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Abstract

The reaction $e^+e^-\rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma^*\gamma^*\rightarrow e^+e^-\text{hadrons}$ is analysed using data collected by the L3 detector during the LEP runs at $\sqrt{s}=130-140$ GeV and $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV. The cross sections $\sigma(e^+e^-\rightarrow e^+e^-\text{hadrons})$ and $\sigma(\gamma\gamma\rightarrow \text{hadrons})$ are measured in the interval $5 \leq W_{\gamma\gamma} \leq 75$ GeV. The energy dependence of the $\sigma(\gamma\gamma\rightarrow \text{hadrons})$ cross section is consistent with the universal Regge behaviour of total hadronic cross sections.
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1 Introduction

At high energies the two-photon process $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-\text{hadrons}$ is a copious source of hadron production. In this reaction most of the initial energy is taken by the scattered electrons and positrons. As their scattering angle is close to the beam they often go undetected. The variable $Q^2$ is defined by the four-momentum transfer squared from the beam to one of the scattered electrons: $Q^2 = -q^2$. If one of the scattered electrons is measured in forward detectors the event is said to be tagged. The hadron system has predominantly a low mass value. A large part of the hadrons escape detection, due to the large diffractive cross section and to the Lorentz boost of the $\gamma\gamma$ system. For these events, the measured effective mass $W_{\text{vis}}$ is smaller than the centre of mass energy of the two interacting photons $W_{\gamma\gamma}$. For high values of $\sqrt{s}$ the $W_{\text{vis}}$ spectrum of two-photon processes is well separated from that of the $e^+e^-$-annihilation processes.

A photon can interact as a point-like particle (direct component Fig.1a). Often a quantum fluctuation transforms the photon into a vector meson $\rho, \omega, \phi, \ldots$ (VMD component Fig.1b), opening up all the possibilities of hadronic interactions (Regge poles, Pomeron exchange, etc.). In hard scattering the structure of the photon can be resolved into quarks and gluons. Some examples are given in Fig.1c and 1d. The relative amounts of these components and their respective properties are not yet fully understood. Recently there has been an effort by G.A. Schuler and T. Sjöstrand [1] and by R. Engel and J. Ranft [2] (Dual Parton Model) to construct a model consistent with the knowledge accumulated from $\gamma p$, ep and pp scattering data.

Both groups have provided a Monte Carlo generator which can be compared with the data. In PYTHIA [3] where both incoming photons are assumed to be on the mass shell, we have complemented the code by generating the photon flux in the Equivalent Photon Approximation [4] with a cutoff $Q^2 \leq m_\gamma^2$. The model is then valid only for events with $Q^2 \approx 0$. The Monte Carlo generator PHOJET [2] uses the $\gamma\gamma$ luminosity function, $L_{\gamma\gamma}$, for transverse photons, taking into account the hadronic couplings of the photon by using a generalised vector dominance model.

For the annihilation processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}(\gamma)$, $ZZ(\gamma)$, $Zee(\gamma)$, $W\nu(\gamma)$ we have simulated events with PYTHIA [3], and we have used KORALZ [5] for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- (\gamma)$. For the $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\tau^+\tau^-$ channel we have simulated events with DIAG36 [6].

In this paper we analyse only data where the scattered electrons are not detected (anti-tagged events). Thus the interacting photons are quasi-real : $Q^2 \approx 0.025$ GeV$^2$. The visible cross sections and event shape of the data are compared to the Monte Carlo predictions.

The total cross section $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\text{hadrons})$ is measured for the average $e^+e^-$ centre of mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 133$ GeV and for $\sqrt{s} = 161$ GeV. The two-photon cross section $\sigma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$ is then derived in the interval $5 \leq W_{\gamma\gamma} \leq 75$ GeV. This measurement is compared to previous results obtained for $W_{\gamma\gamma} \leq 10$ GeV and fitted with the universal Regge [7] parametrisation of A. Donnachie and P.V. Landshoff [8].

2 Event selection and comparison with Monte Carlo

Data have been collected with the L3 detector at $\sqrt{s} = 130, 136, 140$ GeV with a total integrated luminosity of 4.98 pb$^{-1}$ during 1995 and at $\sqrt{s} = 161$ GeV with an integrated luminosity of 10.37 pb$^{-1}$ during 1996.

