Study of $Z\gamma$ events and limits on anomalous $ZZ\gamma$ and $Z\gamma\gamma$ couplings in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV

We present a measurement of the $Z\gamma$ production cross section and limits on anomalous $ZZ\gamma$ and $Z\gamma\gamma$ couplings for form-factor scales of $\Lambda = 750$ and 1000 GeV. The measurement is based on 138 (152) candidate events in the $ee\gamma$ ($e^+e^-$) final state using 320 (290) pb$^{-1}$ of $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV. The 95% C.L. limits on real and imaginary parts of individual anomalous couplings are $|h_{10,30}| < 0.23$, $|h_{10,40}| < 0.020$, $|h_{10,30}| < 0.23$, and $|h_{10,40}| < 0.019$ for $\Lambda = 1000$ GeV.
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Studies of events containing pairs of vector bosons provide important tests of the standard model (SM) of electroweak interactions. In the SM, the trilinear gauge couplings of the $Z$ boson to the photon are zero; therefore, photons do not interact with $Z$ bosons at lowest order. Evidence for such an interaction would indicate new physics [1, 2].

Studies of $Z$ boson and photon production have been...
made by the CDF [3] and DØ [4] collaborations using \( p\bar{p} \) collisions, and by the DELPHI [5], L3 [6], and OPAL [7] collaborations using \( e^+e^- \) collisions. We present a new study of \( Z\gamma \) production using \( Z \) boson decays to \( e^+e^- \) and \( \mu^+\mu^- \), where the dilepton system can be produced by either an on-shell \( Z \) boson, or a virtual \( Z \) boson or \( \gamma \) (the Drell-Yan process). The dilepton plus photon final state, \( e^+e^-\gamma \), can be produced in the SM through either of two processes. The photon may be emitted through initial state radiation (ISR) from one of the partons in the \( p \) or \( \bar{p} \), or produced as final state radiation (FSR) from one of the final state leptons. We collectively refer to these processes as \( Z\gamma \) production.

The SM \( Z\gamma \) processes produce photons with a rapidly falling transverse energy, \( E_T^\gamma \). In contrast, anomalous \( ZZ\gamma \) and \( Z\gamma\gamma \) couplings, which appear in extensions of the SM, can cause production of photons with high \( E_T^\gamma \) and can increase the \( \ell^+\ell^-\gamma \) cross section compared to the SM prediction. Below we describe a search for this anomalous production within the framework of Ref. [8]. This formalism assumes only that the \( ZV\gamma \) (\( V = Z, \gamma \)) couplings are Lorentz- and gauge-invariant. The most general \( ZV\gamma \) coupling is parameterized by two CP-violating (\( h_1^V \) and \( h_2^V \)) and two CP-conserving (\( h_3^V \) and \( h_4^V \)) complex coupling parameters. Partial wave unitarity is ensured at high energies by using a form-factor ansatz \( h_i^V = h_{0i}^V/(1 + \Lambda^2)\eta_i \) (\( i = 1,\ldots,4 \)), where \( \sqrt{\hat{s}} \) is the parton center-of-mass energy, \( \Lambda \) is the form-factor scale, and \( \eta_i \) is the form factor power. We set the form factor powers \( n_1 = n_3 = 3 \) and \( n_2 = n_4 = 4 \), in accordance with [8].

The data are collected by the DØ Run II detector at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider with \( pp \) center-of-mass energy \( \sqrt{s} = 1.96 \) TeV between April 2002 and June 2004. The integrated luminosities used for this analysis are 320 pb\(^{-1} \) for the electron final state and 290 pb\(^{-1} \) for the muon final state.

