium fiber mesh scaffolds.

INTRODUCTION

Bone grafts are often used to reconstruct bone defects, and autogenous grafts are considered a golden standard in the procedure. However, the use of autogenous bone grafts is restricted by donor-site morbidity and the additional surgical procedure under general anesthesia needed for harvesting the graft. This is an important aspect as most of the patients are at an advanced age and the use of autogenous bone grafts is impossible in many of them. Hence new strategies to reconstruct bone defects are urgently needed. Tissue engineering strategies can be utilized in many cases of bone reconstruction provided that proper scaffold materials are available.1-3

In cell-based bone engineering strategies, osteogenic cells are incorporated into implanted scaffolds to supply adequate cells for tissue regeneration. These may be stem cells or progenitor cells capable of producing bone tissue and bone-inducing growth factors. The most common source of cells are mesenchymal stem cells derived from bone marrow. These cells can be expanded and induced to osteogenic lineage in vitro to obtain a suitable cell population for further in vivo applications.4,5

Ectopic implantation is a widely used method to assess biological response to bone engineering constructs. The effects of scaffold properties on bone formation can be systematically evaluated in comparative studies using multiple scaffold types. Such experiments have been conducted to
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analyze the importance of, for example, porous design\textsuperscript{6–8} and bulk\textsuperscript{9–12} or surface\textsuperscript{13,14} chemistry of the scaffolds.

Sintered titanium fiber mesh loaded with bone marrow stromal cells has previously been used as a mechanically strong bone graft substitute.\textsuperscript{15} However, meshes that are not loaded with cells show only minor osteoconductive properties. Proposed methods to increase the osteoconductivity of titanium metal include alkali-heat treatment,\textsuperscript{16} anodic oxidation,\textsuperscript{17–19} and use of sol-gel-derived titanium (TiO\textsubscript{2}) coatings.\textsuperscript{20} Sol-gel dip-coating method can be used to produce nanoporous TiO\textsubscript{2} surface on commercially pure titanium, and such thin films have been found to induce calcium phosphate precipitation on their surface.\textsuperscript{21} Further, the bioactivity of silica-containing bioeroders\textsuperscript{22} has led to the development of mixed titania-silica (TiSi) coatings.\textsuperscript{23} Indeed, the osteoblast response on planar surfaces,\textsuperscript{24} but not in porous scaffolds,\textsuperscript{25} was found to be enhanced by incorporation of a reactive silica phase in the TiO\textsubscript{2} coating. We therefore hypothesize that nonresorbable bioactive coatings based on TiO\textsubscript{2}, with or without a silica phase, can enhance bone formation \textit{in vivo}.

The aim of the current study was to compare ectopic bone formation in rats with TiO\textsubscript{2}-coated, TiSi-coated, and uncoated implants loaded with bone marrow stromal cells. This is the first time that such coated tissue engineering scaffolds are tested \textit{in vivo}. Bone formation after 1, 4, and 12 weeks of implantation was evaluated using histology and histomorphometry.

\section*{MATERIALS AND METHODS}

\subsection*{Scaffold preparation}

A sintered titanium fiber mesh (Bekaert N.V., Zwevegem, Belgium) with a volumetric porosity of 86\%, a density of 600 g/m\textsuperscript{2}, a fiber diameter of 40 \textmu m, and an average distance between fibers of 250 \textmu m was used. Of this mesh, disc-shaped specimens 6 mm in diameter and 0.8 mm thick were cut, and used as such (cpTi), or coated with TiO\textsubscript{2} or a 30:70 mol\% mixture of titania and silica (TiSi) using a previously described sol-gel technique.\textsuperscript{25}

Briefly stated, the titanium fiber mesh substrates were cleaned ultrasonically in acetone and ethanol (5 + 5 minutes), and dried in air before each dipping and heating cycle. The two different coatings were achieved by dipping the substrates into respective sols and then withdrawing them at a speed of 0.3 mm/second. The substrates were then heated at 500°C for 10 minutes. The dipping and heating cycle was repeated five times. Uncoated and coated scaffolds were finally cleaned ultrasonically and sterilized using gamma radiation (25 kGy minimum).

