Conserved nucleotides in an RNA essential for hepatitis B virus replication show distinct mobility patterns
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ABSTRACT

The number of regulatory RNAs with identified non-canonical structures is increasing, and structural transitions often play a role in their biological function. This stimulates interest in internal motions of RNA, which can underlie structural transitions. Heteronuclear NMR relaxation measurements, which are commonly used to study internal motion, only report on local motions of few sites within the molecule. Here we have studied a 27-nt segment of the human hepatitis B virus (HBV) pregenomic RNA, which is essential for viral replication. We combined heteronuclear relaxation with the new off-resonance ROESY technique, which reports on internal motions of H,H contacts. Using off-resonance ROESY, we could for the first time detect motion of through-space H,H contacts, such as in intra-residue base-ribose contacts or inter-nucleotide contacts, both essential for NMR structure determination. Motions in non-canonical structure elements were found primarily on the sub-nanosecond timescale. Different patterns of mobility were observed among several mobile nucleotides. The most mobile nucleotides are highly conserved among different HBV strains, suggesting that their mobility patterns may be necessary for the RNA’s biological function.

INTRODUCTION

The functions of regulatory RNAs are often mediated by striking structural changes (1,2). These changes can either be induced by deformation of a rigid RNA target during binding (induced fit), or can be anticipated by inherent mobility of the RNA target, such that the structural ensemble of the free RNA samples the conformation of the bound state (‘conformational capture’) (3). Defining binding mechanisms between these limiting cases requires information on both structure and internal motions, which has been achieved in only a few cases (4–6).

The hepatitis B virus (HBV) is a DNA virus with a narrow host-range (7). It replicates via reverse transcription of an RNA intermediate. After transcription of the viral DNA by the host RNA polymerase II, the pregenomic RNA is transported into the cytoplasm and encapsidated into immature core particles together with HBV reverse transcriptase (RT). Encapsidation is a key step in HBV replication and is triggered by binding of viral RT to the encapsidation signal, epsilon, a conserved 60-nt bulged RNA structure located at the 5'-end of the RNA pregenome. A highly conserved part of epsilon is the 27-nt apical stem-loop, investigated in this work. After RT binding, a 4-nt DNA primer is synthesized from a bulge within epsilon (8,9). The RT–primer complex is then transferred to the 3'-end of the pregenome, and full-length (–)-DNA is synthesized.

We have previously determined the secondary structure (10) of the apical stem-loop of epsilon by NMR, and its 3D structure (11). The structure contains two helical stems separated by the bulged U23, and is capped by a pseudotriloop (Figure 1). In vivo, the apical loop is essential for viral replication, although its exact role has not been established yet. In agreement with its vital function for the virus, many nucleotides of the apical stem-loop (for example G13, U14, C16 and U23) are completely conserved among 1200 sequenced strains of human HBV (10). The apical stem-loop of the epsilon structure of duck HBV opens upon forming a priming-competent complex with the RT (8), but it is not known if the apical stem-loop of human HBV undergoes a similar structural change during viral replication. During the structure determination of the apical loop of human HBV, we observed that...
several nucleotides in the pseudo-triloop (Figure 1) of the apical stem-loop showed few NOE contacts and systematically reduced C–H residual dipolar couplings compared to the stem nucleotides. This suggested that the nucleotides in the pseudo-triloop might show internal motions in the vicinity of their respective average positions in the molecular structure. Conservation of nucleotides in the stem and the pseudo-triloop together with the suspected internal motions raised the question of whether these motions might play a role in the biological function (12) of this RNA structure.

Dedicated NMR experiments are the best way of deriving information on the internal motions of biomacromolecules at atomic resolution (13). The most widely applied experiments determine relaxation rates of the heteronuclei $^{13}$N in N–H or $^{13}$C in C–H groups. $^{15}$N is used more commonly for proteins, but does not yield much information on the internal motions of nucleic acids, because they contain few N–H groups. $^{13}$C could in principle report on motion at many more sites, but is technically demanding (14). Recently, relaxation experiments of the hydrogen isotope deuterium have also been proposed (15). All heteronuclear relaxation experiments have the limitation that they only give information on local motion of bond vectors. Because of these limitations, there have been only a few comprehensive relaxation studies on RNA to date (4,14–18).

