

PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The version of the following full text has not yet been defined or was untraceable and may differ from the publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2066/36438>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2021-06-24 and may be subject to change.

Search for a Higgs boson produced in association with a Z boson in $p\bar{p}$ collisions

V.M. Abazov,³⁵ B. Abbott,⁷⁵ M. Abolins,⁶⁵ B.S. Acharya,²⁸ M. Adams,⁵¹ T. Adams,⁴⁹ E. Aguilo,⁵ S.H. Ahn,³⁰ M. Ahsan,⁵⁹ G.D. Alexeev,³⁵ G. Alkhazov,³⁹ A. Alton,^{64,*} G. Alverson,⁶³ G.A. Alves,² M. Anastasoie,³⁴ L.S. Ancu,³⁴ T. Andeen,⁵³ S. Anderson,⁴⁵ B. Andrieu,¹⁶ M.S. Anzelc,⁵³ Y. Arnoud,¹³ M. Arov,⁶⁰ M. Arthaud,¹⁷ A. Askew,⁴⁹ B. Åsman,⁴⁰ A.C.S. Assis Jesus,³ O. Atramentov,⁴⁹ C. Autermann,²⁰ C. Avila,⁷ C. Ay,²³ F. Badaud,¹² A. Baden,⁶¹ L. Bagby,⁵² B. Baldin,⁵⁰ D.V. Bandurin,⁵⁹ P. Banerjee,²⁸ S. Banerjee,²⁸ E. Barberis,⁶³ A.-F. Barfuss,¹⁴ P. Bargassa,⁸⁰ P. Baringer,⁵⁸ J. Barreto,² J.F. Bartlett,⁵⁰ U. Bassler,¹⁶ D. Bauer,⁴³ S. Beale,⁵ A. Bean,⁵⁸ M. Begalli,³ M. Begel,⁷¹ C. Belanger-Champagne,⁴⁰ L. Bellantoni,⁵⁰ A. Bellavance,⁵⁰ J.A. Benitez,⁶⁵ S.B. Beri,²⁶ G. Bernardi,¹⁶ R. Bernhard,²² L. Berntzon,¹⁴ I. Bertram,⁴² M. Besançon,¹⁷ R. Beuselinck,⁴³ V.A. Bezzubov,³⁸ P.C. Bhat,⁵⁰ V. Bhatnagar,²⁶ C. Biscarat,¹⁹ G. Blazey,⁵² F. Blekman,⁴³ S. Blessing,⁴⁹ D. Bloch,¹⁸ K. Bloom,⁶⁷ A. Boehnlein,⁵⁰ D. Boline,⁶² T.A. Bolton,⁵⁹ G. Borissov,⁴² K. Bos,³³ T. Bose,⁷⁷ A. Brandt,⁷⁸ R. Brock,⁶⁵ G. Brooijmans,⁷⁰ A. Bross,⁵⁰ D. Brown,⁷⁸ N.J. Buchanan,⁴⁹ D. Buchholz,⁵³ M. Buehler,⁸¹ V. Buescher,²¹ S. Burdin,^{42,¶} S. Burke,⁴⁵ T.H. Burnett,⁸² C.P. Buszello,⁴³ J.M. Butler,⁶² P. Calfayan,²⁴ S. Calvet,¹⁴ J. Cammin,⁷¹ S. Caron,³³ W. Carvalho,³ B.C.K. Casey,⁷⁷ N.M. Cason,⁵⁵ H. Castilla-Valdez,³² S. Chakrabarti,¹⁷ D. Chakraborty,⁵² K. Chan,⁵ K.M. Chan,⁵⁵ A. Chandra,⁴⁸ F. Charles,¹⁸ E. Cheu,⁴⁵ F. Chevallier,¹³ D.K. Cho,⁶² S. Choi,³¹ B. Choudhary,²⁷ L. Christofek,⁷⁷ T. Christoudias,⁴³ S. Cihangir,⁵⁰ D. Claes,⁶⁷ B. Clément,¹⁸ C. Clément,⁴⁰ Y. Coadou,⁵ M. Cooke,⁸⁰ W.E. Cooper,⁵⁰ M. Corcoran,⁸⁰ F. Couderc,¹⁷ M.-C. Cousinou,¹⁴ S. Crépe-Renaudin,¹³ D. Cutts,⁷⁷ M. Cwiok,²⁹ H. da Motta,² A. Das,⁶² G. Davies,⁴³ K. De,⁷⁸ P. de Jong,³³ S.J. de Jong,³⁴ E. De La Cruz-Burelo,⁶⁴ C. De Oliveira Martins,³ J.D. Degenhardt,⁶⁴ F. Déliot,¹⁷ M. Demarteau,⁵⁰ R. Demina,⁷¹ D. Denisov,⁵⁰ S.P. Denisov,³⁸ S. Desai,⁵⁰ H.T. Diehl,⁵⁰ M. Diesburg,⁵⁰ A. Dominguez,⁶⁷ H. Dong,⁷² L.V. Dudko,³⁷ L. Duflot,¹⁵ S.R. Dugad,²⁸ D. Duggan,⁴⁹ A. Duperrin,¹⁴ J. Dyer,⁶⁵ A. Dyshkant,⁵² M. Eads,⁶⁷ D. Edmunds,⁶⁵ J. Ellison,⁴⁸ V.D. Elvira,⁵⁰ Y. Enari,⁷⁷ S. Eno,⁶¹ P. Ermolov,³⁷ H. Evans,⁵⁴ A. Evdokimov,⁷³ V.N. Evdokimov,³⁸ A.V. Ferapontov,⁵⁹ T. Ferbel,⁷¹ F. Fiedler,²⁴ F. Filthaut,³⁴ W. Fisher,⁵⁰ H.E. Fisk,⁵⁰ M. Ford,⁴⁴ M. Fortner,⁵² H. Fox,²² S. Fu,⁵⁰ S. Fuess,⁵⁰ T. Gadfort,⁸² C.F. Galea,³⁴ E. Gallas,⁵⁰ E. Galyaev,⁵⁵ C. Garcia,⁷¹ A. Garcia-Bellido,⁸² V. Gavrilov,³⁶ P. Gay,¹² W. Geist,¹⁸ D. Gelé,¹⁸ C.E. Gerber,⁵¹ Y. Gershtein,⁴⁹ D. Gillberg,⁵ G. Ginter,⁷¹ N. Gollub,⁴⁰ B. Gómez,⁷ A. Goussiou,⁵⁵ P.D. Grannis,⁷² H. Greenlee,⁵⁰ Z.D. Greenwood,⁶⁰ E.M. Gregores,⁴ G. Grenier,¹⁹ Ph. Gris,¹² J.