\subsection*{Cell culture and implant preparation}

Rat bone marrow was harvested and cultured according to the procedure given by Maniatopoulos \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{26} Briefly said, the femurs of four 6-week-old male adult (100–110 g) syngeneic Fisher 344 rats (F344/NHsd, Harlan, the Netherlands) were retrieved directly after the animals were sacrificed. The bones were wiped with 70% alcohol and immersed twice in alpha-minimum essential medium (alpha-MEM) (Sigma Chemical, St. Louis, MO) culture medium containing 100 units/mL of penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco BRL, Life Technologies BV, Breda, the Netherlands). The condyles were cut off and bone marrow was flushed out using complete cell culture medium (alpha-MEM, antibiotics supplemented with 15% fetal bovine serum (Gibco), 50 \mu g/mL ascorbic acid (Sigma), 8.5 mM Na-\beta-glycerophosphate (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), and 10 nM dexamethasone (Sigma)). The resulting suspension was passed through a 22 G needle, and plated cells were cultured in a humidified 5\% carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) atmosphere at 37°C.

After 7 days of primary culture, the adherent osteoblast-like cell population was enzymatically detached (0.25% trypsin/0.02% EDTA (Sigma)), and a stock of $1.5 \times 10^6$ cells/mL was prepared in complete culture medium. Titanium fiber meshes were incubated in complete medium for 3 hours before cell suspension (~0.15 mL per mesh) was added to each type of substrate in polypropylene tubes. Cells were allowed to adhere at 37°C for 2.5 hours under continuous rotation (6 rpm). Substrates were subsequently transferred to 24-well plates and cultured overnight. The next day, substrates were placed into serum-free medium and translocated to the animal facility. Substrates were kept at 37°C during surgery, and washed with phosphate buffered saline before implantation.

\subsection*{Implantation procedure}

For implantation, eighteen 3-week-old male (25 to 40 g) syngeneic Fisher 344 rats were used. The experiment was approved by the Lab-Animal Care \& Use Committee at the University of Turku, Finland (licence #953/99). Experiment was conducted in good laboratory practice (GLP) compliant laboratory animal facilities, and national and European regulations for animal experimentation were followed. Operations were performed under general anesthesia induced by subcutaneous injection of fentanyl citrate/fluanisone (Hypnorm®), Janssen Pharmaceutica, Beers, Belgium) and midazolam (Dormicum®, Roche, Basel, Switzerland). The operation area was shaved and disinfected with chlorhexidine gluconate solution (Klorhexol®, Leiras, Turku, Finland).

Subcutaneous implantation was performed through two longitudinal incisions in the midline of the dorsal skin. Individual implant beds were prepared by blunt dissection. Each animal received one of each implant type (total three). One implant was placed on the left and two on the right flank of the animals using Latin Square randomization scheme. Skin wounds were closed in two layers with individual resorbable sutures (Vicryl 3-0, Johnson \& Johnson, Brussels, Belgium). The animals were sacrificed after 1, 4, and 12 weeks using CO\textsubscript{2} suffocation. Implants were retrieved with 2
to 4 mm soft tissue margin, and fixed in 4% buffered formalin at 8°C for 2 weeks.

**Histological sections and histomorphometrical evaluation**

Fixed specimens were dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol and embedded in methylmethacrylate. After polymerization, three 10 μm thick sections were prepared per implant, using a modified sawing microtome technique. Sections were double stained with methylene blue and basic fuchsin.

Sections were histologically evaluated for bone morphology, fibrous tissue capsule formation, inflammatory reaction, and foreign body reaction, using light microscopy. The lamellar structure of remodeled bone was evaluated using polarizing microscopy. Computer-based histomorphometrical analysis (using Leica QWin Standard v3.0 software) was performed to determine the cross-sectional area of the scaffold material and of the newly formed bone in the implants. The distribution of bone within scaffolds was analyzed by dividing the implant area into the outermost 200 μm boundary layer and the remaining interior part. An average of the measurements from three sections per implant was used for the statistical analysis.

