

PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<https://repository.ubn.ru.nl/handle/2066/235183>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2021-07-30 and may be subject to change.

Tomaszewski's problem on randomly signed sums, revisited

Ravi B. Boppana

Department of Mathematics
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts, U.S.A.

rboppana@mit.edu

Harrie Hendriks Martien C.A. van Zuijlen

Department of Mathematics
Radboud University Nijmegen
Nijmegen, The Netherlands

{H.Hendriks,M.vanZuijlen}@science.ru.nl

Submitted: Apr 5, 2020; Accepted: Apr 12, 2021; Published: Jun 4, 2021

© The authors. Released under the CC BY-ND license (International 4.0).

Abstract

Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be real numbers whose squares add up to 1. Consider the 2^n signed sums of the form $S = \sum \pm v_i$. Boppana and Holzman (2017) proved that at least $\frac{13}{32}$ of these sums satisfy $|S| \leq 1$. Here we improve their bound to 0.427685.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 60E15, 60G50, 60C05, 05A20

1 Introduction

Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be real numbers such that the sum of their squares is at most 1. Consider the 2^n signed sums of the form $S = \pm v_1 \pm v_2 \pm \dots \pm v_n$. In 1986, B. Tomaszewski (see Guy [4]) asked the following question: is it always true that at least $\frac{1}{2}$ of these sums satisfy $|S| \leq 1$?

Boppana and Holzman [2] proved that at least $\frac{13}{32} = 0.40625$ of the sums satisfy $|S| \leq 1$. Actually, they proved a slightly better bound of 0.406259. See their paper for a discussion of earlier work on Tomaszewski's problem.

In this note, we will improve the lower bound to 0.427685. We will sharpen the Boppana-Holzman argument by using a Gaussian bound due to Bentkus and Dzindzalieta [1].

After we wrote this note, two further improvements appeared. Dvořák, van Hintum, and Tiba [3] strengthened the lower bound to 0.46. Keller and Klein [5] completely solved Tomaszewski's problem by proving a lower bound of $\frac{1}{2}$.

We will use the language of probability. Let $\Pr[A]$ be the probability of an event A . A *random sign* is a random variable whose probability distribution is the uniform distribution on the set $\{-1, +1\}$. With this language, we can state our main result.

Main Theorem. *Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 \leq 1$. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be independent random signs. Let S be $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i$. Then $\Pr[|S| \leq 1] > 0.427685$.*

2 Proof of the improved bound

In this section, we will prove the bound of 0.427685. We will follow the approach of Boppana and Holzman [2], replacing their fourth-moment method with a Gaussian bound.

Let Q be the upper tail function of the standard normal (Gaussian) distribution:

$$Q(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_x^\infty e^{-t^2/2} dt.$$

Note that Q is a decreasing, positive function.

Bentkus and Dzindzalieta [1] proved the following Gaussian bound on randomly-signed sums. See their paper for a discussion of earlier work on such bounds.

Theorem 1 (Bentkus and Dzindzalieta). *Let x be a real number. Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 \leq 1$. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be independent random signs. Let S be $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i$. Then*

$$\Pr[S \geq x] \leq \frac{Q(x)}{4Q(\sqrt{2})}.$$

Given a positive number c , define $F(c)$ by

$$F(c) := \frac{1}{2} - \frac{Q(1/\sqrt{c})}{4Q(\sqrt{2})}.$$

Note that F is a decreasing function bounded above by $\frac{1}{2}$. A calculation shows that $F(\frac{1}{4}) > 0.427685$.

We will need the following lemma, which quantitatively improves Lemma 3 of Boppana and Holzman [2]. Roughly speaking, this lemma is used to show that if a partial sum is a little less than 1 in absolute value, then the final sum has a decent chance of remaining less than 1 in absolute value.

Lemma 2. *Let c be a positive number. Let x be a real number such that $|x| \leq 1$. Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be real numbers such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 \leq c(1 + |x|)^2.$$

Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be independent random signs. Let Y be $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i$. Then

$$\Pr[|x + Y| \leq 1] \geq F(c).$$

Proof. By symmetry, we may assume that $x \geq 0$. Let w_i be $\frac{-v_i}{\sqrt{c(1+x)}}$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 \leq 1$. Let S be $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i w_i$. Then $Y = -\sqrt{c}(1+x)S$. Because Y has a symmetric distribution, we have

$$\Pr[Y > 1 - x] \leq \Pr[Y > 0] \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

By the Bentkus-Dzindzalieta inequality (Theorem 1), we have

$$\Pr[Y < -(1+x)] = \Pr\left[S > \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}}\right] \leq \frac{Q(1/\sqrt{c})}{4Q(\sqrt{2})}.$$

Therefore

$$\Pr[|x + Y| > 1] = \Pr[Y > 1 - x] + \Pr[Y < -(1+x)] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{Q(1/\sqrt{c})}{4Q(\sqrt{2})}.$$

Taking the complement, we obtain

$$\Pr[|x + Y| \leq 1] = 1 - \Pr[|x + Y| > 1] \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{Q(1/\sqrt{c})}{4Q(\sqrt{2})} = F(c). \quad \square$$

We will also need the following lemma, which says that F satisfies a certain weighted-average inequality. This lemma is used to show that a weighted average of lower bounds from Lemma 2 is still a good lower bound.

