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Red wine consumption does not affect oxidizability of low-density lipoproteins in volunteers\(^1\)-\(^4\)

Yolanda B de Rijke, Pierre NM Demacker, Nathalie A Assen, Lisette M Shouts, Maritijn B Katan, and Anton FH Stalenhoef

ABSTRACT Phenolic compounds in red wine may protect low-density lipoproteins (LDL) against oxidative modification, thereby reducing the risk of cardiovascular morbidity. However, in vivo data are scarce. We gave 13 healthy volunteers 550 mL red wine and another 11 volunteers white wine for 4 wk in a randomized double-blind trial. Interference by alcoholic components of wine was eliminated by reducing the alcohol content to 3.5%. Red wine did not affect the susceptibility of LDL to Cu\(^{2+}\)-mediated oxidative modification [lag time before and after red wine drinking: (x ± SD) 61.8 ± 7.7 and 62.7 ± 11.8 min, respectively; lag time before and after white wine drinking: 64.5 ± 10.4 and 63.3 ± 10.8 min, respectively]. Concentrations of the antioxidants urate, vitamin C, and glutathione in plasma and of vitamin E and ubiquinol-10 in LDL were also unchanged after either red or white wine consumption. The results of this study do not show a beneficial effect of red wine consumption on LDL oxidation. Am J Clin Nutr 1996;63:329-34.
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INTRODUCTION Populations with a high intake of cholesterol and saturated fat have a high mortality from coronary heart disease (CHD) (1). France is an exception, the CHD mortality is low despite a diet high in saturated fat (2, 3). The high consumption of alcohol-containing beverages, such as red wine, may, at least in part, explain this so-called French paradox. Alcohol consumption increases plasma concentrations of high-density-lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol (4, 5). Moderate red wine consumption was also found to be associated with a favorable change in hemostatic factors, such as blood platelet aggregation (3). The question is whether the supposed cardioprotective effect of red wine can be explained solely by the alcoholic component.

In the Zutphen Elderly Study and the Seven Countries Study, the risk of mortality from CHD was negatively correlated with the intake of certain flavonoids (6, 7). Flavonoids consist of two phenylbenzene (chromanol) rings linked through a pyran ring. They are present in fruit, vegetables, and beverages such as tea and wine. The most important water-soluble polyphenols with antioxidative capacities in red wine are the flavonols quercetin and myricetin, and the 3-flavanols catechin and epigallocatechin. These are derived from the skin of the grape and are therefore not present in white wine. Flavonoids are mainly responsible for the astringency, flavor, and color of red wine. Frankel et al (8) reported that flavonoids extracted from Californian red wine protected low-density lipoprotein (LDL) against oxidation when added in vitro. The oxidative modification of LDL is thought to play a key role in the development of early atherosclerotic lesions (9). Red wine flavonoids could inhibit LDL oxidation (8, 10-13).

Data in vivo are contradictory. Fuhrman et al (14) found that LDL oxidation was inhibited in subjects who had drank red wine, whereas Sharpe et al (15) did not find such an effect. We focused on the effect of the nonalcoholic components of red wine by reducing the alcohol content. Thus, we assessed whether the consumption of low-alcohol red wine affects the oxidizability of LDL and the concentrations of antioxidants in plasma.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Study design

This study was approved by the ethical committee of the Academic Hospital, University of Nijmegen, and all subjects gave their informed consent before participation. Twenty-four healthy nonsmoking, normolipidemic volunteers (aged 22-63 y; 19 males, 5 females) participated. Subjects were not using vitamin or mineral supplements. Before study entry subjects' average total alcohol consumption was 0-240 g alcohol/wk. Eleven subjects were moderate red wine drinkers (24-120 g alcohol/wk). All subjects consumed white wine for a 2-wk baseline period (Figure 1). They were then randomly assigned to consume white or red wine for another 4 wk (test period), with stratification for age, sex, and plasma cholesterol concentration. The distribution of the moderate red wine drinkers into the two study periods was randomized to avoid a carry-over effect. This randomized controlled study was carried out in a single academic hospital.
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the red wine and the white wine groups was seven and four, respectively. Ten men and three women aged 38.2 ± 9.8 y (with a plasma total cholesterol concentration of 5.25 ± 0.71 mmol/L and a body mass index (in kg/m²) of 22.8 ± 2.2] received red wine and nine men and two women aged 36.4 ± 11.8 y (with a plasma total cholesterol concentration of 5.37 ± 0.82 mmol/L and a body mass index of 22.7 ± 2.1) continued to consume white wine.

