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Abstract

The reactions $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$ are studied with the L3 detector at LEP in a data sample collected at centre-of-mass energies from 161 GeV to 209 GeV with a total integrated luminosity of 698 pb$^{-1}$. A spin-parity-helicity analysis of the $\rho^0\rho^0$ and $\rho^+\rho^-$ systems for two-photon centre-of-mass energies between 1 GeV and 3 GeV shows the dominance of the spin-parity state $2^+$ with helicity 2. The contribution of $0^+$ and $0^-$ spin-parity states is also observed, whereas contributions of $2^-$ states and of a state with spin-parity $2^+$ and zero helicity are found to be negligible.
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1 Introduction

Several experiments have observed a large cross section near threshold for the reaction $\gamma\gamma \to \rho^0\rho^0$ [1-3]. In contrast, the corresponding cross section for the isospin-related reaction $\gamma\gamma \to \rho^+\rho^-$ was shown to be small [4,5]. The first spin-parity-helicity analysis of the reaction $\gamma\gamma \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ was carried out by the TASSO Collaboration [2] by studying angular correlations. The data sample consisted of 1722 events for two-photon centre-of-mass energies $1.2 \text{ GeV} < W_{\gamma\gamma} < 2.0 \text{ GeV}$. A spin-parity-helicity analysis with higher statistics was performed by the ARGUS Collaboration [3] with 5181 events in the region $1.1 \text{ GeV} < W_{\gamma\gamma} < 2.3 \text{ GeV}$. Both collaborations used similar models and observed the dominance of $\rho^0\rho^0$ states with spin-parity $J^P=2^+$ and $0^+$. The contribution of negative-parity states was found to be negligible.

A number of theoretical models [6] were proposed to interpret these experimental results. In a $t$-channel factorization approach [7], the $\gamma\gamma \to \rho^0\rho^0$ cross section is related to photon-production and hadronic cross sections at low energies. This model leads to the interpretation of the broad enhancement in the $\gamma\gamma \to \rho^0\rho^0$ cross section around 1.6 GeV as a threshold behaviour due to Regge exchange. Other models suggest an $s$-channel $\rho^0\rho^0$ resonance [8,9], either a normal qq state or a four-quark $qqqq$ bound state. In four-quark models, isoscalar and isotensor resonances interfere destructively to suppress the $\gamma\gamma \to \rho^+\rho^-$ signal and constructively to describe the $\gamma\gamma \to \rho^0\rho^0$ cross section. The proposed models differ substantially in the predicted cross section for the production of other vector mesons such as $\gamma\gamma \to \rho^0\omega$ and $\gamma\gamma \to \phi\phi$.

This Letter presents the results of a spin-parity-helicity analysis of the reactions $\gamma\gamma \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\gamma\gamma \to \pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$ in data collected by the L3 detector [10] at LEP, using the same technique as TASSO and ARGUS. The data samples consist of $7.5 \times 10^4$ events for the $e^+e^-\to e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ channel and $7.5 \times 10^3$ events for the $e^+e^-\to e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$ channel. These data are selected in the region of quasi-real photons with a maximum virtuality of $Q^2 \simeq 0.02 \text{ GeV}^2$. The $\gamma\gamma \to \rho^0\rho^0$ and $\gamma\gamma \to \rho^+\rho^-$ cross sections obtained in this analysis are compared to the high-virtuality [11,12] and mid-virtuality [13,14] data obtained with the same detector.

2 Data and Monte Carlo Samples

The two-photon production of a $\rho$-pair, $\gamma\gamma \to \rho^0\rho^0$ or $\gamma\gamma \to \rho^+\rho^-$, is observed via the reactions $e^+e^-\to e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ or $e^+e^-\to e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$, respectively. Detection of the scattered leptons is not required. The data were collected with the L3 detector at $e^+e^-$ centre-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 161 - 209 \text{ GeV}$, with a total integrated luminosity $L_{e^+e^-} = 697.7 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ and an average centre-of-mass energy of 196 GeV. The analysis described in this paper is mainly based on the central tracking system and the electromagnetic calorimeter.

