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Low-Density Lipoprotein Receptor-deficient Mice Are Protected Against Lethal Endotoxemia and Severe Gram-negative Infections
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Abstract

Lipoproteins can bind lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and decrease the LPS-stimulated production of pro-inflammatory cytokines. We investigated the effect of increased plasma concentrations of low-density-lipoproteins (LDL) on survival and cytokine production after a lethal challenge with either LPS or live Gram-negative bacteria in LDL receptor deficient mice. The LDLR−/− mice challenged with LPS had an eightfold increased LD80 when compared with the wild type controls (C57Bl/6J), while tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα) and interleukin-1 α (IL-1α) plasma concentrations were decreased twofold. LDLR−/− mice had significantly lower and delayed mortality than control mice after infection with *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. No differences in the outgrowth of bacteria in the organs were present between the two groups, while circulating cytokine concentrations were decreased twofold in LDLR−/− mice. In contrast, the LPS-stimulated in vitro production of cytokines by peritoneal macrophages of LDLR−/− mice was significantly increased compared with controls. This increase was associated with enhanced specific binding of LPS to the macrophages of LDLR−/− mice. In conclusion, endogenous LDL can protect against the lethal effects of endotoxin and Gram-negative infection. At least part of this protection is achieved through decreased in vivo production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, in spite of increased cytokine production capacity. (J. Clin. Invest. 1996; 97:1366–1372). Key words: lipopolysaccharide • gram-negative infection • tumor necrosis factor • interleukin-1 • low-density lipoproteins.

Introduction

Mammals infected with Gram-negative bacteria may develop a severe syndrome characterized by hypotension, disseminated intravascular coagulation, and renal, hepatic and cerebral damage. Most of these deleterious effects can be mimicked by infusion of endotoxin, a lipopolysaccharide (LPS) component of the cell wall of Gram-negative bacteria (1). Binding of LPS to various receptors on leukocytes triggers the production and release of proinflammatory cytokines as tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα), interleukin-1α (IL-1α) and IL-1β. In experimental models, treatment of animals with anti-TNF antibodies attenuates the lethal effects of endotoxin or Gram-negative bacteria (2, 3). A protective effect can also be obtained by treatment with either of the natural antagonists of TNF or IL-1, soluble TNF receptors or IL-1 receptor antagonist (4, 5). Thus, these cytokines are thought to play a central role in the pathophysiology of sepsis.

Once LPS enters the circulation, initial interactions with LPS-binding protein (LBP) and lipoproteins play a critical role in subsequent stimulation of pro-inflammatory cytokine synthesis. The LPS-LBP complex is bound by CD14, the cellular receptor for LBP, and this binding stimulates macrophages to release pro-inflammatory cytokines (6, 7). Recent studies also suggest that LBP may facilitate the binding of LPS not only to CD14, but also to lipoproteins (8), either by direct action of LBP or by a two-step reaction in which LPS is first transferred to sCD14 and subsequently to HDL (9). In plasma, LPS bind to the various types of lipoproteins: high-density lipoproteins (HDL) (10), low-density lipoproteins (LDL) (11), very-low-density lipoproteins (VLDL) (12) and chylomicrons (13). Formation of LPS-lipoproteins complexes greatly reduces the binding of LPS to macrophages (14, 15). In vitro, this results in decreased production and release of the pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF, IL-1 and IL-6 (16, 17), and a subsequent loss of LPS toxicity.

The potential protective effect of lipoproteins against LPS-toxicity in vivo has been investigated in recent studies. Preincubation of LPS with lipoproteins prior to injection into animals decreased the mortality (10), while the in vivo infusion of lipoproteins markedly reduced the cytokinemia and mortality after LPS administration (18). Transgenic mice that express human apolipoprotein A-1 at high levels and thus have elevated plasma HDL concentrations are protected against LPS challenge (19). In contrast, hypolipidemic mice are more sensitive to the toxic effects of endotoxin (20).