Participants consumed 550 mL wine (four to five glasses, ≈12 g alcohol/d per person) in the evening. Wine was delivered daily to the volunteers. All subjects followed a low-flavonoid diet and abstained from tea and red wine. Food intake was checked by 5-d written records. Intake of quercetin, kaempferol, myricetin, apigenin, and luteolin was estimated by using data from Hertog et al (16).

Preparation of wines

We used white wine from the Loire region in France (Baron Charles, 1993) and red wine from Italy (Chianti Classico, 1991) because this red wine tends to have higher quercetin contents than French wines (17). Alcohol was removed by evaporation at 35 °C under a pressure of 2 cm Hg. Total volume loss was < 15%. Final alcohol concentrations (± SEM) were 3.5 ± 0.2% for white wine and 3.4 ± 0.3% for red wine. The alcohol content was determined by using a Boehringer Mannheim test kit (no. 176290; Mannheim, Germany). Nonpolyphenolic pigments (E102, 4-(4′-sulfo-1′-phenylazo)-1-(4′-sulfophenyl)-5-hydroxypyrazolone-3-carbonic acid; E110, chinophthalon-disulfate; E122 2-(4′-sulfo-1′-naphthylazo)-1-naphthol-4-sulfate; E132, indigotin-5′-disulfate; and E151, Brilliant Black; Jacob Hooi, Linimen, Netherlands) and red beet (root) juice (37.5 mL/L; Loverendale, Netherlands) were added to both wines to make them indistinguishable. In addition, the wines were coded with random codes. As a result, subjects were unable to distinguish white from red wine. Quercetin and myricetin concentrations were determined essentially as described by Hertog et al (16). Catechin, epicatechin, and epigallocatechin were determined essentially as described by Bailey and Nursten (18). Before removal of the alcohol the red wine contained (wet wt) 6.2 ± 0.2 mg quercetin/kg, 3.4 ± 0.2 mg myricetin/kg, 27 mg catechin/kg, 20 mg epicatechin/kg, and 116 mg epigallocatechin/kg. The white wine contained < 0.1 mg quercetin/kg, 1.1 mg myricetin/kg, and 2 mg gallate/kg. The low-alcohol red wine contained 6.1 ± 0.1 mg quercetin/kg, 3.3 ± 0.1 mg myricetin/kg, 12 mg catechin/kg, 19 mg epicatechin/kg, and 107 mg epigallocatechin/kg. The low-alcohol white wine contained < 0.1 mg quercetin/kg, 1 mg myricetin/kg, and 2 mg gallate/kg.

In vitro measurement of antioxidant capacity of wine

To determine the antioxidant activity of the two wines in vitro, plasma (containing 1 mmol EDTA/L) from a nonmultiparasitic donor was dialyzed against two changes of 1 L chelox 100-treated phosphate-buffered saline at 4 °C to remove contaminating transition metal ions (19). Before the incubation, 1 mL plasma was preincubated with 10–50 µL white or red wine for 5 min at 37 °C, followed by addition of 94 mmol/L 2,2′-azobis(2-amidinopropane) hydrochloride (AAPH) (200 µL 625 mmol AAPH/L in 0.154 mol NaCl/L) (Polysciences, Inc, Warrington, FL). AAPH generates water-soluble peroxy radicals at a constant rate (19). After 3 h of incubation, the oxidation was stopped by refrigeration and the degree of lipid peroxidation was assessed by measuring the amount of thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances (TBARS), expressed as µmol malondialdehyde (MDA) equivalents/L plasma (20, a modification of 21).