Four-pion Monte Carlo events are generated with the EGPC [15] program. The four-momentum of the two-photon system is distributed according to the transverse two-photon luminosity function [16]. The pion four-momentum vectors are generated using four-particle phase space. The events are then passed through the L3 detector simulation, which uses the GEANT [17] and GEISHA [18] programs, and are reconstructed following the same procedure as used for the data.
3 Event Selection

The events are collected by two charged-track triggers. The first trigger [19] requires at least two wide-angle tracks, back-to-back within ±41° in the plane transverse to the beam. The second trigger [20] is based on a neural network which was trained to select low-multiplicity events while rejecting beam-gas and beam-wall background.

Events are selected by requiring:

- four charged tracks for the $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ reaction and two charged tracks for the $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$ reaction, with a net charge of zero in each case. A track is required to have: more than 12 hits, with at least 60% of possible hits, a transverse momentum, $p_t$, greater than 100 MeV and a distance of closest approach to the interaction vertex in the transverse plane less than 2 mm.

- no photons for the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ reaction and four isolated clusters in the electromagnetic calorimeter for the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$ reaction. A photon is defined as an isolated shower in the electromagnetic calorimeter consisting of at least two adjacent crystals with an energy greater than 100 MeV and with no charged track within 200 m rad.

- an energy loss $dE/dx$ in the tracking chamber corresponding to the hypothesis that all the charged particles are pions, with a confidence level greater than 6%.

- two pairs of photons each with a good fit to the $\pi^0$ decay hypothesis for the $\pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$ final state.

To suppress the background from non-exclusive events, the overall transverse momentum of the event, $|\Sigma p_t|^2$, must be less than 0.02 GeV$^2$, as shown in Figures 1a and 1b. The resulting samples consist of 74859 and 7535 events for the $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$ reactions, respectively.

The distributions of the four-pion mass, equal to $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ for exclusive events, are shown in Figures 1c and 1d. The mass resolution is estimated to be 48 MeV for the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ and 63 MeV for the $\pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$ final states. More than 90% of the events lie in the region $1.0 \text{ GeV} \leq W_{\gamma\gamma} \leq 3.0 \text{ GeV}$, where the spin-parity-helicity analysis is performed.

The background is dominated by higher-multiplicity final states produced in two-photon interactions which are only partially reconstructed. The expected contribution from annihilation events is negligible. As presented in Figures 1a and 1b, the distribution of $|\Sigma p_t|^2$ for non-exclusive final states has an exponential form, which is estimated from the data in the high $|\Sigma p_t|^2$ region, $0.2 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq |\Sigma p_t|^2 \leq 0.8 \text{ GeV}^2$. Extrapolating this exponential to the signal region, $|\Sigma p_t|^2 < 0.02 \text{ GeV}^2$, the backgrounds for the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$ final states are estimated to be 2.5% and 4%, respectively.

Figures 2a, 2c and 2e show the two-dimensional distributions of the masses of $\pi^+\pi^-$ combinations for the selected $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ events in different $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ regions. There are two entries per event, displayed by ordering the two masses of each entry. Figures 2b, 2d and 2f show the $\pi^+\pi^0$ and $\pi^-\pi^0$ mass combinations for the $\pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$ channel with two entries per event.

The two-pion mass resolution is estimated from Monte Carlo simulation to be 25 MeV for both the $\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$ cases. The $\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\pi^+\pi^0$ combinations shown in Figure 2 present clear evidence of $\rho\rho$ production. For $W_{\gamma\gamma} < 1.6 \text{ GeV}$, the $\rho$ signal is distorted by threshold effects. As $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ increases, the $\rho$ signal shifts to its nominal mass value, shown by the dotted lines in the figure.
4 Spin-Parity-Helicity Analysis

Following the model proposed by the TASSO Collaboration [2], we consider $\rho\rho$ production in different spin-parity and helicity states $(J^P, J_z)$, together with an isotropic production of four pions, denoted as "$4\pi$". All states are assumed to be produced incoherently, and therefore no interference effects between the final states are taken into account. However, since states of different spin-parity and helicity are orthogonal, all interference terms vanish on integrating over the angular phase space. Isotropic $\rho\pi\pi$ production, included in previous analyses [3,5], corresponds to an unphysical state since $C$-parity requires the angular momentum between the two pions to be odd. We have verified that this state is not essential to reproduce the data. The $\rho\pi\pi$ events, if neglected, are absorbed by the $4\pi$ background.

