The predicted secretome of *Lactobacillus plantarum* WCFS1 sheds light on interactions with its environment
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The predicted extracellular proteins of the bacterium *Lactobacillus plantarum* were analysed to gain insight into the mechanisms underlying interactions of this bacterium with its environment. Extracellular proteins play important roles in processes ranging from probiotic effects in the gastrointestinal tract to degradation of complex extracellular carbon sources such as those found in plant materials, and they have a primary role in the adaptation of a bacterium to changing environmental conditions. The functional annotation of extracellular proteins was improved using a wide variety of bioinformatics methods, including domain analysis and phylogenetic profiling. At least 12 proteins are predicted to be directly involved in adherence to host components such as collagen and mucin, and about 30 extracellular enzymes, mainly hydrolases and transglycosylases, might play a role in the degradation of substrates by *L. plantarum* to sustain its growth in different environmental niches. A comprehensive overview of all predicted extracellular proteins, their domains composition and their predicted function is provided through a database at http://www.cmbi.ru.nl/secretome, which could serve as a basis for targeted experimental studies into the function of extracellular proteins.

INTRODUCTION

*Lactobacillus plantarum* is a versatile and widespread microorganism found in environments ranging from vegetable, dairy and meat fermentations to the human gastrointestinal (GI) tract (Kleerebezem et al., 2003). Some strains are marketed as probiotics that are claimed to provide a health benefit for the consumer through interactions with the human GI system (for a review see de Vries et al., 2005). Attachment of probiotic bacteria to specific sites on intestinal mucosa cells might lead to competitive exclusion of pathogens and/or modulation of host cell responses. Proteins that are exposed on the bacterial cell surface are considered to play an important role in such interactions. After secretion, many extracellular proteins are attached covalently or non-covalently to components of the bacterial cell wall, such as peptidoglycan or teichoic acids. Others are anchored to the membrane through one or more membrane-spanning helices or covalent coupling to lipids. At the cell surface these proteins are involved in processes such as signal transduction, recognition, binding and degradation of complex nutrients (e.g. polysaccharides), nutrient uptake and adherence to host cells (Buck et al., 2005; Kawai et al., 2006; Roos & Jonsson, 2002). For example, the protein Msa of *L. plantarum* has been shown to be a mannose-specific adhesin (Pretzer et al., 2005).

Extracellular proteins are often large proteins consisting of many modules or domains (Bork, 1991). The modification and recombination of functional modules plays an important role in the evolution of protein function (Doolittle & Bork, 1993). A number of extracellular proteins contain so-called domain repeats – multiple copies of similar domains separated by 30 or fewer amino acids – which are often involved in binding (Boekhorst et al., 2006; Cabanes et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2000). In addition to domain repeats, some extracellular proteins contain regions of low complexity with a repetitive nature; examples are the SD repeat of the adhesion factor ClfB of *Staphylococcus aureus* (Ni Eidhin et al., 1998) and the PxxP regions of putative mucus-binding proteins (Boekhorst et al., 2006). Predicting the function of these proteins is often quite a challenge, because of their complex domain architecture and the relatively poor sequence conservation of extracellular proteins.

Abbreviations: GI, gastrointestinal; HMM, hidden Markov model.
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proteins across different species of bacteria. The identification and characterization of domains and repeats can play an important role in elucidating the function of extracellular proteins.

Here we describe and analyse the predicted extracellular proteins (or ‘secretome’) of L. plantarum to provide insight into possible mechanisms of interactions of this species with its variable environment. We present an improved prediction of function of these proteins by a combination of different approaches: (i) by analysing the occurrence of known domains, (ii) by identifying of new domains and repeats and (iii) by studying the phylogenetic distribution of homologues of the secreted proteins. The relevance of the predicted functions of the secretome proteins in relation to the lifestyle of L. plantarum is discussed. A database providing updated annotation of the secreted proteins of L. plantarum and the domain composition of these proteins can be found at http://www.cmbi.ru.nl/secretome.

METHODS

Sequence analysis. Sequence information was obtained from the NCBI bacterial genome database (ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/genomes/Bacteria/). Sequence similarity was detected by the Smith and Waterman method (Smith & Waterman, 1981) on a DeCypher hardware-accelerated system (Active Motif, Carlsbad, CA). Multiple-sequence alignments were made using Muscle (Edgar, 2004) and visualized with CLUSTALX (Thompson et al., 1997). Phylogenetic trees were reconstructed with PhyML (Guindon & Gascuel, 2003). Creation of hidden Markov models (HMMs) and scanning protein databases with HMMs was done with the HMMER package (Durbin et al., 2004). Operons were predicted as described by Wels et al. (2006).