**Statistics**

Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS v11.0 software package (SPSS, Chicago, IL). Data were analyzed with one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test. Differences were considered significant at a 95% confidence level.

**RESULTS**

**Implant retrieval**

Four animals did not recover from the operation and were lost from the follow-up during the first two postoperative days. Healing was uneventful for the remaining 14 animals, and they were sacrificed at 1 (n = 4), 4 (n = 5), and 12 (n = 5) weeks of implantation. At retrieval no clinical signs of inflammation or adverse reactions were observed. All implants were surrounded by a thin reaction-free fibrous tissue capsule.

**Descriptive light microscopy**

**One week implants.** In coated implants the smallest pores were partially occluded by sol-gel aggregates. TiO₂ aggregates had a granular appearance, while TiSi aggregates formed a more continuous phase. One cpTi and one TiO₂ scaffold were partially filled by a resolving hematoma caused by excessive bleeding during implantation, and they were excluded from the subsequent histomorphometrical analysis.

After 1 week of implantation, a few mononuclear leucocytes were observed in and around the scaffolds. All scaffolds were surrounded by loosely aligned connective tissue that formed a 4–10 cell layers thick capsule. The scaffolds were already filled with well-vascularized loose fibrous connective tissue. Multiple patches of unorganized mineralizing tissue with scarce amounts of woven bone were seen in all implants (Fig. 1). Most of the mineralization was on the periphery of the scaffolds, and it was more abundant on the dorsal than on the ventral side. More number of sites with osteoblast-like cells and osteoid tissue expressing active bone formation were observed within TiO₂ and cpTi scaffolds than within TiSi scaffolds.

**Four week implants.** Fibrous tissue in and around the scaffolds was more organized and contained more collagen fibers compared to 1 week implants. The number of mononuclear inflammatory cells was comparable to that observed in 1 week implants. Occasional multinuclear cells were

**FIG. 1.** Bone formation at 1 week of implantation. Bone formation within cpTi scaffolds (above) was typically observed between the titanium fibers (white asterisks), whereas within coated scaffolds (below) bone formed directly on the surface of the fibers. Rounded osteoblast-like cells (black arrowheads) are seen on the surface of woven bone (WB). Scale bar 100 μm. Color images available online at www.liebertpub.com/ten.
FIG. 2. Typical patterns of bone formation within subcutaneously implanted cpTi (left column), TiO$_2$ (center column), and TiSi (right column) scaffolds. Sections at each time point are from the same animal. After 1 week, multiple patches of unorganized mineralizing tissue with scarce amounts of woven bone were seen in all implants. The amount of bone-like tissue clearly increased from 1 to 4 weeks. After 12 weeks the structure of bone, with bone marrow-like tissue, was further matured and mesh fibers were embedded in lamellar bone, which can be clearly seen with polarizing microscopy (Pol). Scale bar 200 μm. Color images available online at www.liebertpub.com/ten.
observed with all scaffold types, slightly more with coated scaffolds than with uncoated scaffolds. However, the number of multinuclear cells observed in all implants was within the range of 0–5 cells/section. The appearance of sol-gel aggregates within coated scaffolds was similar to that noticed in 1 week implants.

The amount of bone-like tissue clearly increased from 1 to 4 weeks with all scaffold types. Large areas of mineralized matrix with embedded osteocytes were observed. On the surface of the deposited bone, osteoid, active plump osteoblasts, and flattened lining cells as well as occasional resorption pits could be seen. With cpTi and TiO$_2$ scaffolds, bone tissue formed large, continuous areas, while with TiSi scaffolds bone still existed in multiple discrete areas interspersed with fibrous tissue. Three out of five cpTi scaffolds also contained diverse amounts of adipose tissue at their periphery. In contrast, the bone within two TiO$_2$ scaffolds exhibited large cavities filled with fibrous and adipose tissue, but no fatty tissue was seen outside the bone. Further, these scaffolds showed bone formation not only inside the implants but also on their outer surface. No fatty tissue was observed within any of the TiSi scaffolds. Bone apposition occurred in direct contact with sol-gel-coated meshes, while a thin layer of unmineralized fibrous tissue was observed surrounding the cpTi mesh fibers (Fig. 2). Also, direct bone formation was seen on larger sol-gel aggregates while small, discrete sol-gel particles were surrounded by fibrous connective tissue.