The DØ detector [9] consists of an inner tracker, surrounded by liquid-argon/uranium calorimeters, and a muon spectrometer. The detector sub-systems provide measurements over the full range of azimuthal angle \( \phi \) and over different, overlapping regions of detector pseudorapidity \( \eta \). The inner tracker consists of a silicon microstrip tracker (SMT) and a central fiber tracker (CFT), both located within a 2 T superconducting solenoidal magnet. The CFT and the SMT have coverage out to \( |\eta| \leq 1.8 \) and \( |\eta| \approx 3.0 \), respectively. The calorimeter is divided into a central calorimeter (CC) \( |\eta| < 1.1 \) and two end calorimeters (EC) housed in separate cryostats which extend coverage to \( |\eta| \approx 4 \). The calorimeters are longitudinally segmented into electromagnetic (EM) and hadronic sections. The muon system lies outside the calorimeters and consists of tracking detectors, scintillation trigger counters, and a 1.8 T toroid magnet. It has coverage up to \( |\eta| \approx 2.0 \). Luminosity is measured using plastic scintillator arrays located in front of the EC cryostats, covering \( 2.7 < |\eta| < 4.4 \).

The data are collected with a three-level trigger system (L1, L2, and L3). We require that the events in the electron decay channel satisfy one of the high-\( E_T \) single electron triggers, while the events in the muon decay channel must fire one of the high-\( p_T \) single or dimuon triggers. The single electron triggers require a significant amount of energy deposited in the EM section of the calorimeter at L1. At L3, additional requirements are imposed on the fraction of energy deposited in the EM calorimeter and the shape of the energy deposition. The efficiency of the electron trigger requirement is about 80\% for an electron with \( E_T \approx 25 \) GeV and more than 98\% for \( E_T > 30 \) GeV. The muon trigger requires hits in the muon system scintillator at L1, and in portions of the data set also requires spatially-matched hits in the muon tracking detectors. At L2, muon track segments are reconstructed and \( p_T \) requirements are imposed. At L3, some of the triggers used in this analysis require muon candidate events to have a high-\( p_T \) track reconstructed in the inner tracker. The logical OR of single and dimuon triggers has an efficiency of 92\% for muons from \( Z \) boson decay.

Electrons are reconstructed as clusters of energy in the calorimeter. These clusters are required to have 90\% of their energy deposited in the EM calorimeter (in either the central calorimeter \( |\eta| < 1.1 \), or the end calorimeter \( 1.5 < |\eta| < 2.5 \)). We require that the longitudinal and transverse shower shape of the cluster is consistent with that expected from an electron, and that the cluster is isolated from other activity in the calorimeter. Electron candidates in the central calorimeter are required to have spatially matched tracks. At least one electron candidate must be identified in the CC region and at least one is required to have \( p_T > 25 \) GeV/c. Muons are identified by a central track matched to segments in the muon system. The muon must be within \( |\eta| < 2.0 \). To reduce potential contamination from hadronic \( b\bar{b} \) events, we impose isolation requirements on the muon candidates in both the calorimeter and central tracker. To remove the background from cosmic ray muons, muon tracks must originate from the beam region and not be back-to-back. \( Z \) boson candidates are reconstructed by requiring a pair of high-\( p_T \) (\( p_T > 15 \) GeV/c) electrons or muons that form an invariant mass above 30 GeV/c\(^2 \).

In addition to a \( Z \) boson candidate, we require events to have a photon candidate, with a separation from both of the leptons of \( \Delta R = \sqrt{\Delta \phi^2 + (\Delta \eta)^2} > 0.7 \) and with \( E_T^\gamma > 8 \) GeV. Photons are reconstructed as energy clusters in the central calorimeter. The transverse shower shape of the cluster must be consistent with that expected from a photon. We also require a photon candidate to deposit at least 90\% of its energy in the EM calorimeter and to be isolated from other activity in the calorimeter and the tracker.