We have shown that the off-resonance ROESY experiment can be used to map mobility of a large number of H,H contacts in proteins (19), by analyzing cross peaks of all sufficiently short H,H contacts. Thus, compared to heteronuclear relaxation experiments, many more contacts in a molecule can be analyzed, including long-range contacts such as tertiary structure interactions. In off-resonance ROESY, the integrals of cross peaks between rigid H,H contacts become zero at an angle $\theta_{\text{max}}$, while cross peaks that are influenced by internal motion show reduced $\theta$ values. Thus, the difference $\Delta\theta = \theta - \theta_{\text{max}}$ represents a measure of motional amplitude.

Here, we have combined $^{13}$C relaxation and off-resonance ROESY to investigate the internal motion of the apical stem-loop RNA of human HBV. For the first time, we have applied off-resonance ROESY to RNA and have thus been able to analyze the motions of non-covalent contacts in nucleic acids, as we will demonstrate by data on H1–H4', base-ribose, and inter-nucleotide contacts. We investigated whether the apical loop shows motions that might predispose it to structural changes required for its biological function.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample preparation**

RNA samples of the apical stem-loop were synthesized as previously described (20). Sample concentration was 0.5 mM (unlabeled) and 0.15 mM ($^{13}$C-labeled), in D$_2$O containing 100 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA and DSS as chemical shift standard, with pH 7. $^{13}$C-labeled samples used for $^{13}$C relaxation measurements were either uniformly labeled in the uridines, or uniformly labeled in cytidines and labeled in the ribose for guanosines.

**NMR**

All NMR measurements were performed at 25°C on a DRX600 spectrometer equipped with a $^1$H, $^{15}$N, $^{13}$C cryoprobe with a shielded $z$ gradient or a conventional $^1$H, $^{15}$N, $^{13}$C TXI probe. NMR data were processed using XWINNMR version 2 and Topspin 2.0 (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany), and analyzed using Sparky 3.112 (21). Peak integrals were used to fit the relaxation data as described elsewhere (14,22).

$^{13}$C relaxation

For each sample, we carried out the following experiments using pulse sequences for $^{13}$C relaxation measurements with gradient sensitivity enhancement (23), and shared-time evolution (24) with a maximum $t_1$ of ~4 ms to minimize $^{13}$C–$^{13}$C coupling evolution. Carbon $T_1$ relaxation times were measured as described (13), using a 2.2 s relaxation delay, 44 complex $t_1$ points and 96 scans per free induction decay (FID). Ten $T_1$ delays up to 900 ms were sampled in a total experiment time of 2.5 days. Carbon $T_{1\rho}$ relaxation times were measured (25,26), using a relaxation delay of 2.4 s, 64 scans per FID and

![Figure 1. Secondary structure of the apical loop of epsilon of human HBV. The 27-nt loop contains a pseudo-triloop with the sequence C11U12G13U14G15C16 with a C11:G15 closing base pair and with C16 being bulged out. Between the two helical stems, U23 is not base paired and forms a bulge.](image-url)
respectively. It was recently shown that the influence of 
the C6, C5 and C1' with a field strength of 1700 Hz, and
on the other ribose carbons with a field strength of 505 Hz.
Twelve spectra with \( T_{1P} \) delays of up to 55 ms were
recorded, and the duration of the experiment was 18 h for
each nucleus. Raw \( T_{1P} \) values were corrected for resonance
offset according to standard procedures (14). In all \( T_{1P} \)
experiments, the energy dissipated in the sample was kept
constant by a suitable spin lock applied at the beginning of
the pulse sequence. \(^{13}\)C–\(^{13}\)C NOE spectra, with and
without \(^1\)H saturation, were recorded interleaved in a
total time of 34 h, with the delay for relaxation or \(^1\)H
saturation set to 4.5 s.

