Chiral tunneling and the Klein paradox in graphene
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Abstract

The so-called Klein paradox - unimpeded penetration of relativistic particles through high and wide potential barriers - is one of the most exotic and counterintuitive consequences of quantum electrodynamics (QED). The phenomenon is discussed in many contexts in particle, nuclear and astro- physics but direct tests of the Klein paradox using elementary particles have so far proved impossible. Here we show that the effect can be tested in a conceptually simple condensed-matter experiment by using electrostatic barriers in single- and bi-layer graphene. Due to the chiral nature of their quasiparticles, quantum tunneling in these materials becomes highly anisotropic, qualitatively different from the case of normal, nonrelativistic electrons. Massless Dirac fermions in graphene allow a close realization of Klein’s gedanken experiment whereas massive chiral fermions in bilayer graphene offer an interesting complementary system that elucidates the basic physics involved.
The term Klein paradox\(^1\) usually refers to a counterintuitive relativistic process in which an incoming electron starts penetrating through a potential barrier if its height \(V_0\) exceeds twice the electron’s rest energy \(mc^2\) (where \(m\) is the electron mass and \(c\) the speed of light). In this case, the transmission probability \(T\) depends only weakly on the barrier height, approaching the perfect transparency for very high barriers, in stark contrast to the conventional, nonrelativistic tunneling where \(T\) exponentially decays with increasing \(V_0\). This relativistic effect can be attributed to the fact that a sufficiently strong potential, being repulsive for electrons, is attractive for positrons and results in positron states inside the barrier, which align in energy with the electron continuum outside\(^4\). Matching between electron and positron wavefunctions across the barrier leads to the high-probability tunneling described by the Klein paradox\(^7\). The essential feature of QED responsible for the effect is the fact that states at positive and negative energies (electrons and positrons) are intimately linked (conjugated), being described by different components of the same spinor wavefunction. This fundamental property of the Dirac equation is often referred to as the charge-conjugation symmetry. Although Klein’s gedanken experiment is now well understood, the notion of paradox is still used widely\(^2,3,4,5,6,7\), perhaps because the effect has never been observed experimentally. Indeed, its observation requires a potential drop \(\approx mc^2\) over the Compton length \(\hbar/mc\), which yields enormous electric fields\(^2,3(E > 10^{16} V/cm)\) and makes the effect relevant only for such exotic situations as, for example, positron production around super-heavy nuclei\(^2,3\) with charge \(Z \geq 170\) or evaporation of black holes through generation of particle-antiparticle pairs near the event horizon\(^8\). The purpose of this paper is to show that graphene - a recently found allotrope of carbon\(^9\) - provides an effective medium (“vacuum”) where relativistic quantum tunneling described by the Klein paradox and other relevant QED phenomena could be tested experimentally.

**DIRAC-LIKE QUASIPARTICLES IN GRAPHENE**

Graphene is a single layer of carbon atoms densely packed in a honeycomb lattice, or it can be viewed as an individual atomic plane pulled out of bulk graphite. From the point of view of its electronic properties, graphene is a two-dimensional zero-gap semiconductor with the energy spectrum shown in Fig. 1a and its low-energy quasiparticles are formally described by the Dirac-like Hamiltonian\(^10,11,12\)

\[
\tilde{H}_0 = -i\hbar v_F \sigma \nabla
\] (1)
where $v_F \approx 10^6 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ is the Fermi velocity, and $\sigma = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y)$ are the Pauli matrices. Neglecting many-body effects, this description is accurate theoretically\textsuperscript{10,11,12} and has also been proven experimentally\textsuperscript{13,14} by measuring the energy-dependent cyclotron mass in graphene (which yields its linear energy spectrum) and, most clearly, by the observation of a relativistic analogue of the integer quantum Hall effect.

The fact that charge carriers in graphene are described by the Dirac-like equation (1) rather than the usual Schrödinger equation can be seen as a consequence of graphene’s crystal structure, which consists of two equivalent carbon sublattices A and B\textsuperscript{10,11,12}. Quantum mechanical hopping between the sublattices leads to the formation of two cosine-like energy bands, and their intersection near the edges of the Brillouin zone (shown in red and green in Fig. 1a) yields the conical energy spectrum. As a result, quasiparticles in graphene exhibit the linear dispersion relation $E = \hbar k v_F$, as if they were massless relativistic particles (for example, photons) but the role of the speed of light is played here by the Fermi velocity $v_F \approx c/300$. Due to the linear spectrum, one can expect that graphene’s quasiparticles behave differently from those in conventional metals and semiconductors where the energy spectrum can be approximated by a parabolic (free-electron-like) dispersion relation.