The analysis is performed in $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ intervals of 100 MeV for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ and 200 MeV for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$. As pions are bosons, the amplitudes which describe the process must be symmetric under interchange of two pions with the same charge and are:

$$g_{J^P J_z} = B_{\rho}(m_{\rho_1})B_{\rho}(m_{\rho_2})\Psi_{J^P J_z LS}(\rho_1, \rho_2) + \text{permutations},$$

and $g_{4\pi} = 1$,

where $m_{\rho}$ indicates the mass of the two-pion system and $B_{\rho}(m_{\rho})$ is the relativistic Breit-Wigner amplitude for the $\rho$ meson [21]. The angular term $\Psi_{J^P J_z LS}(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ describes the rotational properties of the $\rho\rho$ state with spin-parity $J^P$ and helicity $J_z$. It is constructed by combining the spins of the two $\rho$ mesons, $\vec{S} = \vec{s}_1 + \vec{s}_2$, with $z$ projection $M_s = m_1 + m_2$ and then adding this to the $\rho\rho$ orbital angular momentum, $\vec{L}$, with $z$ projection $M$, to obtain the state with total angular momentum $\vec{J}$ and $z$ projection $J_z = M_s + M$:

$$\Psi_{J^P J_z LS} = \sum_{M, M_1} C^{J^P J_z}_{L M S M_s} C^{S M_s}_{S_1 m_1 S_2 m_2} Y_{LM}(\xi_1)Y_{S_1 m_1}(\xi_2)Y_{S_2 m_2}(\xi_3),$$

where $C^{J^P J_z}_{L M S M_s}$ are the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, $Y_{im}(\xi_i)$ are the spherical harmonics and $\xi_1 = (\vartheta_{\rho}, \varphi_{\rho}), \xi_2 = (\vartheta_{\rho_1}, \varphi_{\rho_1})$ and $\xi_3 = (\vartheta_{\rho_2}, \varphi_{\rho_2})$, with $\vartheta_{\rho}$ and $\varphi_{\rho}$ being the polar and azimuthal angles of a $\rho$ meson in the two-photon helicity system. The $z$ axis is chosen parallel to the beam direction, which to a good approximation is parallel to the $\gamma\gamma$ helicity axis. The angles $\vartheta_{\rho_1}$ and $\varphi_{\rho_1}$ are the polar and azimuthal angles of the positive pions in the centre-of-mass of the first $\rho^0$ meson, with the $z$ axis parallel to the beam axis, the angles $\vartheta_{\rho_2}$ and $\varphi_{\rho_2}$ correspond to the second $\rho^0$ meson; for a $\rho^-$ meson, $\xi_3 = (\vartheta_{\rho_3}, \varphi_{\rho_3})$. The indices from 1 to 4 refer to the four pions using the convention: $\pi^+_1 \pi^+_2 \pi^-_3 \pi^+_4$ or $\pi^+_1 \pi^-_2 \pi^-_3 \pi^-_4$. Since the analysis is performed close to threshold, the orbital angular momenta are restricted to $L = 0, 1$. The allowed spin-parity-helicity final states of the $\rho\rho$ system in quasi-real two-photon reactions are then: $(J^P, J_z) = 0^+, 0^-, (2^+, 0), (2^+, \pm 2)$ and $(2^-, 0)$, with the total spin of the $\rho\rho$ meson system $S = 1$ or $S = 2$. States with helicity one are forbidden by helicity conservation and spin-one states by the Landau-Yang theorem [22].

A maximum-likelihood fit to the data is used in each $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ bin to determine the contributions of the four amplitudes: $4\pi$, $0^+$, $0^-$ and $(2^+, 2)$. The remaining spin-parity states are not considered as they have a negligible contribution if included in the fit.