Identification of extracellular proteins. Extracellular proteins were predicted on the basis of the presence of a Sec-pathway-dependent (lipoprotein) signal peptide. Class I signal peptides were detected using SignalP3 (Bendtsen et al., 2004). Lipoprotein signal peptides were detected by the consensus sequence L(A,S)G/C at the end of the N-terminal hydrophobic region (Sutcliffe & Russell, 1995). Proteins containing three or more transmembrane helices were identified with TMHMM2 (Krogh et al., 2001). Integral membrane proteins and excluding from further analysis. Information on potential sortase recognition sites for anchoring to peptidoglycan was taken from Boekhorst et al. (2005). Potential N-terminal transmembrane anchors were predicted by identifying proteins with a predicted signal peptide, but without a good cleavage site for the signal peptidase as determined using SignalP3 (Bendtsen et al., 2004). Potential C-terminal transmembrane anchors were identified based on the presence of a C-terminal transmembrane helix as predicted by TMHMM, flanked by positively charged residues and the absence of a known cleavage site (e.g. sortase recognition sites). Proteins secreted by other mechanisms (e.g. GG-type leader peptides) were not considered.

Identification of novel protein domains. Novel conserved domains were identified by scanning all parts of the putative secreted proteins of L. plantarum that do not overlap with known domains for sequence homology. Sequences from homologous regions were aligned and used to build HMMs to search for further occurrences and iterative improvement of HMMs.

Repeated domains within single proteins were identified using the MEME motif discovery tool (Bailey & Elkan, 1994). Conserved regions were aligned automatically followed by manual refinement.

Conserved gene clusters and phylogenetic distributions. Conserved clusters of genes predicted to encode extracellular proteins were analysed using String (von Mering et al., 2005), as was the phylogenetic distribution of homologues of L. plantarum secreted proteins. Only predicted functional associations with a score of at least 0.5 (defined by String to be medium–high confidence or better) were considered.

In addition, secreted proteins were grouped into sets with similar phylogenetic distribution patterns on the basis of the presence or absence of homologous proteins in 350 complete genome sequences. The complex domain architecture of many extracellular proteins makes it difficult to identify homologues of L. plantarum proteins in other species. Therefore, stringent criteria were used, i.e. only proteins with Smith and Waterman E-values below 1 × 10−6 and with at least 60% sequence overlap were considered to be homologues, in order to prevent proteins sharing only part of their domain composition from being detected as homologues. Sets with similar distribution patterns were chosen based on species subsets (e.g. Gram-positives, Lactobacillus-specific).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Extracellular proteins and anchor types

The L. plantarum genome is predicted to encode 223 extracellular proteins, of which the large majority have a motif or domain for attachment to the cell surface (Table 1). Fig. 1 illustrates the different types of extracellular proteins and their anchoring mechanisms. Forty-eight of these proteins contain an N-terminal lipobox, a common mechanism for secretion and membrane attachment of proteins through covalent binding of a conserved cysteine residue to a lipid (Sutcliffe & Harrington, 2002). In L. plantarum this anchor type is found mainly in substrate-binding proteins of ABC transporters. Twenty-seven proteins contain a C-terminal LPxTG recognition signal (Boekhorst et al., 2005) for covalent attachment to peptidoglycan by sortase, while 10 proteins are predicted to contain one or more copies of the LysM domain, which is thought to be involved in interaction with peptidoglycan (Bateman & Bycroft, 2000; Steen et al., 2003). Of the residual extracellular proteins, 71 contain a predicted N-terminal signal peptide that appears to lack a clearly identifiable signal peptidase cleavage site, suggesting their N-terminal anchoring in the membrane. Ten additional proteins are predicted to be anchored through a C-terminal transmembrane anchor. Finally, the remaining 57 proteins are predicted to be either unattached (i.e. secreted) or associated with the cell wall using other (unknown) mechanisms.

