

PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a postprint version which may differ from the publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2066/36021>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2019-06-25 and may be subject to change.

1 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2005.04.004> (Elsevier)
2 Basic and Applied Ecology (2006) 7:71-81
3

4 **Bottlenecks and spatiotemporal variation in the sexual** 5 **reproduction pathway of perennial meadow plants**

6
7 Eelke Jongejans¹, Merel B. Soons² and Hans de Kroon³
8

- 9 1. Nature Conservation and Plant Ecology group, Wageningen University,
10 Bornsesteeg 69, NL-6708 PD Wageningen, the Netherlands. Current
11 address: Department of Biology, The Pennsylvania State University, 208 Mueller
12 Laboratory, University Park, PA 16802, USA.
13 2. Plant Ecology group, Utrecht University, Sorbonnelaan 16, NL-3584 CA Utrecht,
14 the Netherlands. Current address: Landscape Ecology group, Utrecht University,
15 Sorbonnelaan 16, NL-3584 CA Utrecht, the Netherlands.
16 3. Department of Ecology, Radboud University Nijmegen, Toernooiveld 1, NL-6525
17 ED Nijmegen, the Netherlands.
18

19 **Abstract**

20 Sexual reproduction is important for the growth of populations and the maintenance of
21 genetic diversity. Several steps are involved in the sexual reproduction pathway of plants:
22 the production of flowers, the production of seeds and the establishment of seedlings
23 from seeds. In this paper we quantify the relative importance and spatio-temporal
24 variability of these different steps for four grassland perennials: *Centaurea jacea*,
25 *Cirsium dissectum*, *Hypochaeris radicata* and *Succisa pratensis*. We compared
26 undisturbed meadows with meadows where the top soil layer had been removed as a
27 restoration measure. Data on the number of flower heads per flowering rosette, the
28 numbers of flowers and seeds per flower head, and the seedling establishment
29 probabilities per seed were collected by field observations and experiments in several
30 sites and years. Combination of these data shows that *H. radicata* and *S. pratensis* have
31 higher recruitment rates (1.9 and 3.3 seedlings per year per flowering rosette,
32 respectively) than the more clonal *C. dissectum* and *C. jacea* (0.027 and 0.23,
33 respectively). Seedling establishment is the major bottleneck for successful sexual
34 reproduction in all species. Large losses also occurred due to failing seed set in *C.*
35 *dissectum*. Comparison of the coefficients of variation per step in space and time revealed
36 that spatio-temporal variability was largest in seedling establishment, followed closely by
37 flower head production and seed set.

38 **Keywords:** *Centaurea jacea*; *Cirsium dissectum*; flower production; grassland;
39 *Hypochaeris radicata*; seed predation; seed production; seedling establishment; *Succisa*
40 *pratensis*
41

42 **Introduction**

43 The demography of plants comprises the survival and growth of individuals as well as
44 their vegetative and sexual reproduction (Harper 1977). In perennial plants it is mostly
45 survival and vegetative reproduction of existing individuals that contribute to year-to-
46 year population survival (Eriksson 1989; Picó & Riba 2002), but sexual reproduction can

1 markedly increase population growth rate in many cases (Silvertown, Franco, Pisanty &
2 Mendoza 1993; de Kroon, van Groenendael & Ehrlén 2000). In addition, sexual
3 reproduction has merits other than contributing to local plant numbers: it maintains
4 genetic diversity, may form seed banks and enables the population to colonize areas
5 outside the range of vegetative growth (Crawley 1997).

6 Sexual reproduction, however, is much harder to assess than the other aspects of
7 perennial demography. This is due to the multitude of steps involved and the sometimes
8 very low probabilities of survival from one step to the next. The sexual reproduction
9 pathway generally comprises four steps: (i) the production of flowers and (ii) seeds by
10 flowering plants, and (iii) the establishment of these seeds as seedlings and (iv)
11 reproductive adults (Fig. 1). Many of these aspects have been studied in isolation:
12 research on seed production has focused for instance on effects of population size
13 (Oostermeijer, Luijten, Krenová & den Nijs 1998; Soons & Heil 2002; Brys, Jacquemyn,
14 Endels, Van Rossum, Hermy et al. 2004) geographic distribution (Jump & Woodward
15 2003; Lienert & Fischer 2004), pollination (Menges 1995; Herrera 2000), seed abortion
16 (Berg 2003), and number-mass trade-offs (Cheplick 1995). Predation by insects on flower
17 heads has been shown to limit seed set (Szentesi & Jermy 2003) and seedling
18 establishment (Louda & Potvin 1995). Research on seedling establishment has mainly
19 focused on the question of whether seeds, microsites or both are limiting seedling
20 numbers (Eriksson & Ehrlén 1992; Jakobsson & Eriksson 2000). Others have compared
21 experimental treatments, concluding for instance that the removal of moss or litter layers
22 and the creation of gaps of bare soil in the vegetation can enhance seedling numbers
23 (Kotorová & Lepš 1999; Isselstein, Tallwin & Smith 2002; Olson & Wallander 2002;
24 Jensen & Gutekunst 2003; Špačková & Lepš 2004).