5 Cross Section

The cross section for the process $k$, with fraction $\lambda_k$ determined from the fit, averaged over the $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ bin with $N$ events,
\[ \sigma_{\gamma\gamma \rightarrow k} = \frac{N\lambda_k}{\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(\gamma\gamma) \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{sel}}(W_{\gamma\gamma}) \cdot \int d\mathcal{L}_{\gamma\gamma}} , \]

where \( \int d\mathcal{L}_{\gamma\gamma} \) is the two-photon luminosity function integrated over the \( W_{\gamma\gamma} \) bin, \( \varepsilon_k \) is the selection efficiency and \( \varepsilon_{\text{trg}} \) is the trigger efficiency. The selection efficiencies depend on \( W_{\gamma\gamma} \) as well as on the particular wave. They are computed by Monte Carlo simulation, re-weighting the events with the amplitudes \(|g_k|^2\). The efficiencies for the \( 4\pi \) process are listed in Tables 1 and 2. Similar efficiencies are found for the other processes. The trigger efficiency is studied by comparing the response of the two charged-track triggers. The higher-level trigger efficiencies are determined using prescaled events. The total trigger efficiency is given in Tables 1 and 2. The overall efficiencies for the \( \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^- \) and \( \pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0 \) final states are shown in Figures 3a and 3b.

The cross sections derived from the fit are presented in Tables 1 and 2. Figures 3c–f compare the total cross sections and the contributions of the individual waves to the \( \gamma\gamma \rightarrow \rho^0\rho^0 \) and \( \gamma\gamma \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^- \) processes as a function of the four-pion mass. The \( 4\pi \) background, which in this analysis represents all states which do not correspond to the \( pp \) production hypothesis, is similar in both channels. It grows from threshold to a value of \( 20-30 \text{ nb} \) around 2 GeV and decreases toward 3 GeV. In the \( \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^- \) channel, the \( \rho^0\rho^0 \) production has a high cross section, with a maximum of about 50 nb at 1.6 GeV. It is dominated by the \( (2^+, 2) \) state, which has a cross section peak-value of about 35 nb. The \( \pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0 \) channel, exhibits also a significant \( (2^+, 2) \) contribution, but only for \( 1.6 \leq W_{\gamma\gamma} \leq 2.2 \text{ GeV} \). Above 1.9 GeV the \( \gamma\gamma \rightarrow \rho^0\rho^0 \) and \( \gamma\gamma \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^- \) cross sections are equal within the experimental uncertainties and fall rapidly with increasing \( W_{\gamma\gamma} \). In the \( 0^+ \) wave, a clear peak is observed in the \( \rho^0\rho^0 \) channel at \( W_{\gamma\gamma} \approx 1.4 \text{ GeV} \), perhaps indicative of an \( s \) -channel resonance effect, it is absent in the \( \rho^+\rho^- \) channel. The \( \gamma\gamma \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^- \) cross section peaks near 2 GeV in both \( 0^+ \) and \( (2^+, 2) \) waves, while a similar peak is seen for the \( \rho^0\rho^0 \) in the \( 0^+ \) wave only. The same qualitative features were observed by the ARGUS Collaboration [3,4], which however found a higher peak cross section of \( \approx 50 \text{ nb} \) for the \( (2^+, 2) \) wave in \( \rho^0\rho^0 \). Taking into account the larger experimental uncertainties on the ARGUS data, a peak is also seen in the \( 0^+ \) wave at \( W_{\gamma\gamma} \approx 1.4 \text{ GeV} \). However, at higher mass values, \( W_{\gamma\gamma} > 2 \text{ GeV} \), only the much higher statistics of the present experiment are able to provide cross section measurements, so no comparison is possible.

To evaluate the quality of the fit and of the detector modeling we compare several distributions of the data with a Monte Carlo simulation normalized to the fit results. Figure 4 shows the distributions of \( p_t \) and of the cosine of the polar angle of the charged or neutral pion closest to the beam line. The two-pion mass combinations, \( \pi^+\pi^- \) and \( \pi^0\pi^0 \), and the production angles of the pions in the two-pion centre-of-mass system with respect to the beam direction (Adair angle) are plotted in Figure 5. Four entries per event are considered and the data are plotted before acceptance corrections. The agreement with the Monte Carlo simulation is adequate, considering the simplicity of the model and the high statistics of the data sample. The angular distributions in Figures 5c and 5d are of the general form \( \sin^2 \theta \), indicating a dominantly transverse polarisation for the produced \( \rho \).

