

## Polynomial Maps and a Conjecture of Samuelson

Arno van den Essen  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Catholic University Nijmegen*  
*Toernooiveld, Nijmegen, The Netherlands*

and

T. Parthasarathy  
*Indian Statistical Institute*  
*Delhi Centre*  
*New Delhi-110016, India*

Submitted by Robert M. Guralnick

---

### ABSTRACT

Let  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $C^1$ -mapping. It was conjectured by Samuelson that if the upper left-hand principal minors of the Jacobian of  $F$  do not vanish on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $F$  is injective. However, in 1965 Gale and Nikaido gave a simple counterexample to the case  $n = 2$ . In this paper we show that the Samuelson conjecture is true for polynomial mappings from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Furthermore, we give a precise description of such maps.

---

### INTRODUCTION

Let  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $C^1$ -map. Then the local inverse-function theorem asserts that  $F$  is locally invertible in a neighborhood of a point  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$  if and only if  $\det(JF)(p) \neq 0$ . It is much more difficult to decide if a  $C^1$ -map  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is globally invertible. Suppose  $F$  is (globally) invertible. Then certainly  $\det(JF)(p) \neq 0$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Conversely one can ask: if the Jacobian of  $F$  does not vanish on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , is  $F$  globally invertible? Already for  $n = 1$  we have a counterexample, namely  $F(x) = e^x$ . A criterion for global invertibility of  $C^1$ -functions is not known (as far as we know); however, several sufficient conditions for global injectivity are known in the literature

[4, 6, 7]. Most of these results were initiated by the following conjecture, due to Samuelson [9]: if all minors  $\det(\partial F_i / \partial x_j)(p)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$  do not vanish for all  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and all  $1 \leq r \leq n$ , then  $F$  is globally injective. The following counterexample was given by Gale and Nikaido in [4]: take  $F = (f, g)$  with  $f = e^{2x} - y^2 + 3$ ,  $g = 4e^{2x}y - y^3$ . Then  $\partial_x f > 0$  and  $\det JF > 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . However,  $F$  is not injective, since  $F(0, \pm 2) = (0, 0)$ .

Polynomial mappings behave much better. First there is the following result, due to Bialynicki-Birula and Rosenlicht [2], asserting that every injective polynomial map from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is necessarily surjective. The inverse of such a mapping is in general not a polynomial mapping [for example take  $F(x) = x + x^3$ ]. One can wonder if the Samuelson conjecture holds for polynomial mappings; we don't know the answer. In fact the Samuelson conjecture for polynomial mappings from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a special case of the so-called real-Jacobian conjecture (see [5]), which asserts that even the condition  $\det JF(p) \neq 0$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is sufficient to prove that  $F$  is globally injective.

Even better behaved are the polynomial mappings  $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ . Here the Bialynicki-Birula-Rosenlicht result asserts that an injective polynomial map from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is necessarily invertible with an inverse which is also a polynomial mapping.

The aim of this note is to show that the Samuelson conjecture is true for polynomial mappings from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . In fact we show that a polynomial mapping from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}^n$  satisfying the hypothesis of the Samuelson conjecture is a product of  $n$  elementary polynomial mappings and a (linear) diagonal map (see Theorem 2.1). Of course the Samuelson conjecture for polynomial mappings from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is just a very special case of the famous Jacobian conjecture, which asserts that the nonvanishing of  $\det JF$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  implies by itself the injectivity (and hence the invertibility) of  $F$ . The Jacobian conjecture is still open for all  $n \geq 2$  (see [1, 3, 8] for more details).

### 1. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $A$  be a commutative ring. By  $A[X] := A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  we denote the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  with coefficients in  $A$ . On  $A[X]$  we have the usual  $A$ -derivations  $\partial / \partial X_j$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Let  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n) : A^n \rightarrow A^n$  be a polynomial map, i.e., each  $F_i$  belongs to  $A[X]$ . The Jacobian matrix of  $F$ , defined by the  $n \times n$  matrix  $(\partial F_i / \partial X_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ , will be denoted by either  $JF$  or  $(JF)(X)$  or  $J(F_1, \dots, F_n; X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . Furthermore, for each  $1 \leq p \leq n$  we denote by  $|JF|_p$  or  $|JF|_p(X)$  the determinant of the  $p \times p$  submatrix  $(\partial F_i / \partial X_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq p}$  of  $JF$ . If  $G =$

$(G_1, \dots, G_n)$  is another polynomial map, the Jacobian matrix of the composed map  $F \circ G$  is given by the chain rule, i.e.

$$J(F \circ G) = (JF)(G) \cdot JG. \tag{1.1}$$

Let  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . A polynomial map  $E = (E_1, \dots, E_n): A^n \rightarrow A^n$  is called *elementary* (of type  $i$ ) if  $E_j = X_j$  for all  $j \neq i$  and  $E_i - X_i$  does not contain  $X_i$ .

LEMMA 1.2. *Let  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n): A^n \rightarrow A^n$  be a polynomial map, and  $E$  an elementary polynomial map of type 1. Then  $|JF \circ E|_p = |JF|_p(E)$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $1 \leq p \leq n$ . If  $p = n$ , the result follows from (1.1), since  $|JE|_n = 1$ .