ModelFree analysis

The \(^{13}\)C spin relaxation parameters \( T_1 \), \( T_2 \) (calculated
from \( T_{1P} \)) and heteronuclear \(^{13}\)C–\(^1\)H NOE were analyzed
for the two \(^{13}\)C-labeled samples using the program
ModelFree 4.15 (27,28). Fitting errors were in the range
of 2% for \( T_1 \) and \( T_{1P} \). Errors for \(^{13}\)C–\(^1\)H NOE were
\( \sim 5\% \), as estimated from duplicate measurements.
\(^{13}\)C chemical shift anisotropies (CSAs) for ribose carbons
(C1' = 45.5 p.p.m., C2' = 21.6 p.p.m., C3' = 58.3 p.p.m.,
C4' = 61.8 p.p.m.) and C5 (144 p.p.m.) were taken
from Steuer and Grant (29), and for C6 (208 p.p.m.)
from Ying et al. (30), and were used without correction
for asymmetry of the CSA tensor or its non-colinearity
with the C–H bond. The \(^1\)H–\(^{13}\)C bond length was set
at 1.09 Å for ribose C–H and 1.104 Å for base C–H,
respectively. It was recently shown that the influence of
\(^{13}\)C–\(^{13}\)C interactions on \(^{13}\)C relaxation parameters is
negligible for RNA molecules of up to at least 30 nt
(14,16,31), therefore the C–H groups in the apical loop
can be treated as isolated two-spin systems. For data
analysis, a rotational correlation time (\( \tau_c \)) was estimated
by HydroNMR 5a (32), based on a representative NMR
structure (11). This \( \tau_c \) served as starting value to derive
an axially symmetric diffusion model in ModelFree, based
on the ribose \(^{13}\)C relaxation data. Base carbons were
excluded from the initial fit because of their biased
orientation relative to the diffusion tensor. Using this
diffusion model, all \(^{13}\)C data were then analyzed with
ModelFree; selection of models of internal motion
was performed using \( F \)-statistical testing and the AIC—
penalty model \( \text{AIC} = \chi^2 + 2k \), where \( k \) is the number
of degrees of freedom of each model (33). Errors derived
from the fitting of the relaxation data were used in
ModelFree. The ‘wobbling-in-a-cone’ model (34) was used
to illustrate motion in the structure of the apical loop.

Off-resonance ROESY

A series of off-resonance ROESY experiments was
recorded using the unlabeled RNA sample. The spin-
lock field strength was 6800 Hz, the mixing time 120 ms,
and the relaxation delay 3.8 s. Nine spectra with spin
lock angles spaced equally between 26° and 50° were
recorded, using 32 scans per FID and 200 complex \( j_t \)
points. The total experiment time was 90 h. The data
were processed and analyzed as described previously (19).

The dependence of \( \Delta \theta^0 \) on the order parameter \( S_{HI} \) of H,H
contacts and the correlation time of internal motion, \( \tau_e \),
was obtained from simulations (Figure S3). As discussed
(19), \( S_{HI} \) values obtained in this way represent upper limits.
Because \( \theta^0 \) values are affected by experimental offsets (19),
a reference value of \( \theta^0 \) for rigid H,H contacts is needed.
To obtain this reference value, we assumed that for rigid
H5, H6 contacts in the stem (\( \theta^0 = 35.51 \pm 0.14° \)), \( S_{HI} \)
equaled \( S_{0} \) of C5–H and C6–H bonds (0.97 \pm 0.07),
excluding U5 (see Supplementary Data). This consensus
\( S_{HI} \) corresponds to \( \Delta \theta^0 = -0.04° \) (Figure S3), therefore
\( \theta^0_{\text{max}} \) (of ideally rigid contacts, \( S_{0} = 1 \)) equaled
35.55°. Statistical significance was calculated using
Student’s \( t \)-test for paired data and the correlation
option of the program KaleidaGraph 3.6.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In structure calculations on the apical loop of HBV
virus (11), structural variability was observed for several
nucleotides, which raised the question of whether these
nucleotides are mobile or whether structural information
was missing. We have addressed this question with off-
resonance ROESY and complementing \(^{13}\)C relaxation
measurements. Because it is difficult to quantitate timescales
of internal motion precisely using \(^{13}\)C relaxation, we
applied dedicated experiments to test for microsecond (35)
and millisecond motion. The results of these experiments
for both \(^{13}\)C-labeled samples are presented in
Supplementary Data (Figures S1 and S2). Microsecond
motion was only observed for C1’ of C11 (Figure S2),
and only C5 of C16 and U33 showed slight motion on the
millisecond timescale (Figure S1). Although these experiments
do not exhaustively probe all timescales longer than
nanoseconds, their results make it likely that most internal
motions of the apical loop lie on the picosecond to
nanosecond timescale, and are therefore well suited for
analysis by \(^{13}\)C relaxation and off-resonance ROESY.