All these studies have shown a protection by lipoproteins against LPS effects, while only one recent study has reported that hyperlipoproteinemia may beneficially influence severe infections with live microorganisms (21). In a rat model of cecal ligation and puncture, infusion of exogenous chylomicrons reduced both circulating LPS and TNF concentrations and mortality. However, no data regarding the effect of endogenously elevated lipoprotein concentrations on severe infections have been reported. In the present study, we assessed the effects of a lethal LPS challenge and a lethal Gram-negative infection with *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in the recently developed...
low density lipoprotein receptor knockout mice (LDLR−/−). These LDLR−/− mice have a markedly increased plasma cholesterol concentration compared with the wild-type controls, due to a 7–9-fold increase in intermediate density lipoproteins (IDL) and LDL (22). To study the mechanisms involved in the lipoprotein-induced protection we assessed the bacterial outgrowth in the organs and the cytokine response during infection. In addition, we studied the cytokine production capacity by the peritoneal macrophages of the LDLR−/− and control mice.

Methods

Animals. Homozygous C57Bl/6J mice lacking low density lipoprotein receptors (LDLR−/−) and wild-type littermates were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) as mating pairs, and bred in our local facility. For the experiments, 6–8 weeks old mice, weighing 20–25 grams, were used. The animals were fed standard laboratory chow (Hope Farms, Woerden, the Netherlands) and housed under specific pathogen-free conditions. The experiments were approved by the ethical committee on animal experiments of the Catholic University Nijmegen.

Lethal endotoxemia model. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS; Escherichia coli serotype O55:B5) was obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). Groups of LDLR−/− and C57Bl/6J mice were injected i.v. in the retroorbital plexus (after anesthetizing with ether) with various amounts of LPS (0.25–2.5 mg/mouse). 90 min after challenge with LPS, five animals from each group were anesthetized with ether and bled from the retroorbital plexus for measurement of cytokine concentrations. The outgrowth of the microorganisms from the blood, liver, spleen and kidneys of the animals was determined in platelet-rich plasma by a chromogenic limulus amoebocyte lysate assay. All measurements. The organs were disrupted by three freeze-thaw cycles to determine the cell-associated cytokine contents. The samples were stored at −70°C until cytokine measurements.

The cytokine production capacity of peritoneal macrophages was also measured after stimulation by heat-killed (100°C, 30 min) Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 25923). The suspension was washed three times in RPMI (final concentration 2 × 10⁵ CFU/ml). 100 μl suspension containing 10⁴ microorganisms in RPMI were added to 10⁶ peritoneal macrophages in 96-well microtiter plates and the cells were incubated 24 h at 37°C. The samples were obtained and stored at −70°C until cytokine measurements, as described above.

Cytokine measurements. TNFα, IL-1α and IL-1β concentrations were determined using specific radioimmunoassays (RIAs) developed in our laboratory (24). Briefly, standards and samples were diluted in RIA-buffer (per liter: 10 mM Na2HPO4, 2 mM CaCl2; 12 mM EDTA; 1 ml sodium-azide (20% wt/vol); 2.5-gram bovine serum albumin fraction IV (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO); 1 ml Triton X-100; 25 ml aprotinin solution (Trasylol, containing 10,000 inhibitor units per milliliter, Bayer BV, Mydrecht, The Netherlands) diluted with deionized water milli-Q, pH 7.4. 100 μl of an appropriate mono-specific rabbit antisemir against the respective mouse cytokine was added to 100 μl of samples and standards. After vortexing, the tubes were incubated for 24 h at 4°C, followed by addition of 100 μl of the respective [125I]-labeled tracers. After 24 h, 700 μl RIA buffer containing 9% (wt/vol) polyethylene-glycol 6000, and 3% (vol/vol) goat anti-rabbit IgG was added. The tubes were incubated for 20 min at room temperature and then centrifuged at 1,500 g for 15 min. Supernatants were discarded carefully and quickly drained on absorbent paper. Re-maining radioactivity was counted in a gamma-counter. The radioactivity in control tubes (the non-specific binding activity) was subtracted from samples and standards. Detection limits were between 0.02 and 0.04 ng/ml. The mean of duplicate determinations was calculated for each sample. The accuracy of the cytokine assays was determined using reference preparations: murine recombinant TNFα, IL-1α, and IL-1β obtained from the National Institute of Biological Standards and Control (NIBSC, Hertfordshire, UK). Using various batches of tracers the bias of all cytokine-assisas was < 20%; interassay precision ranged between 10 and 13% depending on the concentration (n = 22).