Blood measurements

Two fasting blood samples were collected 10–12 h after wine consumption into evacuated tubes on separate days at the end of both the baseline and test periods. Blood was collected into tubes containing K₃-EDTA (1 g/L) for cholesterol, α-tocopherol, ubiquinol-10, and glutathione determinations and for the oxidation assay. Additive-free tubes were used for urate and lithium-heparin–containing tubes for vitamin C. Blood was placed immediately on ice and plasma was separated by centrifugation at 3200 × g for 8 min at 4 °C within 1 h of sampling. Plasma aliquots were rapidly frozen in nitrogen and stored at −80 °C after the addition of saccharose (6 g/L) to stabilize the lipoproteins. Butylated hydroxytoluene (final concentration 250 mg/L), a lipophilic antioxidant, was added to the plasma samples used for the measurement of α-tocopherol and ubiquinol-10 concentrations and TBARS.

Cholesterol concentrations were determined with an enzymatic method with reagent from Boehringer (CHOD-PAP reagent no. 1442350; Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany) on a Hitachi 747 analyzer (Boehringer Mannheim). HDL cholesterol was quantified in plasma after precipitation of LDL and very-low-density lipoprotein/intermediate-density lipoprotein with the polyethylene glycol 6000 method (22). For the oxidation assay, the protein content of LDL was measured by the method of Lowry et al (23), with chloroform extraction to remove turbidity, and using bovine serum albumin as a standard. The interassay CVs were < 2% for both cholesterol and triacylglycerol determinations.

Samples of the same subjects were always analyzed in the same run. In each run, samples obtained from subjects who received red wine were analyzed together with samples obtained from subjects who received white wine. Ascorbic acid and ubiquinol-10 were analyzed within 30 min after thawing.

Determination of antioxidant concentrations

Glutathione was determined in whole blood by an enzymatic method according to Griffith (24). The oxidized form of glutathione (GSSG) was determined within 6 h of blood sampling.
The reduced form of glutathione (GSH) was determined within 4 wk in the acid, protein-free supernate. Urate in serum was determined within 3 h with a Hitachi 747 analyzer (25). Vitamin C (sum of L-ascorbic and dehydro-L-ascorbic acid) in whole blood was determined by HPLC with fluorometric detection (26).

α-Tocopherol and ubiquinol-10 were determined within 2 wk of storage by HPLC coupled to an electrochemical detector (Ducade; Antec, Leiden, Netherlands) (27). To determine antioxidant concentrations in LDL, LDL was isolated by a short-run nonequilibrium density-gradient ultracentrifugation (120,000 × g for 4 h at 4°C) using a Kontron TIT-45,6 fixed-angle rotor (Kontron AG, Zurich, Switzerland) (28). After isolation, LDL preparations were immediately assayed for antioxidants as described above. To prevent oxidation of ubiquinol-10, LDL was not dialyzed. The concentration was expressed per mmol LDL cholesterol because of potential contamination with trace amounts of albumin.

**Oxidizability of LDLS**

Oxidation experiments were performed within 2 mo of storage. Plasma samples of participants were thawed rapidly and LDL was isolated by density ultracentrifugation (285,000 × g for 18 h at 4°C) using a swingout Beckman SW40 rotor in a Beckman L55 ultracentrifuge (Beckman, Palo Alto, CA). To protect the LDL against oxidative modification during isolation, 10 μmol EDTA/L was added to each density solution. LDL was isolated at a density of 1.019–1.063 kg/L. LDL was not dialyzed. The time between isolation and the oxidation experiment was always <90 min. The susceptibility of LDL to oxidation in vitro Cu²⁺-mediated oxidation was determined as described by Esterbauer et al (29) as modified by Prineen et al (30). After isolation, LDL was diluted immediately with NaCl:EDTA (1.18 mol/L:10 μmol/L) to a final concentration of 114 mg protein/L, and sodium phosphate (pH 7.4) was added to a final concentration of 10 mmol/L. Oxidation was initiated by adding CuSO₄ to a final concentration of 38 μmol/L at 37°C. The kinetics of the oxidation of LDL were determined by monitoring the change of the 234-nm diene absorption in a thermostatted ultraviolet spectrophotometer (Lambda 12; Perkin Elmer, Gouda, Netherlands), equipped with a nine-position automatic sample changer. Absorbance curves of four LDL preparations from one subject, two before and two after the test period, were analyzed in parallel. In this way small differences in the oxidation parameters between the LDL preparations isolated from the plasma before and after the test period could be detected. Each LDL preparation was oxidized in two oxidation runs on the same day. The oxidation assay was validated by analyzing one reference LDL, prepared from pooled plasma stored at −80°C, in every oxidation run. For the reference LDL, the interassay CV for lag time, oxidation rate, and the total amount of conjugated dienes formed per milligram of LDL protein were <3%.