25 Assessment of the full sexual reproduction pathway and identification of the
26 bottlenecks for sexual reproduction, however, is only possible when all steps in the
27 sequence are investigated. Only few studies have attempted to compare all subsequent
28 steps of the sexual reproduction pathway in the same system. Meyer and Schmid (1999)
29 highlighted all steps in the clonal *Solidago altissima* and concluded that seedling
30 establishment was very rare. Lamont and Runciman (1993) found that both seed
31 production and seedling establishment were enhanced by fire in two kangaroo paws
32 (Haemodoraceae), while Klinkhamer, de Jong and van der Meijden (1988) concluded that
33 any seed loss will affect their *Cirsium vulgare* populations.

34 Such studies are more common in forest ecology (Schupp 1990), but the number
35 of studies that analyze all reproduction steps and their variability is particularly low for
36 perennial forbs. In this study we quantify all major steps of the sexual reproduction
37 pathway up to seedling establishment after 12 months to identify the bottlenecks. Also,
38 we compare the temporal and spatial variation in all these steps. As study systems we use
39 four perennial plant species that co-occur in nutrient-poor meadows in the Netherlands
40 (Soons, Messelink, Jongejans & Heil 2003; Hartemink, Jongejans & de Kroon 2004).
41 Specifically we address the following questions: What are the bottlenecks in the sexual
42 reproduction pathway of these perennial grassland herbs? Which steps have the highest
43 temporal and spatial coefficients of variation and are therefore important for the predicted
44 variation in seedling numbers?

45

46

1 **Materials and methods**

2 Study systems

3 We studied four perennials of nutrient-poor meadows: the three Asteraceae *Hypochaeris*
4 *radicata* L., *Cirsium dissectum* (L.) Hill and *Centaurea jacea* L. s.l. and the Dipsacaceae
5 *Succisa pratensis* Moench. *Hypochaeris radicata* is short-lived (rarely more than two or
6 three years) compared to the other species (potentially decades), which have different
7 strategies of persistence: high rosette survival (*S. pratensis*), formation of new rosettes
8 (*C. jacea*) or rhizomatous, clonal growth (*C. dissectum*) (Hartemink et al. 2004). *Cirsium*
9 *dissectum*, a rare and endangered (Red List) species, flowers in June, whereas *H. radicata*
10 and *C. jacea* have a more prolonged flowering season. *Succisa pratensis* flowers
11 relatively late, starting in August. All four species produce flowers in flower heads with
12 potentially one seed per flower. The seeds have similar mass (Soons & Heil 2002) and do
13 no form seed banks (Thompson, Bakker & Bekker 1997). The seeds of *H. radicata* and
14 *C. dissectum* have a pappus that may enhance dispersal by wind (Jongejans & Schippers
15 1999; Mix, Picó & Ouborg 2003; Soons, Heil, Nathan & Katul 2004; Schippers &
16 Jongejans 2005).

17 The study sites are in the central-eastern part of the Netherlands and are clustered
18 in four regions: Gelderse Vallei (52°01' N, 5°35' E), Veluwe (52°17' N, 5°44' E), Kop van
19 Overijssel (52°37' N, 6°10' E) and Achterhoek (51°59' N, 6°25' E). The range of sites
20 includes nutrient-poor, undisturbed meadows and restoration areas, where the top soil
21 layer had been removed.

22

23 Flower head production

24 Flowering was monitored in three to eight permanent 1 m² plots in each of three meadow
25 populations of *C. jacea* (sites B, N and O), *C. dissectum* (sites B, V and O) and *H.*
26 *radicata* (site L, N and O), and in five meadow populations of *S. pratensis* (sites B, L, V,
27 N and O). In these plots the number of flowering rosettes and number of flower heads per
28 flowering rosette were counted once every year after peak flowering from 1999 to 2003
29 as part of a demographic study on these species (Jongejans & de Kroon 2005).

30

31 Flower production and seed set

32 Flower heads of the four species were collected in several populations. The criteria for
33 selecting flower heads were that seeds had to be ripe enough to determine their viability,
34 that no seeds had disseminated and that in the case of *C. jacea* and *C. dissectum* all
35 flowers or pappus rings were still present. If one or more of these criteria were not met
36 after collection, the flower head was discarded from the data set. The number of seeds
37 that seemed viable (testing for a hard embryo by squeezing gently with a pair of
38 tweezers) and the number of flowers were counted. Flowers were counted directly in *C.*
39 *jacea*, but estimated by the number of pappus rings in *C. dissectum* and by summation of
40 the number of viable, empty and predated seeds in *H. radicata* and *S. pratensis*.
41 Furthermore it was evaluated whether any seeds in a flower head had been predated or
42 not. Signs of predation were: crumbled or partly eaten seeds and the presence of insect
43 larvae. The impact of predation in a population was evaluated by comparing the mean
44 number of seeds produced per flower of non-predated flower heads with the mean
45 number of seeds produced per flower of all flower heads.