-F. Grivaz,¹⁵ A. Grohsjean,²⁴ S. Grünendahl,⁵⁰ M.W. Grünewald,²⁹ F. Guo,⁷² J. Guo,⁷² G. Gutierrez,⁵⁰ P. Gutierrez,⁷⁵ A. Haas,⁷⁰ N.J. Hadley,⁶¹ P. Haefner,²⁴ S. Hagopian,⁴⁹ J. Haley,⁶⁸ I. Hall,⁷⁵ R.E. Hall,⁴⁷ L. Han,⁶ K. Hanagaki,⁵⁰ P. Hansson,⁴⁰ K. Harder,⁴⁴ A. Harel,⁷¹ R. Harrington,⁶³ J.M. Hauptman,⁵⁷ R. Hauser,⁶⁵ J. Hays,⁴³ T. Hebbeker,²⁰ D. Hedin,⁵² J.G. Hegeman,³³ J.M. Heinmiller,⁵¹ A.P. Heinson,⁴⁸ U. Heintz,⁶² C. Hensel,⁵⁸ K. Herner,⁷² G. Hesketh,⁶³ M.D. Hildreth,⁵⁵ R. Hirosky,⁸¹ J.D. Hobbs,⁷² B. Hoeneisen,¹¹ H. Hoeth,²⁵ M. Hohlfield,²¹ S.J. Hong,³⁰ R. Hooper,⁷⁷ S. Hossain,⁷⁵ P. Houben,³³ Y. Hu,⁷² Z. Hubacek,⁹ V. Hynek,⁸ I. Iashvili,⁶⁹ R. Illingworth,⁵⁰ A.S. Ito,⁵⁰ S. Jabeen,⁶² M. Jaffré,¹⁵ S. Jain,⁷⁵ K. Jakobs,²² C. Jarvis,⁶¹ R. Jesik,⁴³ K. Johns,⁴⁵ C. Johnson,⁷⁰ M. Johnson,⁵⁰ A. Jonckheere,⁵⁰ P. Jonsson,⁴³ A. Juste,⁵⁰ D. Käfer,²⁰ S. Kahn,⁷³ E. Kajfasz,¹⁴ A.M. Kalinin,³⁵ J.M. Kalk,⁶⁰ J.R. Kalk,⁶⁵ S. Kappler,²⁰ D. Karmanov,³⁷ J. Kasper,⁶² P. Kasper,⁵⁰ I. Katsanos,⁷⁰ D. Kau,⁴⁹ R. Kaur,²⁶ V. Kaushik,⁷⁸ R. Kehoe,⁷⁹ S. Kermiche,¹⁴ N. Khalatyan,³⁸ A. Khanov,⁷⁶ A. Kharchilava,⁶⁹ Y.M. Kharzheev,³⁵ D. Khatidze,⁷⁰ H. Kim,³¹ T.J. Kim,³⁰ M.H. Kirby,³⁴ M. Kirsch,²⁰ B. Klima,⁵⁰ J.M. Kohli,²⁶ J.-P. Konrath,²² M. Kopal,⁷⁵ V.M. Korablev,³⁸ B. Kothari,⁷⁰ A.V. Kozelov,³⁸ D. Krop,⁵⁴ A. Kryemadhi,⁸¹ T. Kuhl,²³ A. Kumar,⁶⁹ S. Kunori,⁶¹ A. Kupco,¹⁰ T. Kurča,¹⁹ J. Kvita,⁸ D. Lam,⁵⁵ S. Lammers,⁷⁰ G. Landsberg,⁷⁷ J. Lazoflores,⁴⁹ P. Lebrun,¹⁹ W.M. Lee,⁵⁰ A. Leflat,³⁷ F. Lehner,⁴¹ J. Lellouch,¹⁶ V. Lesne,¹² J. Leveque,⁴⁵ P. Lewis,⁴³ J. Li,⁷⁸ L. Li,⁴⁸ Q.Z. Li,⁵⁰ S.M. Lietti,⁴ J.G.R. Lima,⁵² D. Lincoln,⁵⁰ J. Linnemann,⁶⁵ V.V. Lipaev,³⁸ R. Lipton,⁵⁰ Y. Liu,⁶ Z. Liu,⁵ L. Lobo,⁴³ A. Lobodenko,³⁹ M. Lokajicek,¹⁰ A. Lounis,¹⁸ P. Love,⁴² H.J. Lubatti,⁸² A.L. Lyon,⁵⁰ A.K.A. Maciel,² D. Mackin,⁸⁰ R.J. Madaras,⁴⁶ P. Mättig,²⁵ C. Magass,²⁰ A. Magerkurth,⁶⁴ N. Makovec,¹⁵ P.K. Mal,⁵⁵ H.B. Malbouisson,³ S. Malik,⁶⁷ V.L. Malyshev,³⁵ H.S. Mao,⁵⁰ Y. Maravin,⁵⁹ B. Martin,¹³ R. McCarthy,⁷² A. Melnitchouk,⁶⁶ A. Mendes,¹⁴ L. Mendoza,⁷ P.G. Mercadante,⁴ M. Merkin,³⁷ K.W. Merritt,⁵⁰ A. Meyer,²⁰ J. Meyer,²¹ M. Michaut,¹⁷ T. Millet,¹⁹ J. Mitrevski,⁷⁰ J. Molina,³ R.K. Mommsen,⁴⁴ N.K. Mondal,²⁸ R.W. Moore,⁵ T. Moulik,⁵⁸ G.S. Muanza,¹⁹ M. Mulders,⁵⁰ M. Mulhearn,⁷⁰ O. Mundal,²¹ L. Mundim,³ E. Nagy,¹⁴ M. Naimuddin,⁵⁰ M. Narain,⁷⁷ N.A. Naumann,³⁴ H.A. Neal,⁶⁴ J.P. Negret,⁷ P. Neustroev,³⁹ H. Nilsen,²²