6 Background Estimation and Systematics

The fraction of non-exclusive background in the \( \rho\rho \) sample is derived by performing a spin-parity-helicity analysis of the background data sample, defined as the region \( 0.2 \text{ GeV}^2 < \)
$|\Sigma \rho |^2 < 0.8 \text{ GeV}^2$. We find that less than 30% of these events are classified as $\rho \rho$. The background contribution in the $\rho \rho$ sample is then of the order of 1%.

Systematic uncertainties on the $\rho \rho$ cross sections are due to selection criteria, fitting procedures and trigger efficiencies. Uncertainties from the selection procedure are estimated by varying the cuts on the quality of the event and on the track definition. They vary between 3% and 10% for the $\rho^0\rho^0$ channel and between 10% and 24% for the $\rho^+\rho^-$ channel, depending on $W_{\gamma\gamma}$. Uncertainties on the model and the fitting procedure are estimated by neglecting in turn the $0^+$ and $0^-$ waves and including the $(2^+, 0)$ and $(2^-, 0)$ waves in the fit. Small effects from the inclusion of additional spin-parity states are also considered. In the high mass region, $W_{\gamma\gamma} > 2$ GeV, the contribution of other channels and higher-angular momentum states may become important. It was found that such effects could be modelled by including a contribution from the isotropic $\rho\pi\pi$ production. In total, these uncertainties for the $\rho^0\rho^0$ and $\rho^+\rho^-$ channels amount to a maximum of 10% and 19%, respectively, for $W_{\gamma\gamma} < 2$ GeV and to a maximum of 60% in the region $2$ GeV < $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ < 3 GeV. Uncertainties on the determination of the trigger efficiencies are of a statistical nature and affect mainly the $\pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$ channel, where they vary between 2% and 6%. They are below 1% for the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ channel. Uncertainties on the background level are below 1% for both channels.

7 Discussion

A spin-parity-helicity analysis of four-pion final states produced in quasi-real two-photon collisions at LEP benefits from data statistics an order of magnitude higher than previous analyses. Several characteristics of the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \rho^0\rho^0$ and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^-$ processes, which were previously observed [3, 5], are confirmed:

- In both channels, the $(2^+, 2)$ wave is dominant. Small but significant $0^+$ and $0^-$ waves are also observed.
- The $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \rho^0\rho^0$ process has a high cross section extending from threshold to about 2 GeV, while the cross section of the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^-$ process is low in this range. In Figure 6 the mass spectra of the present results are compared to those we obtained at higher $Q^2$ [11-14]. The ratio

$$R = \frac{\Delta \sigma_{ee}(\rho^+\rho^-)/\sum \Delta \sigma_{ee}(\rho^0\rho^0)},$$

where $\Delta \sigma_{ee} = \Delta L_{\gamma\gamma} \sigma_{tot}(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \rho\rho)$ and the sum is for the region 1.1 GeV ≤ $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ ≤ 2.1 GeV, is found to be

$$R = 0.42 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.09 \text{ for } Q^2 \leq 0.02 \text{ GeV}^2.$$  

The first uncertainty is statistical and the second systematic, calculated assuming the systematic uncertainties for the two processes to be fully uncorrelated. This ratio increases with the photon virtuality. At higher $Q^2$ we previously obtained:

$$R = 0.62 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.09 \text{ for } 0.2 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq Q^2 \leq 0.85 \text{ GeV}^2 \text{ [14]},$$

$$R = 1.81 \pm 0.47 \pm 0.22 \text{ for } 1.2 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq Q^2 \leq 8.5 \text{ GeV}^2 \text{ [14]},$$

$$R = 2.2 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.6 \text{ for } 8.8 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq Q^2 \leq 30 \text{ GeV}^2 \text{ [12].}$$

These measurements are consistent with the presence of an s-channel enhancement at low $\rho^0\rho^0$ mass values which decreases rapidly with $Q^2$. If interpreted as an effect of s-channel
resonances, the observed ratio between $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^-$ and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \rho^0\rho^0$ production implies the possible existence of an isospin-2 state [8,9]. Such an interpretation of our data was recently presented in Reference 23.

- At higher masses, $W_{\gamma\gamma} > 2$ GeV, the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^-$ and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \rho^0\rho^0$ cross sections are equal, within the experimental uncertainties. In both cases, the cross section decreases rapidly for $W_{\gamma\gamma} \sim 3$ GeV.