46

1 Seedling establishment

2 To study establishment probabilities per seed we placed seeds within the vegetation on
 3 the moss or soil layer in untreated plots of 5 by 50 cm in November 1999 and November
 4 2000 and counted the increase in rosette numbers compared to changes in rosette
 5 numbers in similarly sized control plots (no seeds added). In each plot 100 seeds of a
 6 single species were added, which were collected at the same site in the preceding
 7 summer. For *C. dissectum* only 50 seeds per plot were used, because of their scarcity.
 8 This seed addition experiment was performed at three meadow sites: Bennekomse meent
 9 (B), Konijnendijk (N) and Koolmansdijk (O). At site N all four species were used, but *C.*
 10 *jacea* and *H. radicata* were not sown in 1999. At site B all species but *H. radicata* were
 11 sown in both years. And at site O only *C. jacea* and *H. radicata* were sown (both years).
 12 Per site a full experimental design was made with species, sowing year and treatment
 13 (control or seed addition). Within each of the 10 blocks (replicates) the plots were
 14 positioned at 20 cm intervals. The blocks were 1 m apart.

15 In order to investigate a larger range of sites we performed a second seed addition
 16 experiment in autumn 2000 at 10 sites: seven undisturbed meadows and three meadows
 17 where the top soil was removed by sod-cutting. The set-up was the same as that of the
 18 first seed addition experiment, but the number of *C. dissectum* seeds in each plot was
 19 increased to 70 and the number of blocks per site was eight instead of ten. In contrast to
 20 the previous experiment a mixture of seeds collected from different sites was used per
 21 species for all 10 sites, since the species did not occur at all sites. After this experiment
 22 we removed all established plants to prevent genetic contamination by the introduced
 23 seeds.

24 Pathway analysis

25 To assess the overall importance of all steps in the sexual reproduction pathway, we
 26 calculated the average number of seedlings produced by a single flowering rosette. To do
 27 this, we multiplied the consecutive steps: the mean number of flower heads per flowering
 28 rosette, the mean number of flowers per flower head, the number of seeds produced per
 29 flower, the fraction of seeds that were not predated and the average fraction of seeds that
 30 established as one-year-old seedlings. For each of these steps and for each species
 31 separately, we used the mean of the averages of all available site-year combinations. The
 32 standard deviation of the resulting number of seedlings per flowering rosette was
 33 determined using the following equation for the variance of the product of independent
 34 variables (Goodman 1960):

$$35 \text{var}(\bar{xy}) = \text{var}(\bar{x})\text{var}(\bar{y}) + \bar{x}^2 \text{var}(\bar{y}) + \bar{y}^2 \text{var}(\bar{x}) \quad (1)$$

36

37 For these calculations the results of the second seed addition experiment were not used
 38 because there was no temporal variation in the data.

39 To analyze the observed variation in the sexual reproduction pathway, we
 40 compare the CVs in space and time for each step and for each species separately:

$$41 CV_{temporal} = \frac{\frac{1}{f} \sum_{m=1}^f (S_{temporal,m})}{\bar{x}_{means}} \quad (2)$$

42

$$CV_{spatial} = \frac{1}{g} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^g (s_{spatial,n})}{\bar{x}_{means}} \quad (3)$$

where for each species-step combination ‘means’ is the mean of all site-year means of a species-step combination, f the number of sites with observations in at least two years, g the number of years with observations in at least two sites, $s_{temporal,m}$ the standard deviation between the year-means in site m , and $s_{spatial,n}$ the standard deviation between the site-means in year n .

Results

Flower head production

The number of flower heads per flowering rosette differed significantly between specific site-year combinations in all species (Tables 1 and 2). However, the main effects of site and year were only significant in *Centaurea jacea*, for which 2000 was a year with many flower heads.

Flower production and seed set

On average *Cirsium dissectum* had a significantly larger number of flowers per flower head than the other three species, and *C. jacea* more than *Succisa pratensis*. *Hypochaeris radicata* was intermediate to the last two species and differed only significantly from *C. dissectum*. For each species, site-year interactions were significant (Table 1): the flower heads of *H. radicata* for instance had few flowers in site O only in 2000. In *C. dissectum* there was a main effect of year: in 1999 flower heads contained fewer flowers than in other years. In *C. jacea* and *S. pratensis* the main differences between sites were significant.

The fraction of flowers that set seed in a flower head was positively correlated with flower number in all species, but this correlation existed only as a trend in *C. dissectum* (Table 1). Seed predation significantly reduced the number of seeds produced per flower in all species, but especially in *C. jacea* (Table 1). In this species insect larvae regularly consumed a large part of the developing seeds. Significant site by year interactions and main effects of sites were present in most species. The main effect of year was only significant in *C. dissectum*: 1999 was also a bad year for seed set in this species.

Seedling establishment

Seedling establishment and survival to 12 months after seed addition was highest in *S. pratensis* and *H. radicata*. The first seed addition experiment, which was restricted to undisturbed meadows, revealed significant effects of seed addition only in these two species (Fig. 2A; Table 1). The second experiment, which also included meadows of which the top soil had been removed, showed seed addition effects for all species. In the very open habitats (top soil removed) establishment was higher than in undisturbed meadows for *C. jacea* and *H. radicata* and slightly so in *C. dissectum*, but not in *S. pratensis*.