Ex vivo cytokine production. Resident peritoneal macrophages were harvested by rinsing the peritoneal cavity aseptically with cold PBS containing 0.38% (wt/vol) sodium citrate. After centrifugation for 10 min, 1,800 rpm, at 4°C, cells were resuspended in RPMI 1640 (Dutch modification; Flow Laboratories, Irvine, UK), containing 1 mM pyruvate, 2 mM l-glutamine and 100 μg/ml gentamicin. 10⁶ cells/well were cultured in 96-well microtiter plates (Costar Corporation, Cambridge, MA) in RPMI medium (final volume 200 μl), with or without LPS at a final concentration of 1 μg/ml. No plasma or serum was added to the culture medium. The supernatants were collected after 24 h of incubation at 37°C, and stored at −70°C until assay. To the remaining macrophages, 200 μl RPMI 1640 was added, and the cells were disrupted by three freeze-thaw cycles to determine the cell-associated cytokine contents. The samples were stored at −70°C until cytokine measurements.

To assess whether the same protection is obtained in a more susceptible animal, we used C57Bl/6J mice lacking low density lipoprotein receptors (LDLR−/−) and wild-type littermates. Several combinations of recombinant cytokines provided by Dr. P. Lomedico, Hoffmann-LaRoche, Nutley, NJ), or a specific activity.
21 Ci/(mmol) was added to the oxidized LPS (5 mg/ml) in 1 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. After a 2 h incubation at room temperature, the reaction was terminated by the addition of 25 mg nonradioactive sodium borohydride. The tritiated LPS was purified on a PD-10 column (Pharmacia, Woerden, The Netherlands). Subsequently, the unincorporated $^3$H was removed by repeated concentration in an Amicon concentrator. Elution of the $^3$H-labeled LPS preparation on a PD-10 column indicated that more than 95% of the $^3$H-label was associated with LPS both in the fresh preparation, as well as in the preparation incubated overnight at room temperature (the temperature of the incubation in the binding studies). The specific activity of this $^3$H-LPS was 16,000 cpm/μg.

**Binding of radiolabeled LPS to peritoneal macrophages.** Peritoneal macrophages from LDLR−/− and C57Bl/6J mice were collected as described above, and 10⁶ peritoneal macrophages were incubated in 100 μl RPMI 1640 in 0.5 ml Eppendorf plastic cups. No plasma or serum was present in the system. Increasing amounts of $[^3]$H-LPS (10,000–100,000 cpm) were added and the cells were incubated at room temperature for 45 min (26). Cells were spun down (2,000 g, 5 min), washed twice in 100 μl RPMI, solubilized in scintillation fluid and counted in a $\beta$-counter. Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of a large excess of nonradioactive LPS. Specific binding of LPS was defined as the difference between total and nonspecific binding.

**Determination of plasma lipids.** Cholesterol and triglycerides were determined by enzymatic methods on a Hitachi 747 analyzer.

**Statistical analysis.** Survival data were analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier log rank test (27). Differences in concentrations of cytokines and in organ counts of the microorganisms were analyzed using the Kruskall-Wallis test (28). Differences were considered significant at $P < 0.05$. All experiments were performed at least twice.