A detailed description of each subsystem of the L3 detector and its performance is given in [9] and [10]. The analysis described in this paper is mainly based on the central tracking...
system, the high resolution electro-magnetic calorimeter and the hadron calorimeter. Particles scattered at small angles are measured by the luminosity monitors on each side of the detector, covering a polar angle range between 25 and 69 mrad.

The events used in this analysis are collected predominantly by a track trigger [11] which requires at least two charged particles with $p_t > 150$ MeV, back to back, in the plane transverse to the beam, within $\pm 41^{\circ}$.

Hadronic two-photon events are selected by the following criteria:

- At least three tracks are required to eliminate the dominant $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- \text{ leptons}$ channels. A track is defined by a transverse momentum $p_t > 100$ MeV, at least 12 wire hits and a distance of closest approach to the nominal vertex smaller than 10 mm in the transverse plane. With the additional condition that the total energy deposited in the electro-magnetic calorimeter exceeds 500 MeV, the beam-gas and beam-wall backgrounds are suppressed.

- The energy in the electro-magnetic calorimeter is required to be smaller than 30 GeV and the energy deposited in the hadron calorimeter smaller than 20 GeV, to exclude annihilation events.

- An anti-tag condition is imposed which excludes events with energy greater than 30 GeV in the luminosity monitor, in a fiducial polar angle region of 27-64 mrad at 133 GeV and 33-64 mrad at 161 GeV. The fiducial region is smaller at 161 GeV because the inner part of the luminosity detector is shadowed by the shielding inserted into the beam pipe to absorb synchrotron radiation.

The cuts are illustrated in Fig. 2. After selection the background from beam-gas and beam-wall interactions is found to be negligible.

The visible effective mass of the event is calculated from the four-momentum vectors of the measured particles. All particles are assumed to be pions, except for electro-magnetic clusters identified as photons. A cluster in the electro-magnetic calorimeter, with no nearby track in a 200 mrad cone, is recognised as a photon if its energy in the hadron calorimeter is smaller than 20% of the electro-magnetic energy. Clusters in the hadron calorimeter, without any track in a 300 mrad cone and with an energy greater than 20% of the electro-magnetic energy are considered as pions. Clusters in the luminosity monitor are also included in the calculation of the visible effective mass

$$W_{\text{vis}}^2 = (\sum_i E_i)^2 - (\sum_i \vec{p}_i)^2 \quad i = \text{pions, photons}$$

The analysis is limited to events with $W_{\text{vis}} \geq 5$ GeV. The number of events selected is 8220 at $\sqrt{s} = 133$ GeV and 22857 at $\sqrt{s} = 161$ GeV.

The background, due mainly to annihilation processes and two-photon $\tau$ production, is subtracted from the data. It varies from less than a per cent at a mass of 5 GeV to a few per cent at high masses as can be seen in Fig. 3 where the $W_{\text{vis}}$ spectrum is shown for both energies.

High statistics samples of PHOJET 1) [2] and PYTHIA 2) [3] events have been generated for each beam energy. The events were simulated in the L3 detector using GEANT [12] and GEISHA [13] programs and passed through the same reconstruction program as the data. The

1) PHOJET version 1.05c
2) PYTHIA version 5.718 and JETSET version 7.408
trigger inefficiency was taken into account during the simulation. It was studied with two-photon and Bhabha events by comparing the response of the track trigger to the response of the calorimetric energy triggers. It was found that (93±1)% of the events with \( W_{\text{vis}} \geq 5 \) GeV are accepted by the trigger. The number of expected events are given in table 1. The absolute normalisation of PHOJET gives about 10% higher values than PYTHIA. The Monte Carlo predictions for electro-magnetic and hadron calorimeter total energy agree well with the data as shown in Fig.2. A variation of the cuts inside ± 10 GeV shows that the ratio of accepted events in the data and in the Monte Carlo remains stable within 1%. The energy distribution in the luminosity monitor (Fig.2c) shows a good agreement for the low energy values, i.e. for the hadronic component inside the detector. When the scattered electron or positron reaches the detector, the agreement is maintained with the PHOJET Monte Carlo, while these configurations are missing in PYTHIA because of the cutoff \( Q^2 \leq m^2 \) in the event generation.