C. Noeding,²² A. Nomerotski,⁵⁰ S.F. Novaes,⁴ T. Nunnemann,²⁴ V. O'Dell,⁵⁰ D.C. O'Neil,⁵ G. Obrant,³⁹
 C. Ochando,¹⁵ D. Onoprienko,⁵⁹ N. Oshima,⁵⁰ J. Osta,⁵⁵ R. Otec,⁹ G.J. Otero y Garzón,⁵¹ M. Owen,⁴⁴ P. Padley,⁸⁰
 M. Pangilinan,⁷⁷ N. Parashar,⁵⁶ S.-J. Park,⁷¹ S.K. Park,³⁰ J. Parsons,⁷⁰ R. Partridge,⁷⁷ N. Parua,⁵⁴ A. Patwa,⁷³
 G. Pawloski,⁸⁰ P.M. Perea,⁴⁸ K. Peters,⁴⁴ Y. Peters,²⁵ P. Pétroff,¹⁵ M. Petteni,⁴³ R. Piegai,¹ J. Piper,⁶⁵
 M.-A. Pleier,²¹ P.L.M. Podesta-Lerma,^{32,§} V.M. Podstavkov,⁵⁰ Y. Pogorelov,⁵⁵ M.-E. Pol,² A. Pompoš,⁷⁵
 B.G. Pope,⁶⁵ A.V. Popov,³⁸ C. Potter,⁵ W.L. Prado da Silva,³ H.B. Prosper,⁴⁹ S. Protopopescu,⁷³ J. Qian,⁶⁴
 A. Quadt,²¹ B. Quinn,⁶⁶ A. Rakitine,⁴² M.S. Rangel,² K.J. Rani,²⁸ K. Ranjan,²⁷ P.N. Ratoff,⁴² P. Renkel,⁷⁹
 S. Reucroft,⁶³ P. Rich,⁴⁴ M. Rijssenbeek,⁷² I. Ripp-Baudot,¹⁸ F. Rizatdinova,⁷⁶ S. Robinson,⁴³ R.F. Rodrigues,³
 C. Royon,¹⁷ P. Rubinov,⁵⁰ R. Ruchti,⁵⁵ G. Safronov,³⁶ G. Sajot,¹³ A. Sánchez-Hernández,³² M.P. Sanders,¹⁶
 A. Santoro,³ G. Savage,⁵⁰ L. Sawyer,⁶⁰ T. Scanlon,⁴³ D. Schaile,²⁴ R.D. Schamberger,⁷² Y. Scheglov,³⁹
 H. Schellman,⁵³ P. Schieferdecker,²⁴ T. Schliephake,²⁵ C. Schmitt,²⁵ C. Schwanenberger,⁴⁴ A. Schwartzman,⁶⁸
 R. Schwienhorst,⁶⁵ J. Sekaric,⁴⁹ S. Sengupta,⁴⁹ H. Severini,⁷⁵ E. Shabalina,⁵¹ M. Shamim,⁵⁹ V. Shary,¹⁷
 A.A. Shchukin,³⁸ R.K. Shivpuri,²⁷ D. Shpakov,⁵⁰ V. Siccaldi,¹⁸ V. Simak,⁹ V. Sirotenko,⁵⁰ P. Skubic,⁷⁵ P. Slattery,⁷¹
 D. Smirnov,⁵⁵ R.P. Smith,⁵⁰ G.R. Snow,⁶⁷ J. Snow,⁷⁴ S. Snyder,⁷³ S. Söldner-Rembold,⁴⁴ L. Sonnenschein,¹⁶
 A. Sopczak,⁴² M. Sosebee,⁷⁸ K. Soustruznik,⁸ M. Souza,² B. Spurlock,⁷⁸ J. Stark,¹³ J. Steele,⁶⁰ V. Stolin,³⁶
 A. Stone,⁵¹ D.A. Stoyanova,³⁸ J. Strandberg,⁶⁴ S. Strandberg,⁴⁰ M.A. Strang,⁶⁹ M. Strauss,⁷⁵ R. Ströhmer,²⁴
 D. Strom,⁵³ M. Strovink,⁴⁶ L. Stutte,⁵⁰ S. Sumowidagdo,⁴⁹ P. Svoisky,⁵⁵ A. Sznajder,³ M. Talby,¹⁴ P. Tamburello,⁴⁵
 A. Tanasijczuk,¹ W. Taylor,⁵ P. Telford,⁴⁴ J. Temple,⁴⁵ B. Tiller,²⁴ F. Tissandier,¹² M. Titov,¹⁷ V.V. Tokmenin,³⁵
 M. Tomoto,⁵⁰ T. Toole,⁶¹ I. Torchiani,²² T. Trefzger,²³ D. Tsybychev,⁷² B. Tuchming,¹⁷ C. Tully,⁶⁸ P.M. Tuts,⁷⁰
 R. Unalan,⁶⁵ L. Uvarov,³⁹ S. Uvarov,³⁹ S. Uzunyan,⁵² B. Vachon,⁵ P.J. van den Berg,³³ B. van Eijk,³⁵
 R. Van Kooten,⁵⁴ W.M. van Leeuwen,³³ N. Varelas,⁵¹ E.W. Varnes,⁴⁵ A. Vartapetian,⁷⁸ I.A. Vasilyev,³⁸
 M. Vaupel,²⁵ P. Verdier,¹⁹ L.S. Vertogradov,³⁵ M. Verzocchi,⁵⁰ F. Villeneuve-Seguié,⁴³ P. Vint,⁴³ E. Von Toerne,⁵⁹
 M. Voutilainen,^{67,†} M. Vreeswijk,³³ R. Wagner,⁶⁸ H.D. Wahl,⁴⁹ L. Wang,⁶¹ M.H.L.S Wang,⁵⁰ J. Warchol,⁵⁵
 G. Watts,⁸² M. Wayne,⁵⁵ G. Weber,²³ M. Weber,⁵⁰ H. Weerts,⁶⁵ A. Wenger,^{22,#} N. Wermes,²¹ M. Wetstein,⁶¹
 A. White,⁷⁸ D. Wicke,²⁵ G.W. Wilson,⁵⁸ S.J. Wimpenny,⁴⁸ M. Wobisch,⁶⁰ D.R. Wood,⁶³ T.R. Wyatt,⁴⁴
 Y. Xie,⁷⁷ S. Yacoob,⁵³ R. Yamada,⁵⁰ M. Yan,⁶¹ T. Yasuda,⁵⁰ Y.A. Yatsunenko,³⁵ K. Yip,⁷³ H.D. Yoo,⁷⁷
 S.W. Youn,⁵³ C. Yu,¹³ J. Yu,⁷⁸ A. Yurkewicz,⁷² A. Zatserklyaniy,⁵² C. Zeitnitz,²⁵ D. Zhang,⁵⁰ T. Zhao,⁸²
 B. Zhou,⁶⁴ J. Zhu,⁷² M. Zielinski,⁷¹ D. Zieminska,⁵⁴ A. Zieminski,⁵⁴ L. Zivkovic,⁷⁰ V. Zutshi,⁵² and E.G. Zverev³⁷
 (DØ Collaboration)