Although the linear spectrum is important, it is not the only essential feature that underpins the description of quantum transport in graphene by the Dirac equation. Above zero energy, the current carrying states in graphene are, as usual, electron-like and negatively charged. At negative energies, if the valence band is not full, its unoccupied electronic states behave as positively charged quasiparticles (holes), which are often viewed as a condensed-matter equivalent of positrons. Note however that electrons and holes in condensed matter physics are normally described by separate Schrödinger equations, which are not in any way connected (as a consequence of the Seitz sum rule\textsuperscript{15}, the equations should also involve different effective masses). In contrast, electron and hole states in graphene are interconnected, exhibiting properties analogous to the charge-conjugation symmetry in QED\textsuperscript{10,11,12}. For the case of graphene, the latter symmetry is a consequence of its crystal symmetry because graphene’s quasiparticles have to be described by two-component wavefunctions, which is needed to define relative contributions of sublattices A and B in quasiparticles’ make-up. The two-component description for graphene is very similar to the one by spinor wavefunctions in QED but the ‘spin’ index for graphene indicates sublattices rather than the real spin of electrons and is usually referred to as pseudospin $\sigma$. 
FIG. 1: Tunneling through a potential barrier in graphene. (a)- Schematic diagrams of the spectrum of quasiparticles in single-layer graphene. The spectrum is linear at low Fermi energies (<1 eV). The red and green curves emphasize the origin of the linear spectrum, which is the crossing between the energy bands associated with crystal sublattices A and B. The three diagrams illustrate schematically the positions of the Fermi energy $E$ across the potential barrier of height $V_0$ and width $D$ shown in (b). The Fermi level (dotted lines) lies in the conduction band outside the barrier and the valence band inside it. The blue filling indicates occupied states. The pseudospin denoted by vector $\sigma$ is parallel (antiparallel) to the direction of motion of electrons (holes), which also means that $\sigma$ keeps a fixed direction along the red and green branches of the electronic spectrum. (c) - Low-energy spectrum for quasiparticles in bilayer graphene. The spectrum is isotropic and, despite its parabolicity, also originates from the intersection of energy bands formed by equivalent sublattices, which ensures charge conjugation, similar to the case of single-layer graphene.

There are further analogies with QED. The conical spectrum of graphene is the result of intersection of the energy bands originating from sublattices A and B (see Fig. 1a) and, accordingly, an electron with energy $E$ propagating in the positive direction originates from
the same branch of the electronic spectrum (shown in red) as the hole with energy \(-E\) propagating in the opposite direction. This yields that electrons and holes belonging to the same branch have pseudospin \(\sigma\) pointing in the same direction, which is parallel to the momentum for electrons and antiparallel for holes (see Fig. 1a). This allows one to introduce chirality\(^{12}\), that is formally a projection of pseudospin on the direction of motion, which is positive and negative for electrons and holes, respectively. The term chirality is often used to refer to the additional built-in symmetry between electron and hole parts of graphene’s spectrum (as indicated by color in Fig. 1) and is analogous (although not completely identical\(^{11,16}\)) to the chirality in three-dimensional QED.

**KLEIN PARADOX REFORMULATED FOR SINGLE-LAYER GRAPHENE**

Because quasiparticles in graphene accurately mimic Dirac fermions in QED, this condensed matter system makes it possible to set up a tunneling experiment similar to that analyzed by Klein. The general scheme of such an experiment is shown in Fig. 1, where we consider the potential barrier that has a rectangular shape and is infinite along the \(y\)-axis:

\[
V(x) = \begin{cases} 
V_0, & 0 < x < D, \\
0, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

This local potential barrier inverts charge carriers underneath it, creating holes playing the role of positrons, or vice versa. For simplicity, we assume in (2) infinitely sharp edges, which allows a direct link to the case usually considered in QED\(^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7}\). The sharp-edge assumption is justified if the Fermi wavelength \(\lambda\) of quasiparticles is much larger than the characteristic width of the edge smearing, which in turn should be larger than the lattice constant (to disallow Umklapp scattering between different valleys in graphene)\(^{17}\). Such a barrier can be created by the electric field effect using a thin insulator or by local chemical doping\(^{9,13,14}\). Importantly, Dirac fermions in graphene are massless and, therefore, there is no formal theoretical requirement for the minimal electric field \(E\) to form positron-like states under the barrier. To create a well-defined barrier in realistic graphene samples with a disorder, fields \(E \approx 10^5 V/cm\) routinely used in experiments\(^{9,14}\) should be sufficient, which is eleven orders of magnitude lower than the fields necessary for the observation of the Klein paradox for elementary particles.