The case  $p < n$  we reduce to the case  $p = n$ . Therefore define  $\tilde{F} := (F_1, \dots, F_p, X_{p+1}, \dots, X_n)$ . Observe that  $(F_1(E), \dots, F_p(E), X_{p+1}, \dots, X_n) = \tilde{F} \circ E$ , since  $E$  is of type 1. So we get

$$\begin{aligned} |J(F \circ E)|_p &= |J(F_1(E), \dots, F_p(E), X_{p+1}, \dots, X_n)| = |J(\tilde{F} \circ E)|_n \text{ [by (1.1)]} \\ &= |J\tilde{F}|_n(E) \cdot |JE|_n = |JF|_p(E), \end{aligned}$$

since  $|J\tilde{F}|_n = |JF|_p$  and  $|JE|_n = 1$ . ■

## 2. A POLYNOMIAL VERSION OF THE SAMUELSON CONJECTURE

Throughout this section  $A$  is a commutative ring of characteristic zero, i.e.,  $na \neq 0$  for all  $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . The set of units of  $A$  we denote by  $A^*$ .

THEOREM 2.1. *Let  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n): A^n \rightarrow A^n$  be a polynomial map such that  $|JF|_i \in A^*$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $F = D \circ E_{(n)} \circ \dots \circ E_{(1)}$ , where each  $E_{(p)}$  is an elementary polynomial map of type  $p$ , and  $D$  is the diagonal map defined by  $D = (d_1 X_1, \dots, d_n X_n)$ , where  $d_1 = |JF|_1$  and  $d_i = |JF|_i |JF|_{i-1}^{-1}$  for all  $2 \leq i \leq n$ .*

*Proof.*

(i) Put  $c_i := |JF|_i$ . So  $c_i \in A^*$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $D'$  be the diagonal map  $(c_1^{-1} X_1, c_2^{-1} c_1 X_2, \dots, c_n^{-1} c_{n-1} X_n)$ . One readily verifies that  $|JD' \circ F|_i = 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . So replacing  $F$  by  $D' \circ F$ , we may assume that  $|JF|_i = 1$  for all  $i$ .

(ii) From  $|JF|_1 = 1$  we get  $F_1 = X_1 + f_1$  for some  $f_1 \in A[X_2, \dots, X_n]$ . Put  $E_{(1)} = (X_1 + f_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ , we define  $G := F \circ E_{(1)}^{-1}$ . Then  $G = (G_1, \dots, G_n)$  with  $G_1 = X_1$ . Furthermore  $|JG|_p = 1$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq n$  by Lemma 1.2.

(iii) Consider  $G_2, \dots, G_n$  as elements of  $A'[X_2, \dots, X_n]$ , where  $A' := A[X_1]$ , and define the polynomial map  $G^* := (G_2, \dots, G_n)$  from  $A'^{n-1}$  to  $A'^{n-1}$  (in the variables  $X_2, \dots, X_n$ ). Now observe that

$$\det J(G_2, \dots, G_p; X_2, \dots, X_p) = |JG|_p \quad \text{for all } 2 \leq p \leq n$$

(since  $G_1 = X_1$ ). By (ii) we know that  $|JG|_p = 1$ ; hence  $\det J(G_2, \dots, G_p; X_2, \dots, X_p) = 1$  for all  $2 \leq p \leq n$ . By induction on the number of variables it follows that  $(G_2, \dots, G_n) = E_{(n)}^* \circ \dots \circ E_{(2)}^*$ , where  $E_{(p)}^* = (X_2, \dots, X_{p-1}, X_p + f_p, X_{p+1}, \dots, X_n)$  with  $f_p \in A'[X_2, \dots, X_p, \dots, X_n]$  for all  $2 \leq p \leq n$ . Since  $G = (X_1, G_2, \dots, G_n)$ , it follows that  $G = E_{(n)} \circ \dots \circ E_{(2)}$ , where  $E_{(p)} = (X_1, E_{(p)}^*) = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{p-1}, X_p + f_p, X_{p+1}, \dots, X_n)$ . Together with  $G = F \circ E_{(1)}^{-1}$  and (i), this completes the proof. ■

**COROLLARY 2.2** (Polynomial version of the Samuelson conjecture). *If  $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is a polynomial map such that  $|JF|_i(p) \neq 0$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then  $F$  is invertible (hence injective).*

*Proof.* Since each nonconstant polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  has a zero in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , it follows that  $|JF|_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then apply Theorem 2.1. ■

*The second author thanks the University of Nijmegen for hospitality during his stay.*

REFERENCES

- 1 H. Bass, E. H. Connell, and D. Wright, The Jacobian conjecture: Reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 7:287–329 (1982).
- 2 A. Bialynicki-Birula and M. Rosenlicht, Injective morphisms of real algebraic varieties, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 13:200–203 (1962).
- 3 A. van den Essen, Polynomial maps and the Jacobian conjecture, in *Proceedings of the 1990 Computational Algebra Seminar*, CWI Tract 84, 1991, pp. 29–44.
- 4 D. Gale and H. Nikaido, The Jacobian matrix and global univalence of mappings, *Math. Ann.* 159:81–93 (1965).

- 5 K. Kurdyka and K. Rusek, Polynomial-rational bijections of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 102:804–808 (1988).
- 6 C. Olech, T. Parthasarathy, and G. Ravindran, Almost  $N$ -matrices and their applications to the linear complementarity problem, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 145:107–125 (1990).
- 7 T. Parthasarathy, *On Global Univalence Theorems*, Lecture Notes in Math. 977, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- 8 K. Rusek, Polynomial Automorphisms, Preprint 456, Polish Acad. of Science, 1989.
- 9 P. A. Samuelson, *Prices of Factors and Goods in General Equilibrium*, *Rev. Econom. Stud.* 21, 1953.

*Received 7 October 1991; final manuscript accepted 21 November 1991*