### Results

**Lethal endotoxemia model.** Plasma cholesterol concentrations are presented in Table I. The total cholesterol concentrations were fourfold higher in LDLR−/− mice at all the time points tested. The plasma triglyceride concentrations differed only marginally in the two mouse strains both 90 min (0.67±0.13 vs. 0.62±0.09 mmol/liter, $P > 0.05$) and 4 h (0.50±0.07 vs. 0.42±0.06 mmol/liter, $P > 0.05$) after LPS. The survival of the LDLR−/− mice was significantly increased when compared with C57Bl/6J mice, the estimated endotoxin LD₅₀ dose being 8-times higher ($P < 0.001$) (Fig. 1). Mortality occurred in the first 48 h after endotoxin administration, without further mortality during the next days of the follow-up. Plasma concentrations of TNFα were significantly lower in LDLR−/− mice 90 min after LPS administration, than in control animals (1.5±0.5 vs. 3.7±0.9 ng/ml, $P < 0.02$) (Fig. 2). Four hours after the endotoxin challenge, IL-1α concentrations were also lower in LDLR−/− mice (60±21 vs. 106±26 pg/ml, $P < 0.05$), while no differences in IL-1β concentrations were detected (36±9 vs. 37±9 pg/ml, $P > 0.05$) (Fig. 2).

**Lethal cytokinemia model.** To investigate whether the protection to a lethal injection of endotoxin is due to decreased susceptibility to proinflammatory cytokines, mice were injected i.v. with pro-inflammatory cytokines. The administration of either 50 μg recombinant human TNFα or 10 μg IL-1α did not induce any mortality. After the administration of a combination of these cytokines, no protection by the high lipo-protein concentrations of LDLR−/− mice was observed. The mortality was 0% in both mouse strains when a combination of 10 μg of TNFα and 1 μg IL-1α was injected. When a combination of 20 μg TNFα with 5 μg IL-1α was injected the mortality was 20% in LDLR−/− mice and 33% in the C57Bl/6J mice ($P > 0.05$), and when a combination of 50 μg TNFα with 10 μg IL-1α was injected the mortality was 80% in LDLR−/− mice, and 91% in controls ($P > 0.05$). The mortality occurred exclusively during the first 48 h.

**Klebsiella pneumoniae infection.** Cholesterol concentrations during K. pneumoniae infection decreased in both strains of mice. However, they remained significantly higher in LDLR−/− mice than in control mice (Table I). No significant differences in triglyceride levels 90 min after infection were found between the LDLR−/− and C57Bl/6J mice (0.79±0.11 vs. 0.87±0.13 mmol/liter).

![Figure 1. Survival of LDLR−/− (△) and C57Bl/6J mice (○) after LPS challenge. Groups of at least five animals received an intravenous LPS challenge with the dosages shown on the x-axis and the outcome was recorded as percentage mortality after 48 h. LDLR−/− mice had a significantly improved survival when compared with the control animals.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI118556)

![Figure 2. Plasma cytokine concentrations in LDLR−/− (shaded columns) and control mice (black columns) after an intravenous injection with 1 mg LPS. The plasma concentrations of both TNF (90 min after the LPS challenge) and IL-1α (4 h after LPS challenge) were significantly decreased in LDLR−/− mice when compared with control mice. No differences in IL-1β plasma concentrations were detected 4 h after LPS was injected. *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.02$.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI118556)
0.75±0.06 mmol/liter, P > 0.05). However, 4 h after infection the triglycerides concentrations were slightly higher in LDLR/−/− mice than controls (0.79±0.21 vs. 0.51±0.07 mmol/liter, P < 0.05). After i.v. injection of 10⁵ CFU of *K. pneumoniae*, LDLR/−/− mice showed a delayed and decreased mortality (70%) compared with control animals (100%, P < 0.01) (Fig. 3). After an i.v. infection with 10⁶ CFU, only 42% of LDLR/−/− mice died, in comparison with 67% of the C57Bl/6 J mice (P < 0.05) (Fig. 3). In the *K. pneumoniae* infection models studied, no deaths occurred after 14 d of infection. No differences in *K. pneumoniae* outgrowth in the organs of the two groups 24 h after infection was observed (Table II). Also, quantitative blood cultures revealed no differences between the two mouse strains (Table II). However, the LPS concentrations in LDLR/−/− mice tended to be lower when compared with C57Bl/6 J mice, in both strains reaching high values 90 min after i.v. injection of *Klebsiella*, and decreasing at 4 h (Table III). TNFα concentrations in the plasma of LDLR/−/− mice measured 90 min after infection were lower compared with control mice, although statistical significance was not reached (0.45±0.46 vs. 0.81±0.61 ng/ml, P > 0.05). Concentrations of IL-1α in the plasma of LDLR/−/− mice were lower as compared with control mice at 4 h after infection (56±40 vs. 114±9 pg/ml, P < 0.04); only marginally lower IL-1β was detected in LDLR/−/− mice (55±21 vs. 78±13 pg/ml, P > 0.05).