**Twelve week implants.** The appearance of the fibrous capsule or the sol-gel aggregates, and the number of inflammatory and multinuclear cells had not changed from 4 to 12 weeks. Fibrous tissue inside the scaffolds was mostly replaced by adipose tissue that typically formed discrete areas within mineralized tissue, resembling bone marrow. The structure of bone was further matured and contained larger areas of remodeled lamellar bone. A layer of flattened cells covered the bone surface, and rounded osteoblasts were almost absent. Numerous resorption pits were observed in all specimens (Fig. 3). With all scaffold types, direct bone-titanium contact was observed when titanium mesh fibers were embedded within bone. With cpTi and TiO$_2$ scaffolds, more bone marrow–like tissue within bone cavities was observed than before. The structure of bone tissue with TiO$_2$ scaffolds even resembled that of cancellous bone. With TiSi scaffolds the amount of bone tissue had increased, and the previously discrete areas of bone were growing together. Some fibrous tissue could be seen at the periphery of the scaffolds, while the cavities in bone were almost exclusively filled with bone marrow–like tissue. Three TiO$_2$ and all TiSi scaffolds showed some bone formation also on their outer surface.

**Histomorphometry**

For the histomorphometrical analysis only stained mineralized tissue within the scaffolds was defined as bone (Fig. 4). Results of bone formation within the whole implant area are summarized in Table 1. After 1 week of implantation, bone formation was very limited with all scaffold types. However, significantly more bone was observed with cpTi than with TiSi scaffolds ($p < 0.05$). At 4 weeks, the amount of bone had increased to approximately 15%, 13%, and 10% for cpTi, TiO$_2$, and TiSi scaffolds, respectively, with no statistical differences between scaffold types. Only TiSi scaffolds showed further increase in bone area by 12 weeks ($p < 0.01$). At that time the bone areas were 18%, 16%, and 21%, respectively, and the difference between TiO$_2$ and TiSi scaffolds was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$).

The sol-gel aggregates resulted in the decrease of measured porosity within coated scaffolds by approximately 10% (data not shown). Bone formation within open porosity of the implants is summarized in Table 2. Again, a five to ten times increase in bone formation was noticed from 1 to 4 weeks for all scaffold types, and TiSi scaffolds were the only ones showing further increase in pore filling from 4 to 12 weeks ($p < 0.01$). No statistically significant differences between scaffold types were observed at any time of implantation.

The distribution of bone within scaffolds changed during the implantation period (Table 3). At 1 week, most of the mineralization was found within the outermost 200 µm boundary layer of the scaffolds (corresponding altogether to 50% of the implant thickness and 60% of the implant area). At 4 weeks the situation had reversed, and only 20–30% of bone existed in the periphery of the scaffolds. No great change in bone distribution occurred from 4 to 12 weeks, but the difference between cpTi and TiO$_2$ scaffolds became statistically significant. This corresponded to histological findings, which showed more even distribution of bone-like tissue.
within sol-gel-coated scaffolds compared to the uncoated scaffolds.

**DISCUSSION**

It has been shown that cell-loaded titanium fiber scaffolds have bone-forming potential in ectopic rat models. Bone formation further increases when calcium phosphate–coated scaffolds are used. However, such coatings are ultimately resorbed in vivo, exposing the underlying metal surface and potentially resulting in the loss of existing contact with surrounding tissues. On the other hand, nonresorbable sol-gel TiO$_2$ coatings have been shown to increase both bone and soft-tissue attachment to titanium implants. Under culture conditions, osteoblast response on planar surfaces, but not in porous scaffolds, was found to be further enhanced by incorporation of a reactive silica phase in the coating. We therefore hypothesized that nonresorbable bioactive coatings, with or without a silica phase, have good potential to enhance ectopic bone formation. We set out to study bone formation on sol-gel-coated titanium fiber mesh scaffolds loaded with osteoprogenitor cells in rat subcutaneous environment.