The visible mass spectra are rather well reproduced by the generators at both centre of mass energies (Fig.3). In Fig.4 the total longitudinal and transverse energies, normalised to the visible energy of the event, are shown. The total longitudinal energy distribution is not in good agreement with both Monte Carlo simulations whereas the mean value of the energy as a function of the polar angle (Fig.5) for tracks, photons in the electro-magnetic calorimeter and isolated clusters in the hadron calorimeter agrees with the Monte Carlo expectations. A detailed study of the total longitudinal energy distribution shows that the region at the edges ( \( |E_{\text{long}}/E_{\text{vis}}| > 0.6 \) ) is mainly correlated to low values of \( W_{\text{vis}} \) while the high values of \( W_{\text{vis}} \) are in the central \( E_{\text{long}}/E_{\text{vis}} \) region.

The transverse momentum distribution of the tracks is compared in Fig.6 for four different mass intervals; the agreement is satisfactory. The charged track multiplicity however is not well modelled as can be seen in table 1. Since the cut on the number of tracks affects the measurement of the cross sections, the full analysis is repeated for a lower cut of 3, 4 and 5 tracks. The variation of the cross sections, thus obtained, is included in the systematic errors.

In conclusion some features of the distributions are not well reproduced by the two generators. The disagreement between data and Monte Carlo does not exceed 30% and it is of the same order as the disagreement between the two generators. The differences between the two Monte Carlo simulations are used to estimate the systematic errors.

### 3 Measurement of cross sections

#### 3.1 Unfolding and efficiency

From the observed distribution of the visible effective mass, \( W_{\text{vis}} \), the true hadron mass \( W_{\gamma\gamma} \) distribution must be extracted. The number of observed events are then corrected for the efficiency and acceptance of the detector. The two steps are illustrated in Fig.7a by using PHOJET Monte Carlo events.

The measured \( W_{\text{vis}} \) spectrum is weakly correlated to the total centre of mass energy of the \( \gamma\gamma \) system because a large part of the produced particles go undetected in the forward and backward regions. In order to obtain the \( W_{\gamma\gamma} \) distribution, subdivided in ten \( i \)-intervals, from the \( W_{\text{vis}} \) spectrum, subdivided in twenty \( j \)-intervals, the following unfolding relation is used:

\[
W_{\gamma\gamma}(i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{ij} W_{\text{vis}}(j)
\]  
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The matrix $A_{ij}$ is constructed by considering for each Monte Carlo event the measured $W_{\text{vis}}$ and its generated $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ value as follows:

$$A_{ij} = \frac{P(W_{\text{vis}}(j)|W_{\gamma\gamma}(i))P(W_{\gamma\gamma}(i))}{\sum_l P(W_{\text{vis}}(j)|W_{\gamma\gamma}(l))P(W_{\gamma\gamma}(l))}$$

(2)

where $P(W_{\text{vis}}|W_{\gamma\gamma})$ is the likelihood of observing the measured $W_{\text{vis}}$ given a generated $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ value and $P(W_{\gamma\gamma})$ is the initially generated $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ distribution after acceptance and efficiency cuts (dashed line in Fig.7a).

After unfolding, the events are corrected for detector acceptance and efficiency using the ratio between selected and generated events in each $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ interval (Fig.7b). This includes geometrical effects as well as inefficiencies of the detector, of the trigger and of the analysis. The low acceptance below $W_{\gamma\gamma}=20$ GeV is due to the $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ cut of 5 GeV. For $W_{\gamma\gamma}>20$ GeV, the acceptance is rather constant.

This method relies on a good modelling of the data and demands a high statistics Monte Carlo sample. Unfolding methods have been widely discussed in Ref. [14]. Two methods recently developed by G. D’Agostini [15] and by A. Höcker and V. Kartvelishvili [16] produce similar results.

### 3.2 Cross sections and systematic errors

From the number of events, corrected with the PHOJET Monte Carlo in each $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ bin, and the integrated $e^+e^-$ luminosity, the cross section $d\sigma( e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- \text{hadrons})$ is measured. The results are listed in table 2 and the differential cross section $d\sigma/dW_{\gamma\gamma}$ is shown in Fig.8a. The fast decrease of the cross section as a function of $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ is due to the two photon luminosity function, $L_{\gamma\gamma}$, which depends on $W_{\gamma\gamma}^2/s$.

Unfolding introduces a strong correlation in the measurement, the correlation matrix is given in table 3. The square-root of the diagonal elements of the error matrix are given in table 2 as statistical errors. The uncertainties due to the data statistics dominate over the uncertainties of the unfolding matrix due to Monte Carlo statistics.