¹Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

²LAFEX, Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

³Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁴Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil

⁵University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada, York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, and McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

⁶University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, People's Republic of China

⁷Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia

⁸Center for Particle Physics, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

⁹Czech Technical University, Prague, Czech Republic

¹⁰Center for Particle Physics, Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic

¹¹Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

¹²Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire, IN2P3-CNRS, Université Blaise Pascal, Clermont-Ferrand, France

¹³Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, IN2P3-CNRS, Université de Grenoble 1, Grenoble, France

¹⁴CPPM, IN2P3-CNRS, Université de la Méditerranée, Marseille, France

¹⁵Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire, IN2P3-CNRS et Université Paris-Sud, Orsay, France

¹⁶LPNHE, IN2P3-CNRS, Universités Paris VI and VII, Paris, France

¹⁷DAPNIA/Service de Physique des Particules, CEA, Saclay, France

¹⁸IPHC, Université Louis Pasteur et Université de Haute Alsace, CNRS, IN2P3, Strasbourg, France

¹⁹IPNL, Université Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France and Université de Lyon, Lyon, France

²⁰III. Physikalisches Institut A, RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany

²¹Physikalisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany

²²Physikalisches Institut, Universität Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

²³Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany

²⁴Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany

²⁵Fachbereich Physik, University of Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany

²⁶Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

²⁷Delhi University, Delhi, India

- ²⁸Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India
²⁹University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland
³⁰Korea Detector Laboratory, Korea University, Seoul, Korea
³¹SungKyunKwan University, Suwon, Korea
³²CINVESTAV, Mexico City, Mexico
³³FOM-Institute NIKHEF and University of Amsterdam/NIKHEF, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
³⁴Radboud University Nijmegen/NIKHEF, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
³⁵Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
³⁶Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
³⁷Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
³⁸Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia
³⁹Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia
⁴⁰Lund University, Lund, Sweden, Royal Institute of Technology and Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden, and Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
⁴¹Physik Institut der Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland
⁴²Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom
⁴³Imperial College, London, United Kingdom
⁴⁴University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom
⁴⁵University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA
⁴⁶Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA
⁴⁷California State University, Fresno, California 93740, USA
⁴⁸University of California, Riverside, California 92521, USA
⁴⁹Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA
⁵⁰Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510, USA
⁵¹University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607, USA
⁵²Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois 60115, USA
⁵³Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA
⁵⁴Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, USA
⁵⁵University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, USA
⁵⁶Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, Indiana 46323, USA
⁵⁷Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA
⁵⁸University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045, USA
⁵⁹Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506, USA
⁶⁰Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, Louisiana 71272, USA
⁶¹University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA
⁶²Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, USA
⁶³Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA
⁶⁴University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA
⁶⁵Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA
⁶⁶University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677, USA
⁶⁷University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588, USA
⁶⁸Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA
⁶⁹State University of New York, Buffalo, New York 14260, USA
⁷⁰Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA
⁷¹University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, USA
⁷²State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794, USA
⁷³Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA
⁷⁴Langston University, Langston, Oklahoma 73050, USA
⁷⁵University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019, USA
⁷⁶Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078, USA
⁷⁷Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912, USA
⁷⁸University of Texas, Arlington, Texas 76019, USA
⁷⁹Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas 75275, USA