Analysis of \(^{13}\)C relaxation data

\(^{13}\)C relaxation parameters \( T_1 \), \( T_{1P} \) and \(^{13}\)C–\(^1\)H NOE were
measured for the C5–H and C6–H groups of the bases
and all ribose carbons of the \(^{13}\)C-labeled C, G and U
nucleotides (Table S1). Representative \( T_1 \) relaxation data
are shown in Figure 2. The relaxation data were analyzed
by plotting and according to the Lipari-Szabo (36)
approach using the program ‘ModelFree’ (28). This
analysis resulted in a rotational diffusion anisotropy of
1.73 and an averaged rotational correlation time of 5.9 ns,
in reasonable agreement with the anisotropy of 2.3 and
averaged correlation time of 5.9 ns, estimated from
hydrodynamic calculations using HydroNMR (32). The
ModelFree results are also in good qualitative agreement
with an analysis of the relaxation data by inspection, and
mobility can in the present case be unequivocally detected
by ModelFree analysis or by direct inspection of relaxation
data. This indicates that the ModelFree analysis of the
relaxation data neglecting the asymmetry of the base
carbon CSA tensors and their non-colinearity with the
C–H bond is permissible in our case, although the
molecule is at the upper end of the molecular weight range for this approximation. In higher molecular weight systems, it has been demonstrated that mobility can still be detected by direct comparison of relaxation data (37).

Complete ‘ModelFree’ results are given in Table S1, and order parameters $S_C^2$, describing mobility of C–H bonds, are plotted as function of nucleotide sequence in Figure 3. According to this analysis, the stem nucleotides are rigid in the base and in the ribose moieties, with $S_C^2$ values of $0.97 \pm 0.07$ and $0.87 \pm 0.07$, respectively. The loop nucleotides U12, U14, C16 and the bulged U23 show strong motion, which is less pronounced in C1’ ($0.45 \leq S_C^2 \leq 0.76$), compared to the bases ($0.34 \leq S_C^2 \leq 0.67$). G13 is highly mobile at C1’ ($S_C^2 = 0.51$). The closing base pair C11:G15 of the pseudo-triloop is as rigid as the stem nucleotides, with $S_C^2$ of 0.85 or higher. For the majority of mobile C–H bonds, the internal motion was described by a model with a correlation time ($\tau_c$) of the order of 400 ps (Table S1).