It is straightforward to solve the tunneling problem sketched in Fig. 1b. We assume that the incident electron wave propagates at an angle \(\phi\) with respect to the \(x\) axis and then try
the components of the Dirac spinor $\psi_1$ and $\psi_2$ for the Hamiltonian $H = H_0 + V(x)$ in the following form:

$$
\psi_1 (x, y) = \begin{cases}
(e^{ik_x x} + re^{-ik_x x}) e^{ik_y y}, & x < 0, \\
( ae^{iq_x x} + be^{-iq_x x}) e^{ik_y y}, & 0 < x < D, \\
t e^{ik_x x+ik_y y}, & x > D,
\end{cases}
$$

$$
\psi_2 (x, y) = \begin{cases}
s (e^{ik_x x+i\phi} - re^{-ik_x x-i\phi}) e^{ik_y y}, & x < 0, \\
s' ( ae^{iq_x x+i\theta} - be^{-iq_x x-i\theta}) e^{ik_y y}, & 0 < x < D, \\
s't e^{ik_x x+ik_y y+i\phi}, & x > D,
\end{cases}
$$

where $k_F = 2\pi/\lambda$ is the Fermi wavevector, $k_x = k_F \cos \phi$ and $k_y = k_F \sin \phi$ are the vector components outside the barrier, $q_x = \sqrt{(E - V_0)^2 / \hbar^2 v_F^2 - k_y^2}$, $\theta = \tan^{-1}(k_y/q_x)$ is the refraction angle, $s = \text{sign} E$, $s' = \text{sign} (E - V_0)$. Requiring the continuity of the wavefunction by matching up coefficients $a, b, t, r$, we find the following expression for the reflection coefficient $r$

$$
r = 2ie^{i\phi} \sin (q_x D) \frac{\sin \phi - ss' \sin \theta}{ss' [e^{-iq_x D} \cos (\phi + \theta) + e^{iq_x D} \cos (\phi - \theta)] - 2i \sin (q_x D)}. \quad (4)
$$

Fig. 2a shows examples of the angular dependence of transmission probability $T = |t|^2 = 1 - |r|^2$ calculated using the above expression. In the limit of high barriers $|V_0| \gg |E|$, the expression for $T$ can be simplified to

$$
T = \frac{\cos^2 \phi}{1 - \cos^2 (q_x D) \sin^2 \phi}. \quad (5)
$$

Equations (4,5) yield that under resonance conditions $q_x D = \pi N$, $N = 0, \pm 1, \ldots$ the barrier becomes transparent ($T = 1$). More significantly, however, the barrier remains always perfectly transparent for angles close to the normal incidence $\phi = 0$. The latter is the feature unique to massless Dirac fermions and directly related to the Klein paradox in QED. One can understand this perfect tunneling in terms of the conservation of pseudospin. Indeed, in the absence of pseudospin-flip processes (such processes are rare as they require a short-range potential, which would act differently on A and B sites of the graphene lattice), an electron moving to the right can be scattered only to a right-moving electron state or left-moving hole state. This is illustrated in Fig. 1a, where charge carriers from the "red" branch of the band diagram can be scattered into states within the same "red" branch but cannot be transformed into any state on the "green" branch. The latter scattering event
FIG. 2: Klein-like quantum tunneling in graphene systems. Transmission probability $T$ through a 100-nm-wide barrier as a function of the incident angle for (a) single- and (b) bi-layer graphene. The electron concentration $n$ outside the barrier is chosen $0.5 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$ for all cases. Inside the barrier, hole concentrations $p$ are $1 \times 10^{12}$ and $3 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$ for red and blue curves, respectively (such concentrations are most typical in experiments with graphene). This corresponds to the Fermi energy $E$ of incident electrons $\approx 80$ and $17$ meV for single- and bi-layer graphene, respectively, and $\lambda \approx 50$ nm. The barrier heights $V_0$ are (a) 200 and (b) 50 meV (red curves) and (a) 285 and (b) 100 meV (blue curves).

would require the pseudospin to be flipped. The matching between directions of pseudospin $\sigma$ for quasiparticles inside and outside the barrier results in perfect tunneling. In the strictly one-dimensional case, such perfect transmission of Dirac fermions has been discussed in the context of electron transport in carbon nanotubes$^{17,18}$ (see also ref. [19]). Our analysis extends this tunneling problem to the two-dimensional (2D) case of graphene.