In our previous studies, sol-gel-derived coatings have been prepared using a dipping method. This method, however, is not optimal for porous substrates because the high viscosity of the coating sols may result in uneven coating thickness on scaffold surfaces. This was also noticed in the present study as we found a large amount of aggregated coating material inside the TiO$_2$ and TiSi scaffolds. Although the aggregates did not seem to result in particle-induced inflammation, they did alter the pore size distribution and the porous structure of the meshes. This reduces the permeability of the scaffold and occludes some of the smallest pores. More controlled coatings are thus preferred. This could possibly be achieved by lowering the viscosity of the coating sols, by reducing the number of coating layers, or by removing the excess sol by centrifugal force before heat treatment. In other words, for future work, spin coating might be more suitable for porous scaffolds than the traditional dip-coating technique used in the current study setup.

The scaffolds were seeded with cells using a previously optimized protocol. The harvested bone marrow stromal cells were precultured in the presence of dexamethasone to allow for their early differentiation toward the osteogenic lineage. The osteoblasts were loaded in suspension to achieve a uniform distribution of cells throughout the scaffolds. The cells were subsequently allowed to attach and spread only for 1 day prior to implantation, as this results in more active bone formation than longer culture periods. However, significantly longer cultures of cell-scaffold constructs will be needed if the precultures are performed without dexamethasone.

Much higher ectopic bone formation with uncoated titanium scaffolds was observed in this study (15% to 18%) than in our previous research (less than 10%) using similar

---

**FIG. 4.** Distribution and amount of bone within cpTi (left column), TiO$_2$ (center column), and TiSi (right column) scaffolds. For histomorphometric analysis the percentage of mineralized tissue was measured within the whole titanium fiber mesh and within the open porosity. Mineralization can be seen in the periphery of the scaffolds at 1 week. Later, the amount of bone increased and it was more evenly distributed. Only coated scaffolds showed bone also on their outer surfaces. Scaffolds are 6 mm in diameter and 0.8 mm thick. Color images available online at www.liebertpub.com/ten.

**TABLE 1. CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA OF BONE WITHIN WHOLE IMPLANTS DURING 12 WEEKS OF IMPLANTATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>cpTi</th>
<th>TiO$_2$</th>
<th>TiSi</th>
<th>$p &lt; 0.05$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.4%$^a$</td>
<td>1.5 ± 1.4%$^a$</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.5%$^b$</td>
<td>cpTi &gt; TiSi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>15.0 ± 3.9%</td>
<td>12.7 ± 4.6%</td>
<td>9.9 ± 2.6%</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>18.4 ± 1.5%</td>
<td>16.1 ± 2.7%</td>
<td>20.7 ± 2.1%</td>
<td>TiO$_2$ &gt; TiSi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p &lt; 0.01$</td>
<td>1 wk &lt; 4 wk</td>
<td>1 wk &lt; 4 wk</td>
<td>1 wk &lt; 4 wk &lt; 12 wk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$n = 5$ if not otherwise stated; $^a n = 3$, $^b n = 4$

NS = Not significant
The degree of osteogenic differentiation in primary bone marrow stromal cell cultures is subject to variation, and the initial phenotype of seeded cells is known to affect the amount of ectopic bone formation. This can possibly explain the discrepancy between our own experiments. No definitive answer can be given, however, and it emphasizes the difficulties in comparing results from different studies, and the importance of using relevant control samples in all experiments.