⁸⁰Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005, USA
⁸¹University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22901, USA
⁸²University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA

(Dated: April 16, 2007)

We describe a search for the standard model Higgs boson with a mass of $105 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ to $145 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ in data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of approximately 450 pb^{-1} collected with the D0 detector at the Fermilab Tevatron $p\bar{p}$ collider at a center-of-mass energy of 1.96 TeV. The Higgs boson is required to be produced in association with a Z boson, and the Z boson is required to decay to either electrons or muons with the Higgs boson decaying to a $b\bar{b}$ pair. The data are well

described by the expected background, leading to 95% confidence level cross section upper limits $\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow ZH) \times B(H \rightarrow b\bar{b})$ in the range of 3.1 pb to 4.4 pb.

PACS numbers: 13.85.Ni, 13.85.Qk, 13.85Rm

Over the past two decades, increasingly precise experimental results have repeatedly validated the standard model (SM) and the relationship between gauge invariance and the embedded coupling strengths. For massive W and Z bosons, gauge invariance of the Lagrangian is preserved through the Higgs mechanism, but the Higgs boson (H) has yet to be observed. The current lower bound on the mass of the Higgs boson from direct experimental searches is $M_H = 114.4 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ at the 95% confidence level [1]. Searches for $p\bar{p} \rightarrow WH \rightarrow e(\mu)\nu b\bar{b}$, $p\bar{p} \rightarrow WH \rightarrow WWW^*$, and $p\bar{p} \rightarrow ZH \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}b\bar{b}$ have been recently reported [2, 3, 4]. The CDF collaboration has reported results in the $p\bar{p} \rightarrow WH \rightarrow \ell\nu$ and $p\bar{p} \rightarrow ZH \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-b\bar{b}$ ($\ell = e, \mu$) channels with significantly smaller data sets [5, 6, 7]. This Letter provides the first results from the D0 experiment of searches for a Higgs boson produced in association with a Z boson, which then decays either to an electron pair or to a muon pair. The Higgs is assumed to decay to a $b\bar{b}$ pair with a branching fraction given by the SM. The $Z(\rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-)H$ channels reported in this letter comprise major components of the search for a Higgs boson at the Tevatron collider.

Z bosons are reconstructed and identified through pairs of isolated, electrons or muons with large momentum components transverse to the beam direction (p_T) having invariant mass consistent with that of the Z boson. Events are required to have exactly two jets identified as arising from b quarks (b jets). The resulting data are examined for the presence of a ($H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$) signal in the b -tagged dijet mass distribution. An efficient b -identification algorithm with low misidentification rate and good dijet mass resolution are essential to enhance signal relative to the backgrounds. The analysis of the dielectron [8] (dimuon [9]) channel is based on $450 \pm 27 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ ($370 \pm 23 \text{ pb}^{-1}$) of data recorded by the D0 experiment between 2002 and 2004.

The D0 detector [10, 11] has a central-tracking system consisting of a silicon microstrip tracker (SMT) and a central fiber tracker (CFT), both located within a $\approx 2 \text{ T}$ superconducting solenoidal magnet, with designs optimized for tracking and vertexing covering pseudorapidities $|\eta| < 3$ and $|\eta| < 2.5$, respectively ($\eta = -\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$, with θ the polar angle relative to the direction of the proton beam). Central and forward preshower detectors are positioned just outside of the superconducting coil. A liquid-argon and uranium calorimeter has a central section (CC) covering pseudorapidities up to $|\eta| \approx 1.1$ and two end calorimeters (EC) that extend coverage to $|\eta| \approx 4.2$, with all three housed in separate cryostats [11]. An outer muon system, cover-

ing $|\eta| < 2$, consists of a layer of tracking detectors and scintillation trigger counters in front of 1.8 T toroids, followed by two similar layers behind the toroids [12]. Luminosity is measured using plastic scintillator arrays placed in front of the EC cryostats [13].

The primary background to the Higgs signal is the associated production of a Z boson with jets arising from gluon radiation, among which $Z+b\bar{b}$ production is an irreducible background. The other background sources are $t\bar{t}$ production, diboson (ZZ and WZ) production, and events from multijet production that are misidentified as containing Z bosons. The backgrounds are grouped into two categories with the first category, called physics backgrounds, containing events with Z or W bosons arising from SM processes: inclusive $Z+b\bar{b}$ production, inclusive $Z+jj$ production in which j is a jet without b flavor, $t\bar{t}$, ZZ , and WZ events. This background is estimated from simulation as described below. The second category, called instrumental background, contains those events from multijet production that have two jets misidentified as isolated electrons or muons which appear to arise from the Z boson decay. This background is modeled using control data samples and the procedure described below.