In order to evaluate the systematic errors related to the model, the full analysis is repeated with PYTHIA. Both analyses are also repeated for a minimum number of four and five tracks. In evaluating the systematic errors the effects which produce a mass dependent error are separated from those giving only a normalisation shift. The main sources of systematic errors are:

- differences between data and Monte Carlo in the representation of the hadronic showers in the hadron calorimeter and in the small angle luminosity monitor. For the energy deposited in the hadron calorimeter no significant discrepancy (Fig.5c) is observed, while there is a 6 % difference in the average value of the energy deposited at small angles. Such a shift can produce a mass dependent variation of $\pm 0.002 W_{\text{vis}}$ in the cross section.

- the use of PYTHIA instead of PHOJET in the analysis gives a bin-to-bin difference which is very small in the central mass region. It has a maximum of 7 % at $W_{\gamma\gamma}<10$ GeV and is 4 % at $W_{\gamma\gamma}>50$ GeV.

- the differences due to the minimum number of tracks required in the analysis produce mainly normalisation shifts. The maximum bin-to-bin effect is 3 % observed for $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ below 10 GeV.
Other uncertainties due to the analysis cuts are below the one per cent level and are neglected. The mass dependent contributions are added in quadrature in each \( W_{\gamma\gamma} \) bin and are given as a systematic error in Table 2. The overall normalisation uncertainty is estimated to be \( \pm 6\% \).

To extract the total cross section of two real photons the photon flux \( L_{\gamma\gamma} \) [4] must be calculated and the hadronic two-photon processes must be extrapolated to zero \( Q^2 \). This is done by considering the dominant transverse photon (T) interaction as well as the small scalar photon (S) contribution. [17]:

\[
d\sigma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) = \sum_{a,b=T,S} \int d(Q_1^2) d(Q_2^2) L_{ab} \sigma_{ab}(W_{\gamma\gamma}, Q_1^2, Q_2^2)
\]

The \( W_{\gamma\gamma} \) and \( Q^2 \) dependencies of the cross section can be factorized for \( Q^2 << W_{\gamma\gamma}^2 \):

\[
\sigma_{a,b}(W_{\gamma\gamma}, Q_1^2, Q_2^2) = F_a(Q_1^2) F_b(Q_2^2) \sigma_{a,b}(W_{\gamma\gamma}, 0, 0, 0)
\]

For each \( W_{\gamma\gamma} \) bin a numerical integration is performed over the bin width and over the unmeasured \( Q^2 \) of the scattered electron and positron. Many forms have been proposed for the \( F(Q^2) \) form factors. The model [18], which adds a continuum contribution to a simple vector-meson dominance contribution, has been chosen for the central value calculation. Depending on the form factors used, this calculation may vary by \( \pm 5\% \) [17], independent of \( W_{\gamma\gamma} \) in the mass range of this analysis.

The \( \gamma\gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons} \) cross sections thus obtained at \( \sqrt{s} = 133 \text{ GeV} \) and \( \sqrt{s} = 161 \text{ GeV} \) are compatible within statistical errors, the comparison giving a \( \chi^2 \) of 16 for the 10 measured points. The largest discrepancies are observed at low \( W_{\gamma\gamma} \) values. The two measurements are therefore combined. Their weighted average is shown in Fig.8b and given in Table 2 together with the statistical and the bin-to-bin systematic errors. In the systematic errors the difference between the two samples has been added in quadrature to the systematic errors discussed above. In Fig.9 our results for \( 5 \leq W_{\gamma\gamma} \leq 75 \text{ GeV} \) are shown together with the ones obtained in previous experiments [20] for \( W_{\gamma\gamma} \leq 10 \text{ GeV} \). All measurements are displayed with their total systematic errors. For our data the normalisation systematic error of \( \pm 6\% \) plus the \( \pm 5\% \) uncertainty on the photon form factor are added in quadrature to the bin-to-bin error, displayed in the Figs.8a and b.

