Declining mortality of cerebral venous sinus thrombosis with thrombocytopenia after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination
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Abstract

Background and purpose: High mortality rates have been reported in patients with cerebral venous sinus thrombosis (CVST) due to vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia (VITT) after vaccination with adenoviral vector SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. The aim of this study was to evaluate whether the mortality of patients with CVST-VITT has decreased over time.

Methods: The EudraVigilance database of the European Medicines Agency was used to identify cases of CVST with concomitant thrombocytopenia occurring within 28 days of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination. Vaccines were grouped based on vaccine type (adenoviral or mRNA). Cases with CVST onset until 28 March were compared to cases after 28 March 2021, which was the day when the first scientific paper on VITT was published.

Results: In total, 270 cases of CVST with thrombocytopenia were identified, of which 266 (99%) occurred after adenoviral vector SARS-CoV-2 vaccination (ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, n = 243; Ad26.COV2.S, n = 23). The reported mortality amongst adenoviral cases with onset up to 28 March 2021 was 47/99 (47%, 95% confidence interval 37%–58%) compared to 36/167 (22%, 95% confidence interval 16%–29%) in cases with onset after 28 March 2021.
INTRODUCTION

Since March 2021, cases of cerebral venous sinus thrombosis (CVST) with thrombocytopenia after vaccination with the adenovirus-based SARS-CoV-2 vaccines ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (Vaxzevria, AstraZeneca/Oxford) and Ad26.COV2.S (Janssen/Johnson&Johnson) have been reported (vaccine-induced immune thrombocytopenia [VITT]) [1–6]. Early reported mortality rates amongst patients with VITT, especially those with CVST, were high, ranging between 30% and 60% [1–4]. After the discovery that the underlying pathophysiology of anti-platelet factor 4 antibody-induced platelet activation resembled an autoimmune variant of heparin induced thrombocytopenia, specific treatment recommendations different from standard CVST care were proposed, based on the following three pillars: (i) use of non-heparin based anticoagulants, (ii) use of immunomodulation, with intravenous immunoglobulin as first-line treatment and (iii) avoidance of platelet transfusion [1,7].

Now that VITT is a recognized side effect and treatment recommendations are in place which are widely endorsed by international organizations [8,9], the question arises whether the outcome of patients with CVST-VITT has improved over time. In an attempt to answer this question, acute mortality rates from the EudraVigilance database of the European Medicines Agency (EMA) were compared in two different time periods.

METHODS

Data selection

This is an extension of a previously published study which also used EudraVigilance data and where the methods are described in more detail [4]. Briefly, EudraVigilance is a passive pharmacovigilance system hosted and maintained by EMA in which suspected adverse drug reactions (ADRs) are collected from countries inside and outside the European Economic Area (EEA). Marketing authorization holders and national competent authorities are obliged to report any suspected ADRs occurring within the EEA as well as any suspected serious ADRs occurring within and outside the EEA [10].

28 March (p < 0.001). None of the four cases of CVST with thrombocytopenia occurring after mRNA vaccination died.

Conclusion: The reported mortality of CVST with thrombocytopenia after vaccination with adenoviral vector-based SARS-CoV-2 vaccines has significantly decreased over time, which may indicate a beneficial effect of earlier recognition and/or improved treatment on outcome after VITT.

KEYWORDS
cerebral venous sinus thrombosis, COVID-19 vaccines, mortality, sinus thrombosis, intracranial, thrombocytopenia

The authors were granted level 2A access to the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities High Level Group Term (MedDRA HLGT, version 24.0) ‘Central nervous system vascular disorders’ [11]. For the current study, data on all suspected adverse events reported to EudraVigilance until 13 June 2021 for the four available SARS-CoV-2 vaccines approved through EMA were extracted.

All cases of CVST with reported concomitant thrombocytopenia and symptom onset within 28 days after vaccination with one of the adenoviral vector-based SARS-CoV-2 vaccines approved by EMA (ChAdOx1 nCov-19 and Ad26.COV2.S) were identified. For comparison, cases after mRNA-based SARS-CoV-2 vaccines (BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273) were collected. CVST with reported concomitant thrombocytopenia was assumed to be CVST-VITT, as thrombocytopenia has been found to be rare in CVST prior to the COVID-19 pandemic [12]. Reported adverse reactions coded with ‘Preferred Terms’ (PTs) of the MedDRA were screened by two authors (AM and KK) for PTs corresponding to CVST and potential CVST (Table S1). Cases marked as ‘suspected CVST’ after screening were adjudicated by a senior vascular neurologist (JMC). Cases were marked as having concomitant thrombocytopenia if a PT related to thrombocytopenia was assumed to be CVST-VITT, as thrombocytopenia has been found to be rare in CVST prior to the COVID-19 pandemic [12]. Reported adverse reactions coded with ‘Preferred Terms’ (PTs) of the MedDRA were screened by two authors (AM and KK) for PTs corresponding to CVST and potential CVST (Table S1). Cases marked as ‘suspected CVST’ after screening were adjudicated by a senior vascular neurologist (JMC). Cases were marked as having concomitant thrombocytopenia if a PT related to thrombocytopenia was assumed to be CVST-VITT, as thrombocytopenia has been found to be rare in CVST prior to the COVID-19 pandemic [12].