Physics backgrounds are simulated using the leading order ALPGEN [14] and PYTHIA [15] event generators, with the leading order CTEQ5L [16] used as parton distribution functions. The decay and fragmentation of heavy flavor hadrons is done via EVTGEN [17]. The simulated events are passed through a detailed D0 detector simulation program based on GEANT [18] and are reconstructed using the same software program used to reconstruct the collider data. The ZH signal, for a range of Higgs masses, is also simulated using PYTHIA with the same processing as applied to data. Determination of the instrumental background and the normalization of the physics backgrounds are discussed below.

Candidate $Z \rightarrow ee$ events are selected using a combination of single-electron triggers. Accepted events must have two isolated electromagnetic (EM) clusters reconstructed offline in the calorimeter. Isolation is defined as $I = (E_{\text{total}}^{(0.4)} - E_{EM}^{(0.2)})/E_{EM}^{(0.2)}$ in which $E_{\text{total}}^{(0.4)}$ is the total calorimeter energy within $\Delta R < 0.4$ of the electron direction and $E_{EM}^{(0.2)}$ is the energy in the electromagnetic portion of the calorimeter within $\Delta R < 0.2$ of the electron direction. Candidate electrons must satisfy $I < 0.15$. Each EM cluster must have $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}/c$ and either $|\eta_{\text{det}}| < 1.1$ or $1.5 < |\eta_{\text{det}}| < 2.5$, where η_{det} is the pseudorapidity measured relative to the center of the detector, with at least one cluster satisfying $|\eta_{\text{det}}| < 1.1$. In addition, the lateral and longitudinal shower shape of the

energy cluster must be consistent with that expected of electrons. At least one of the two EM clusters is also required to have a reconstructed track matching the position of the EM cluster energy. Events with a dielectron mass of $75 < M_{ee} < 105 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ form the Z boson candidate sample in the dielectron channel.

Candidate $Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ events are selected using a set of single-muon triggers. Accepted events must have two isolated muons reconstructed offline. The muons must have opposite charge, $p_T > 15 \text{ GeV}/c$, and $|\eta| < 2.0$ with muon trajectories matched to tracks in the central tracking system (i.e., the SMT and the CFT), where the central track must contain at least one SMT measurement. In addition, the central tracks are required to have a distance of closest approach to the interaction vertex in the transverse plane smaller than 0.25 cm. Muon isolation is based on the sum of the energy measured in the calorimeter around the muon candidate and the sum of the p_T of tracks within $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\phi)^2 + (\Delta\eta)^2} = 0.5$ of the muon candidate normalized by the muon momentum. The distribution of this variable in background multi-hadron events is converted to a probability distribution such that a low probability corresponds to an isolated muon. The product of the probabilities for both muons in an event is computed, and the event is retained if the product is less than 0.02. Accepted Z boson candidates must have the opening angle of the dimuon system in the transverse plane (azimuth) of $\Delta\phi > 0.4$, and invariant mass $65 \text{ GeV}/c^2 < M_{\mu\mu} < 115 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. This mass range differs from that of the dielectrons because of the difference in resolutions of electron energies and muon momenta.

After selecting the Z candidate events, we define a Z +dijet sample which, in addition to satisfying the Z candidate selection requirements, has at least two jets in each event. Jets are reconstructed from energy in calorimeter towers using the Run II cone algorithm with $\Delta R = 0.5$ [19] with towers defined as non-overlapping, adjacent regions of the calorimeter $\Delta\eta \times \Delta\phi = 0.1 \times 0.1$ in size. The transverse momentum of each jet is corrected for multiple $p\bar{p}$ interactions, calorimeter noise, out-of-cone showering in the calorimeter, and energy response of the calorimeter as determined from the transverse momentum imbalance in photon+jet events [20, 21]. Only jets that pass standard quality requirements and satisfy $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}/c$ and $|\eta| < 2.5$ are used in this analysis. The quality requirements are based on the pattern of energy deposition within a jet and consistency with the energy deposition measured by the trigger system.

For the $Z \rightarrow ee$ channel, the normalizations of the smaller $t\bar{t}$, WZ and ZZ backgrounds are computed using simulated events and next-to-leading-order (NLO) cross sections. Trigger efficiency, electron identification (ID) efficiency and resolution correction factors are derived from comparisons of data control samples and simulated events. The background contributions from $Z+jj$, $Z+bj$

and $Z+b\bar{b}$ processes are normalized to the observed Z +dijet data yield reduced by the expected contributions from the smaller physics and instrumental backgrounds. The relative fractions of the $Z+jj$, $Z+bj$ and $Z+b\bar{b}$ backgrounds in the Z +dijet sample are determined from the acceptance and selection efficiencies multiplied by the ratios of the NLO cross sections for these processes computed using the MCFM [22] program and the next-to-leading order CTEQ6M [23] parton distribution functions. For the $Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ channel, all physics backgrounds are determined using simulated events with NLO cross sections applied. Trigger efficiency, muon ID efficiency, and resolution correction factors are derived from comparison of data control samples and the simulated events.

Instrumental backgrounds in both channels are determined by fitting the dilepton invariant mass distributions to a sum of non- Z and Z boson contributions. The Z boson lineshape is modeled using a Breit-Wigner distribution convoluted with a Gaussian representing detector resolution. The non- Z background, consisting of a sum of events from Drell-Yan production and instrumental background, is modeled using exponentials. The ratio of Z boson to non-resonant Drell-Yan production is fixed by the standard model.