1 Full sexual reproduction pathway

2 When the different steps of the sexual reproduction pathway are compared quantitatively
3 (Fig. 3A,B,C,D), the largest losses take place during seedling establishment. Striking
4 decreases also occur in *C. dissectum* in the seed production step. In *H. radicata* and *S.*
5 *pratensis* the average number of seedlings that result from these calculations are larger
6 than unity (respectively 1.9 and 3.3), indicating that each flowering rosette would
7 produce one or more one-year-old rosettes via seeds on a yearly basis. In *C. jacea*,
8 however, the calculated seedling number was lower than unity (0.23) and in *C. dissectum*
9 even less: 0.027.

10 The temporal and spatial coefficients of variation (CVs) showed in which step of
11 the sexual reproduction pathway the highest variation occurred between years and
12 between sites, both compared to the overall mean value of that step in a particular species
13 (Fig. 3E,F,G,H). The highest CVs were those of the spatial differences in seedling
14 establishment. Although based on a small sample of years and sites compared to data on
15 the other steps, variation in establishment probabilities between meadows in the second
16 experiment was as high as that in the first. The CVs of the temporal differences in
17 seedling establishment were also relatively high, as were the CVs of the spatio-temporal
18 differences in the number of flower heads per flowering rosette in *C. jacea* and *S.*
19 *pratensis* and in the number of seeds produced per flower in *C. dissectum*.

20

21 Discussion

22 Bottlenecks in the sexual reproduction pathway

23 The most important bottleneck in the sexual reproduction pathway of all four studied
24 perennials was the establishment of seedlings, followed by flower production and
25 species-specific bottlenecks such as seed set in *Cirsium dissectum*, as indicated by the
26 slopes in Fig. 3. The seedlings were not followed from the autumn of the year after seed
27 production until they flowered themselves, but we have probably captured the most
28 important bottlenecks as Jongejans & de Kroon (2005) estimated that one-year old
29 seedlings have high survival rates (90%, 54% and 86% for *Centaurea jacea*, *Hypochaeris*
30 *radicata* and *Succisa pratensis*, respectively). We found the lowest recruitment rate in *C.*
31 *dissectum* and *C. jacea* which both show extensive clonal growth. We calculated that
32 each flowering rosette has a chance of producing a seedling of only 0.23 (*C. jacea*) or
33 0.027 (*C. dissectum*) per year. However, clonal species need less recruitment per year to
34 maintain population size and, more importantly, to maintain genetic diversity because
35 fewer genotypes die annually (Eriksson 1989; Cain 1990; Watkinson & Powell 1993).
36 Besides, a clone may live for many years and may exist of many flowering rosettes,
37 thereby increasing the per-year-probability that a genotype is involved in successful
38 sexual reproduction. It is also remarkable that *S. pratensis* and *H. radicata* have very
39 similar patterns of sexual reproduction pathways (Fig. 3C,D), although they differ in
40 longevity. Perhaps the differences in longevity are less important for the pattern of sexual
41 reproduction than differences in clonality.

42 The low probabilities of seedling establishment can only be explained to a small
43 extent by inviability of the intact seeds that were used for the seed addition experiments.
44 Soons and Heil (2002) studied the same four species and report germination rates lower
45 than 50% only in *S. pratensis* (20%). Other factors like microsite limitation and post-

1 dispersal seed predation are therefore probably more important for explaining these low
2 recruitment probabilities.

3 Seedling recruitment in *S. pratensis* was only slightly higher in the sod-cut areas
4 than in the meadows. Comparable establishment rates (ca 10%) were found in artificial
5 gaps in a Czech meadow (Kotorová & Lepš 1999). Top soil and vegetation removal
6 creates opportunities for seedlings, but also causes abiotic stress due to ammonium
7 accumulation (de Graaf, Verbeek, Bobbink & Roelofs 1998; Dorland, Bobbink,
8 Messelink & Verhoeven 2003). This effect has been shown to hamper the establishment
9 of *C. dissectum* and *S. pratensis* seedlings for up to a year after sod cutting (Dorland et al.
10 2003). However, we started the seed addition experiment at least ten months after sod
11 cutting. *Succisa pratensis* seems to be a relatively good establisher in closed vegetations,
12 whereas *C. dissectum* still is expected to establish better in sod cut areas but apparently
13 still in low numbers. *Hypochaeris radicata* and *C. jacea*, however, did benefit from
14 vegetation removal. Their ability to utilize open spaces may be part of the explanation
15 why these two species are still relatively common.

16 Flower production per flower head causes the largest increase in the number of
17 ovules per flowering rosette. In *C. dissectum*, however, seed set is low and only weakly
18 correlated with flower production. Jump and Woodward (2003) report lower seed set for
19 *Cirsium acaule* and *Cirsium heterophyllum* towards the edge of their range in the UK.
20 Perhaps similar edge and population density effects influenced *C. dissectum*, which is a
21 very rare species in the Netherlands. Losses due to seed predation were most significant
22 in *C. jacea* (18% of the seeds on average). In accordance with Fenner, Cresswell, Hurley
23 & Baldwin (2002) larger flower heads of *C. jacea*, with more flowers, tended to have
24 higher predation probabilities ($n=375$; $F=3.38$; $p=0.067$). This effect was rendered
25 insignificant, however, when site and year differences were taken into account. A cause
26 of destruction of buds of flower heads in *S. pratensis* is the caterpillar-like larva of the
27 sawfly *Abia sericea* (McGee 2001), which feeds specifically on *S. pratensis* and was
28 found at several sites. However, all these losses are less severe than the losses in the
29 seedling establishment step.