Extracellular proteins were divided into groups reflecting predicted protein function, based on both functional annotation and domain composition. Proteins were
classified as enzymes, transporters, regulators, phage-related proteins, adherence proteins (predicted to bind to extracellular macromolecules such as mucins and fibronectin) or unknown (Table 1). Additional listing and classification information of all L. plantarum genes predicted to belong to the secretome are provided as supplementary material with the online version of this paper (the supplementary tables can also be found under ‘summary’ at http://www.cmbi.ru.nl/secretome): Table S1 lists all proteins predicted to be extracellular, Tables S2–S13 list proteins classified by function and anchor type and Tables S14–S17 list identified Pfam domains. An L. plantarum secretome database with all predicted extracellular proteins, their classification, predicted function and domain composition can be found at http://www.cmbi.ru.nl/secretome.

An example of the multi-domain compositions of extracellular proteins is provided by the group of proteins containing a LysM domain for anchoring to peptidoglycan (Fig. 2). In addition to the LysM domain, all these proteins contain a domain predicted to have an enzymic function related to the biosynthesis or degradation of polysaccharides, indicating that different enzymes have a common method for anchoring to peptidoglycan.

**Enzymes.** Extracellular enzymes play a role in secretion and modification of proteins, in maintenance of the bacterial cell wall, and in the modification and degradation of extracellular compounds, allowing for the use of such molecules as a source of nutrients.

We identified 69 extracellular proteins of L. plantarum predicted to have an enzymic function (excluding phage proteins), and these were subdivided into functional groups (Table S3). The first group of 40 proteins contains enzymes with a known biological role in processes such as cell-wall

**Table 1. Functional classification and anchor types**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional class</th>
<th>LPxTG anchor</th>
<th>LysM domain</th>
<th>Lipobox</th>
<th>N-terminal membrane anchor</th>
<th>C-terminal membrane anchor</th>
<th>Unknown/secreted*</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adherence</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzyme</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phage</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulator</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transporter</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes both secreted proteins and proteins that are associated with the bacterial cell surface through other mechanisms.

**Fig. 1.** Extracellular anchored and secreted proteins of L. plantarum. Numbers in parentheses are the number of predicted proteins of the different types.
biosynthesis and turnover (30 members) and the protein secretion and modification machinery (5 members). These are highly conserved bacterial enzymes required for growth and protein secretion.

The second group of enzymes represents less well-described functions and is expected to include proteins playing a role in the adaptation of *L. plantarum* to specific environments such as the GI tract. In most cases we were able to predict only the general type of reaction catalysed, based on domain architecture or putative conserved catalytic residues, but the specific function they fulfil remains unclear. This group includes predicted metalloproteinases (4), hydrolases such as lipases or esterases (16), and transglycosylases (7). A polysaccharide deacetylase, encoded by a gene flanking a region predicted to encode prophage-related proteins, might be involved in plant-cell-wall degradation.

**Adherence proteins and binding domains.** Adhesion factors are generally considered to play an important role in host–microbe interactions, and adhesion factors identified in pathogenic bacteria have been shown to play a key role in virulence (Hammerschmidt, 2006; Navarre & Schneewind, 1999). Analogously, in probiotic bacteria they are expected to play a role in persistence and competitive exclusion of pathogens or other health-stimulatory interactions (Marco *et al.*, 2006). The domain composition of the *L. plantarum* proteins predicted to be involved in the adherence to extracellular macromolecules was analysed (Fig. 3). This group consists of three proteins containing domains predicted to be involved in adherence to collagen (Pfam: PF05737) (Symersky *et al.*, 1997), one protein with a chitin-binding domain (Pfam: PF03067) (Yuen *et al.*, 1990), one protein with a fibronectin-binding domain (Pfam: PF05833) (Christie *et al.*, 2002) and seven proteins with domains predicted to be involved in adherence to mucus (Fig. 3). These putative mucus-binding proteins contain either copies of the Pfam MucBP domain and/or copies of the larger MUB domain. While the MucBP domain is not only found in lactic acid bacteria, but also in *Listeria* species, the MUB domain appears to be unique for lactic acid bacteria (Boekhorst *et al.*, 2006). The collagen-binding domain is found in a wide range of firmicutes, while the fibronectin-binding and chitin-binding domains are present in proteins from both eukaryotes and prokaryotes. Of the 12 proteins identified as putative adhesion factors, ten proteins contain an LPxTG-like peptidoglycan anchor. One of these (lp_1229; msa) has been experimentally determined to encode a mannose-specific adhesin (Pretzer *et al.*, 2005), which is proposed to fulfil a
key role in reducing pathogenic efficacy of *Escherichia coli* strains through a competitive exclusion mechanism (Adlerberth *et al.*, 1996; Pretzer *et al.*, 2005).