The (two) jets arising from Higgs boson decay should contain b -flavored quarks (b jets), whereas background from Z +jets has relatively few events with b jets. To improve the signal-to-background ratio, two of the jets in the events from the Z +dijet sample are required to exhibit properties consistent with those of jets containing b quarks. The same b -jet identification algorithm [24] is used for the dielectron and dimuon samples. It is based on the finite lifetime of b hadrons giving a low probability that these tracks appear to arise from the primary vertex and considers all central tracks associated with a jet. A small probability corresponds to jets with tracks with large impact parameter, as expected in b hadron decays. The efficiency for tagging a b jet from Higgs decay is approximately 50%, determined as described in the next paragraph. The probability of misidentifying a jet arising from a charm quark as a b jet is roughly 20%. The probability to misidentify a jet arising from a light quark (u , d , s) or gluon as a b jet is roughly 4%. This choice of efficiency and purity optimizes the sensitivity of the analysis. The relatively large per-jet light-flavor misidentification rate can be accommodated because two tagged jets are required in each event.

For background yields determined from simulated events, the probability as a function of jet p_T and η that a jet of a given flavor would be identified (tagged) as a b jet is applied to each jet in an event. The probability functions are derived from control data samples. For jets in the simulated events, the flavor is determined from a priori knowledge of the parton that gives rise to the jet. The probability of having two b -tagged jets is defined by convoluting the per-jet probabilities assuming there are




FIG. 1: The dijet invariant mass distribution in double-tagged Z +dijet events. The Higgs signal corresponds to $M_H = 115 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. (The uncertainties are statistical only.)

no jet-to-jet correlations introduced by the b -tag requirement. The observed number of $Z + 2 b$ -jet events and the predicted background levels are shown in Table I.

The invariant mass of the two b jets in the $Z + 2 b$ jet sample is shown in Fig. 1. This distribution is searched for an excess of events. The peak position in the dijet mass spectrum is expected to be at a lower value than the hypothetical Higgs mass because the jet energy is corrected to reflect the energy of particles in the jet cone without a general correction for the lower b jet response compared with light jets. If a muon is within $\Delta R < 0.5$ of the jet, then twice the muon momentum is added to the jet momentum. This is an approximation to the energy of both the muon and the accompanying neutrino. The expected contribution from Higgs boson production shown in Fig. 1 corresponds to $M_H = 115 \text{ GeV}/c^2$.

Systematic uncertainties for signal and background arise from a variety of sources, including uncertainties on the trigger efficiency, on the corrections for differences between data and simulation for lepton reconstruction and identification efficiencies, lepton energy resolution, jet reconstruction efficiencies and energy determination, b -identification efficiency, uncertainties from theory and parton distribution functions for cross sections used for simulated events and uncertainties on the method used for instrumental background estimates. The uncertainties from these sources are shown in Table II. These are evaluated by varying each of the corrections by $\pm 1\sigma$, by comparing different methods (for the instrumental backgrounds), and by varying the parton distribution functions among the 20 error sets provided as part of the CTEQ6L library. The variations seen for different processes for a given uncertainty arise because of differences among the various background processes and because of intrinsic differences in the kinematic spectra from differ-

ent Higgs mass hypotheses.

The observed yield is consistent with background predictions. Upper limits on the ZH production cross section are derived at 95% confidence level using the CL_s method [25], a modified frequentist procedure, with a log-likelihood ratio classifier. The shapes of dijet invariant-mass spectra of the signal and background are used to produce likelihoods that the data are consistent with the background-only hypothesis or with a background plus signal hypothesis. Systematic uncertainties are folded into the likelihoods via Gaussian distribution, with correlations maintained throughout. The data yield, predicted backgrounds and expected and observed limits are shown in Table III for five hypothetical Higgs masses. The limits are also shown in Fig. 2.

In summary, we have carried out a search for associated ZH production in events having two high- p_T electrons or muons and two jets identified as arising from b quarks. Consistency is found between data and background predictions. A 95% confidence level upper limit on the Higgs boson cross section $\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow ZH) \times B(H \rightarrow b\bar{b})$ is set between 4.4 pb and 3.1 pb for Higgs bosons with mass between $105 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ and $145 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, respectively.




FIG. 2: The expected and observed cross-section limits are shown as a function of Higgs mass. The cross section based on the SM is shown for comparison.

We thank the staffs at Fermilab and collaborating institutions, and acknowledge support from the DOE and NSF (USA); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); FASI, Rosatom and RFBR (Russia); CAPES, CNPq, FAPERJ, FAPESP and FUNDUNESP (Brazil); DAE and DST (India); Colciencias (Colombia); CONACyT (Mexico); KRF and KOSEF (Korea); CONICET and UBACyT (Argentina); FOM (The Netherlands); PPARC (United Kingdom); MSMT and GACR (Czech Republic); CRC Program, CFI, NSERC and WestGrid Project (Canada); BMBF and DFG (Germany); SFI (Ireland); The Swedish Research Council (Sweden); CAS and CNSF (China); Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; and the Marie

TABLE I: Number of observed and expected background events.

