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A Search for Wb and WH Production in pp Collisions at √s = 1.96 TeV
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(DO Collaboration)
We present a search for $Wbb$ production in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV in events containing one electron, an imbalance in transverse momentum, and two $b$-tagged jets. Using 174 pb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity accumulated by the DØ experiment at the Fermilab Tevatron collider, and the standard-model description of such events, we set a 95% C.L. upper limit on $Wbb$ production of $6.6$ pb for $b$ quarks with transverse momenta $p_T > 20$ GeV and $b\bar{b}$ separation in pseudorapidity–azimuth space $\Delta R_{b\bar{b}} > 0.75$. Restricting the search to optimized $b\bar{b}$ mass intervals provides upper limits on $WH$ production of 9.0–12.2 pb, for Higgs-boson masses of 105–135 GeV.

PACS numbers: 13.85Qk, 13.85.Rm

The Higgs boson is the only scalar elementary particle expected in the standard model (SM). Its discovery would be a major success for the SM and would provide further insights into the electroweak symmetry breaking mechanism. The constraints from precision measurements [1] favor a Higgs boson sufficiently light to be accessible at the Fermilab Tevatron collider. Although the expected luminosity necessary for its discovery is higher than obtained thus far, the special role of the Higgs boson in the SM justifies extensive searches for a Higgs-like particle in-
dependent of expected sensitivity. Such studies also provide an opportunity to investigate the main backgrounds, and in particular the interesting and thus far unobserved $Wb\bar{b}$ production process.

In this Letter, we present a search for a Higgs ($H$) boson with mass $m_H$ between 105 and 135 GeV, in the production channel $pp \rightarrow WH \rightarrow e\nu bb$, at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV. The expected $WH$ cross section is of the order of 0.2 pb for this mass range [2]. Our search is based on an integrated luminosity of $174 \pm 11$ pb$^{-1}$ accumulated by the DØ experiment during 2002 and 2003.

The experimental signature of $WH \rightarrow e\nu bb$ relies on a final state with one high $p_T$ electron, two $b$ jets and large imbalance in transverse momentum ($E_T$) resulting from the undetected neutrino. The dominant backgrounds to $WH$ production are from $Wbb$, $tt$ and single top-quark production. The signal to background ratio is improved by requiring exactly two jets in the final state, because the fraction of $H$ events that contain at most two reconstructed jets is small. We use the high statistics $W + 2$ jets events to check the validity of our simulation, but rule out at periodic azimuthal angle ($\phi$) values extending coverage to $|\eta| \sim 1.1$, and two end calorimeters (EC) respectively $[4]$. The calorimeter has a central section (CC) covering $|\eta| < 1.1$, and two end calorimeters (EC) extending coverage to $|\eta| \approx 4.2$, each housed in a separate cryostat $[5]$. For particle identification, the calorimeter is divided into an electromagnetic (EM) section, followed by fine (FH) and coarse (CH) hadronic sections. Scintillators between the CC and EC cryostats provide additional sampling of developing showers for $1.1 < |\eta| < 1.4$. The muon system consists of a layer of tracking detectors and scintillation trigger counters in front of 1.8 T toroids, followed by two similar layers behind the toroids, which provide muon tracking for $|\eta| < 2$. The luminosity is measured using scintillator arrays located in front of the EC cryostats, covering $2.7 < |\eta| < 4.4$.

Event selection starts with the requirement of an isolated electron, with $p_T > 20$ GeV, in the central region of $|\eta| < 1.1$, but away from boundaries of calorimeter modules at periodic azimuthal angle ($\phi$) values $[6]$. Such electrons are required to trigger the event. The average trigger efficiency is $(94 \pm 3)$% for $W + 2$ jets events. Electron candidates are selected by requiring: (i) at least 90% of the energy in a cone of radius $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\phi)^2 + (\Delta\eta)^2} < 0.2$, relative to the shower axis, is deposited in the EM layers of the calorimeter, i.e., EM fraction $emf > 0.9$; (ii) isolation, i.e., that the total energy in a cone of $\Delta R < 0.4$ centered on the same axis does not exceed the reconstructed electron energy by more than 10%; (iii) that the energy cluster of the electron candidate has the characteristics of an EM shower, as determined by the standard DØ shower-shape criteria $[6]$; (iv) that there is a track pointing to the EM cluster. These four criteria define the initial electron candidates. Electron selection is further refined using an electron likelihood discriminant based on the above estimators, as well as on additional tracking information. The combined reconstruction and identification efficiency is determined from a $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ sample to be $(74 \pm 4)$% per electron.