CHIRAL TUNNELING IN BILAYER GRAPHENE

To elucidate which features of the anomalous tunneling in graphene are related to the linear dispersion and which to the pseudospin and chirality of the Dirac spectrum, it is instructive to consider the same problem for bilayer graphene. There are both differences and similarities between the two graphene systems. Indeed, charge carriers in bilayer graphene have parabolic energy spectrum as shown in Fig. 1c, which means they are massive quasiparticles with a finite density of states at zero energy, similar to conventional nonrelativistic electrons. On the other hand, these quasiparticles are also chiral and described by spinor wavefunctions$^{20,21}$, similar to relativistic particles or quasiparticles in single-layer graphene.
Again, the origin of the unusual energy spectrum can be traced to the crystal lattice of bilayer graphene with four equivalent sublattices\textsuperscript{21}. Although “massive chiral fermions” do not exist in the field theory their existence in the condensed matter physics (confirmed experimentally\textsuperscript{20}) offers a unique opportunity to clarify the importance of chirality in the relativistic tunneling problem described by the Klein paradox. In addition, the relevant QED-like effects appear to be more pronounced in bilayer graphene and easier to test experimentally, as discussed below.

Charge carriers in bilayer graphene are described by an off-diagonal Hamiltonian\textsuperscript{20,21}

\[
\hat{H}_0 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (k_x^2 - ik_y) \\ (k_x + ik_y)^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]  

(6)

which yields a gapless semiconductor with chiral electrons and holes having a finite mass \( m \). An important formal difference between the tunneling problems for single- and bilayer graphene is that in the latter case there are \textit{four} possible solutions for a given energy \( E = \pm \hbar^2 k^2 / 2m \). Two of them correspond to propagating waves and the other two to evanescent ones. Accordingly, for constant potential \( V_i \), eigenstates of Hamiltonian (6) should be written as

\[
\psi_1(x, y) = (a_1e^{ik_{ix}x} + b_1e^{-ik_{ix}x} + c_1e^{\kappa_{ix}x} + d_1e^{-\kappa_{ix}x})e^{ik_{iy}y}
\]

\[
\psi_2(x, y) = s_i \left( a_1e^{ik_{ix}x+2i\phi_i} + b_1e^{-ik_{ix}x-2i\phi_i} - c_1h_1e^{\kappa_{ix}x} - \frac{d_1}{h_i}e^{-\kappa_{ix}x} \right) e^{ik_{iy}y}
\]  

(7)

where

\[
s_i = sign (V_i - E); \quad \hbar k_{ix} = \sqrt{2m|E - V_i| \cos \phi_i}; \quad \hbar k_{iy} = \sqrt{2m|E - V_i| \sin \phi_i}
\]

\[
\kappa_{ix} = \sqrt{k_{ix}^2 + 2k_{iy}^2}; \quad h_i = \left( \sqrt{1 + \sin^2 \phi_i} - \sin \phi_i \right)^2
\]

To find the transmission coefficient through barrier (2), one should set \( d_1 = 0 \) for \( x < 0 \), \( b_3 = c_3 = 0 \) for \( x > D \) and satisfy the continuity conditions for both components of the wavefunction and their derivatives. For the case of an electron beam that is incident normally (\( \phi = 0 \)) and low barriers \( V_0 < E \) (over-barrier transmission), we obtain \( \psi_1 = -\psi_2 \) both outside and inside the barrier, and the chirality of fermions in bilayer graphene does not manifest itself. In this case, scattering at the barrier (2) is the same as for electrons described by the Schrödinger equation. However, for any finite \( \phi \) (even in the case \( V_0 < E \)), waves localized at the barrier interfaces are essential to satisfy the boundary conditions.
FIG. 3: Transmission probability $T$ for normally incident electrons in single- and bi-layer graphene (red and blue curves, respectively) and in a non-chiral zero-gap semiconductor (green curve) as a function of width $D$ of the tunnel barrier. Concentrations of charge carriers are chosen as $n = 0.5 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and $p = 1 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ outside and inside the barrier, respectively, for all three cases. This yields barrier’s height of $\sim 450 \text{ meV}$ for graphene and $\sim 240 \text{ meV}$ for the other two materials. Note that the transmission probability for bilayer graphene decays exponentially with the barrier width, even though there are plenty of electronic states inside the barrier.

