After-Hours Care In The United Kingdom, Denmark, And The Netherlands: New Models

Integrating telephone consultations, triaging, and physician visits or house calls offers a promising model for after-hours care.

by Richard Grol, Paul Giesen, and Caro van Uden

ABSTRACT: There are indications that after-hours care in some countries is of poor quality. European after-hours care is shifting away from individual and group practices with local after-hours call schedules toward large-scale after-hours care services. Experiences with primary care cooperatives in three countries show that this model can lighten physicians’ workloads, reduce the number of face-to-face contacts, reduce use of emergency services and hospital admissions, and lower costs. Most patients accept these new models but are dissatisfied if they expect to see a physician. Local or regional integration of all emergency services seems to be the most promising model for after-hours care. [Health Affairs 25, no. 6 (2006): 1733–1737; 10.1377/hlthaff.25.4.1733]

A policy issue receiving increased attention in many countries is how to organize and provide primary care efficiently and effectively outside office hours. Policymakers and patients worry increasingly about access to and the quality and safety of care provided after hours, especially in urgent situations. Patients, particularly in the United States and Canada, have reported difficulties with access to good and timely after-hours care. Use of emergency departments (EDs) is higher in countries where patients report the most difficulty getting same-day and after-hours care from their regular doctors, which has implications for the cost, efficiency, and continuity of care.

The main models used for after-hours primary care so far have been individual practices or practices collaborating in local on-call schedules to take care of each other’s patients after hours. However, Western countries are now shifting away from such primary care systems toward large-scale organizations. In after-hours care, there is a sharp increase in telephone triage and consultation, with new types of providers taking over tasks from doctors.

The main reasons for reorganizing after-hours care are (1) physicians’ increasing workloads as a result of the increase in nonurgent demands and the complexity of health care problems and (2) the shortage of physicians in some countries. Furthermore, the changes are an attempt to accommodate physicians’ desire for greater separation of work and private life;

Richard Grol is a professor of quality of care at the Centre for Quality of Care Research (WOK), Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Center, in the Netherlands. Paul Giesen is a medical doctor at WOK. Caro van Uden is a research fellow in the Department of Integrated Care, University Hospital Maastricht, in the Netherlands.
sometimes the reforms are also aimed at reduc-
ing health care costs.5 Policymakers in the
United States and Canada, where access to
good after-hours care has proved difficult,
might learn from experiences in some Euro-
pean countries with innovative models of af-
ter-hours primary care.6

Six models for after-hours care are used in
various countries.7 (1) Practice-based services:
Family physicians within one practice or orga-
nized in a group of practices look after their
patients on out-of-hours schedules. (2) Deput-
tizing services (outsourcing): Commercial
companies employing doctors and nurses take
over the provision of after-hours care. (3) Hos-
pital EDs: EDs provide primary care by taking
care of health problems after office hours. (4)
Telephone triage and advice centers: These are
centers that patients can phone to receive tele-
phone advice after hours. (5) After-hours pri-
mary care centers: These are walk-in centers
for face-to-face contact with a doctor or nurse.
(6) Primary care cooperatives: General practi-
tioners (GPs) in a region from several groups,
supported by additional personnel, provide af-
ter-hours primary care mostly in nonprofit,
large-scale organizations, which include tele-
phone triage and advice, offices for face-to-
face contact, and house calls.

In this paper we discuss cooperative mod-
elns that have evolved in the United Kingdom,
Denmark, and the Netherlands over the past
decade.

Study Findings

United Kingdom. Between 1952 and
1989, the proportion of U.K. family physicians
who worked alone after hours decreased from
43 percent to 11 percent, evidence of the
growth in shared practice call schedules. Fur-
thermore, from the late 1950s onward, depu-
tized commercial services began to expand to
cover most large U.K. cities. In 1989 these ser-
vice were responsible for 46 percent of house
calls made at night.8 From the early 1990s on-
ward, primary care cooperatives have mush-
roomed, fueled by physicians’ dissatisfaction
with on-call commitments and the level of fi-
nancial support provided by the government.