Muon and electron detection efficiencies for the above
requirements are determined using a sample of $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ events. In the electron channel the combined trigger and reconstruction efficiency is measured to be $(73 \pm 4)\%$. In the muon channel it is measured to be $(81 \pm 4)\%$. The photon identification efficiency is measured as a function of $E_T^\gamma$ using a Monte Carlo simulation. A systematic uncertainty of 4% is assessed from the difference between the simulated electrons and electron candidates in $Z \rightarrow ee$ data, and the difference between simulated electrons and photons. The photon identification efficiency is $E_T$-dependent and rises from about 75% at 8 GeV to about 90% above 27 GeV.

Backgrounds from processes where the photon is real and one or both of the leptons are misidentified are found to be negligible. Contributions from $Z(\rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-)\gamma$ events with leptonic decays of the tau are less than 1% of the sample. The only significant source of background to $Z\gamma$ production is from $Z$+jets processes in which a jet is misidentified as a photon. We estimate the $Z$+jets background by folding the jet-$E_T$ spectrum in $Z$+jets events with the probability for a jet to be misidentified as a photon. The probability is measured as a function of the photon candidate’s $E_T^\gamma$ using a sample of events dominated by QCD multijet processes. We correct the misidentification probability for direct photon production ($\gamma$+jets) by fitting the photon candidate $E_T^\gamma$ distribution to the functional form derived in [10]. For low $E_T^\gamma$ ($E_T^\gamma < 75$ GeV) this contribution is measured to be 9%, and we take this number as a systematic uncertainty. The misidentification probability is about $5 \times 10^{-3}$ and decreases with $E_T^\gamma$.

We use an event generator employing leading order (LO) QCD calculations with a detector simulation tuned with $Z$ boson candidate events to calculate the acceptances for the data and expected rates from both the SM and anomalous $Z\gamma$ productions [8]. We use the CTEQ6L [11] parton distribution function (PDF) set. We estimate the uncertainty due to PDF choice using the prescription in [12] to be 3.3%. Using a NLO $Z\gamma$ Monte Carlo [13] generator, we calculate an $E_T^\gamma$-dependent $K$-factor to parameterize the effect of $E_T^\gamma$-dependent NLO corrections in the LO Monte Carlo sample. The uncertainty due to the choice of $K$-factor (flat vs. $E_T^\gamma$-dependent) is found to be negligible.

We observe 138 events in the electron channel, to be compared to the SM estimate of $95.3 \pm 4.9 \ e^+e^-\gamma$ events and $23.6 \pm 2.3$ background events. In the muon channel, we observe 152 events vs. an estimated 126.0 $\pm 7.8$ SM $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ events and $22.4 \pm 3.0$ background events. The uncertainty in the SM signal is dominated by the uncertainty in the lepton and photon reconstruction efficiencies, and that in the background estimation is dominated by the uncertainty in the jet misidentification probability.

The $E_T$ spectrum for photon candidates is shown in Fig. 1 with the estimation of the total SM prediction and its QCD background component overlaid. The highest transverse energy photon in the electron channel is 105 GeV, while the highest transverse energy photon in the muon channel is 166 GeV. In Fig. 2 we plot the three-body mass ($M_{\ell\ell\gamma}$) against the dilepton mass ($M_{\ell\ell}$) for each event in the data. The ISR events with a dilepton system produced by an on-shell $Z$ boson populate a vertical band at $M_{\ell\ell}$ around $Z$ boson mass, $M_Z$, and $M_{\ell\ell\gamma} > M_Z$. The on-shell $Z$ boson FSR events cluster along a horizontal band at $M_{\ell\ell\gamma} = M_Z$ and have $M_{\ell\ell} < M_Z$. The Drell-Yan events populate the diagonal band with $M_{\ell\ell} \approx M_{\ell\ell\gamma}$ extending from the lower left to the upper right corner of the plot.

For events satisfying $\Delta R_{\ell\gamma} > 0.7$, $E_T^\gamma > 8$ GeV, and $M_{\ell\ell} > 30$ GeV/$c^2$, the combined cross section is measured to be $4.2 \pm 0.4$ (stat+sys)$\pm 0.3$ (lum) pb, where the first uncertainty includes contributions from statistics and all systematic effects except the luminosity, and the second is due to the luminosity measurement uncertainty [14]. This value is in agreement with the expected value of $3.9^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$ pb from NLO theory calculations [13].