The most intriguing behavior is found for $V_0 > E$, where electrons outside the barrier transform into holes inside it, or vice versa. Examples of the angular dependence of $T$ in bilayer graphene are plotted in Fig. 2b. They show a dramatic difference as compared with the case of massless Dirac fermions. There are again pronounced transmission resonances at some incident angles, where $T$ approaches unity. However, instead of the perfect transmission found for normally-incident Dirac fermions (see Fig. 2a), our numerical analysis has yielded the opposite effect: Massive chiral fermions are always perfectly reflected for angles close to $\phi = 0$.

Accordingly, we have analyzed this case in more detail and found the following analytical solution for the transmission coefficient $t$:

$$ t = \frac{4ik_1k_2}{(k_2 + ik_1)^2 e^{-k_2D} - (k_2 - ik_1)^2 e^{k_2D}}, \quad (8) $$

where subscripts 1,2 label the regions outside and inside the barrier, respectively. Particularly interesting is the case of a potential step, which corresponds to a single $p-n$
junction. Eq (8) shows that such a junction should completely reflect a normally-incident beam \((T = 0)\). This is highly unusual because the continuum of electronic states at the other side of the step is normally expected to allow some tunneling. Furthermore, for a single \(p-n\) junction with \(V_0 \gg E\), the following analytical solution for any \(\phi\) has been found:

\[
T = \frac{E}{V_0} \sin^2(2\phi)
\]

which again yields \(T = 0\) for \(\phi = 0\). This behavior is in obvious contrast to single-layer graphene, where normally-incident electrons are always perfectly transmitted.

The perfect reflection (instead of the perfect transmission) can be viewed as another incarnation of the Klein paradox, because the effect is again due to the charge-conjugation symmetry (fermions in single- and bi-layer graphene exhibit chiralties that resemble those associated with spin 1/2 and 1, respectively)\(^{20,21}\). For single-layer graphene, an electron wavefunction at the barrier interface matches perfectly the corresponding wavefunction for a hole with the same direction of pseudospin (see Fig. 1a), yielding \(T = 1\). In contrast, for bilayer graphene, the charge conjugation requires a propagating electron with wavevector \(k\) to transform into a hole with wavevector \(ik\) (rather than \(-k\)), which is an evanescent wave inside a barrier.

**COMPARISON WITH TUNNELING OF NONCHIRAL PARTICLES**

For completeness, we compare the obtained results with the case of normal electrons. If a tunnel barrier contains no electronic states, the difference is obvious: the transmission probability in this case is well known to decay exponentially with increasing barrier’s width and height\(^{22}\) so that the tunnel barriers discussed above would reflect electrons completely. However, both graphene systems are gapless, and it is more appropriate to compare them with gapless semiconductors having nonchiral charge carriers (such a situation can be realized in certain heterostructures\(^{23,24}\)). In this case, one finds

\[
t = \frac{4k_x q_x}{(q_x + k_x)^2 e^{-i q_x D} - (q_x - k_x)^2 e^{i q_x D}},
\]

where \(k_x\) and \(q_x\) are \(x\)-components of the wave vector outside and inside the barrier, respectively. Again, similarly to the case of single- and bi-layer graphene, there are resonance conditions \(q_x D = \pi N, N = 0, \pm 1, ...\) at which the barrier is transparent. For the case of normal incidence \((\phi = 0)\) the tunneling coefficient is then an oscillating function of tunneling parameters and can exhibit any value from 0 to 1 (see Fig. 3). This is in contrast
FIG. 4: The chiral nature of quasiparticles in graphene strongly affects its transport properties. (a) A diffusive conductor of a size smaller than the phase-coherence length is connected to two parallel one-dimensional leads. For normal electrons, transmission probability $T$ through such a system depends strongly on the distribution of scatterers. In contrast, for massless Dirac fermions, $T$ is always equal to unity due to the additional memory about the initial direction of pseudospin (see text). (b) Schematic diagram of one of the possible tunneling experiments in graphene. Graphene (light-blue) has two local gates (dark-blue) that create potential barriers of a variable height. The voltage drop across the barriers is measured by using potential contacts shown in orange.