In addition to these putative adhesins, other proteins of *L. plantarum* contain binding domains that are typical for bacterial extracellular proteins (as described in Pfam), such as bacterial immunoglobulin-like domains (Ig-like, PKD), phospholipid-binding domain (F5/8 type C), and also several conserved domains of unknown function (Table S15, Table S17).

**Novel domains**

In addition to scanning secreted proteins with models from the Pfam database describing known domains, we identified other putative functional regions by scanning for conserved sequence segments that do not overlap with one or more of the known domains. This type of analysis can provide leads for the identification of novel conserved domains, which could enable targeted experimental studies to evaluate their possible function(s). Domains of interest could include those that contain putative conserved catalytic-site-like residues or specific binding pockets that might be involved in the recognition, degradation and/or modification of extracellular substrates.

We identified ten putative domains not yet described in the Pfam database; of these, the four domains called WxL1, WxL2, CSH and WY are present only once per protein (discussed in more detail later), while the other six are repeated domains. Table 2 provides an overview of these putative domains.

One of the repeated domains, the so-called MUB domain, has been discussed in one of our previous studies (Boekhorst *et al.*, 2006) and is present in four *L. plantarum* proteins (Fig. 3). The other five repeated domains, labelled repeat_1 to repeat_5 and ranging in size from 20 to 60 amino acids, represent novel repeated domains. Four of these domains (repeat_1 to repeat_4) are present in single proteins encoded by the *L. plantarum* genome, while the fifth
domain (repeat_5), approximately 50 amino acids in length, is found in two to eight copies in five _L. plantarum_ proteins. A multiple sequence alignment of repeat_5 (see supplementary Fig. S1, available with the online version of this paper) was used to build an HMM model for this protein element, which was used in comparison to models of structurally related proteins from the SUPERFAMILY database. These analyses revealed that repeat_5 may have an L-domain-like fold. This fold class contains domains involved in protein–protein interactions such as the internalin leucine-rich repeat regions known from _Listeria monocytogenes_. Internalins are known to play a role in host–microbe interactions (Gaillard et al., 1991), suggesting that the _L. plantarum_ proteins in which repeat_5 was identified might have a similar function.

The WY domain. Four _L. plantarum_ proteins contain a C-terminal domain of approximately 60 amino acids characterized by conserved tryptophan and tyrosine residues. Experimental studies in _Lactobacillus gasseri_ and _Lactobacillus johnsonii_ suggest that proteins containing this domain are involved in aggregation and/or maintenance of cell shape (Jankovic et al., 2003; Ventura et al., 2002). Comparison of an HMM based on the multiple-sequence alignments of the four WY domains of _L. plantarum_ with domains from the Pfam database revealed 17 WY-domain-containing proteins that are encoded by the genomes of lactobacilli, streptococci and enterococci (_Lactobacillus plantarum_, _Lactobacillus acidophilus_, _Lactobacillus johnsonii_, _Lactobacillus sakei_, _Streptococcus pneumoniae_, _Streptococcus agalactiae_, _Streptococcus thermophilus_, _Streptococcus mutans_ and _Enterococcus faecalis_).

The cell-surface hydrolase (CSH) domain. A domain of approximately 250 amino acids was found in five _L. plantarum_ proteins and was designated the cell-surface hydrolase (CSH) domain, based on its sequence similarity with a range of Pfam domains belonging to the clan of alpha/beta hydrolase-fold families. Multiple-sequence alignment of the _L. plantarum_ CSH-containing proteins (Fig. S3) confirmed the presence and relative spacing of the conserved Ser, Asp and His residues, as well as the conserved GxSxG motif, that are characteristic for the alpha/beta hydrolase-fold family (Nardin & Dijkstra, 1999). These findings suggest that these _L. plantarum_ proteins act as cell-surface hydrolases. Nevertheless, the exact function of the postulated hydrolases remains to be established since the alpha/beta hydrolase-fold family includes peptidases, lipases, esterases and dehalogenases. Another distinct set of eight homologous proteins of _L. plantarum_ also have the characteristics of alpha/beta hydrolases, but differ in sequence alignment from the CSH domains, and are classified as members of the Pfam DUF915 family.

WxL domains. The domains WxL1 and WxL2 are found in surface proteins encoded in conserved gene clusters of _L. plantarum_ proteins.

