Megadose bolus heparin as first treatment for acute myocardial infarction: results of the HEAP pilot study
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Early angiography after thrombolysis for acute myocardial infarction (AMI) shows better patency when intravenous (iv) heparin is used as adjunct. We wondered, if iv heparin alone also would induce reperfusion.

In the HEAP (Heparin in Early Patency) Pilot study 73 patients (pts) with < 6 hours (h) signs (≥ 2 mm ST ↑ in ≥ 2 leads) and symptoms of AMI received a single iv bolus of 300 UI/kg heparin, a dose usually given by cardiac surgeons prior to cardiopulmonary bypass. Doses of bolus heparin given varied from 10,000 to 40,000 UI. Aspirin (160 mg chewed), but no thrombolytic agent was given. Patency was assessed by coronary angiography at 90 minutes (min) after the heparin bolus.

In 38/73 (52%) pts TIMI flow 2–3 was seen at 90 min: TIMI flow 3 in 24 (33%) pts and TIMI flow 2 in 14 (19%) pts. Pts with < 2 h symptoms (n = 34) had 68% TIMI flow 2–3 vs 39% in pts with ≥ 2 h symptoms (p = 0.09). Pts with TIMI flow 0–2 underwent primary angioplasty. At 90 min aPTT exceeded 120 sec in all pts. No bleeding was seen. Aspirin 80 mg daily was given, as was heparin (aPTT 2.0–2.5) for 48 h. Predisharge angio showed TIMI flow 3 in 18/23 (78%) pts.

Thus, early therapy with high-dose front-loaded heparin alone can induce full coronary reperfusion in pts with AMI, especially in early (< 2 h) pts. This simple, inexpensive and easily antagonizable strategy seems to be an attractive first AMI treatment both pre-hospital, and in-hospital prior to primary PTCA. However, high-dose bolus heparin should be compared first to the regular low-dose heparin, for which a randomized angiographic study is currently carried out.
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Background: Pre-hospital chemical thrombolysis is effective in the early phase of Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI), but has been hampered by the need for diagnosis confirmation by ECG criteria, contraindication check-list and difficult utilization of thrombolytics outside hospital. Standard Heparin (SH) has been used as an alternative or as an additive for chemical, mechanical as well as endogenous thrombolytics, in patients (pts) admitted to the hospital with AMI.

Aim: We tested the hypothesis that a high dose IV SH bolus could open occluded artery related to infarction (ARI) in an acceptable rate, being an alternative way for pre-hospital thrombolysis or as a pre-treatment before primary PTCA, at low complication level and cost.

Methods: Thirty-two P, mean age of 64 ± 10 years, 47% male, presenting within 12 hours of symptoms of AMI and indication criteria for reperfusion (chest pain, ST elevation on standard ECG) were randomized (double blind fashion) to SH, 300 UI/kg IV bolus or placebo (P1). All P received 200 mg ASA before being submitted to immediate coronary angiography, for intended primary PTCA.

Results: There was no difference between the two groups in relation to time of beginning of symptoms (mean of 4.3 ± 3.2 h). Coronaryography was performed with a mean delay of 74 ± 20 min. SH group (n = 16) had 50% and P1 group (n = 16) 12.5% TIMI 2–3 ARI patency respectively (p = 0.05). All P with occluded ARI (TIMI 0) or TIMI 2–3 but critical obstruction were submitted to primary PTCA, at the discretion of the cardiologist.

Conclusions: 1) Standard heparin does have a thrombolytic action when administered in high dosage IV bolus. 2) The different contraindication for its prescription, low incidence of harmful effects, no need for confirmation of diagnosis by a cardiologist neither ECG comprovation, may suggest its routine utilization in outpatients with suspected acute coronary syndromes before they get to the hospital or to inpatients waiting for intended primary PTCA.

Safety and efficacy of reduced defibrillation energy in implantable cardioverter-defibrillators: results of the LEET study, a prospective, randomized multicenter
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For patients (pts) convenience the size of implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) should be reduced. With the lowering of maximal defibrillation energy (DE) smaller capacitors can be used. A prospective, randomized study has set up to evaluate the safety and efficacy of using ICDs at lower DE in pts.

We enrolled 142 consecutive ICD recipients with documented ventricular fibrillation (VF), polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (VT) or monomorphic unresponsive to antitachycardia pacing by four participating centers: 122 (93%) (mean age 59 ± 12 years, LVEF: 36 ± 13%, CAD: 64%, DCM: 29%) in the inclusion criteria requiring an intraoperative defibrillation threshold (DT), 15 Joule (J) by using only endocardial single lead systems (Endotak) and generation ICDs. These pts have been randomized to either a test group 5 pts, LVEF: 38.3 ± 14%, DT: 9.3 ± 3 J) with first shock DE set at two DFT, or a control group (63 pts, LVEF: 35.4 ± 13%, DT: 10.1 ± 4 J) with shock set at 34 J (maximum output (MO)). All other shocks were set on MO. Both the groups follow up period was 9.8 ± 6 months.

The first shock efficacy i.e., the rate of successful termination of a supra VT/VF episode by the first shock, amounted to 98% in the 204 episodes, ventricular tachyarrhythmias (VT/VF) in the test group and to 97% in the 221 episodes with VT in the control group (p = ns). Two deaths (one suicide occurred in each group) overall mortality 3%.

Conclusions: ICDs with first shock set at two times DE appear to be both safer and in addition to ICDs set on MO in pts with malignant VT/VF. These findings suggest that pts with low intraoperative DE may be treated with smaller, lower output devices even using the single lead alone technique.

Benefit from implanted cardioverter-defibrillators implantation in patients with overt heart failure
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Objective: In the absence of prospective studies, benefit from therapy with implanted cardioverter-defibrillators (ICD) has been questioned in patients with advanced heart failure. The purpose of this study was to investigate whether implantation of an ICD is likely to improve outcome in patients with overt heart failure.

Methods: Between 1989 and 1995, 482 patients (62% CAD, 16% DCM) were treated with an ICD with extended memory functions (RR-interval: electrogoms from treated episodes) in combination with endocardial ICD. Retrospectively, we correlated the stage of heart failure with the occurrence of fast ventricular tachyarrhythmias (VT/VF; > 240 bpm) and overall mortality. The potential benefit imposed by implantation of an ICD was estimated as the difference between overall mortality and the occurrence of fast ventricular tachyarrhythmias and overall mortality was observed suggesting a benefit from ICD-implantation in all groups.

In the NYHA 3-group, no differences were observed between patients: an ejection fraction above versus below 30%.

Conclusions: The stage of heart failure is an important determinant for survival after implantation of an ICD. However, implantation of an ICD-system is likely to improve survival significantly in all stages of heart failure. Even pts with advanced heart failure and low ejection fraction are likely to benefit from ICD-implantation.