...to graphene, where $T$ is always 1, and bilayer graphene, where $T = 0$ for sufficiently wide barriers $D > \lambda$. This makes it clear that the drastic difference between the three cases is essentially due to different chiralities or pseudospins of the quasiparticles involved rather than any other feature of their energy spectra.

IMPLICATIONS FOR EXPERIMENT

The found tunneling anomalies in the two graphene systems are expected to play an important role in their transport properties, especially in the regime of low carrier concentrations where disorder induces significant potential barriers and the systems are likely to split into a random distribution of p-n junctions. In conventional 2D systems, strong enough disorder results in electronic states that are separated by barriers with exponentially small transparency$^{25,26}$. This is known to lead to the Anderson localization. In contrast, in both graphene materials all potential barriers are relatively transparent ($T \approx 1$ at least
for some angles) which does not allow charge carriers to be confined by potential barriers that are smooth on atomic scale. Therefore, different electron and hole “puddles” induced by disorder are not isolated but effectively percolate, thereby suppressing localization. This consideration can be important for the understanding of the minimal conductivity $\approx e^2/h$ observed experimentally in both single-layer$^{13}$ and bilayer$^{20}$ graphene.

To elucidate further the dramatic difference between quantum transport of Dirac fermions in graphene and normal 2D electrons, Fig. 4a suggests a gedanken experiment where a diffusive conductor is attached to ballistic one-dimensional leads, as in the Landauer formalism. For conventional 2D systems, transmission and reflection coefficients through such a conductor are sensitive to detailed distribution of impurities and a shift of a single impurity by a distance of the order of $\lambda$ can completely change the coefficients$^{27}$. In contrast, the conservation of pseudospin in graphene strictly forbids backscattering and makes the disordered region in Fig. 4a always completely transparent, independent of disorder (as long as it is smooth on the scale of the lattice constant$^{17}$). This extension of the Klein problem to the case of a random scalar potential has been proven by using the Lippmann-Schwinger equation (see the Supplementary Information). Unfortunately, this particular experiment is probably impossible to realize in practice because scattering at graphene’s edges does not conserve the pseudospin$^{17,28}$. Nevertheless, the above consideration shows that impurity scattering in the bulk of graphene should be suppressed as compared to the normal conductors.

The above analysis shows that the Klein paradox and associated relativistic-like phenomena can be tested experimentally using graphene devices. The basic principle behind such experiments would be to employ local gates and collimators similar to those used in electron optics in 2D gases$^{29,30}$. One possible experimental setup is shown schematically in Fig. 4b. Here, local gates simply cross the whole graphene sample at different angles (for example, 90° and 45°). Intrinsic concentrations of charge carriers are usually low ($\sim 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$), whereas concentrations up to $1 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$ can be induced under the gated regions by the bipolar electric field effect$^9$. This allows potential barriers with heights up to $V_0 \approx 0.4$ eV and $\approx 0.23$ eV for single- and double-layer samples, respectively. By measuring the voltage drop across the barriers as a function of applied gate voltage, one can analyze their transparency for different $V_0$. Our results in Fig. 2 show that for graphene the 90° barrier should exhibit low resistance and no significant changes in it with changing gate voltage. In
comparison, the 45° barrier is expected to have much higher resistance and show a number of tunneling resonances as a function of gate voltage. The situation should be qualitatively different for bilayer graphene, where local barriers should result in a high resistance for the perpendicular barrier and pronounced resonances for the 45° barrier.

Furthermore, the fact that a barrier (or even a single $p-n$ junction) incorporated in a bilayer graphene device should lead to exponentially small tunneling current can be exploited in developing graphene-based field effect transistors (FET). Such transistors are particularly tempting because of their high mobility and ballistic transport at submicron distances$^9,13,14$. However, the fundamental problem along this route is that the conducting channel in single-layer graphene cannot be pinched off (because of the minimal conductivity), which severely limits achievable on-off ratios for such FETs$^9$ and, therefore, the scope for their applications. A bilayer FET with a local gate inverting the sign of charge carriers should yield much higher on-off ratios.

OUTLOOK

We have shown that the recently found two carbon allotropes provide an effective medium for mimicking relativistic quantum effects. On the one hand, this allows one to set up such exotic experiments as the one described by the Klein paradox and could be useful for analysis of other relevant QED problems. On the other hand, our work also shows that the known QED problems and their solutions can be applied to graphene to achieve better understanding of transport properties of this unique material that is interesting from the view point of both fundamental physics and applications.
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