### Table 2. Novel domains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain name</th>
<th>Predicted function*</th>
<th>ORFs predicted to contain the domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MUB</td>
<td>Mucus binding</td>
<td>lp_1229, lp_3114, lp_3059, lp_1643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat_1</td>
<td>Domain of unknown function</td>
<td>lp_0800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat_2</td>
<td>Domain of unknown function</td>
<td>lp_2145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat_3</td>
<td>Domain of unknown function</td>
<td>lp_2925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat_4</td>
<td>Domain of unknown function</td>
<td>lp_3001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat_5</td>
<td>Domain of unknown function</td>
<td>lp_2796, lp_2795, lp_3117, lp_3075, lp_0800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WxL1</td>
<td>Proteins containing this domain are encoded in conserved gene clusters predicted to be involved in carbon source acquisition</td>
<td>lp_3679, lp_3452, lp_3453, lp_3412, lp_3414, lp_1446, lp_3073, lp_1450, lp_2175, lp_1449, lp_2978, lp_3116, lp_3067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WxL2</td>
<td>Proteins containing this domain are encoded in conserved gene clusters predicted to be involved in carbon source acquisition</td>
<td>lp_3450, lp_3064, lp_3676, lp_3075, lp_0297, lp_2173, lp_3117, lp_2975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSH</td>
<td>Hydrolase</td>
<td>lp_2620, lp_1124, lp_3393, lp_0461, lp_3265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WY</td>
<td>Transglycosylase</td>
<td>lp_0304, lp_3050, lp_2845, lp_2847</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The domains and their predicted functions are described in detail in the main text.
extracellular proteins. The names are based on two conserved WxL motifs present in both domains (Chaillou et al., 2005; Kleerebezem et al., 2003). The WxL family was divided into two subfamilies, WxL1 and WxL2, on the basis of sequence characteristics of the domains and the proteins containing the domain. The proteins encoded in the conserved clusters are hypothesized to form an extracellular enzyme complex functioning in carbon source acquisition (Siezen et al., 2006). The *L. plantarum* genome encodes nine of these conserved clusters encoding a total of 13 and eight surface proteins with the WxL1 and WxL2 domains, respectively. The WxL domain and this conserved gene cluster are described in more detail by Siezen et al. (2006).

**Low-complexity repeat regions**

We identified 16 extracellular proteins encoded in the *L. plantarum* genome with low-complexity repeat regions of at least 15 residues (Table 3). Five proteins (lp_0197, lp_2486, lp_2796, lp_3059 and lp_3127) contain a low-complexity region of at least 50 residues separating a putative LPxTG-type peptidoglycan anchor from the rest of the protein, suggesting that the regions act as spacers that presumably position the functional domains of the protein outside the peptidoglycan layer. Four of these proteins contain predicted mucus-binding or collagen-binding domains (Fig. 3).

The *L. plantarum* genome encodes an unusually large protein (lp_1303a) of over 3300 residues with a Ser-Asp repeat of 1600 residues. In the ClfB protein of *S. aureus*, a long Ser-Asp repeat has been shown to play a role in the adherence of this bacterium to fibrinogen (Hartford et al., 1997). The Ser-Asp region in ClfB is over 300 residues in size and separates the fibronectin-binding domain from its C-terminal peptidoglycan anchor; it probably functions as a spacer (Hartford et al., 1997). In lp_1303a the repeat separates a C-terminal transmembrane anchor from a bacterial Ig-like domain, which is found in a variety of bacterial adhesion proteins (Pfam: PF02368).

**Chromosomal location**

Genes encoding putative extracellular proteins are not distributed evenly on the *L. plantarum* chromosome (Kleerebezem et al., 2003). The genome contains two so-called lifestyle adaptation islands: regions thought to encode proteins playing a role in the adaptation of *L. plantarum* to its particular habitat and lifestyle. The most prominent lifestyle adaptation island (3080–3260 kb) encodes almost exclusively proteins for sugar transport, metabolism and regulation. The second region (2600–3000 kb) encodes a relatively high number of extracellular proteins, including six of the nine conserved cell-surface clusters (Siezen et al., 2006). Although this second lifestyle island recognized in the *L. plantarum* genome does not display the exclusivity of encoded functions as is found in the sugar-related chromosomal island, it appears to be significantly enriched in genes encoding extracellular functions. This suggests that the adaptation of *L. plantarum* to its environment is focused on two important processes: (i) direct interaction with the environment through extracellularly exposed functions and (ii) adaptation of its carbohydrate metabolism to the available carbon sources.