As a baseline characteristic, the adenoviral vector vaccine group was screened for adverse reactions coded with PTs related to intracranial haemorrhage (Table S1). Only intracranial haemorrhages with a reported onset date prior to or equal to the CVST onset date were included. In addition, the adenoviral vector vaccine group was screened for cases with any confirmed COVID-19 infection (Tables S1 and S2).

Data analysis

To evaluate a shift in mortality over time, cases with CVST onset up to and including 28 March were compared to those with onset after 28 March 2021. This cut-off date was selected because on
that day the first paper on VITT, which included treatment recommendations, was published on a preprint server, receiving worldwide attention both amongst physicians and in the media [1,13].

Medians and interquartile ranges were calculated for continuous variables, and counts and percentages for categorical variables. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals (95% CI) for mortality rates were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method. A chi-square test was performed to compare the mortality of CVST between the two time periods. A sensitivity analysis was performed restricted to subjects within the EEA and UK because of a potential reporting bias by non-EEA countries, which initiated reporting of cases at a later stage. Analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 26.0.0.1 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

**RESULTS**

Amongst 8537 individual cases with at least one reaction in the MedDRA HLGT ‘Central nervous system vascular disorders’, 270 cases of CVST with thrombocytopenia within 28 days of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination were identified (Figure 1). Of these, 266 (99%) occurred after vaccination with an adenoviral vector-based vaccine (ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, n = 243; Ad26.COV2.S, n = 23). Only 3/243 cases occurred after a second vaccination with ChAdOx1 nCoV-19. Group characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Overall mortality was 83/270 (31%, 95% CI 25%–37%). In the adenoviral vector vaccine group, mortality was 83/266 (31%, 95% CI 26%–37%). Mortality after ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccination was 79/243 (33%, 95% CI 27%–39%) and after Ad26.COV2.S vaccination

---

**FIGURE 1** Flowchart of case selection. CVST, cerebral venous sinus thrombosis; MedDRA HLGT, Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities High Level Group Term
It was found that the reported mortality of CVST with thrombocytopenia after vaccination with adeno viral vector SARS-CoV-2 vaccines has fallen substantially, from 47% to 22% in cases with symptom onset before and after 28 March 2021, respectively. This decrease in mortality could be the result of earlier diagnosis and/or improved treatment, but this cannot be ascertained with certainty from the EudraVigilance data. Although limited information on treatment effects in CVST-VITT is available [8], intravenous immunoglobulins have been found to raise platelet counts and decrease hypercoagulability in patients with autoimmune heparin induced thrombocytopenia [1].

Other potential explanations for the decrease in mortality should be taken into account. First, increased awareness of VITT amongst physicians after 28 March may have resulted in the identification and subsequent reporting of less severe cases. Although sex, age and baseline intracranial haemorrhage were relatively similar in both time periods, no other detailed information, for instance on baseline characteristics, comorbidities and markers of CVST severity, is available with level 2A access to EudraVigilance. Secondly, the population that received vaccination may have shifted over time. For instance, most countries prioritized vaccination for those most at risk of severe disease [14], such as older people and people with underlying health conditions, and these people could have a higher risk of death after VITT. Thirdly, mortality can theoretically have been higher in the earlier cases because of a longer follow-up time. Unfortunately, date of death is not systematically recorded in EudraVigilance. However, since the mortality rate in the earlier cases is similar to our previous analysis which contained EudraVigilance data until 8 April 2021 (mortality rate 49%) [4], the longer follow-up duration is an unlikely explanation for the observed difference in mortality.

As previously reported [4], the most important limitation of the study is the quality and completeness of the EudraVigilance database. Because EudraVigilance is a passive pharmacovigilance system, a risk of underreporting is present, especially for less severe cases. Data were not centrally validated, and thus the accuracy and completeness of the reported information are unknown. Because marketing authorization holders and national competent authorities can only report ADRs that they are aware of, a risk of selective reporting remains present. In addition, some patients with CVST-VITT prior to 28 March 2021 probably remained undiagnosed, because VITT was an unknown condition at that time. Acute death caused by CVST-VITT could have been misclassified, potentially resulting in an underestimation of the reported mortality rate before 28 March.
effect of earlier diagnosis and/or treatment recommendations for VITT on patient outcome. Nevertheless, even after 28 March, reported mortality rates remained high, especially in comparison with mortality rates of CVST patients prior to the COVID-19 pandemic [4,15].
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