The amount of bone within the whole implant area, as well as within the open porosity, did not show substantial differences, but the kinetics of bone formation differed between scaffold types. With cpTi and TiO\textsubscript{2} scaffolds the maximal amount of bone was reached already after 4 weeks of implantation, whereas bone formation with TiSi scaffolds continued throughout the experiment. TiO\textsubscript{2} scaffolds seemed to show the most active bone formation and remodeling. Interestingly, at the level of light microscopic evaluation, ectopic bone formation occurred directly on the TiO\textsubscript{2} and TiSi-coated titanium, as well as on larger sol-gel aggregates at all times. Such behavior resembles ectopic bone formation within porous calcium phosphate ceramics, and indicates good attachment of seeded cells to the underlying substrate. In contrast, bone formation within cpTi meshes usually started away from the titanium surface, and individual fibers were mostly surrounded by a thin layer of fibrous unmineralized tissue still at 4 weeks. As bone formation proceeded, and mesh fibers were embedded in bone matrix, all materials showed good bone contact.

Bone seemed to grow out from multiple starting points, as indicated by individual mineralizing tissue patches at 1 week. Further, discrete bony areas were observed within TiSi scaffolds even after 4 weeks of implantation. In addition to direct material effects, decreased cell-seeding efficiency might also have contributed to the delayed bone formation within TiSi scaffolds. However, this aspect was not analyzed in the current study. When the amount of bone increased, a more continuous tissue was formed, irrespective of the scaffold type. Mineralization began from the periphery of scaffolds, where the amount of seeded cells was likely to be the highest and diffusion limitations the lowest. However, almost every specimen showed ingrowth of well-vascularized connective tissue through the mesh already at 1 week. This is very important for the survival of seeded cells and for the subsequent bone formation. Later bone formation was most evident in the middle of the scaffolds, and bone grew in direct contact with mesh fibers, with no intervening fibrous tissue. Coated scaffolds showed enhanced osteoconductivity and more dispersed bone formation than the uncoated ones. Similar results were recently obtained when uncoated titanium mesh was compared with a calcium phosphate ceramic. Bone formation on the outer surface of only the sol-gel-coated scaffolds further advocates their increased osteoconductivity. Again, this finding resembles ectopic bone formation within ceramic scaffolds. Subsequently, the coatings should also be tested in an orthotopic site to analyze their behavior more precisely.

### CONCLUSIONS

The amount of ectopic bone formed with bone marrow stromal cell–seeded TiO\textsubscript{2} and TiSi-coated scaffolds was comparable to that with uncoated titanium scaffolds. However, differences were observed in the kinetics of bone formation, and at the implant-bone interface. A notable

| Table 2. Cross-sectional Area of Bone within Open Porosity during 12 Weeks of Implantation |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| cpTi   | TiO\textsubscript{2}   | TiSi            |      |
| 1 week | 5.0 ± 0.4%\textsuperscript{a} | 3.0 ± 2.6%\textsuperscript{a} | 1.6 ± 1.2%\textsuperscript{b} |
| 4 weeks | 23.9 ± 5.8% | 23.0 ± 5.5% | 16.4 ± 3.6% |
| 12 weeks | 25.9 ± 1.6% | 27.3 ± 7.0% | 34.1 ± 5.3% |
| p < 0.01 | 1 wk < 4 wk | 1 wk < 4 wk | 1 wk < 4 wk < 12 wk |

\textsuperscript{a}n = 5 if not otherwise state; \textsuperscript{b}n = 3

| Table 3. Fraction of Bone in the Outermost 200 \textmu{}M Boundary Layer of the Scaffolds |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| cpTi   | TiO\textsubscript{2}   | TiSi            |      |
| 1 week | 72.2 ± 9.5%\textsuperscript{a} | 68.8 ± 18.0%\textsuperscript{a} | 84.5 ± 15.3%\textsuperscript{b} |
| 4 weeks | 22.9 ± 11.3% | 32.1 ± 7.9% | 29.8 ± 12.8% |
| 12 weeks | 24.5 ± 7.1% | 43.1 ± 8.8% | 35.7 ± 6.7% |
| p < 0.01 | 1 wk > 4 wk | 1 wk > 4 wk | 1 wk > 4 wk |

\textsuperscript{a}n = 5 if not otherwise state; \textsuperscript{b}n = 3

NS = Not significant
difference was that the sol-gel coatings resulted in bone formation throughout the implants, whereas uncoated implants showed bone formation mainly in the center of the scaffolds.
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