**Statistical methods**

For each subject the change of each variable was calculated as the mean of the two values obtained at the end of the test period minus the mean at the end of the baseline period. The null hypothesis was that the mean of the changes in the red wine group equaled those in the white wine group. This hypothesis was tested by a *t* test. Repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess the effect of the baseline versus the test period and of white versus red wine. Where *F* test results were significant, the results of unequal variances with separate degrees of freedom were used. Where *F* test results were not significant, results from the pooled degrees of freedom were used. All data analyses were performed by using SPSS/PC software (SPSS Inc, Chicago).

**RESULTS**

The daily average intake of the sum of quercetin, kaempferol, myricetin, apigenin, and luteolin from food was 8.1 ± 4.6 mg in the white wine group and 10.0 ± 6.0 mg in the red wine group. The daily additional intake of quercetin plus myricetin was 5.3 mg from red wine and 0.6 mg from white wine. Beside these two flavonoids, high concentrations of catechin-like flavonoids are present in red wine; but in this study the additional dietary intake of the latter could not be calculated.

Wine inhibited AAPH-induced lipid peroxidation when added in vitro to plasma (Figure 2). At 5%, native red Chianti wine inhibited lipid peroxidation by 61%, as measured with the TBARS assay, whereas no effect was found with white wine even when it was added at a final concentration of 10%. The low-alcohol white wine plus colorants as given to the participants exerted the same protective effect on plasma oxidation in vitro as did the native red wine. Likewise, the low-alcohol white wine plus added colorants failed to inhibit the AAPH-induced lipid peroxidation when added to plasma in vitro (Figure 2).

In contrast with the in vitro findings, consumption of either wine for 4 wk did not affect LDL oxidizability, measured either as lag times, oxidation rate, or final amount of diene formation. Lag times, reflecting the resistance of isolated LDL against oxidative modification, were similar in both wine groups and were not prolonged after consumption of 500 mL red wine/day for 4 wk (Table 1). The nonsignificant differences between changes in the red and white wine groups were in fact in the opposite direction of what would be expected if red wine

![Figure 2](https://example.com/figure2.png)

**FIGURE 2.** The effect of in vitro addition of white or red wine on the susceptibility of plasma to lipid peroxidation before and after reduction of the alcohol content. Plasma isolated from a normolipidemic donor was incubated with 2,2'-azobis(2-aminopropane)dihydrochloride (AAPH, 100 μmol/L) in the presence of the indicated concentrations of either white or red wine for 3 h at 37°C. •, native white wine; ○, native red wine; □, low-alcohol white wine plus colorants; ▲, low-alcohol red wine plus colorants. Values are expressed as percentages of maximal lipid peroxidation (ΔA₅₃₂ nm) in plasma measured in the absence of wine.
TABLE 1
Oxidation kinetics of LDL isolated from the plasma of subjects at the end of the 2-wk baseline period (white wine) and at the end of the 4-wk test period (red or white wine)

