Apopomarine-Susceptible and Apopomarine-Unsusceptible Wistar Rats Differ in Their Susceptibility to Inflammatory and Infectious Diseases: A Study on Rats with Group-Specific Differences in Structure and Reactivity of Hypothalamic–Pituitary–Adrenal Axis
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Variability in susceptibility to diseases is a well known phenomenon that has been attributed to genetic and environmental factors. At the level of the immune system, the reactivity of two types of T helper cells (Th1 and Th2 cells) plays an important role in determining disease susceptibility. Inflammatory (autoimmune) diseases are stimulated by cytokines produced by Th1 cells. Th2 cytokines stimulate antibody production (e.g., IgE) and eosinophilia as observed in allergic reactions or during parasitic infections. We describe here that the reactivity in a Th1 or a Th2 disease model significantly differs between individual rats that show group-specific differences in reactivity of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis, as well as in their behavioral responses to stress.

We used two outbred lines of Wistar rats, apomorphine-susceptible rats that have a relatively hyperreactive HPA axis (APO-SUS) and apomorphine-unsusceptible rats that have a relatively hyporeactive HPA axis (APO-UNSUS). APO-SUS, but not APO-UNSUS, rats generated a vigorous, Th2-dependent IgE response after infection with the nematode Trichinella spiralis. In contrast, APO-UNSUS, but not APO-SUS, rats were susceptible for Th1-mediated experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Investigation of cytokine responses of splenocytes revealed that the ratio of mRNA expression for Th1-derived interferon (IFN)-γ and mRNA expression of Th2-derived interleukin-4 (IL-4) was significantly smaller in APO-SUS than in APO-UNSUS rats.

In conclusion, individual differences in structure and reactivity of the neuroendocrine system co-occur with group-specific differences in susceptibility to inflammatory and infectious diseases.
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Individual differences in susceptibility to inflammatory and infectious diseases are thought to be determined by the interplay between genetic and environmental factors. The immune system plays a major role in the pathogenesis of inflammatory and infectious diseases. The neuroendocrine system and the immune system interact (Heijnen et al., 1990; Munck and Guyre, 1990; Madden et al., 1995). Thus, it is conceivable that the reactivity of the neuroendocrine system contributes to disease susceptibility.

We focused on two types of rats that are present in each unselected, outbred population of Wistar rats, namely “high responders to novelty” and “low responders to novelty” (Piazza et al., 1989, 1990a,b; Cools et al., 1990, 1993). Since 1985, Cools et al. have been able to breed these two types of individuals. They have shown that the bimodal variation in apomorphine susceptibility, the original selection criterion for the breeding, is consistently coupled to a bimodal variation in a great variety of neuroanatomical, neurochemical, endocrinological, and behavioral features. Rats marked by a high apomorphine susceptibility (APO-SUS) are high responders to novelty in terms of behavioral response (high exploratory activity) and endocrinological responses (high and long-lasting plasma release of ACTH and corticosteroids). Rats marked by low apomorphine susceptibility (APO-UNSUS) are low responders to novelty in terms of behavioral response (low exploratory activity) and endocrinological responses (low and short-lasting release of ACTH and corticosteroids; Cools et al., 1990; Rots et al., 1995, 1996a,b).

At the level of the immune system, it is thought that two types of T helper cells play an important role in determining susceptibility to disease. Th1 cells predominantly produce γ-interferon (IFN-γ) and interleukin-2 (IL-2), which promote cellular immunity (Mosmann and Sad, 1996). In experimental models of autoimmune diseases such as experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), the Th1 type effector cell response is dominant (Mosmann and Sad, 1996). Th2 cells secrete IL-4, IL-5, and IL-10, which provides help for B cell differentiation and humoral immune responses (Mosmann and Sad, 1996). The immune response to infection with parasitic helminths such as *T. spiralis* involve elevated IgE antibody production, eosinophilia, and mastocytosis (Finkelman et al., 1991). These responses are all stimulated by Th2-derived cytokines (Finkelman et al., 1991; Mosmann...
The production of Th1 and Th2 cytokines can, at least in vitro, be modulated by neuroendocrine mediators (Daynes and Aranoe, 1989; Daynes et al., 1990; Rock et al., 1994). It is not known, however, whether the neuroendocrine system in vivo contributes to the Th1/Th2 balance and to disease susceptibility. However, studies in inbred rats have presented evidence that the neuroendocrine system can contribute to susceptibility to inflammatory autoimmune disease (Macphee et al., 1989; Sternberg et al., 1989a,b). Rats with a blunted reactivity of the HPA axis like Lewis rats are susceptible to EAE and arthritis, whereas Fischer F344 rats are resistant in models of autoimmunity (Macphee et al., 1989; Sternberg et al., 1989a). Moreover, we have evidence that APO-SUS and APO-UNSUS animals differ in EAE susceptibility (Cools et al., 1993).