When the more sustained *K. pneumoniae* infection was induced by intramuscular injection of 10⁶ CFU, the survival in the LDLR/−/− group was 56%, while only 11% of the control animals survived (P < 0.05). Most of the animals died between days 5 and 12 of the follow-up. No differences in the outgrowth of *K. pneumoniae* in the organs and blood of the two mouse strains were found (Table II). LPS plasma concentrations were similar in both mouse strains (Table III). The LPS determinations were performed after heating the plasma, a process which liberates lipoprotein-bound LPS, and thus the total plasma LPS rather than the free LPS concentrations were assessed. Compared with i.v. infection, the LPS concentrations during the i.m. infection were lower 90 min after infection, but higher at 4 h. This was also accompanied by later increases in cytokines: TNFα and IL-1α plasma concentrations in most of the samples after 90 min were below the detection limit, to become detectable at 4 h. At the latter time point, a tendency towards decreased circulating concentrations of TNFα (101±29 vs. 235±228 pg/ml, P > 0.05) and IL-1α (35±12 vs. 85±52 pg/ml, P > 0.05) was observed in the LDLR/−/− mice when compared with controls, although statistical significance was not reached. IL-1β concentrations were below detection limit both 90 min and 4 h after infection.

**Ex vivo cytokine production capacity.** To investigate whether the decreased in vivo cytokine production by the LDLR/−/− mice was due to reduced cellular production capacity, rather than to LPS binding and neutralization by the high lipoprotein concentrations, resident peritoneal macrophages were stimulated in vitro by LPS in the absence of plasma or serum. Somewhat surprisingly, cytokine concentrations in supernatants of macrophages from LDLR/−/− mice were 2–3-fold higher after LPS stimulation when compared with C57Bl/6 J mice (Fig. 4 a). The cell-associated TNFα did not differ between the two mouse strains, while both cell-associated IL-1α and IL-1β were increased in LDLR/−/− mice (Fig. 4 b). This increased cytokine production capacity was observed only after LPS stimulation, and was not detected after stimulation with heat-killed *Staphylococcus aureus* (Fig. 4, a and b).

**Binding of tritiated LPS to peritoneal macrophages.** To test whether the increased cytokine production capacity was due to increased LPS binding to macrophages, we assessed the specific binding of [³⁵H-LPS] to the peritoneal macrophages of the two mouse strains. The specific binding of radiolabeled LPS to the peritoneal macrophages of LDLR/−/− mice was significa-

### Table II. Bacterial Outgrowth in the Organs of LDLR/−/− and C57Bl/6 J Mice 24 h after i.v. and i.m. Infection with 10⁶ CFU *K. pneumoniae*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LDLR/−/−</th>
<th>C57Bl/6 J</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>K. pneumoniae</em> i.v.</td>
<td>5.78±1.09</td>
<td>5.32±1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>5.72±1.04</td>
<td>6.40±1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidneys</td>
<td>4.83±1.53</td>
<td>5.32±1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>4.63±1.19</td>
<td>5.04±1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. pneumoniae</em> i.m.</td>
<td>5.50±1.08</td>
<td>5.25±0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>5.52±1.57</td>
<td>5.47±0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidneys</td>
<td>5.42±1.46</td>
<td>5.10±0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>4.97±0.93</td>
<td>5.12±1.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The data represent mean±SD of log CFU/gram of tissue for five animals. The results do not differ significantly for the three organs and the blood tested.