|                          | White wine
t | Red wine
t | Difference between changes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( n = 11 )</td>
<td>( n = 13 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lag time (min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline (white wine only)</td>
<td>64.5 ± 10.4</td>
<td>61.8 ± 7.7</td>
<td>1.4 (-3.1, 3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test period</td>
<td>63.3 ± 10.8</td>
<td>62.7 ± 11.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidation rate (mmol diones \cdot g LDL protein \cdot min(^{-1}))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline (white wine only)</td>
<td>14.1 ± 3.1</td>
<td>13.9 ± 2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test period</td>
<td>13.3 ± 2.4</td>
<td>14.6 ± 2.3</td>
<td>1.5 (-0.5, 3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diones(_{max}) (mmol/g LDL protein)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline (white wine only)</td>
<td>514.3 ± 18.2</td>
<td>525.9 ± 34.8</td>
<td>18.4 (0, 30.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test period</td>
<td>502.1 ± 21.6</td>
<td>532.0 ± 31.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( & \pm SD, \\ \% CI in parentheses. \

protected against oxidation. In three subjects with a basal flavonoid intake > 15 mg, lag times after red wine consumption were also not different from baseline values. The rate of dione formation and the maximal amount of diones formed after red wine consumption were not different from baseline values (Table 1). Antioxidant concentrations were not affected either; the mean value for α-tocopherol was 4.1 ± 1.1 μmol/ mmol LDL cholesterol at baseline and 4.1 ± 1.1 after red wine drinking, and the content of ubiquinol-10 was 86 ± 51 mmol/ mmol LDL cholesterol and changed to 91 ± 69 (NS). The concentrations of aqueous and lipophilic antioxidants in plasma were also unchanged (Table 2) as were TBARS (baseline and test values: 1.08 ± 0.27 and 0.99 ± 0.13 mmol/L, respectively). Total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, and total triacylglycerol concentrations in plasma did not change significantly in either group (Table 3).

DISCUSSION
In our double-blind study consumption of four to five glasses of red wine per day for 4 wk did not affect copper-mediated LDL oxidation in healthy volunteers. α-Tocopherol and ubiquinol-10 concentrations of LDL after red wine consumption were similar to baseline values.

Interest in red wine flavonoids has been stimulated by the growing evidence that free radical-mediated events are involved in the early formation of fatty streaks in coronary arteries (9). The beneficial effect of red wine consumption on the prevention of CHD (31) might be due to the HDL-raising effect of ethanol rather than of flavonoids. We therefore used wine from which most of the alcohol had been removed. This was evidently successful because no effect on plasma HDL-cholesterol concentrations was seen. The lack of change in plasma lipids also prevented compositional changes in LDL, which can influence LDL oxidizability (32, 33).

Our data conflict with those of Fuhrman et al (14), who observed a fourfold increase in the lag phase before oxidation of LDL in volunteers who had consumed 500 mL red wine compared with white wine. The effect was seen after 2 wk of treatment, but no difference was seen after 1 wk. The extent of the effect that Fuhrman et al saw is surprising: high doses of vitamin E (30, 34-36) decreased LDL oxidizability only 1.3- to 2.2-fold.

TABLE 2
Concentrations of antioxidants in plasma or blood at the end of the 2-wk baseline period (white wine) and after the 4-wk test period (red or white wine)

|                          | White wine
t | Red wine
t | Difference between changes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( n = 11 )</td>
<td>( n = 13 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Tocopherol (μmol/L plasma)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>23.5 ± 6.3</td>
<td>22.8 ± 6.0</td>
<td>0.22 (-2.5, 2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test period</td>
<td>23.7 ± 5.6</td>
<td>22.9 ± 5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubiquinol-10 (μmol/L plasma)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>598 ± 176</td>
<td>553 ± 163</td>
<td>108 (-88, 303)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test period</td>
<td>530 ± 152</td>
<td>613 ± 301</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin C (μmol/L blood)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>42.3 ± 8.7</td>
<td>48.4 ± 9.2</td>
<td>6.12 (-10.9, 2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test period</td>
<td>46.3 ± 9.7</td>
<td>48.2 ± 10.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total glutathione (mmol/L blood)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test period</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.16</td>
<td>-4.12 (-0.05, 0.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urate (mmol/L serum)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test period</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.07</td>
<td>-0.01 (-0.03, 0.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( & \pm SD, \\ \% CI in parentheses. \

\( \% \)
2.5-fold, and even long-term treatment with the most efficient antioxidant known, probucol (250 mg/d for 4 mo), prolonged the lag time by no more than threefold (37). The fourfold increase in lag time reported by Fuhrman et al (14) for a relatively modest intervention is thus unprecedented and difficult to explain.