The aim of the present study was to establish whether the reactivity of the neuroendocrine system of APO-SUS and APO-UNSUS rats is coupled to a group-specific reactivity in two fundamentally different disease models, namely the EAE model for Th1-dependent, autoimmune diseases and the IgE response to infectious diseases.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals.** Male Wistar rats of the APO-SUS and APO-UNSUS lines bred and reared in the Central Animal Laboratory of the University of Nijmegen were used. The selection procedure has been described in detail by Cools et al. (1980). In short, a group of 60 male and 60 female rats of an outbred Wistar population was given s.c. injections of 1.5 mg/kg apomorphine, which induces a stereotypic gnawing behavior. The gnawing score was determined in a modified "Ungerstedt-box," allowing a quantitative analysis of the computerized and automated recordings of gnawing per 45 min (Cools et al., 1990). Breeding of the APO-UNSUS line was started with nine pairs of rats with a gnawing score of <10 per 45 min (27% of the original population). Breeding of the APO-SUS rats was started with nine pairs of rats with a gnawing score of >500 per 45 min (23% of the original population). Throughout the breeding procedure, retention of the genetic feature was tested continuously in rats of the first litter of each generation. After weaning at the age of 30 d, males and females were separated and grouped together (2-4 rats per cage per sex per selection line). At the age of 60 d, rats were given injections of the dopaminergic agonist apomorphine (1.5 mg/kg, s.c.) and the gnawing was tested. Male rats of the second and third litter of APO-SUS rats (gnawing scores in the first litter >500 per 45 min) and of APO-UNSUS rats were inoculated subcutaneously in the hind paw with 100 ng/100 mg T. spiralis myelin basic protein (MBP) in 1 ml saline mixed with 1 ml complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA) (Difco, Detroit, MI), to which 10 mg Mycobacterium tuberculosis H37Ra was added. Rats were examined daily to score the development of clinical signs of EAE. Clinical signs were scored on a scale from 0-5: 0, no clinical signs; 1, partial paralysis of the tail; 2, paralyzation of the tarsus; 3, paralyzation of the hindlimbs; 4, complete paralysis of the hindlimbs or complete lower part of the body; 5, death as a result of EAE.

**Results**

**Sensitivity to Experimental Autoimmune Encephalomyelitis**

APO-SUS and APO-UNSUS rats showed a clear difference in sensitivity to EAE (Fig. 1 and Table 1). APO-SUS rats were less susceptible for EAE. The incidence of disease and the mean cumulative clinical score were lower in APO-SUS animals than in APO-UNSUS animals. In addition, there was a significant difference in the kinetics of disease development. APO-SUS animals showed a significant delay with respect to onset of the disease when compared with APO-UNSUS rats. The first symptoms of the disease at day 8 after inoculation, the degree of paralysis increased reaching maximal levels at day 11 after inoculation in these animals; subsequently, disease activity gradually decreased, and complete remission was observed after 18 d. There was no group-specific difference in duration of the disease.