Given the separation exhibited in Fig. 2, we can measure a cross section of ISR-enhanced $Z\gamma$ production. By minimizing the effect of final state radiation, any anomalous contributions from a trilinear boson vertex would become more apparent. By requiring the dilepton mass and the three-body mass to exceed 65 GeV/$c^2$ and 100 GeV/$c^2$, respectively, (along with all previous requirements), the SM Monte Carlo simulation in-
FIG. 2: Dilepton+photon vs. dilepton mass of Zγ candidate events. Candidates in the electron channel are shown as empty circles, while the muon mode candidates are shown as stars.

dicates that 80% of the remaining events are due to initial state radiation. For this restricted sample we observe 55 and 62 events in the electron and muon channels, respectively. The cross section is measured to be $1.07 \pm 0.15\text{(stat+syst)} \pm 0.07\text{(lum)}$ pb, in agreement with the expected $0.94-0.05\text{ pb}[13]$. Given the good agreement observed between the data and the SM prediction, we extract limits on anomalous couplings. We generate Monte Carlo events in a two-dimensional grid of CP-violating anomalous couplings $(h_1^0, h_3^0)$ and do the same for CP-conserving $(h_2^0, h_4^0)$ anomalous couplings. We calculate the likelihood of the agreement between the $E_T$ distribution in data to the estimated background and Monte Carlo simulation for each point of the grid. Assuming Poisson statistics for the data and Gaussian systematic uncertainties, we extract the 95% C.L. limits on each of the anomalous couplings while assuming the others are zero. The limits on CP-violating and CP-conserving anomalous couplings are nearly identical. We also find the limits on real and imaginary parts of the couplings to be similar as well. We present the limits on both real and imaginary parts of the CP-conserving and CP-violating couplings in Table I. The two-dimensional limit contours on individual CP-conserving couplings are shown in Fig. 3.

In conclusion, we have studied a sample of 290 $\ell\ell\gamma$ events, consistent with $Z\gamma$ production. This sample exceeds that previously collected by DØ by an order of magnitude. This is due to three times more integrated luminosity, an increased production cross section associated with the 10% higher center-of-mass energy, and significant improvements in particle detection efficiency achieved with the DØ Run II upgrade. The $\ell\ell\gamma$ cross section is measured to be $4.2 \pm 0.4\text{(stat+syst)} \pm 0.3\text{(lum)}$ pb. After additional selection requirements, most of the final state radiation is removed, leaving the sample dominated by initial state radiation. The cross section for this ISR-enhanced $Z\gamma$ production is measured to be $1.07 \pm 0.15\text{(stat+syst)} \pm 0.07\text{(lum)}$ pb. These values are consistent with the SM expectations. We observe no significant deviation from the SM expectation in the total cross section or photon $E_T$ distribution, and thus extract limits on anomalous $Z\gamma$ couplings. The one dimensional limits at 95% C.L. for both CP-conserving and CP-violating couplings (both real and imaginary parts) are $|h_1^Z| < 0.23$, $|h_2^{0,40}| < 0.020$, $|h_3^{0,40}| < 0.23$, and $|h_4^{0,40}| < 0.019$ for $\Lambda = 1\text{ TeV}$. These limits are substantially more restrictive than previous results which have been presented using this formalism [4]. The limits on $h_{25}^{0,40}$ are more than twice as restrictive as the combined results of the four LEP experiments [15].
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FIG. 3: The 95% C.L. two-dimensional exclusion limits for CP-conserving $Z\gamma\gamma$ (a) and $Z\gamma\gamma$ (b) couplings for $\Lambda = 1$ TeV. Dashed lines illustrate the unitarity constraints.