Cooperatives vary widely in their composition
and patterns of service delivery. Most provide
telephone consultation, face-to-face contact at
walk-in centers, and house calls, but it has be-
come more and more common for them to pro-
vide telephone advice only.9

Nurses versus receptionists. Val Lattimer con-
ducted a well-designed randomized con-
trolled trial (RCT) in the United Kingdom
that compared telephone-advice services
within a primary care cooperative (using
trained nurses and computerized decision
support) with the average cooperatives, where
receptionists answer the telephone but cannot
dispense advice or help.10 In the new nurse-led
system, nurses were able to handle about half
of all calls without referring them to a physi-
cian. In this system, there were 69 percent
fewer telephone contacts with doctors, 38 per-
cent fewer patients attending the walk-in
clinic, and 23 percent fewer house calls. No
differences were found in hospital admissions
or mortality within seven days, and costs were
considerably lower than they had been.

Physician-led cooperative. Another controlled
study in the United Kingdom shows that the
introduction of a physician-led cooperative
did not lead to changes in the use of accident
and emergency (A&E) services or patient sat-
isfaction.11

Primary Care Trusts and NHS Direct. How-
ever, after the introduction of the new contract in
the United Kingdom in 2004, almost all physi-
cians opted to relinquish the responsibility of
twenty-four-hour care. Primary Care Trusts
(local entities that organize services) now
have the responsibility to come up with solu-
tions for after-hours care. The solutions
adopted differ widely between trusts. Some
assign the responsibility to private companies,
some to cooperatives, and some to hospitals.
Some use National Health Service (NHS) Di-
rect for triage and advice service as a first step.
This service, set up by the NHS in the 1990s, is
freely accessible to all residents and provides
telephone triage and advice by trained nurses
who use (computerized) decision support.
Around 2000, about 65 percent of U.K. resi-
dents made use of this service.12 A before-and-
after evaluation of NHS Direct shows a small but significant decrease in the use of family practice cooperatives, but no change in the use of A&E and ambulance services. A more recent evaluation of the impact of NHS Direct on 104 primary care cooperatives, 75 ambulance services, and 170 emergency services during 1998–2001 shows a reduction of 8 percent per year in the number of calls to the cooperatives, but no reduction of the use of A&E and ambulance services, as expected.

Integrated systems. In some U.K. regions, the after-hours services of thirty-one physician cooperatives and A&E services have been integrated and work closely with NHS Direct. In this model, NHS Direct performs the triage, which results in either telephone advice, a doctor on the phone, face-to-face contact with a doctor or a nurse in a walk-in clinic, or referral to emergency services (ambulance, A&E, mental health, and so forth). Complete integration has been achieved by 68 percent of the cooperatives. However, access has not improved: Most patients had to make at least two phone calls to NHS Direct and then had to wait for a nurse to return the call. Fewer calls with advice were completed than was expected, while the calls to ambulance services greatly increased at some sites.

Denmark. In 1992, Denmark changed its system for after-hours primary care from local groups to large organizations covering an entire county (Denmark has sixteen counties with 50,000–600,000 inhabitants each). The cooperatives are organized by family physicians and regional health insurers, with the physicians responsible for the financial results. After hours, all patients have direct, free-of-charge access to a fully trained and licensed family physician, who does triage and gives advice. Patients can be seen at the center as well. During house calls, physicians stay in touch with the triage center via computers and cell phones; they can get information about previous patient contacts, tests ordered, and the best medication to prescribe. The introduction of the new system greatly reduced physicians’ workloads and changed the proportion of telephone consultations and house calls. Bjarne Luhr Hansen and Anders Munck, evaluating the Danish cooperative model, saw an increase in telephone consultations after introduction of the new model (from 22 percent in 1991 to 54 percent in 1995) and a decrease in house calls (from 57 percent to 19 percent). However, the proportion of patients who were dissatisfied with the service increased in the first year—from 13 percent in 1991 to 28 percent in 1992, with a reduction to 19 percent in 1995. The proportion of physicians working more than ten hours a week after hours decreased from 50 percent in 1990 to 10 percent in 1993.