**Response to Inoculation with Trichinella spiralis**

APO-SUS rats developed a higher level of anti-T. spiralis IgE than APO-UNSUS rats (Fig. 2). In eight of nine APO-SUS animals, T. spiralis-specific antibodies of the IgE subclass could be detected,
Table 1. Susceptibility to EAE of APO-SUS and APO-UNSUS rats

|               | APO-SUS | APO-UNSUS | \( p \)  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incidence(^a)</td>
<td>3/7</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>0.04(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean day of onset(^c)</td>
<td>14.7 (1.5)</td>
<td>9.9 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.017(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>12-17</td>
<td>8-11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration(^e)</td>
<td>4.3 (2.5)</td>
<td>6 (2.6)</td>
<td>ns(^f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>2-7</td>
<td>3-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean cumulative score(^f)</td>
<td>1.9 (1)</td>
<td>9.2 (2.9)</td>
<td>0.018(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>0-7</td>
<td>3-22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Animals were inoculated with MBP in CFA, and the clinical expression of the disease was determined as described in the legend to Figure 1.

\(^a\)Number of animals with disease/number tested; disease was defined as clinical score ≥ 1.

\(^b\)Fisher's exact test.

\(^c\)Average day of disease onset of those animals that developed disease (SEM).

\(^d\)Mann–Whitney two sample test.

\(^e\)Average duration of the disease in days in those animals that developed disease (SEM).

\(^f\)Value represents the mean of the maximum EAE score for each group.

whereas only two of seven APO-UNSUS rats developed detectable levels of parasite-specific IgE.

There were no group-specific differences in the levels of IgG or IgA specific for \( T. \) spiralis (Table 2).

**Production and/or mRNA expression of Th1 and Th2 type cytokines**

The splenocytes of APO-SUS and APO-UNSUS rats did not show group-specific differences in the capacity to produce IFN-\( \gamma \) after mitogenic stimulation (Table 3). Moreover, neither the expression of IFN-\( \gamma \) mRNA nor that of IL-4 mRNA differed between both lines, although the expression of IL-4 was slightly, but not significantly, greater in APO-SUS rats than in APO-UNSUS rats \(( p = 0.06; \) Table 4). However, the relative contribution of Th1 cells versus Th2 cells, as expressed in terms of the ratio IFN mRNA/IL-4, was significantly greater in APO-UNSUS rats than in APO-SUS rats \(( p = 0.03; \) Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

The present study confirms and expands our previous findings that the susceptibility to EAE was significantly smaller in APO-SUS rats than in APO-UNSUS rats; when compared with APO-UNSUS rats, the severity of clinical symptoms of EAE was significantly less and the onset was significantly delayed (see Fig. 1 and Table 1 in Cools et al., 1993). In contrast, APO-SUS rats have a significantly larger response to infection with the nematode \( T. \) spiralis than APO-UNSUS rats: the level of parasite-specific IgE was significantly higher in APO-SUS rats than in APO-UNSUS rats, although the levels of parasite-specific levels of IgA and IgG did not differ between both lines in this model for Th2-dependent infectious diseases (Table 2, Fig. 2). In line with these data, the
present study shows that the relative contribution of Th1 and Th2 type responses significantly differed between both lines; as shown in Table 4, the ratio of the mRNA expression for the Th1 cytokine IFN-γ and for the Th2 cytokine IL-4 in splenocytes was much smaller in APO-SUS rats than in APO-UNSUS rats. These data together show that APO-SUS and APO-UNSUS rats show group-specific differences in their susceptibility to inflammatory and infectious diseases, respectively.

The question arises whether the group-specific differences in the structure and reactivity of the HPA axis actually direct the group-specific differences in the balance between the responses of Th1 and Th2 cells. As mentioned in the introductory remarks, APO-SUS rats have higher plasma levels of ACTH at rest and in response to a stressor (Rots et al., 1996b). Moreover, stress-induced increases in corticosteroids are higher and last longer in APO-SUS animals (Rots et al., 1996b). Glucocorticoids are known to be capable of favouring a Th2 type of response. In vitro administration of glucocorticoids to cultures of murine T cells selectively inhibits the response of Th1 cells (Daynes and Araneo, 1989; Daynes et al., 1990). In addition, in the presence of glucocorticoids, the differentiation and/or activation of Th2 cells is favored (Daynes and Araneo, 1989; Daynes et al., 1990). Furthermore, stress-induced increases in glucocorticoids can stimulate production of the Th2 cytokine IL-4 (Moynihan et al., 1994). Glucocorticoids not only favor the production of Th2 cytokines over Th1 cytokines but are also capable of enhancing the secretion of IgE by B cells in the presence of IL-4 (Nusslein et al., 1994). These data, together with the group-specific differences in susceptibility to Th1- and Th2-dependent immune diseases, give rise to the hypothesis that the group-specific differences in the structure and, especially, reactivity of the HPA axis direct the balance between the responses of Th1 and Th2 cells in APO-SUS and APO-UNSUS rats.