To select $W$ bosons, we require $E_T > 25$ GeV. Events with a second isolated lepton ($e$ or $\mu$ $[7]$) with $p_T > 15$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$ are rejected to suppress $Z + j$ets and $t\bar{t}$ backgrounds. Only events with a primary vertex at $|z| < 60$ cm relative to the center of the detector are retained. At least two jets with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ are then required. A jet is defined as a cluster of calorimeter towers within a radius $\Delta R = 0.5$ $[8]$, having: (i) $0.05 < emf < 0.95$; (ii) less than 40% of its energy in the CH section of the calorimeter; (iii) a distance $\Delta R$ to any initial electron candidate greater than 0.5. The average jet reconstruction and identification efficiency is $(95 \pm 5)$%, as determined from $\gamma + j$et events. For selecting $b$ jets, we use an impact-parameter based algorithm $[9]$, which has been cross-checked with a secondary-vertex reconstruction algorithm.

To improve calorimeter performance, before reconstructing the calorimeter objects, we use an algorithm that suppresses cells with negative energy (originating from fluctuations in noise) and cells with energies four standard deviations below the average electronics noise ($\sigma_n$), when they do not neighbor a cell of higher energy, $E > 4\sigma_n$. The EM scale is calibrated using the peak in the $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ reconstructed mass, and jet energies are then corrected to the EM scale using $\gamma + j$et events. These energy corrections, and the transverse momenta of any muons in the event, are propagated into the calculation of the $E_T$, which is estimated initially using all (unsuppressed) calorimeter cells.

The DØ detector simulation based on GEANT $[10]$ and the reconstruction and analysis chain used for data are also used for obtaining expectations from the standard model, which are normalized to cross sections measured in data, or to calculations when no such measurements are available. Small additional energy smearing in $E_T$ and in the energy of the simulated electrons is used to obtain better agreement between data and simulation.

Before applying $b$ tagging, we expect to have two main components in the data: $W + j$ets events and multijet events in which a jet has been misidentified as an electron (called QCD background in the following). $W + j$ets events are simulated using the leading-order matrix-element program ALPGEN $[11]$ for the $Wjj$ process (i.e. production of $W + 2$ partons, which are in our case gluons or $u, d, s, c$ quarks, since the $Wbb$ is simulated sepa-
The tagging efficiency in the simulation is adjusted to the one measured in data. A study of the $p_T$ and $\eta$ dependence in data and in simulation indicates a systematic uncertainty on tagging efficiencies of $\pm 6\%$. When tagging light quarks, there is a larger systematic uncertainty on the efficiency ($\pm 25\%$) that originates from the direct application of the algorithm to simulated events. This has only a small effect on the final results, since the fraction of events with two mistagged jets is $< 10\%$ of the total number of $W + 2$ $b$-tagged jets. For the tagging efficiency of $c$ quarks, we use the same data/simulation efficiency ratio as for $b$ quarks.

To reduce the presence of $b$ jets from gluon splitting, and to help assure an unambiguous determination of jet flavors in simulation, we require the separation between the two reconstructed jets ($\Delta R$) to be greater than 0.75. In Fig. 2 we show the distribution of the dijet mass for $W + 2$ jets events in which at least one jet is $b$ tagged. The data are well described by the sum of the multijet background and simulated SM processes (cf. Table I). The $t\bar{t}$ contribution is simulated with PYTHIA ($\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 6.77 \pm 0.42$ pb [14]). Single-top production ($\sigma_tW \rightarrow tb = 1.98 \pm 0.32$ pb, $\sigma_tW \rightarrow tb = 0.88 \pm 0.13$ pb [15]) is generated with COM-PHEP [16], assuming a top-quark mass of 175 GeV, and is shown in Fig. 2, in combination with other processes: $Z \rightarrow ee$, $W \rightarrow \tau\nu$ and $WZ(\rightarrow b\bar{b})$, which are simulated using PYTHIA with cross sections of 255 pb [17], 2775 pb [17], and 0.6 pb [13], respectively. As for the $Wjj$ process, the $Wbb$ contribution is simulated using ALPGEN and PYTHIA, requiring $p_{T}^{b} > 8$ GeV and $\Delta R_{bb} > 0.4$ at the parton level, with $\sigma_{Wbb} = 3.35$ pb computed at NLO using the MCFM program. $WH$ production is simulated with PYTHIA using the computed cross section at NLO, which depends on $m_H$ [2].