### Table III. LPS Circulating Concentrations (ng/mL) in LDLR/−/− and C57Bl/6 J Mice after i.v. and i.m. Infection with 10⁶ CFU *K. pneumoniae*  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LDLR/−/−</th>
<th>C57Bl/6 J</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>K. pneumoniae</em> i.v.</td>
<td>6.7 (3.9–30.5)</td>
<td>25.7 (3.5–39.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 h</td>
<td>2.9 (1.5–4.2)</td>
<td>7.2 (3.8–28.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. pneumoniae</em> i.m.</td>
<td>2.3 (0.1–49.2)</td>
<td>3.9 (1.7–9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 h</td>
<td>13.4 (1.6–26.0)</td>
<td>15.6 (1.9–81.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The results represent median (interval) of groups of five mice. *P* < 0.05 (for comparison between LDLR/−/− and C57Bl/6 J mice). Total LPS plasma concentrations were detected after heating the samples, a process which liberates lipoprotein-bound LPS.

**Table I. Plasma Cholesterol Concentrations (mmol/liter) before and after LPS Challenge and *K. pneumoniae* Infection in LDLR/−/− and C57Bl/6 J Mice**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LDLR/−/−</th>
<th>C57Bl/6 J</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before infection</td>
<td>9.55±1.11</td>
<td>2.25±0.45*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After LPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>5.16±1.53</td>
<td>1.42±0.27*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 h</td>
<td>4.10±0.69</td>
<td>1.42±0.18¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After <em>K. pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>5.72±1.05</td>
<td>1.78±0.08*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 h</td>
<td>6.27±1.16</td>
<td>1.96±0.17¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>7.42±0.63</td>
<td>2.54±0.71*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LDLR/−/− and control mice were challenged with LPS (1 mg/mouse) or infected i.v. with 10⁵ cfu of *K. pneumoniae*. Each figure represents mean±SD of five mice. *P* < 0.01. ¹P < 0.05 (for comparison between C57Bl/6 J and LDLR/−/−).
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cantly higher than to macrophages of C57Bl/6J mice, after var-
ious amounts of tritiated LPS added: 2001±318 vs. 1132±222 cpm bound when 10,000 cpm H-LPS were added (P < 0.05); 5806±442 vs. 2421±476 cpm bound (30,000 cpm added) (P < 0.05); and 15,840±3,305 vs. 5,901±368 cpm bound (100,000 cpm added) (P < 0.05). The non-specific binding of tritiated LPS did not differ between the two mouse strains.

Discussion

In this study we showed that LDL receptor deficient mice were protected against lethal endotoxemia as well as against severe infections with Gram-negative microorganisms, not only after acute i.v. infection, but also in a more subacute model of intramuscular infection with *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Lipoprotein concentrations in the LDLR–/– mice are genetically elevated, due to increased IDL and LDL (22). Our approach thus differs from other models in which exogenous lipoproteins were administered to obtain hyperlipoproteinemia (10, 11, 18). This intervention was associated with decreased cytokinemia and improved survival during lethal endotoxemia. The same protection was reported in mice in which endoge-
ously elevated HDL were responsible for the increased lipo-
proteins (19). The importance of endogenous lipoproteins in the protection against lethal endotoxemia was also observed by Feingold and colleagues (20), who reported increased LPS-induced mortality when serum lipids were decreased by phar-
macological agents. However, our study shows that the pro-
tection due to increased endogenous LDL can be extended to severe Gram-negative infections with live microorganisms.

In general, mortality to infection is considered to be due to lethal cytokinemia or to functional impairment by excessive growth of microorganisms in the organs of the host. In the model of infection we studied, showing no difference in the number of circulating bacteria or the number of bacteria in the tissues, the protection was probably achieved through the high levels of LDL in the LDLR–/– mice, which are able to neutralize the effects of LPS released by similar bacterial loads as in the control mice, and consequently inhibit the induction of lethal concentrations of cytokines. The crucial role of cytokines in the response to a Gram-negative infection, independently of the bacterial load, has also been documented in our previous studies (29, 30).