Lemma 3. *Let K be an integer such that $K \geq 2$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2^{K-1}} F\left(\frac{(K+1)^2 - K}{(2K+1)^2}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{K-1}}\right) F\left(\frac{(K+1)^2 - (K+2)}{(2K+1)^2}\right) \geq F\left(\frac{1}{4}\right).$$

Proof. Let

$$c_1 = \frac{(K+1)^2 - K}{(2K+1)^2} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{(2K+1)^2}; \quad c_2 = \frac{(K+1)^2 - (K+2)}{(2K+1)^2} = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{5}{4} \frac{1}{(2K+1)^2}.$$

Since $c_1 \geq c_2$ and F is a decreasing function, we see that for $K \geq 2$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2^{K-1}} F(c_1) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{K-1}}\right) F(c_2) \geq \frac{1}{2} F(c_1) + \frac{1}{2} F(c_2).$$

Therefore it is sufficient to show that the following inequality holds for $0 \leq \xi \leq 1/25$:

$$\frac{1}{2} F\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{4}\xi\right) + \frac{1}{2} F\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{5}{4}\xi\right) \geq F\left(\frac{1}{4}\right). \quad (1)$$

Once we show that $F(x)$ is a concave function in the region $0 < x \leq 1/4 + 3/100$, we conclude that the left hand side of the inequality is also concave in ξ in the region $0 \leq \xi \leq 1/25$ and we need only check the inequality for $\xi = 0$ and for $\xi = 1/25$. We will show that $Q(1/\sqrt{x})$ is convex in x in the region $0 < x \leq 1/3$. Recall that Q satisfies the ordinary differential equation $Q''(x) = -xQ'(x)$ and that $Q'(x) < 0$ for all x . Thus, for $x > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}Q(x^{-1/2}) &= Q''(x^{-1/2})\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^{-3/2}\right)^2 + Q'(x^{-1/2})\left(\frac{3}{4}x^{-5/2}\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}Q'(x^{-1/2})x^{-7/2}(1-3x), \end{aligned}$$

which is positive if $1-3x > 0$. It follows that $Q(x^{-1/2})$ is convex in the region $0 < x \leq 1/3$. Therefore $F(x)$ is concave in the region $0 < x \leq 1/3$. Inequality (1) holds trivially for $\xi = 0$, and one can check by calculation that it also holds for $\xi = 1/25$ (and even for $\xi = 1/9$). \square

Finally, we will use these two lemmas to prove our main theorem.

Proof of Main Theorem. We will follow the proof of Theorem 4 of Boppana and Holzman [2] nearly line for line. Their proof uses a different function F . Closely examining their proof, we see that they use four properties of F : it is bounded above by $\frac{1}{2}$, satisfies their Lemma 3 (our Lemma 2), is a nonincreasing function (on the set of positive numbers), and satisfies the weighted-average inequality of Lemma 3. Our function F has those same four properties. Hence we reach the same conclusion: $\Pr[|S| \leq 1] \geq F(\frac{1}{4})$. A calculation shows that $F(\frac{1}{4}) > 0.427685$. \square

Acknowledgment

The first author would like to thank Ron Holzman for fruitful discussions. This paper is the result of two independent discoveries of the same improved bound: one by the first author and one by the second and third authors.

References

- [1] V. K. Bentkus and D. Dzindzalieta. A tight Gaussian bound for weighted sums of Rademacher random variables. *Bernoulli*, 21(2):1231–1237, 2015.
- [2] R. B. Boppana and R. Holzman. Tomaszewski’s problem on randomly signed sums: breaking the $3/8$ barrier. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 24(3):#P3.40, 2017.
- [3] V. Dvořák, P. van Hintum, and M. Tiba. Improved bound for Tomaszewski’s problem. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 34(4):2239–2249, 2020.
- [4] R. K. Guy. Any answers anent these analytical enigmas? *American Mathematical Monthly*, 93(4):279–281, 1986.
- [5] N. Keller and O. Klein. Proof of Tomaszewski’s conjecture on randomly signed sums. [arXiv:2006.16834v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.16834v3), 2021.