The design of our study differed from that of Fuhrman et al (14) by having a baseline period of 2 wk with white wine, followed by a 4-wk treatment. The effect of red wine consumption on LDL oxidizability was expected to be greater after 4 than after 2 wk. In contrast with the study of Fuhrman et al, all subjects followed a low-flavonoid diet during the study. There were also essential differences in the methods used by both research groups for measuring LDL oxidizability, i.e., the omission of the dialysis step in our procedure to prevent early oxidative modification of LDL. The red Italian wine we used showed the same antioxidative capacity in vitro as that found by Fuhrman et al (14) with French wine. This antioxidative capacity was not influenced by reduction of the alcohol content or addition of pigments and fruit juice used for blinding. Flavonoid concentrations of the red wine were similar to those measured in French wines (38, 39), and were not changed by these manipulations. In addition, flavonoid concentrations in plasma are not influenced by storage of plasma at 80°C for as long as 4 mo (PCH Hollman, unpublished observations, 1995).

Red wine has been reported to increase the antioxidative capacity of plasma (14, 40). This effect was also ascribed to red wine flavonoids. Because of the close interaction between the hydrophilic and lipophilic antioxidant mechanisms, an increase in the antioxidative capacity of plasma could decrease LDL oxidizability in vivo and probably also in vitro. Fuhrman et al (14) assessed total plasma lipid peroxidation in vitro by measuring TBARS after the addition of the free radical generator AAPH whereas we estimated potential plasma lipid peroxidation by measuring a spectrum of relevant protective antioxidants. It has been reported that urate, ascorbic acid, thiols, proteins, e-tocopherol, and bilirubin are the main contributors to total plasma antioxidative capacity (41). Our data showed that red or white wine did not influence the concentration of either lipophilic or hydrophilic antioxidants; it is therefore not surprising that LDL oxidizability was also not influenced.

A recent study by Ruf et al (42) in rats reported that oral administration of low-alcohol red wine resulted in decreased alcohol-induced lipid peroxidation in plasma and reduced platelet aggregation in vivo. Ruf et al (42) suggested that alcohol promotes absorption of polyphenolics in the intestine. Hitherto, few data were available on the absorption and metabolism of individual flavonoids after consumption. Our knowledge of the absorption of flavonoids from foods is limited and studies of this kind are needed. The amounts absorbed may be insufficient to exert antioxidative activity in vitro either in total plasma or in isolated LDL.

In conclusion, consumption of a fairly large dose of red wine did not affect in vitro LDL oxidizability in volunteers. The French paradox, if it exists at all, may be due to factors other than the effect of wine on LDL oxidizability.

We thank J Vogelhaar and M Heers for excellent technical assistance and Peter Hollman and Lillian Tijburg for determination of flavonoids in wine.

TABLE 3
Concentrations of total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, and triacylglycerol in plasma at the end of the 2-wk baseline period (white wine) and after the 4-wk test period (red or white wine)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>White wine</th>
<th>Red wine</th>
<th>Difference between changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 11)</td>
<td>(n = 13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>5.37 ± 0.82</td>
<td>5.25 ± 0.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test period</td>
<td>5.21 ± 0.75</td>
<td>5.13 ± 0.60</td>
<td>0.05 (-0.20, 0.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.42</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test period</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.38</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.33</td>
<td>0.06 (-0.04, 0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triacylglycerol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.44</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test period</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.47</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.31</td>
<td>-0.02 (-0.19, 0.15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* x ± SD.
* x: 95% CI in parentheses.