30 Seed production is not only important for within-population dynamics, but also
31 for the regional population dynamics: the number of produced seeds partly determines the
32 colonization capacity of a species (Soons et al. 2004; Soons, Nathan & Katul 2004).
33 When studying the effects on a species' regional survival by habitat fragmentation or
34 habitat range displacements due to climate change, seed production and seed dispersal are
35 therefore possibly as important as seedling establishment.

36 Temporal and spatial variation

37 The question whether populations are seed or microsite limited is much debated
38 (Eriksson & Ehrlén 1992; Coulson, Bullock, Stevenson & Pywell 2001). Within a
39 population, recruitment is the product of seed production and seedling establishment. A
40 relative increase in one is as important as a relative increase in the other. However,
41 between populations comparisons of relative limitation can be made. Comparing sites, for
42 instance, we find that meadows are more microsite-limited than sod-cut areas in the two
43 more common species, *C. jacea* and *H. radicata*.

44 The largest reductions in the number of offspring took place during seedling
45 recruitment, but it would be premature to conclude that this is the only important
46

1 bottleneck in the local sexual reproduction pathway of perennials. Rather, high within-
2 population temporal coefficients of variation indicate where selection can have the
3 biggest effect (Koenig, Kelly, Sork, Duncan, Elkinton et al. 2003). In our study it was
4 again seedling establishment that had the highest within-population CVs, but temporal
5 variability was also considerable in the number of seeds produced per flower in *C.*
6 *dissectum* and the flower head production in *C. jacea* and *S. pratensis*. High spatial
7 variation in a step of the sexual reproduction pathway may further enhance local
8 adaptation, whereas high temporal variation may select against specialization (Bradshaw
9 1965; van Tienderen 1997). Therefore, the bottleneck and spatiotemporal CV analyses
10 should be used complementary since they answer the general question of which step in
11 reproduction is most important in a different way, although they highlight mostly the
12 same steps in our grassland herbs.

13 14 **Acknowledgements**

15 We are grateful to Frank Berendse, Teja Tschardt, two anonymous reviewers and the
16 members of the Nature Conservation and Plant Ecology PhD-group for their useful
17 comments on earlier drafts of this manuscript. Lidewij Keser assisted with the numerous
18 flower and seed counts. The park rangers of the community of Ermelo and of the Dutch
19 State Forestry kindly gave permission to perform this study in their meadows. The
20 Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research funded this study (NWO-project
21 805.33.452).

22 23 **Reference List**

- 24 Berg, H. (2003). Factors influencing seed : ovule ratios and reproductive success in four
25 cleistogamous species: A comparison between two flower types. *Plant Biology*, 5,
26 194-202.
- 27 Bradshaw, A.D. (1965). Evolutionary significance of phenotypic plasticity in plants.
28 *Advances in Genetics*, 13, 115-155.
- 29 Brys, R., Jacquemyn, H., Endels, P., Van Rossum, F., Hermy, M., Triest, L., De Bruyn,
30 L., & De Blust, G.D.E. (2004). Reduced reproductive success in small populations of
31 the self-incompatible *Primula vulgaris*. *Journal of Ecology*, 92, 5-14.
- 32 Cain, M.L. (1990). Models of clonal growth in *Solidago altissima*. *Journal of Ecology*,
33 78, 27-46.
- 34 Cheplick, G.P. (1995). Plasticity of seed number, mass, and allocation in clones of the
35 perennial grass *Amphibromus scabrivalvis*. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*,
36 156, 522-529.
- 37 Coulson, S.J., Bullock, J.M., Stevenson, M.J., & Pywell, R.F. (2001). Colonization of
38 grassland by sown species: dispersal versus microsite limitation in responses to
39 management. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 38, 204-216.
- 40 Crawley, M.J. (1997). *Plant Ecology*. Oxford: Blackwell Science.
- 41 de Graaf, M.C.C., Verbeek, P.J.M., Bobbink, R., & Roelofs, J.G.M. (1998). Restoration
42 of species-rich dry heaths: The importance of appropriate soil conditions. *Acta*
43 *Botanica Neerlandica*, 47, 89-111.
- 44 de Kroon, H., van Groenendael, J.M., & Ehrlén, J. (2000). Elasticities: a review of
45 methods and model limitations. *Ecology*, 81, 607-618.