Internal motion detected by off-resonance ROESY

The $\theta^0$ values observed in the apical stem-loop are given in Supplementary Data, Table S2. In total, 58 H,H contacts could be analyzed using one unlabeled RNA sample, while only the same number of C–H bonds could be analyzed using the two specially made $^{13}$C-labeled samples. A maximum value of $\theta^0 = 35.51 \pm 0.14^\circ$ was observed for base H5,H6 contacts in base pairs. We identify this value with rigid parts ($S_C^2 = 0.97$, see Materials and Methods section) of the molecule. The precision of $\theta^0$ measurements was ca. $0.2^\circ$, which was the mean difference in $\theta^0$ between symmetry-related H5,H6 cross peaks. Internal motion reduces $\theta^0$ values as a function of $\tau_c$ and $S_C^2$, where $S_C^2$ is the order parameter for H,H contacts (Figure S3). We therefore used $\Delta \theta^0$ values, as described in Materials and Methods section, as a measure of motion of H,H contacts. Simulations of $\Delta \theta^0$ as a function of $\tau_c$ and $S_C^2$ (Figure S3) show that off-resonance ROESY is most sensitive for detecting motion on a timescale of 150 ps, which is similar to the timescale of motions detected by $^{13}$C relaxation in the apical loop. Inspection of Figure S3 reveals that a reduction of $\theta^0$ by $\Delta \theta^0 = 0.2^\circ$ (the precision of $\theta^0$) corresponds to a minimal change of 0.1 in $S_C^2$, and we therefore estimate that a precision of 0.1 in $S_C^2$ can be achieved.

In the RNA stems, H,H contacts in ribose moieties and intra-nucleotide base-ribose contacts showed $\Delta \theta^0$ values of $-0.08 \pm 0.27^\circ$ and $-0.18 \pm 0.39^\circ$, respectively. These values are not significantly different from the value $\Delta \theta^0 = -0.04^\circ$ observed for the rigid H5,H6 contacts, indicating that these groups of H,H contacts are rigid in RNA helices. In contrast, inter-nucleotide base-ribose contacts between H1’ or H2’ and the base of the consecutive nucleotide in the stems showed significantly ($P = 0.04$, single-factor ANOVA test) more negative $\Delta \theta^0$ values of $-0.22 \pm 0.19^\circ$, corresponding to an $S_C^2$ of 0.83. Strongly negative $\Delta \theta^0$ values were observed for internucleotide contacts between G15H2’ and C17H6 ($\Delta \theta^0 = -0.6^\circ$) and G22H2’ and U23H6 ($\Delta \theta^0 = -0.86^\circ$), corresponding to $S_C^2$ of 0.67 and 0.6, respectively. Inter-nucleotide H,H contacts are used in NMR structure determination, and their motion must underlie structural transitions. Therefore the observation of motion of H,H contacts in secondary structure elements in RNA is important for understanding biological function and to improve structure calculations.

Results from $^{13}$C relaxation and off-resonance ROESY are compared in Figure 4. The correlation between observed $S_C^2$ and $\Delta \theta^0$ for all atom groups is highly significant ($P < 0.001$), and the same holds for separate correlations for base, ribose or base-ribose contacts. The correlation is also in agreement with a theoretical correlation (dashed line), but there are also strong differences in motion as detected by both techniques. These differences are not surprising, given that $^{13}$C relaxation and off-resonance ROESY are sensitive to...
motion on different timescales and around different axes. Furthermore, a larger amplitude of motion of H,H contacts compared to C–H bonds is to be expected, because more degrees of freedom can contribute to H,H motion.

While the correlation line in Figure 4 assumes that motion of an H,H contact depends on motion of one C–H bond only, more likely the motions of both C–H bonds and their correlation will affect H,H motion. Hence the nature of the motion determines its effect on motional parameters of H,H contacts and C–H bonds. If both C–H bonds are mobile, the H,H contact can be even more mobile than either C–H bond, as indicated by a data point below the correlation line (C16 H1',H4' and H1',H2' contacts in Figure 4). Mobile H,H contacts with no detectable C–H bond motion can occur for motions which reorient the H,H vector, but not the C–H bonds, such as a rotation around an axis parallel to both C–H bonds. The ribose of G15 may be such a case, because more degrees of freedom can contribute to H,H motion. Molecular dynamics simulations can be used to derive motional models that can explain the relaxation data.