### 3.3 Regge parametrisation

Total hadronic cross sections show a characteristic steep decrease in the region of low centre of mass energy followed by a slow rise at high energies. From Regge theory [7] this behaviour is understood as the consequence of the exchange of Regge trajectories, \( \alpha(f) \), in the t-channel. The total cross section takes the form \( \sigma_{\text{tot}} \propto s^{\alpha(0)-1} \). The low energy region is sensitive to Reggeon exchange (\( R = \rho, \omega, f, \ldots \)), At high energies the Pomeron exchange dominates, \( \alpha_P(0) \approx 1 \). A. Donnachie and P.V. Landshoff [8] showed that a parametrisation of the form

\[
\sigma_{\text{tot}} = A s^\epsilon + B s^{-\eta}
\]

can account for the energy behaviour of all total cross sections, the powers of \( s \) being universal. This is confirmed by the recent compilation of the total cross section data [19] where a fit of Eq.6 for all hadron total cross sections gives a result compatible with a universal value of \( \epsilon = 0.0790 \pm 0.0011 \) and \( \eta = 0.4678 \pm 0.0059 \). The coefficients \( A \) and \( B \) are process and \( Q^2 \) dependent. If photons behave predominantly like hadrons, this expression may also be valid for
the two-photon total hadronic cross section. The data, with systematic bin-to-bin errors, are fitted to Eq.6 with the parameters $\epsilon$ and $\eta$ fixed to the world average value. The coefficients $A$ and $B$ thus obtained are

$$A = 173 \pm 7 \quad B = 519 \pm 125 \quad \chi^2/{\text{d.o.f.}} = 3/8.$$  

The correlation between $A$ and $B$ is -0.898. The fit is shown in Fig.8b (continous line) together with the Reggeon and the Pomeron components (dashed lines).

The cross sections predicted by R.Engel and J.Ranft [2] (line C in Fig.9) are in good agreement with the data. In their model they use $\gamma p$ and $pp$ data to fix the couplings of the Pomeron and of the Reggeon to the $q\bar{q}$ fluctuation of the photon. The cross sections are then calculated in the framework of a Dual Parton Model, with the unitarization constraint. Since there is a correlation between the VMD couplings and the Pomeron parameters, the predictions have an accuracy of $\pm 10\%$ [2].

The model of G.A. Schuler and T. Sjöstrand [1] aims at a smooth superposition of hadron-like and point-like photon interactions. The fluctuation of both photons into vector mesons (Fig.1b only) is not sufficient to describe the data (line D in Fig.9). Adding the point-like splitting of the photon to $q\bar{q}$ pairs, the cross section increases (line B in Fig.9). The maximum value, allowed by photo-production data, is indicated by the higher dashed line in Fig.9.

### 4 Conclusions

In the two high energy runs of the LEP collider at $\sqrt{s}=133$ and $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV, a total of 32000 events of anti-tagged two-photon interaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\text{hadrons}$ were observed in the L3 detector, with visible mass greater than 5 GeV.

The detailed features of the events: angular and momentum distributions, energy deposited in the calorimeters and visible mass are rather well reproduced by the model of the photon interactions contained in the recent generators PYTHIA and PHOJET.