- 1 Dorland, E., Bobbink, R., Messelink, J.H., & Verhoeven, J.T.A. (2003). Soil ammonium
2 accumulation after sod cutting hampers the restoration of degraded wet heathlands.
3 *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *40*, 804-814.
- 4 Eriksson, O. (1989). Seedling dynamics and life histories in clonal plants. *Oikos*, *55*, 231-
5 238.
- 6 Eriksson, O., & Ehrlén, J. (1992). Seed and microsite limitation of recruitment in plant
7 populations. *Oecologia*, *91*, 360-364.
- 8 Fenner, M., Cresswell, J.E., Hurley, R.A., & Baldwin, T. (2002). Relationship between
9 capitulum size and pre-dispersal seed predation by insect larvae in common
10 Asteraceae. *Oecologia*, *130*, 72-77.
- 11 Fowler, J, Cohen, L., & Jarvis, P. (1998). Practical statistics for field biology. Chichester:
12 John Wiley & sons.
- 13 Goodman, L.A. (1960). On the exact variance of products. *Journal of the American*
14 *Statistical Association*, *55*, 708-713.
- 15 Harper, J.L. (1977). Population biology of plants. London: Academic Press.
- 16 Hartemink, N., Jongejans, E., & de Kroon, H. (2004). Flexible life history responses to
17 flower and rosette bud removal in three perennial herbs. *Oikos*, *105*, 159-167.
- 18 Herrera, C.M. (2000). Flower-to-seedling consequences of different pollination regimes
19 in an insect-pollinated shrub. *Ecology*, *81*, 15-29.
- 20 Isselstein, J., Tallowin, J.R.B., & Smith, R.E.N. (2002). Factors affecting seed
21 germination and seedling establishment of fen-meadow species. *Restoration Ecology*,
22 *10*, 173-184.
- 23 Jakobsson, A., & Eriksson, O. (2000). A comparative study of seed number, seed size,
24 seedling size and recruitment in grassland plants. *Oikos*, *88*, 494-502.
- 25 Jensen, K., & Gutkunst, K. (2003). Effects of litter on establishment of grassland plant
26 species: the role of seed size and successional status. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, *4*,
27 579-587.
- 28 Jongejans, E., & de Kroon, H. (2005). Space versus time variation in the population
29 dynamics of three co-occurring perennial herbs. *Journal of Ecology*, *93*, doi:
30 10.1046/j.0022-0477.2005.01003.x.
- 31 Jongejans, E., & Schippers, P. (1999). Modeling seed dispersal by wind in herbaceous
32 species. *Oikos*, *87*, 362-372.
- 33 Jump, A.S., & Woodward, E.I. (2003). Seed production and population density decline
34 approaching the range-edge of *Cirsium* species. *New Phytologist*, *160*, 349-358.
- 35 Klinkhamer, P.G.L., de Jong, T.J., & van der Meijden, E. (1988). Production, dispersal
36 and predation of seeds in the biennial *Cirsium vulgare*. *Journal of Ecology*, *76*, 403-
37 414.
- 38 Koenig, W.D., Kelly, D., Sork, V.L., Duncan, R.P., Elkinton, J.S., Peltonen, M.S., &
39 Westfall, R.D. (2003). Dissecting components of population-level variation in seed
40 production and the evolution of masting behavior. *Oikos*, *102*, 581-591.
- 41 Kotorová, I., & Lepš, J. (1999). Comparative ecology of seedling recruitment in an
42 oligotrophic wet meadow. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, *10*, 175-186.
- 43 Lamont, B.B., & Runciman, H.V. (1993). Fire may stimulate flowering, branching, seed
44 production and seedling establishment in two Kangaroo paws (Haemodoraceae).
45 *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *30*, 256-264.

- 1 Lienert, J., & Fischer, M. (2004). Experimental inbreeding reduces seed production and
2 germination independent of fragmentation of populations of *Swertia perennis*. *Basic
3 and Applied Ecology*, 5, 43-52.
- 4 Louda, S.M., & Potvin, M.A. (1995). Effect of inflorescence-feeding insects on the
5 demography and lifetime fitness of a native plant. *Ecology*, 76, 229-245.
- 6 McGee, K. (2001). *Abia* Sawflies at Mill Meadow, Drakes Broughton. *Worcestershire
7 Record*, 6.
- 8 Menges, E.S. (1995). Factors limiting fecundity and germination in small populations of
9 *Silene regia* (Caryophyllaceae), a rare hummingbird-pollinated prairie forb. *American
10 Midland Naturalist*, 133, 242-255.
- 11 Meyer, A.H., & Schmid, B. (1999). Seed dynamics and seedling establishment in the
12 invading perennial *Solidago altissima* under different experimental treatments.
13 *Journal of Ecology*, 87, 28-41.
- 14 Mix, C., Picó, F.X., & Ouborg, N.J. (2003). A comparison of stereomicroscope and
15 image analysis for quantifying fruit traits. *Seed Technology*, 25, 12-19.
- 16 Olson, B.E., & Wallander, R.T. (2002). Effects of invasive forb litter on seed
17 germination, seedling growth and survival. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 3, 309-317.
- 18 Oostermeijer, J.G.B., Luijten, S.H., Krenová, Z.V., & den Nijs, H.C.M. (1998).
19 Relationships between population and habitat characteristics and reproduction of the
20 rare *Gentiana pneumonanthe* L. *Conservation Biology*, 12, 1042-1053.
- 21 Picó, F.X., & Riba, M. (2002). Regional-scale demography of *Ramonda myconi*:
22 Remnant population dynamics in a preglacial relict species. *Plant Ecology*, 161, 1-13.
- 23 Schippers, P., & Jongejans, E. (2005). Release thresholds strongly determine the range of
24 seed dispersal by wind. *Ecological Modelling*, 184, doi:
25 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2004.11.018.
- 26 Schupp, E.W. (1990). Annual variation in seedfall, postdispersal predation, and
27 recruitment of a Neotropical tree. *Ecology*, 71, 504-515.
- 28 Silvertown, J., Franco, M., Pisanty, I., & Mendoza, A. (1993). Comparative plant
29 demography: Relative importance of life-cycle components to the finite rate of
30 increase in woody and herbaceous perennials. *Journal of Ecology*, 81, 465-476.
- 31 Soons, M.B., & Heil, G.W. (2002). Reduced colonization capacity in fragmented
32 populations of wind-dispersed grassland forbs. *Journal of Ecology*, 90, 1033-1043.
- 33 Soons, M.B., Heil, G.W., Nathan, R. & Katul, G.G. (2004). Determinants of long-
34 distance seed dispersal by wind in grasslands. *Ecology*, 85, 3056-3068.
- 35 Soons, M.B., Messelink, J.H., Jongejans, E., & Heil, G.W. (2003). Fragmentation and
36 connectivity of species-rich semi-natural grasslands. In: M.B. Soons (Ed.), *Habitat
37 fragmentation and connectivity. Spatial and temporal characteristics of the
38 colonization process in plants*. Ph.D. thesis, Utrecht University.
- 39 Soons, M.B., Nathan, R., & Katul, G.G. (2004). Human effects on long-distance wind
40 dispersal and colonization by grassland plants. *Ecology*, 85, 3069-3079.
- 41 Špačková, I., & Lepš, J. (2004). Variability of seedling recruitment under dominant, mos,
42 and litter removal over four years. *Folia Geobotanica*, 39, 41-55.
- 43 Szentesi, A., & Jermy, T. (2003). Pre-dispersal seed predation and seed limitation in an
44 annual legume. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 4, 207-218.
- 45 Thompson, K., Bakker, J.P., & Bekker, R.M. (1997). The soil seed banks of North West
46 Europe: methodology, density and longevity. Cambridge: University Press.