The $Q^2$-dependence of the two-photon cross section is presented in Figure 7 for the full mass-region $1.1$ GeV $\leq W_{\gamma\gamma} \leq 3.0$ GeV. The $\rho^0\rho^0$ cross section exceeds the $\rho^+\rho^-$ one at low $Q^2$ while a cross-over is observed in the vicinity of $Q^2 \approx 1$ GeV$^2$. A Generalised Vector Dominance fit, GVDM [24], which reproduces well all the mid-virtuality and high-virtuality data [14] for the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \rho^0\rho^0$ cross section, lies below the cross section value obtained at $(Q^2) = 0.001$ GeV$^2$. A $\rho$-pole fit, also presented in the Figure, better describes the low-$Q^2$ region.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$W_{\gamma\gamma}$ [GeV]</th>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>$\int dL_{\gamma\gamma}[10^{-3}]$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{trg}}$ [%]</th>
<th>$\varepsilon$ [%]</th>
<th>$4\pi$ [nb]</th>
<th>$0^+$ [nb]</th>
<th>$0^-$ [nb]</th>
<th>$(2^+, 2)$ [nb]</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{tot}}(\gamma\gamma \to \rho^0\rho^0)$ [nb]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.00–1.10</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.10–1.20</td>
<td>1099</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.6 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20–1.30</td>
<td>4513</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.3 ± 1.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.1 ± 1.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>23.2 ± 1.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>29.1 ± 1.9 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.30–1.40</td>
<td>7717</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>16.3 ± 1.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>7.7 ± 1.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>30.5 ± 1.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>39.6 ± 2.2 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.40–1.50</td>
<td>9084</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>18.2 ± 1.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>13.7 ± 1.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>31.7 ± 1.7 ± 1.8</td>
<td>47.1 ± 2.4 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50–1.60</td>
<td>8897</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>19.8 ± 1.2 ± 2.2</td>
<td>9.8 ± 1.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>34.5 ± 2.0 ± 3.8</td>
<td>48.6 ± 2.6 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.60–1.70</td>
<td>7910</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>19.3 ± 1.2 ± 2.1</td>
<td>5.9 ± 1.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>35.6 ± 1.8 ± 3.9</td>
<td>43.7 ± 2.4 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.70–1.80</td>
<td>6671</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>19.0 ± 1.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>7.7 ± 1.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>26.4 ± 1.6 ± 1.3</td>
<td>39.0 ± 2.2 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.80–1.90</td>
<td>5643</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>20.7 ± 1.3 ± 1.7</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>23.6 ± 1.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>35.9 ± 2.1 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.90–2.00</td>
<td>4965</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>27.8 ± 1.6 ± 3.3</td>
<td>7.3 ± 1.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>15.0 ± 1.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>27.1 ± 1.9 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00–2.10</td>
<td>4004</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>26.2 ± 1.6 ± 6.0</td>
<td>9.5 ± 1.3 ± 2.2</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1.1 ± 1.8</td>
<td>21.3 ± 1.8 ± 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.10–2.20</td>
<td>3118</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>24.4 ± 1.5 ± 8.9</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>7.2 ± 1.0 ± 2.6</td>
<td>13.9 ± 1.5 ± 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.20–2.30</td>
<td>2366</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>21.0 ± 1.4 ± 8.5</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.9 ± 2.1</td>
<td>9.2 ± 1.3 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.30–2.40</td>
<td>1763</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>17.3 ± 1.3 ± 9.7</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.6 ± 1.5</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.1 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.40–2.50</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>15.0 ± 1.1 ± 8.9</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>5.1 ± 1.0 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.50–2.60</td>
<td>1137</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>12.1 ± 1.1 ± 7.3</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>5.1 ± 1.1 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.60–2.70</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>10.7 ± 1.0 ± 6.8</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.6 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.70–2.80</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.9 ± 2.3</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.5 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.80–2.90</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.4 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.90–3.00</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00–3.00</td>
