We report the case of a patient with mitral valve disease and septal hypertrophy in whom systolic anterior motion and left ventricular outflow tract obstruction developed after mitral valve replacement with preservation of the mitral subvalvar apparatus. This condition was successfully treated by transaortic septal myectomy and resection of the anterior subvalvar apparatus. The present case suggests that preservation of the anterior leaflet during mitral valve replacement might be deleterious if marked septal hypertrophy is present. If preservation is mandatory, a solution of partial resection of the anterior leaflet as described by David [7] or Rose and Oz [8] might be preferred. Careful preoperative transthoracic echocardiography detection of septal hypertrophy and perioperative transesophageal echocardiography should enable the surgeon to anticipate this complication and thus select the appropriate surgical approach.
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In patients with left ventricular hypertrophy, iatrogenic left ventricular outflow tract obstruction may occur after mitral valve replacement. The outflow obstruction most frequently results from implantation of a high-profile, large-diameter prosthesis. If the prosthesis is not oriented properly, a strut may obstruct the outflow tract. In a patient with a calcified annulus, such as the one described in this report, a 29-mm prosthesis may be excessively large. In addition, sparing the chordae by splitting and reattaching the segments of the anterior leaflet may have contributed to the obstruction in this case. A hypertrophied postero-medial papillary muscle with fibrosed chordae may be a contraindication to this technique. Although no reference was made to idiopathic hypertrophic subaortic stenosis as a preoperative finding, idiopathic hypertrophic subaortic stenosis may also have contributed to the outflow obstruction in this patient. When mitral valve replacement is used to relieve idiopathic hypertrophic subaortic stenosis, the anterior mitral leaflet should be removed, the posteromedial papillary muscle excised, and a small-diameter, low-profile mechanical prosthesis inserted.
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Postoperative Myocardial Ischemia Caused by Chest Tube Compression of Vein Graft
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Here we report an unexpected and possibly overlooked cause of postoperative myocardial ischemia: a chest tube compressing a vein graft. After the position of the chest tube was adjusted, graft flow was reestablished, right ventricular contractility returned, and myocardial infarction was probably prevented. The literature on chest tube complications is briefly reviewed and experience from our institution is reported.
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A variety of complications have been described in association with closed chest tube insertion. However, data on complications from mediastinal chest tubes inserted in association with cardiac operations are limited. Here we report an unexpected and possibly overlooked cause of postoperative myocardial ischemia: a chest tube compressing a vein graft. The literature on chest tube complications is briefly reviewed and experience from our institution is reported.

The patient, a 77-year-old man with hypertension, elevated serum lipid levels, rheumatoid arthritis, and angina for 3 years was hospitalized for myocardial infarction. Subsequently unstable angina developed and a semiurgent coronary angiogram was performed. The angiogram showed an 80% left main stenosis, occlusion of the left anterior descending artery.
Artery and the posterolateral branch against the bulging right ventricle. After the position of the chest tube was adjusted, graft flow immediately returned. The ultrasonic flow meter (HT107 medical volume flowmeter; Transonic Systems Inc, Ithaca, NY) indicated a graft flow of 150 to 200 mL/min. Immediate decreases of central venous pressure from 15 to 8 mm Hg and pulmonary artery diastolic pressure from 16 to 12 mm Hg were observed. Recovery of right ventricular contractility was evident by visual inspection and was confirmed by transesophageal echocardiography. Systolic arterial blood pressure stabilized at 120 mm Hg, and there was a further increase in mixed venous oxygen saturation to 71%.

The further postoperative course was uneventful, and there were no electrocardiographic or enzymatic signs of perioperative myocardial infarction. Aspartate aminotransferase level on the morning after the operation was 1.3 μkat/L. The patient was transferred to the ward on the following morning and discharged after another 6 days.

Comment

In our opinion this case demonstrates the importance of diagnostic efforts to elucidate the cause of circulatory failure developing in the intensive care unit. If potentially benign causes such as hypovolemia or tamponade can be excluded, recurrent myocardial ischemia and evolving myocardial infarction have to be considered. The present case displayed typical signs of right-sided cardiac failure and a cause of postoperative cardiac failure that was unexpected, but easy to deal with: mechanical compression of a vein graft by a dislocated chest tube against the bulging right ventricle. After the position of the chest tube was adjusted, graft flow was reestablished, right ventricular contractility returned, and myocardial infarction was probably prevented.