To further improve signal/background, we select events in which a second jet is $b$ tagged. The final results for the number of observed and expected events are given in Table I. Data from the last column are not used in the analysis, but provide a check of the accuracy of our expectations for events with two $b$-tagged jets in the control sample of $W + \geq 3$ jets events, which is dominated by $t\bar{t}$ production.

The distribution of the dijet mass for events with two $b$-tagged jets is shown in Fig. 3. The expected number of events is $4.4 \pm 1.2$, of which 1.7 events are expected from $Wbb$ production. The dominant systematic uncertainties on the expectation come from uncertainties on the $b$-tagging efficiency ($11\%$) and jet energy corrections. The uncertainty on the latter propagates to uncertainties of $7\%$ on $Wbb$ production, $4\%$ on single-top and $WH$ production, and $3\%$ on $t\bar{t}$ production. The total system-
atic uncertainty on the expectation is 26%, including the uncertainties on cross sections and luminosity (18% and 6.5%, respectively).
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**FIG. 2:** Distribution of the dijet invariant mass for \( W + \geq 2 \) jets events, when at least one jet is b tagged, compared to expectation (cumulative). The other SM backgrounds include single-top events. The simulated contributions are normalized to the integrated luminosity of the data.

\( D\phi \)

**FIG. 3:** Distribution of the dijet invariant mass for \( W + 2 \) b-tagged events, compared to expectation (cumulative). The simulated contributions are normalized to the integrated luminosity of the data. The expectation for a 115 GeV Higgs boson from \( WH \) production is also shown.

Assuming that the six observed events are consistent with the SM, without contributions from \( Wbb \) and \( WH \), and using the \( Wbb \) signal efficiency of \( (0.90 \pm 0.14)\% \), we set a 95% C.L. upper limit of 6.6 pb on the \( Wbb \) cross section, for \( p_T > 20 \text{ GeV} \) and \( \Delta R_{bb} > 0.75 \) [18]. The limits on the cross sections are obtained using a Bayesian approach [19] that takes account of both statistical and systematic uncertainties.

The expected contribution from the \( bb \) decay of a SM Higgs boson, with \( m_H = 115 \text{ GeV} \), is also shown in Fig. 3, and amounts to 0.06 events. The mean and width of a Gaussian fit to this expected contribution in the mass window 85–135 GeV are 110 and 16 GeV, a relative resolution of \( (14 \pm 1)\% \). Similar resolutions are obtained for Higgs-boson masses in the 105-135 GeV region.

No events are observed in the dijet mass window of 85–135 GeV. The expected SM background (including \( Wbb \)) is \( 1.07 \pm 0.26 \) events, and the expected \( WH \) signal is \( 0.049 \pm 0.012 \) events, with a signal efficiency of \( (0.21 \pm 0.03)\% \). In the absence of a signal, we set a limit on the cross section for \( \sigma(pp \rightarrow WH) \times BR(H \rightarrow bb) \) of 9.0 pb at the 95% C.L., for a 115 GeV Higgs boson.

The same study was performed for \( m_H = 105, 125 \) and 135 GeV, for which 0, 0 and 1 event were observed in the corresponding mass windows. The resulting limits (11.0, 9.1 and 12.2 pb, respectively) are compared to the SM expectation in Fig. 4, and to the results published by the CDF collaboration, using a smaller integrated luminosity of 109 pb\(^{-1} \) at \( \sqrt{s} = 1.8 \text{ TeV} \), but for combined e and \( \mu \) channels [20].

\[ \sigma(WH) \times BR(H \rightarrow bb) \]

\[ D\phi, 174 \text{ pb}^{-1}, WH \rightarrow evbb \]

\[ \text{CDF Run I, 109 pb}^{-1}, e^{+}e^{-} \]

\[ \text{LEP Exclusion} \]

**FIG. 4:** 95% C.L. upper limit on \( \sigma(pp \rightarrow WH) \times BR(H \rightarrow bb) \) compared to the SM expectation at \( \sqrt{s} = 1.96 \text{ TeV} \), and to CDF results [20], which were obtained at \( \sqrt{s} = 1.8 \text{ TeV} \). The predicted \( WH \) cross section at 1.96 TeV is approximately 15% larger than that at 1.8 TeV.