The cross section $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\text{hadrons})$ for $Q^2 \gg 0.025 \text{ GeV}^2$ is measured in the interval $5 \leq W_{\gamma\gamma} \leq 75 \text{ GeV}$. The real photon total cross section $\sigma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$ is also derived from the data. This is the first time the values of $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ above 10 GeV are explored. The $\sigma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$ cross section is dominated by soft $\gamma\gamma$ interactions, where the photon behaves like a hadron. The increase with energy of this cross section is characteristic of Pomeron exchange. The universal Regge parametrisation of A. Donnachie and P.V. Landshoff and the energy dependence fixed by the world average hadronic total cross sections reproduce well the data over the entire $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ range.
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Table 1: Number of selected hadronic events with $W_{\text{vis}} \geq 5$ GeV as a function of the minimum number of tracks required. The Monte Carlo events are normalized to the luminosity of the data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>130-140 GeV</th>
<th>data</th>
<th>PYTHIA</th>
<th>data/PYTHIA</th>
<th>PHOJET</th>
<th>data/PHOJET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\geq 3$ tracks</td>
<td>8220</td>
<td>8682</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>9400</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\geq 4$ tracks</td>
<td>6786</td>
<td>7643</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>8346</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\geq 5$ tracks</td>
<td>5307</td>
<td>6045</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>6788</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>161 GeV</th>
<th>data</th>
<th>PYTHIA</th>
<th>data/PYTHIA</th>
<th>PHOJET</th>
<th>data/PHOJET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\geq 3$ tracks</td>
<td>22857</td>
<td>23161</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>25826</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\geq 4$ tracks</td>
<td>19573</td>
<td>20454</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>23082</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\geq 5$ tracks</td>
<td>15525</td>
<td>16338</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>18888</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The measured $d\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\text{hadrons})$ cross sections as a function of the $\gamma\gamma$ centre of mass energy for the two sets of data. The $\sigma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$ is given for the combined data sample. The statistical errors, obtained after unfolding, and the bin-to-bin systematic errors are given. A global normalisation error of 6% must be added to all cross sections. A further normalisation error of 5%, due to the uncertainty on the photon form factor, must be added to $\sigma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta W_{\gamma\gamma}$</th>
<th>5-7</th>
<th>7-9</th>
<th>9-13</th>
<th>13-17</th>
<th>17-23</th>
<th>23-31</th>
<th>31-39</th>
<th>39-47</th>
<th>47-55</th>
<th>55-75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>.931</td>
<td>.741</td>
<td>.506</td>
<td>.331</td>
<td>.185</td>
<td>.096</td>
<td>.052</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-9</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>.913</td>
<td>.710</td>
<td>.506</td>
<td>.305</td>
<td>.170</td>
<td>.093</td>
<td>.055</td>
<td>.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>.908</td>
<td>.730</td>
<td>.496</td>
<td>.299</td>
<td>.172</td>
<td>.107</td>
<td>.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>.910</td>
<td>.709</td>
<td>.467</td>
<td>.292</td>
<td>.185</td>
<td>.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>.861</td>
<td>.624</td>
<td>.424</td>
<td>.278</td>
<td>.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>.739</td>
<td>.545</td>
<td>.379</td>
<td>.314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>.558</td>
<td>.418</td>
<td>.363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39-47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>.378</td>
<td>.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47-55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: The correlation matrix of the data after unfolding.
Figure 1: Some diagrams contributing to $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ reactions: a) direct b) VMD c) double resolved d) single resolved.
Figure 2: The energy measured in the calorimeters compared to PHOJET and PYTHIA expectations, a) electro-magnetic BGO calorimeter b) hadronic calorimeter c) luminosity monitor calorimeter. The backgrounds are indicated as a shaded area.
Figure 3: The measured visible mass a) at $\sqrt{s} = 133 \text{ GeV}$ b) at $\sqrt{s} = 161 \text{ GeV}$, compared to PHOJET and PYTHIA expectations. The backgrounds are indicated as a shaded area.

Figure 4: Longitudinal (a) and transverse (b) component of the visible energy of the event normalized to the visible energy. The data are compared to PHOJET and PYTHIA expectations.
Figure 5: (a) The mean energy of tracks, (b) of electro-magnetic clusters, (c) of hadron calorimeter clusters as a function of the polar angle. The data are compared to PHOJET and PYTHIA expectations.
Figure 6: The transverse momentum $p_t$ distribution of tracks compared to PHOJET and PYTHIA expectations in four visible mass intervals. The background is indicated as a shaded area.
Figure 7: (a) Distribution of the events generated with the PHOJET Monte Carlo at $\sqrt{s} = 161$ GeV as a function of the $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ mass before (continuous line) and after the selection cuts are applied (dashed line). The distribution of the selected events is distorted by the limited measurement of the mass $W_{\text{vis}}$ (dots with error bars). (b) Ratio of selected over generated events as a function of the two-photon mass, as calculated by the two generators PHOJET and PYTHIA.

Figure 8: a) The cross section $d\sigma(\, e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- \text{ hadrons})/dW_{\gamma\gamma}$ as measured at $\sqrt{s}=133$ GeV and at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV. b) Total cross section $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma} \rightarrow \text{ hadrons}$. The continuous line is the Regge fit described in the text. The two components: the rapidly decreasing Reggeon part and the slow rising component due to Pomeron exchange are indicated with a dashed line.
Figure 9: The measured total cross section $\sigma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$ is compared to the best estimate by G.A. Schuler and T. Sjöstrand [1], line labelled as B, and to the predictions of the Dual Parton Model [2], labelled as C. The lower dashed line (D) represents the contribution of the VMD graph of Fig.1b; the upper one (A) the maximum estimate of Ref. [1] compatible with photo-production data. For completeness the data of previous experiments [20] for $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ below 10 GeV are included.