The role of HPA axis reactivity in the inflammatory process in the disease EAE has been suggested in other animal models as well. In the inbred strain of Lewis rats, which are highly susceptible to EAE, it has been shown that the responsiveness of the HPA axis is impaired (Sternberg et al., 1989a,b). The impaired functioning of the HPA axis in Lewis rats has been ascribed to a defect in the hypothalamic secretion of CRH (Sternberg et al., 1989b). In this respect it is of interest that APO-UNSUS animals express lower levels of CRH mRNA in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus than APO-SUS animals (Rots et al., 1995). Administration of glucocorticoids to inflammatory autoimmune disease-sensitive Lewis rats renders them into resistant animals (Sternberg et al., 1989a,b). On the other hand, resistant Fischer F344 rats can be rendered into highly susceptible animals by administration of the glucocorticoid receptor antagonist RU 486 (Sternberg et al., 1989a,b). In the mouse model, two inbred strains have been described that differ in the dominance of responses of Th1 or Th2 cells as well as in the reactivity of the neuroendocrine system. BALB/c mice respond predominantly with a Th2 type response, whereas C57/bl6 mice respond with a Th1 type response (Scott et al., 1989; Heinz et al., 1991). It is of interest that these two strains display differences in the reactivity of the HPA axis that are similar to the differences between APO-SUS and APO-UNSUS rats: stress-induced increases in BALB/c mice are larger than in C57/bl6 mice (Shanks et al., 1994). Together, these data support the hypothesis that the structure and, especially, the reactivity of the HPA axis direct the Th1/Th2 balance.

In comparison with the above-mentioned animal models, the model of APO-SUS and APO-UNSUS rats has several advantages, of which only two are mentioned below. First, the procedure used to breed APO-SUS and APO-UNSUS rats guarantees the maintenance of the originally present genotypic heterogeneity, apart from the alleles at the loci involved in the determination of the selected traits; this matches the human situation far better than animal models with different inbred strains of rodents because such inbred strains, unlike humans, are each marked by a genotypic uniformity. Second, the available knowledge about group-specific differences in structure of the brain and body, as well as in behavior of APO-SUS and APO-UNSUS rats, is far greater than that of the mentioned inbred strains. Such knowledge is a prerequisite for analyzing additional mechanisms and factors that modulate, control, or direct individual-specific differences in susceptibility to inflammatory and infectious diseases. In this respect, it is relevant to mention that the group-specific differences in the structure and reactivity of the brain of APO-SUS and APO-UNSUS rats are consistently and causally coupled to group-specific differences in behavioral responses to internal and external challenges (coping styles). This makes these rats very useful models for studying the complex relation between individual-specific vulnerability for immune diseases and different coping styles.

Recently, we have found that APO-SUS and APO-UNSUS rats differ also in the adrenergic reactivity of the peripheral and CNS. Apart from the finding that the basal plasma level of adrenaline is lower in APO-SUS rats than in APO-UNSUS rats that may result in relatively hypersensitive β2-adrenergic receptors, the stress-induced increase in adrenaline is much higher in APO-SUS rats than in APO-UNSUS rats (Rots, 1995). Therefore, the adrenergic system may be more effective in modulating responses in APO-SUS rats than in APO-UNSUS rats. Cells of the immune system express β2-adrenergic receptors and from in vitro experiments it is known that adrenaline can selectively influence the reactivity of Th1 or Th2 cells (Johnson and Gordon, 1981). The increase in intracellular cAMP after activation of β2-adrenergic receptors...