In conclusion, we have performed a search for the \( Wbb \) final state, and have set an upper limit of 6.6 pb on this largest expected background to \( WH \) associated production. We have studied the dijet mass spectrum of two b-tagged jets in the region where we have the best sensitivity to a SM Higgs boson, and for Higgs-boson masses between 105 and 135 GeV we set 95% C.L. upper limits between 9.0 and 12.2 pb on the cross section for \( WH \) production multiplied by the branching ratio for \( H \rightarrow bb \).
TABLE I: Summary for the $e^+E_T+$jets final state: the numbers of expected $W^+ \geq 2$ jets and $W^+ 2$ jets events, before and after b tagging, originating from $WH$ (for $m_H = 115$ GeV), $WZ$, $Wbb$, top production (if and single-top), QCD multijet background, and $W$ or $Z+$jets (excluding $Wbb$ which is counted separately) are compared to the numbers of observed events. The last column shows the same comparison for the control sample of $W^+ > 3$ jets events that contain two b-tagged jets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$W^+ \geq 2$ jets $W^+ 2$ jets</th>
<th>$W^+ 2$ jets $(1 \text{ b-tagged jet})$</th>
<th>$W^+ 2$ jets $(2 \text{ b-tagged jets})$</th>
<th>$W^+ &gt; 3$ jets $(2 \text{ b-tagged jets})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$WH$</td>
<td>$0.6 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$0.4 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$0.14 \pm 0.03$</td>
<td>$0.056 \pm 0.013$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$WZ$</td>
<td>$1.4 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$1.2 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$0.38 \pm 0.09$</td>
<td>$0.13 \pm 0.03$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Wbb$</td>
<td>$24.7 \pm 6.2$</td>
<td>$21.4 \pm 5.3$</td>
<td>$6.6 \pm 1.5$</td>
<td>$1.72 \pm 0.41$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ell\bar{\ell}$</td>
<td>$41.4 \pm 8.7$</td>
<td>$8.6 \pm 1.8$</td>
<td>$2.7 \pm 0.6$</td>
<td>$0.78 \pm 0.19$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-top</td>
<td>$11.6 \pm 2.4$</td>
<td>$8.3 \pm 1.7$</td>
<td>$2.7 \pm 0.6$</td>
<td>$0.47 \pm 0.11$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCD multijet</td>
<td>$492 \pm 108$</td>
<td>$393 \pm 86$</td>
<td>$17.1 \pm 4.3$</td>
<td>$0.50 \pm 0.20$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W$ or $Z+$jets</td>
<td>$2008 \pm 502$</td>
<td>$1672 \pm 418$</td>
<td>$43.0 \pm 12.9$</td>
<td>$0.78 \pm 0.22$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total expectation</strong></td>
<td><strong>2580 ± 626</strong></td>
<td><strong>2106 ± 513</strong></td>
<td><strong>72.6 ± 20.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.44 ± 1.17</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observed events</strong></td>
<td><strong>2540</strong></td>
<td><strong>2116</strong></td>
<td><strong>76</strong></td>
<td><strong>6</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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[4] The pseudorapidity is defined as a function of the polar angle $\vartheta$ as $\eta = -\ln(\tan \vartheta/2)$.
[7] The muon is considered isolated if its distance from the closest jet is $\Delta R > 0.4$.
[17] D. Acosta et al. (CDF Collaboration), hep-ex/0406078, subm. to Phys. Rev. Lett. For $\sigma(W \rightarrow \tau\nu)$ we use $\sigma(W \rightarrow \ell\nu)$ from this Ref. assuming lepton universality.
[18] The NLO MCFM calculation gives $\sigma_{\ell\nu} = 0.75$ pb for parton level cuts $p_T > 20$ GeV and $\Delta R_{\ell\nu} > 0.75$.