The Netherlands. Until recently, most after-hours primary care in the Netherlands was delivered by collaborating practices via local call schedules. In a very short time (between 2000 and 2003), the landscape of after-hours care changed almost completely. Almost all physicians now participate in large-scale, after-hours, primary care cooperatives. After-hours care in the Netherlands is defined as care delivered from 5 p.m. to 8 a.m. on weekdays and from 5 p.m. on Friday to 8 a.m. on Monday. There are about 120 of these cooperatives with generally 40–120 family physicians taking care of populations of 50,000–500,000 who live as far as twenty miles away. Patients seeking urgent help after hours are encouraged to phone the cooperative, but they can also visit the ED of the local hospital or phone the national emergency number: 85 percent use the cooperative’s telephone number. Patients must access the cooperative via a single regional telephone number before attending (the first contact is by telephone in approximately 95 percent of cases). Trained nurses do the telephone triage, supervised by family physicians, who are consulted in case of doubt and who check and authorize all calls handled by the triage nurses. At all primary care cooperatives in the Netherlands, national (evidence-based) triage protocols and guidelines are available for triage nurses to use during calls. Some cooperatives have started using computer-based decision-support systems. Generally, 20 percent of the requests for help are classified as “urgent.” Telephone advice is provided about 50 percent of the time; face-to-
face contact at the cooperative, about 35 percent; and a house call, about 15 percent. About 6 percent of patients are referred to a hospital.

Patient satisfaction. Patients who received only telephone consultations from nurses were less satisfied with the service than patients who received a face-to-face consultation at the cooperative or a house call. Also, relatively many patients were dissatisfied with information about how the after-hours cooperative was organized. Patients who did not expect, but only got, telephone advice gave the most negative evaluations.

House calls. Chauffeured cars are available for doctors who make house calls. These cars are equipped with communication equipment, oxygen, infusion drips, and automatic defibrillators. Chauffeurs are trained to assist the doctor. Most house calls are provided for very urgent or moderately urgent health problems, although 22 percent are provided for non-urgent problems. About 90 percent of house calls are for people age sixty-five or older. The most common diagnoses at house calls at a large cooperative in a mixed urban-rural area were heart problems (18 percent); lung problems (17 percent); unspecified problems (17 percent); digestive problems (12 percent); and musculoskeletal problems (10 percent).

Hospital services. When home treatment is not possible, the family physician calls an ambulance for transport to the hospital. About 21 percent of all house calls were referred to the hospital in 2003. For very urgent problems, there is intensive collaboration with the ambulance services; for life-threatening problems, if all ambulances are occupied, the primary care cooperative car makes the visit and, if possible, transports the patient.

Physicians’ workload and satisfaction. Research in the Netherlands has shown that physicians’ workloads diminished after the new out-of-hours organization was introduced, and their job satisfaction increased. The total number of on-call hours fell from approximately nineteen hours a week before the cooperatives to four hours a week in 1999.

Progress report. Discussion about the future of after-hours care in the Netherlands favors a model in which hospital EDs and primary care cooperatives work together intensively and even integrate some services. Evaluation of this model in the Maastricht region showed a 25 percent increase in contacts with primary care, a 33 percent reduction in contacts with emergency care, 89 percent fewer self-referrals to the ED, a 12 percent reduction in ambulance calls, and 34 percent fewer hospital admissions. Patients appeared to be satisfied with this approach.

Policy Implications

A major shift in the organization of after-hours care has taken place in some European countries. Telephone triage and advice centers and primary care cooperatives with a variety of services have become popular over the past decade. The experiences, particularly in the Netherlands and Denmark, with primary care cooperatives integrating telephone consultations, face-to-face consultations, and house calls offer promising lessons for policymakers elsewhere.

Preliminary conclusions on the impact of this model suggest lighter workloads for doctors, fewer face-to-face contacts, and fewer house calls, all connected to fewer costs. However, there are also (yet unanswered) questions about the impact of such changes on meeting the needs of specific patient groups, about compliance with telephone advice and user satisfaction, and about continuity of care between the after-hours services and regular family physician care. Research is also inconclusive about the safety of nurse triage.

We may hypothesize on the basis of current experiences that good integration, particularly at the local or regional level, of all services related to emergency and after-hours care, with exchange of information and systems for coordination and a major role for family physicians, is crucial. The optimal models need to be further developed and evaluated.
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