1 van Tienderen, P.H. (1997). Generalists, specialists, and the evolution of phenotypic
2 plasticity in sympatric populations of distinct species. *Evolution*, 51, 1372-1380.

3 Watkinson, A.R., & Powell, J.C. (1993). Seedling recruitment and the maintenance of
4 clonal diversity in plant populations: A computer simulation of *Ranunculus repens*.
5 *Journal of Ecology*, 81, 707-717.

7 **Table 1.**

8 Statistics of type III ANOVA models per species. Where reported seed addition and field
9 type are built in as fixed factors, year and site as random factors, and flower number and
10 predation (absent or present) as covariates. To increase homogeneity among the data, the
11 number of flower heads were arcsinh-transformed, the number of seeds produced per
12 flower arcsine-transformed, and the increases in rosette number were log-transformed
13 after adding 10 (Fowler, Cohen & Jarvis 1998). Back transformed site and year means are
14 presented in Table 2 and Figure 2. (*) = $p < 0.1$; * = $p < 0.05$; ** = $p < 0.01$; *** = $p < 0.001$

Source	<i>Centaurea jacea</i>			<i>Cirsium dissectum</i>			<i>Hypochaeris radicata</i>			<i>Succisa pratensis</i>		
	df	MS	F	df	MS	F	df	MS	F	df	MS	F
# Flower heads per Flowering Rosette												
Site (S)	2	10.573	13.60 **	2	0.276	0.75	2	1.210	2.05	4	10.631	1.67
Year (Y)	4	5.816	7.92 *	4	0.244	0.63	4	1.025	1.77	4	13.080	2.58 (*)
S * Y	6	0.761	2.72 *	8	0.439	6.78 ***	7	0.755	2.25 *	15	7.578	15.77 ***
Error	622	0.280		267	0.065		207	0.336		1162	0.470	
# Flowers per Flower head												
Site (S)	4	12672	26.55 ***	9	2395	1.94	5	7209	5.01 (*)	12	7112	7.59 ***
Year (Y)	4	697	1.34	4	12475	8.57 **	3	4900	3.66 (*)	4	1126	1.75
S * Y	8	531	3.29 **	14	1526	4.04 ***	5	1421	3.07 *	24	1036	7.44 ***
Error	358	161		685	378		293	462		794	139	
Ratio #Seeds / #Flowers												
Flower number	1	891	4.05 *	1	485	3.04 (*)	1	3328	23.16 ***	1	797	4.22 *
Predation	1	36071	163.99 ***	1	8180	51.34 ***	1	438	3.05 (*)	1	1468	7.77 **
Site (S)	4	4087	6.68 **	9	5346	8.35 ***	5	1020	6.40 *	12	3062	2.79 *
Year (Y)	4	220	0.30	4	5726	7.73 **	3	327	2.06	4	978	1.24
S * Y	8	749	3.41 **	14	813	5.10 ***	5	160	1.11	24	1253	6.63 ***
Error	356	220		683	159		291	144		792	189	
Increase Number rosettes (Seed addition Experiment 1)												
Seed Addition	1	0.002	0.25	1	0.001	0.19	1	0.111	4.24 *	1	0.134	16.47 ***
Site (S)	2	0.045	0.90	1	0.156	348.58 *	1	-	-	1	0.002	0.02
Year (Y)	1	0.026	0.53	1	0.003	6.19	1	-	-	1	0.000	0.00
S * Y	1	0.049	5.27 *	1	0.000	0.14	0	-	-	1	0.097	11.88 **
Error	94	0.009		75	0.003		56	0.026		75	0.008	
Increase Number rosettes (Seed addition Experiment 2)												
Seed Addition (SA)	1	0.156	37.15 ***	1	0.009	9.45 **	1	0.764	92.51 ***	1	0.655	71.92 ***
Site within T	8	0.022	5.32 ***	8	0.004	4.75 ***	8	0.046	5.52 ***	8	0.076	8.39 ***
Type (T)	1	0.004	5.96 *	1	0.019	4.28 (*)	1	0.370	8.12 *	1	0.027	0.36
SA * T	1	0.127	30.16 ***	1	0.004	4.58 *	1	0.162	19.68 ***	1	0.034	3.77 (*)
Error	148	0.004		148	0.001		148	0.008		148	0.009	