Figure 4. Correlation of $S^2_C$ and $\Delta \phi$ for C–H groups and H,H contacts. Circles: C5–H or C6–H bonds and H5,H6 contacts; diamonds: C–H bonds and H,H contacts in riboses; triangles: base–ribose H,H contacts and C–H bonds involved. Filled symbols indicate H,H contacts for which $S^2_C$ is available for both C–H bonds. A theoretical dependence of $\Delta \phi$ on $S^2_C$ is shown as a dashed line. This correlation is based on the assumption that motion of a H,H contact is caused exclusively by motion of one C–H bond, and that $S^2_H$ equals $S^2_C$. Assuming that the motion has a $\tau_c$ at the sensitivity maximum of off-resonance ROESY, $\Delta \phi$ values corresponding to $S^2_C$ values were derived from Figure S3. Data points where $S^2_C$ and $S^2_H$ are available and which are discussed in text were connected by horizontal lines. These data points illustrate how correlation of H,H and C–H motion can cause deviations from the theoretical dependence.

Figure 5. Summary and comparison of internal motions in the apical loop, derived from $^{13}$C relaxation and off-resonance ROESY. $^{13}$C-derived order parameters (from Table S1) are displayed with open symbols. Order parameters from off-resonance ROESY (derived as in Figure 4 from $\phi$ values listed in Table S2) are displayed as filled symbols. Bars to the left represent the average and spread of order parameters of nucleotides in helical stems, open and filled bars denote $^{13}$C and off-resonance ROESY data, respectively. The bar for intra-nucleotide contacts represents $S^2_C$ of contacts between base and H1' or H2' atoms. On the right side, order parameters of individual nucleotides are presented, the nucleotides are color-coded as in Figure 1. Circles, diamonds and triangles represent contacts in the bases, riboses and ribose–base contacts, respectively.

Intra-nucleotide dynamics in RNA

To examine the motions of the mobile nucleotides, their relaxation data are displayed in the nucleotide structures (Figure 6). Using the ‘wobbling-in-a-cone’ model (34), we translated $S^2_C$ into opening angles, $\chi$, of the motions of

Intra-nucleotide dynamics in RNA

To examine the motions of the mobile nucleotides, their relaxation data are displayed in the nucleotide structures (Figure 6). Using the ‘wobbling-in-a-cone’ model (34), we translated $S^2_C$ into opening angles, $\chi$, of the motions of
C–H bonds. The cones are displayed in Figure 6 and the angles ζ are given in Table S1. For each H⋯H contact, the motional amplitude is displayed as a cylinder, where the diameter is proportional to the Δθ value. The rigid stem nucleotide U19 is shown for reference. In U14, C16 and U12, the H5,H6 contact is more rigid than the base C–H bonds, and the C1′–H bond is also more rigid than the base C–H bonds (see also Figure 5). This pattern of mobility suggests that a rotation around χ is a strong component of the motion affecting the base C–H bonds, because this rotation changes the orientation of the base C–H bonds, but not of the H5,H6 vectors. However, within this pattern there is variation. In U14, the H5,H6 contact is rigid in spite of strong mobility of C5–H and C6–H. As intermediate case, C16 and U12 show strong mobility in their H5,H6 contacts, but not as strong as their base C–H vectors. In C16, the mobility of the H5,H6 and H6,H1′ contacts instead closely matches the mobility of the C1′–H bond (Figure 5), suggesting that their motions might be correlated. Finally, in U23, base C–H bonds, the H5,H6 and an intranucleotide base-ribose contact all show similar, high mobility (Figure 6).

In summary, mobile nucleotides in the pseudo-triloop show strikingly variable patterns of motion. Among the base-paired nucleotides, G15 shows indications of motion in the ribose (Figure 5). Differences in the motions of ribose and base moieties might be due to the conformation of the phosphodiester backbone, which is determined by the overall fold of the molecule. It is therefore to be expected that motion of the ribose moieties reflects more global dynamics, in the case of the apical loop induced by the turn of the backbone, whereas motion of base moieties depends on their individual interactions, such as base pairing and stacking. In contrast, protein side chain motion can occur without backbone motion—but not vice versa (38). Molecular dynamics simulations can assess if the proposed components of motion exit. This evaluation is aided by the wealth of motional information accessible by the combination of off-resonance ROESY and 13C relaxation, and by their differing sensitivities for motional timescales.