The protection against the LPS component of Gram-nega-
tive bacteria by lipoproteins is achieved either by direct neu-
ralization of LPS by lipoproteins (8, 9, 10), or by accelerating the clearance of LPS by lipoproteins (11). In this respect, we considered if in our study an increased clearance of LPS or the direct neutralization of LPS by lipopro-
teins is playing the main role in the protection of LDLR–/– mice during *K. pneumoniae* infection. The LPS concentrations tended to be lower in LDLR–/– mice than in controls when the infection was induced by i.v. injection of *K. pneumoniae*. This may be due to increased LPS clearance, since we deter-
mined the LPS concentrations after heating the plasma before the assay, a procedure which liberates lipoprotein-bound LPS (31). However, in the i.m. *K. pneumoniae* infection model, the LPS concentrations were similar in the LDLR–/– and control mice. Since LDLR–/– mice in this model also showed decreased circulating cytokines, as well as decreased mortality.
compared with control mice, we conclude that probably the main mechanism of protection of LDLR−/− mice in K. pneumoniae infection is through direct binding and neutralization of LPS by lipoproteins, rather than increased clearance of endotoxin. This is not surprising since the clearance of LDL, which is the main lipoprotein fraction increased in the LDLR−/− mice, is decreased in these mice (22), due to the lack of the receptor.

The increased cytokine concentrations in the circulation during Gram-negative infections are thought to be induced by the LPS component of the cell wall. We have shown that LDLR−/− mice were more LPS tolerant, the LPS LD50 in LDLR−/− mice being increased approximately eightfold. Associated with the increased protection of LDLR−/− mice we observed decreased concentrations of the pro-inflammatory cytokines TNFα and IL-1α. The LDLR−/− mice were not protected against a lethal injection of these cytokines, arguing against a direct inactivation of circulating cytokines by lipoproteins, and against decreased peripheral sensitivity of LDLR−/− mice to TNFα or IL-1. This confirms that the protection of LDLR−/− mice in lethal endotoxemia is due to an inactivation of the bacterial LPS by increased plasma lipoproteins, which in turn results in a blunted response of the pro-inflammatory cytokines.

An interesting observation was made when we evaluated the in-vitro cytokine production capacity of the LDLR−/− mice. We showed that, in contrast to the in-vivo decreased cytokine production after LPS challenge, the in-vitro LPS-stimulated cytokine production capacity of peritoneal macrophages of mice with hypercholesterolemia was higher than in control mice. This increase was observed for all the cytokines tested: TNFα, IL-1α and IL-1β. An explanation for these findings could be up-regulation of LPS receptors on the macrophages of LDLR−/− mice. Indeed, our LPS-binding experiments showed increased specific binding of tritiated LPS to the macrophages of LDLR−/− mice. In normal mice, exposure to small LPS quantities may down-regulate its own receptors on the host cells, as has been shown for the CD14 molecule on human cells (32). We hypothesize that in the LDLR−/− mice, such down-regulation of LPS receptors may not occur because the enhanced plasma lipoproteins rapidly bind and neutralize the circulating endotoxin. In our experiments, stimulation with S. aureus did not induce an enhanced cytokine production by the macrophages of LDLR−/− mice, suggesting a selective down-regulation of LPS-receptors. Still, a direct effect of the high lipoprotein concentrations on the LPS receptors and LPS binding cannot be excluded, since others have shown that cholesterol modulates CD14 expression on human cells (33), and the clustering of other glycospholipid-anchored receptors (34). Because LPS binds not only to CD14, but also to CD11b/CD18, to the scavenger receptor, and possibly other membrane proteins, it remains to be elucidated which of these receptors and mechanisms contributed to the increased LPS binding.

An increased cytokine production capacity has also been observed in monocytes of patients with familial hypercholesterolemia (35) and in individuals with high plasma HDL concentrations (36). The results of these studies and our present findings argue for a more general phenomenon of increased cytokine production by monocytes/macrophages of hosts with high circulating lipoprotein concentrations. The clinical importance of this phenomenon remains to be further studied.
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