<td>72775</td>
<td>38.72</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>14.2 ± 1.1 ± 2.7</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>15.4 ± 1.1 ± 1.5</td>
<td>22.3 ± 1.6 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Cross section measurements and fit results for $\gamma\gamma \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ for different $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ intervals. $N$ is the number of events in a bin, $\int dL_{\gamma\gamma}$ the two-photon luminosity function, $\varepsilon_{\text{trg}}$ the trigger efficiency and $\varepsilon$ the selection efficiency. The cross sections for the background, $4\pi$, and for the different spin-helicity waves are given, along with the total $\gamma\gamma \to \rho^0\rho^0$ cross section. A double dash indicates that no significant contribution to the fit is observed. The first uncertainties are statistical, the second systematic.
Table 2: Cross section measurement and fit results for $\gamma\gamma \to \pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$ for different $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ intervals. $N$ is the number of events in a bin, $\int d\mathcal{L}_{\gamma\gamma}$ the two-photon luminosity function, $\varepsilon_{\text{trg}}$ the trigger efficiency and $\varepsilon$ the selection efficiency. The cross sections for the background, $4\pi$, and for the different spin-helicity waves are given together with the total $\gamma\gamma \to \rho^+\rho^-$ cross section. A double dash indicates that no significant contribution to the fit is observed. The first uncertainties are statistical, the second systematic.
Figure 1: Distributions of $|\Sigma \vec{p}_t|^2$ for a) $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ and b) $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$ events. The hatched areas represent the estimated non-exclusive backgrounds. The cut values are shown by the arrows. Distributions of the four-pion mass for c) $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ and d) $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$ events. Only events within the region indicated by the arrows are further analysed.
Figure 2: Two-dimensional distributions of two-pion masses in three different $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ regions. For a), c) and e) the $\pi^+\pi^-$ combinations from the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ final-state are shown as low-mass vs. high-mass, with two entries per event. In b), d) and f) the $\pi^+\pi^0$ vs. $\pi^-\pi^0$ combinations from the $\pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$ final-state are shown, with two entries per event. The dotted lines indicate the nominal mass value of the $\rho$ meson.
Figure 3: Selection efficiencies for the different contributions to the a) $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ and b) $\pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$ final states. Measured cross sections for the $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$ processes: c) the total $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \rho^0\rho^0$ and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^-$ cross sections, d) $(2^+, 2)$, e) $0^+$, f) $0^-$ contributions. The error bars show the statistical uncertainties.
Figure 4: Comparison of the Monte Carlo simulation normalised to the fit results to the data: a) and b) transverse momentum of the charged or neutral pion closest to the beam line, respectively; c) and d) cosine of the polar angle of the charged or neutral pion closest to the beam line, respectively. The statistical uncertainty on the Monte Carlo distributions -not shown- is comparable to that of the data.
Figure 5: Comparison of the Monte Carlo simulation normalised to the fit results to the data:
a) two-pion opposite-sign mass combinations for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ (four entries per event) b) two-pion charged mass combinations for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$ (four entries per event) c) $\cos \theta_{\pi^+}$, where $\theta_{\pi^+}$ is the production angle with respect to the beam axis in the unlike-sign two-pion centre-of-mass system for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ (four entries per event) and d) $\cos \theta_{\pi^\pm}$, where $\theta_{\pi^\pm}$ corresponds to the $\theta_{\pi^+}$ angle for the $\pi^+\pi^0\pi^-\pi^0$ system (four entries per event). The error bars show the statistical uncertainties.
Figure 6: The $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \rho^0\rho^0$ and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^-$ cross sections as a function of the four-pion mass
a) as obtained in the present analysis at $Q^2 < 0.02$ GeV$^2$ compared to previous L3 results obtained at 
b) $0.2$ GeV$^2 < Q^2 < 0.85$ GeV$^2$ [13,14], c) $1.2$ GeV$^2 < Q^2 < 8.5$ GeV$^2$ [11,12] and
d) $8.8$ GeV$^2 < Q^2 < 30$ GeV$^2$ [11,12]. The error bars show the statistical uncertainties.
Figure 7: a) The $\gamma\gamma \to \rho^{0}\rho^{0}$ and $\gamma\gamma \to \rho^{+}\rho^{-}$ cross sections as a function of $Q^2$. b) Comparison of the $\gamma\gamma \to \rho^{0}\rho^{0}$ cross section as a function of $Q^2$ to a GVDM and a simple $\rho$-pole form-factor dependence, both fitted to previous L3 data at higher $Q^2$ [14]. The error bars show the statistical uncertainties.