Final state	$Z + \geq 2$ jets			2 b tags		
	$Z \rightarrow ee$	$Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$	$Z \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$	$Z \rightarrow ee$	$Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$	$Z \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$
Zbb	9.1	8.3	17.4	2.0	1.3	3.3
Zjj	414	437	851	1.2	2.6	3.8
$t\bar{t}$	2.7	9.6	12.3	0.80	3.1	3.9
$ZZ + WZ$	9.2	21.4	30.6	0.32	0.42	0.74
Instrumental	28.0	16.1	44.1	0.18	0.41	0.59
Total background	463	493	956	4.5	7.8	12.3
Observed events	463	545	1008	5	10	15

TABLE II: Systematic uncertainty in background and signal predictions given as the fractional uncertainty on the event totals. The ranges correspond to variations introduced by different processes (background), the dijet mass window requirement (background and signal) and intrinsic differences in kinematics arising from different hypothesized Higgs masses (signal).

Source	Background	Signal
Lepton ID Efficiencies	11% – 16%	11% – 12%
Lepton Resolution	2%	2%
Jet ID Efficiency	5% – 11%	8%
Jet Energy Reconstruction	10%	7%
b -jet ID Efficiency	10% – 12%	9%
Cross Sections	6% – 19%	7%
Trigger Efficiency	1%	1%
Instrumental Background	2% (ee) 12% ($\mu\mu$)	

Curie Program.

[*] Visitor from Augustana College, Sioux Falls, SD, USA.

[¶] Visitor from The University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK.

[§] Visitor from ICN-UNAM, Mexico City, Mexico.

[‡] Visitor from Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland.

[#] Visitor from Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland.

[1] The ALEPH, DELPHI, L3 and OPAL Collaborations, Phys. Lett. B **565**, 61 (2003).

[2] V.M. Abazov, *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 091802 (2005).

[3] V.M. Abazov, *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 151804 (2006).

[4] V.M. Abazov, *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 161803 (2006).

[5] F. Abe *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3819 (1997).

[6] F. Abe *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 5748 (1998).

[7] D. Acosta *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 051801 (2005).

[8] J.M. Heinmiller, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Illinois at Chicago, Fermilab-Thesis-2006-30 (2006).

[9] H. Dong, Ph.D. Dissertation, Stony Brook University, in preparation.

[10] V.M. Abazov, *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. and Methods A **565**, 463 (2006).

[11] S. Abachi *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Methods A **338**, 185 (1994).

[12] V.M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. and Methods A **552**, 372 (2005).

[13] T. Andeen *et al.*, FERMILAB-TM-2365-E (2006), in preparation.

[14] M.L. Mangano, M. Moretti, F. Piccinini, R. Pittau, and A. Polosa, J. High Energy Phys. **07**, 001 (2003).

[15] T. Sjöstrand *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **135**, 238 (2001).

[16] H.L. Lai *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 1280 (1997).

[17] D.J. Lange, Nucl. Instrum. and Methods A **462**, 152 (2001).

[18] R. Brun and F. Carminati, CERN Program Library Long Wwriteup W5013 (1993).

[19] G.C. Blazey *et al.*, hep-ex/0005012.

[20] V.M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), hep-ex/0612040, submitted to Phys. Rev. D.

[21] V.M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), hep-ex/0702018, submitted to Phys. Rev. D.

[22] J. Campbell and K. Ellis, <http://mcfm.fnal.gov/>

[23] J. Pumplin *et al.*, J. High Energy Phys. **07**, 12 (2002).

[24] S. Greder, Ph.D. dissertation, Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, FERMILAB-THESIS-2004-28.

[25] T. Junk, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A **434**, 435 (1999), A. Read, proceedings of the “1st workshop on Confidence Limits”, edited by L. Lyons, Y. Perrin and F. James, CERN report 2000-005 (2000).

TABLE III: Numbers of predicted background and signal events and the observed yield after all selection requirements, including the addition of a dijet mass window. The mass window is centered on the mean of the reconstructed Higgs mass in simulated ZH events and has a width of $\pm 1.5\sigma$ in which σ is the result of a gaussian fit to the reconstructed dijet mass distribution. The upper bounds differ slightly between the $Z \rightarrow ee$ and $Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ events because of different resolutions. The window is applied for illustration, showing the yields in the region of highest predicted signal-to-background ratio. Also shown are the expected and observed upper limits on the cross section for the combined analysis at 95% confidence level computed as described in the text (without the mass window, but weighted by the bin-to-bin signal-to-background ratio).

	$M_H = 105 \text{ GeV}/c^2$		$M_H = 115 \text{ GeV}/c^2$		$M_H = 125 \text{ GeV}/c^2$	
	ee	$\mu\mu$	ee	$\mu\mu$	ee	$\mu\mu$
Mass window(GeV/c^2)	[65, 113]	[65, 118]	[72, 125]	[70, 128]	[75, 136]	[78, 137]
Predicted signal	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.03
Background	1.4	3.1	1.3	3.1	1.4	2.8
Data	2	3	1	3	1	4
Expected σ_{95}	4.2 pb		4.1 pb		3.4 pb	
Observed σ_{95}	4.4 pb		4.0 pb		3.3 pb	

	$M_H = 135 \text{ GeV}/c^2$		$M_H = 145 \text{ GeV}/c^2$	
	ee	$\mu\mu$	ee	$\mu\mu$
Mass window(GeV/c^2)	[82, 143]	[84, 147]	[87, 156]	[92, 160]
Predicted signal	0.027	0.022	0.015	0.01
Background	1.6	2.9	1.6	2.8
Data	1	5	0	6
Expected σ_{95}	2.8 pb		2.6 pb	
Observed σ_{95}	3.1 pb		3.4 pb	