### Table 3. Low-complexity repeat regions

Only regions larger than 14 amino acids are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORF</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Unit size</th>
<th>Repeat unit*</th>
<th>Repeat number</th>
<th>Mismatches†</th>
<th>LPxTG anchor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lp_0197</td>
<td>58–82</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lp_0197</td>
<td>798–911</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>PSE</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0/2/2</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lp_0374</td>
<td>86–285</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>KK..</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0/17/..</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lp_0689</td>
<td>113–152</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>S..AA.S.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0/1/1/1/1</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lp_1303a</td>
<td>1552–3148</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lp_2162</td>
<td>165–220</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>A.S..S.S</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0/1/2/1/2/1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lp_2486</td>
<td>736–787</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>TTAP</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0/4/0/0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lp_2578</td>
<td>568–582</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>PG.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lp_2588</td>
<td>296–455</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>EKPG.TEP</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0/3/1/1/1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lp_2796</td>
<td>825–905</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>PE.</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0/7/1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lp_2847</td>
<td>257–280</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>S.T.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0/1/1</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lp_2925</td>
<td>83–106</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>T.A.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0/2/1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lp_2958</td>
<td>325–459</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>SSS..</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0/2/9/1</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lp_3014</td>
<td>108–122</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>T.S</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lp_3059</td>
<td>1175–1252</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P.QPE.</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0/2/2/2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lp_3093</td>
<td>165–188</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>A.S.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0/2/1</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lp_3127</td>
<td>1040–1097</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Dots indicate variable positions. Only repeat units containing 50% or less variable positions are shown.
†Numbers indicate the number of repeat units with a mismatch at specific positions. Positions are separated by a solidus.
Homologues of the *L. plantarum* extracellular proteins were sought in all bacteria for which the complete genome sequence is available. The identified homologues were divided into sets with similar phylogenetic distributions (Table S18). The *L. plantarum* genome encodes 97 extracellular proteins which appear specific for this species, to date. Four of these proteins (lp_0197, lp_1643, lp_3059 and lp_3114) are predicted to be involved in adherence (Fig. 3), 21 are predicted to have an enzymic function, three are phage-related, while the remaining 69 proteins do not have a predicted function. In contrast, this analysis identified a well-defined set of extracellular proteins with a similar distribution in *Lactococcus lactis*, *Listeria* species, *Lactobacillus* species, *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Bacillus cereus*, all encoded in conserved gene clusters for extracellular proteins (Siezen et al., 2006).

Some of the domains identified in extracellular proteins of *L. plantarum* are not found in *L. acidophilus* and *L. johnsonii*, both of which are found predominantly in the GI tract (Altermann et al., 2005; Pridmore et al., 2004). Striking examples are the collagen-binding domain (Pfam: PF05737) and the related Cna_B domain (Pfam: PF05738), present in three putative adhesion proteins of *L. plantarum*. Despite their absence in *L. acidophilus* and *L. johnsonii*, the phylogenetic distribution pattern of these domains clearly links them to the GI tract: both domains are found in proteins of bacteria linked to the GI tract, such as *Clostridium perfringens*, *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Enterococcus faecalis*. Apparently, different species of lactobacilli use different mechanisms for adherence to host tissue.

Comparative genome hybridization shows that 8% of all *L. plantarum* WCFS1 genes are predicted to be missing in one or more other strains of *L. plantarum* (Molenaar et al., 2005). For the proteins predicted to be extracellular, this is reduced to 5% (Douwe Molenaar, personal communication). The relatively high level of conservation of this group of proteins among different strains supports their important role in the capacity of *L. plantarum* to adapt to and interact with its environment.

### Concluding remarks

The extracellular proteins of a bacterium play an essential role in its interaction with its environment. We have performed an extensive bioinformatics analysis of all proteins of *L. plantarum* predicted to be extracellular. This analysis has led to the improvement of function prediction of 36 of these putative extracellular proteins compared to the annotation at the time of publication of the *L. plantarum* genome (Table S19). In addition, for a vast number of extracellular proteins of *L. plantarum*, information on domain composition and phylogenetic distribution could be added to the genome annotation database. The updated annotation and refined domain analyses facilitate and lead further efforts to functionally characterize these proteins, thereby contributing to a better understanding of the interaction of *L. plantarum* with its various habitats. All data on the extracellular proteins described in this report can be accessed through the *Lactobacillus plantarum* secretome database at http://www.cmbi.ru.nl/secretome.
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