15


16

1 **Table 2.**


2 The overall mean, and the range (minimum and maximum) of the site and year means
 3 separately, of the number of flower heads per flowering rosette, flowers per flower head
 4 and seeds per flower. The number of sites, years and site-year combinations that were
 5 sampled for each species, and the mean number of samples per site-year combination are
 6 also given. The mean number of seeds per flower in predated flower heads was only
 7 calculated for a site-year combination when predated heads were present. The means of
 8 the number of flower heads and seeds presented here are back transformed means of
 9 transformed data (Table 1) on head (arcsinh) and seed (arcsine) numbers.

Variable	Species	Overall	Site (S)		Year (Y)		SxY	# Samples
		Mean	n	Range	n	Range	n	Mean
# Flower heads per Flowering rosette								
	<i>Centaurea jacea</i>	1.31	3	1.04 - 1.83	5	0.73 - 1.95	13	49
	<i>Cirsium dissectum</i>	0.87	3	0.79 - 0.97	5	0.66 - 1.00	15	19
	<i>Hypochaeris radicata</i>	2.20	3	2.00 - 2.45	5	1.42 - 2.48	14	16
	<i>Succisa pratensis</i>	2.26	5	1.45 - 3.89	5	1.11 - 3.60	24	49
# Flowers per Flower head								
	<i>Centaurea jacea</i>	65	5	46 - 79	5	61 - 78	17	22
	<i>Cirsium dissectum</i>	80	10	72 - 94	5	66 - 91	28	25
	<i>Hypochaeris radicata</i>	61	6	50 - 73	4	53 - 65	14	22
	<i>Succisa pratensis</i>	63	13	45 - 91	5	51 - 68	41	20
# Seeds per Flower (unpredated heads)								
	<i>Centaurea jacea</i>	0.60	5	0.00 - 0.67	5	0.48 - 0.72	17	14
	<i>Cirsium dissectum</i>	0.23	10	0.09 - 0.49	5	0.11 - 0.38	28	23
	<i>Hypochaeris radicata</i>	0.85	6	0.68 - 0.90	4	0.80 - 0.90	14	16
	<i>Succisa pratensis</i>	0.81	13	0.62 - 0.94	5	0.74 - 0.83	41	20
# Seeds per Flower (predated heads)								
	<i>Centaurea jacea</i>	0.26	5	0.00 - 0.35	5	0.21 - 0.29	17	8
	<i>Cirsium dissectum</i>	0.05	9	0.00 - 0.37	5	0.00 - 0.16	18	3
	<i>Hypochaeris radicata</i>	0.73	6	0.65 - 0.82	4	0.64 - 0.82	12	7
	<i>Succisa pratensis</i>	0.72	5	0.33 - 0.80	3	0.68 - 0.75	7	2

10
 11
 12




- 1 **Figure 1.**
- 2 Schematic overview of the sexual reproduction pathway from a flower rosette to flowers,
- 3 seeds and established seedlings. Causes of losses (right) and data sources in this study on
- 4 the different parts of the pathway (left) are indicated.



1 **Figure 2.**

2 The average percentage of sown seeds that is established as seedling after 12 months per species, site and year. The values (back
 3 transformed means after log transformation of the data for statistical tests; Table 1) given are the means of seeded plots minus the
 4 natural germination in the control plots. Error bars denote standard errors. The first experiment in the meadows Bennekomse meent
 5 (B), Konijnendijk (N) and Koolmansdijk (O) was started in 1999 (black) and 2000 (white). Since no local seeds were available *C.*
 6 *jacea* and *H. radicata* were not seeded in site N in 1999. In the second experiment seeds were sown (only in 2000) in three meadows
 7 with the top soil removed (TSR), and in seven undisturbed meadows. Please note that negative values can occur when the increase in
 8 rosette number was higher in the control plots than in the seed addition plots.

9



1 **Figure 3.**

2 The average (solid line) sexual reproduction pathway (A,B,C,D) per species, based on the means of all available site-year
 3 combinations. The error bars are progressing standard deviations (see methods). The dashed lines represent scenarios in which all
 4 steps are either the lowest or the highest observed site-year mean. The low scenario in *C. jacea* and *C. dissectum* end with zero
 5 seedlings. The spatial and temporal coefficient of variation of each step (E,F,G,H) is given per species (see methods).