Reviewing the literature, we found an array of complications reported in association with closed chest tube insertion. They range from uncommon serious complications—such as direct injuries to the lung, perforation of the diaphragm and intraabdominal organs, cardiac and major vascular injury, chylothorax, infectious complications (empyema, necrotizing fascitis), neurologic complications (Horner’s syndrome, winging of the scapula, acute diaphragmatic paralysis), unilateral pulmonary edema associated with reexpansion of a pneumothorax—to more common complications such as pain, malposition of tubes, subcutaneous emphysema, and pneumothorax [1, 2]. Many of these complications are related to the closed insertion technique or withdrawal of the tubes, and the incidence depends on the experience of the physician [2]. In contrast, data in the literature on mediastinal chest tube complications in association with cardiac operations are limited. The complications may have been considered common knowledge or too benign to merit publication. Certainly, most surgeons have encountered cases of pneumothorax on withdrawal of tubes or patients suffering from pain because of chest tubes. A less common complication is entrapment of chest tubes under sternal sutures. Berkow and Salo [3] reported 5 cases among 759 median sternotomies performed. However, serious complications are also caused by chest...
in the setting. At our institution we are aware of a case of intestinal perforation (transverse colon) during insertion of a chest tube and a case of massive hemorrhage caused by rupture of a vein graft varicosity that was sucked into the tube. In the literature we found one report of myocardial ischemia after a congenital heart operation, presumably caused by tube suction in a closed pericardium, that resolved when suction was discontinued [4]. We suggest that postoperative myocardial ischemia due to compression of vein grafts by chest tubes may have been overlooked previously.

To conclude, the present case demonstrated an unexpected and possibly overlooked cause of myocardial ischemia: a chest tube compressing a vein graft. Furthermore, this case illustrates the value of diagnostic measures and early correction of the cause of postoperative myocardial ischemia.
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Truncus Arteriosus With Patent Ductus Arteriosus and Normal Aortic Arch
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In hearts with a common arterial trunk (truncus arteriosus), there is almost always an inverse development of the aortic arch and the ductus arteriosus. Truncus with a normal aortic arch and a patent ductus is a rare echocardiographic and surgical finding. In this report, we describe 2 neonates in whom truncus arteriosus with a normal aortic arch and a medium or large patent ductus was diagnosed by preoperative echocardiography (without catheterization) and confirmed intraoperatively.
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In their 1965 report, the Van Praagh [1] observed an inverse development of the fourth and sixth pharyngeal arches in hearts with a common arterial trunk. In specimens with a well-developed aortic arch (fourth arch derivative), the ductus arteriosus (sixth arch derivative) was nearly always absent, whereas when a large patent ductus was present, the aortic arch was interrupted. This inverse relationship has been explained on the basis of blood flow patterns in the developing heart and truncus arteriosus [2]. Although hemodynamics in utero most likely contribute to this pattern, a more fundamental defect in neural crest development or migration is almost certainly involved as well. This is supported by the association of both truncus arteriosus and interrupted aortic arch with microdeletions of chromosome 22q11 [3, 4], and by the high incidence of truncus arteriosus produced by ablating the neural crest region that contributes to the development of the fourth arch in chicks [5]. There have been several reports of truncus arteriosus with a well-developed fourth arch and a patent ductus in autopsy studies [1, 6, 7], although the ductus in these cases has generally been small. In clinical series of truncus repair, there has been little mention of this finding, and it is generally known to be the case that truncus with a normal aortic arch and a patent ductus is a rare echocardiographic and surgical finding [8–10].

Between July 1992 and December 1996, primary complete repair of truncus arteriosus was performed in 30 neonates at our institution. In 2 of these patients with truncus arteriosus and a normal aortic arch, the unusual finding of a medium-sized or large patent ductus along with a normal aortic arch was observed on preoperative echocardiography (without catheterization) and confirmed intraoperatively. These cases are presented below.

Case Reports

Patient 1

The patient presented on the second day of life with respiratory distress and was diagnosed to have truncus arteriosus by echocardiography. Prostaglandins were not administered. When the patient arrived at our institution, repeat echocardiography demonstrated a typical truncus arteriosus, with normal-sized pulmonary arteries originating from the posterior aspect of the common trunk. Arising from the central left pulmonary artery, at the point of separation between the ascending aorta and pulmonary artery, a large ductus was visualized.

At 17 days of age the patient was taken to the operating room. The heart was exposed through a median sternotomy, and it was immediately observed that there was a very large patent ductus arteriosus with an intact, unobstructed aortic arch and a common arterial trunk. The left pulmonary artery and the ductus arose from the left side of the truncal root and the right pulmonary artery originated from the posterior aspect of the truncus. At the institution of bypass, the ductus was ligated and the branch pulmonary arteries were clamped. After cardioplegic arrest, a large posterior patch of truncal tissue...