In the ensemble of structures of the apical stem-loop, based on classical NMR restraints, U12 and G13 show all combinations of minor and major groove conformations, while U14 is restricted to the major groove side (11). C16 also shows structural variation. Thus, the structural variation U12, G13 and C16 agrees with the order parameters derived from relaxation data. The ensemble of structures shows a similar magnitude of structural variation for U14, but its base is restricted to the major groove side. This motional restriction of the base is reflected in the strong difference in motion experienced by its H5,H6 contact and base C–H bonds. This behavior is probably due to the strain in the pseudo-triloop around the sharp turn of the backbone between U14 and G15. The loop residue prior to the sharp turn, here U14, is generally found to be restricted in its motional freedom, keeping the base moiety on the major groove side. Note that the backbone must change direction over just three loop nucleotides and is stretched to bridge the gap of ca. 17 Å between the two strands, forcing the ribose of U14 into an 2′ endo conformation (39,40). In the structure calculation, available residual dipolar couplings of the loop residues were not used, because of their suspected motion, which was confirmed here. At the same time, the distinct patterns of motional amplitudes observed in the loop nucleotides and U23 (Figures 5 and 6) suggest that the underlying motions differ between these nucleotides. To describe the motions, structural data and mobility data will be combined to derive a dynamic structural ensemble, a description of the molecular structure and its motions (41).

Implications for the apical loop binding to the RT

Nucleotides G13, U14, C16 and U23 are completely conserved among different HBV strains (10). Although there is a controversy as to which step the apical loop is essential for, it is important for virus replication by forming a priming-competent complex (42). It has been proposed that the apical stem-loop binds to the viral RT during formation of the priming-competent complex (43), or to a yet unidentified host factor (9). While nucleotides in well-defined structural elements may be conserved to maintain the structure, the mobile nucleotides cannot be conserved for this reason. Thus, conservation of mobile nucleotides more directly indicates a role for biological...
function. We hypothesize that mobile nucleotides are completely conserved because they may adopt well-defined conformations upon binding. If this explanation holds, mobile non-paired nucleotides would contribute to the energetics of binding in two ways. First, they would make the free apical loop less stable than would be possible for RNAs without bulged nucleotides. For example, the stable GNRA tetraloop in the bacteriophage λ BoxB RNA (44) maintains its structural properties after binding to the anti-termination protein. Secondly, these nucleotides would stabilize the bound state via intermolecular contacts that cause them to be conserved. Thus, motion of conserved nucleotides would prepare the apical loop for binding via a ‘conformational capture’ mechanism (3). Testing of this hypothesis has to await availability of structural information on the functional complex of the apical stem-loop.

CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

We have demonstrated that combining 13C relaxation and off-resonance ROESY gives a uniquely detailed description of the internal motions of RNA (Figures 4–6). Off-resonance ROESY does not require isotope labeling, and can therefore be used at an early stage of a structure determination project to detect motion. Results from off-resonance ROESY can then guide synthesis of 13C-labeled samples and further structure determination steps.

The observation of distinct patterns of mobility among conserved nucleotides will be investigated further using molecular dynamics. The combination of 13C relaxation and off-resonance ROESY is particularly suited for this purpose, because both techniques are sensitive for motion around different axes and so their combination may allow identification of correlated motions.

The apical loop of HBV epsilon is a new example of regulatory RNAs (4,5,45) that have been found to contain mobile nucleotides that are highly conserved. Future studies of the apical loop in complex with its interaction partner could show if motion of the apical loop is necessary for the biological function, that is, if the interaction occurs via ‘conformational capture’. Disruption of the interaction between the epsilon HBV RNA and the viral RT is a promising strategy against HBV infection. Drug development along this strategy must take internal motion into account.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.
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